

**Subject:** Washington Albacore tuna bag limit proposal  
**From:** "Keizer, John A Civ USAF AMC 627 CES/CEO" <John.Keizer@mcchord.af.mil>  
**Date:** Thu, 14 Oct 2010 12:12:57 -0500  
**To:** pfmc.comments@noaa.gov  
**CC:** Michele.Culver@dfw.wa.gov

October 14, 2010

Pacific Fishery Management Council  
7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101  
Portland, Oregon 97220-1384

Re: Washington Albacore tuna bag limit proposal

Dear Council members;

I'm an avid tuna angler and strongly opposed to the imposition of a bag (trip) limit on Albacore tuna at this time. I will highlight my reasons.

First; I fish out of a 26ft boat as do most of the guys who I know that fish tuna. If I'm very lucky I might make 4-6 tuna trips a year. Weather is always the driving force and limiting factor for privet boat tuna anglers. These trips are very expensive with fuel, live bait, lodging, extra ice and special equipment needed to catch tuna. Most trips run about \$400-500 a day which also limits the amount of angler's taking part in this fishery. Boats in our class can at max harvest and care for only about 20-25 tuna a trip with a crew of 4. There is just not room to hold more iced tuna then that. Additionally, albacore fishing takes the pressure off the salmon fishery during July and August.

Second, there is no compelling biological reason why a bag limit is necessary. Imposition of a bag limit wouldn't reduce our current CPUE to any degree. The only explainable reason for imposition of a limit is a matter of perception. The 2006 stock assessment shows that although the fishing rate is very high in the North Pacific, the biomass is also very high. The 2011 stock assessment will provide information that can be used to determine the need for further regulation throughout the North Pacific and the US West Coast.

Third, in April of this year the Council decided to defer any regulatory action regarding effort limitation in the US commercial fishery until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known. Why should we be singled out for additional management when only around one half of one percent of the US west coast catch is caught in the Washington recreational fishery? In fact, 2 years ago, charter boats in Washington capped their potential effort through license legislation in lieu of consideration of a bag limit.

Finally, although I'm strongly oppose a bag limit, a bag (trip) limit less than our neighboring states could be devastating to this sport fishery in Washington and the coastal community's economy. I hope you will defer consideration of any regulatory action until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known.

Thank you.  
Respectfully yours,

John Keizer  
6909 35<sup>th</sup> St West  
University Place WA 98466

October 23, 2010

Pacific Fishery Management Council  
7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101  
Portland, Oregon 97220-1384  
Mark Cedergreen, Chairman

Re: Washington Albacore tuna bag limit proposal

Dear Council members;

On behalf of the members of Puget Sound Anglers SnoKing Chapter of Washington we are strongly opposed to the imposition of a bag (trip) limit on Albacore tuna at this time.

The Washington state recreational private boats are self regulated due to holding size capacity of ice and fish storage. This coupled with fuel capacity of the average boat greatly dictates the range that can be covered for fishing the albacore fishery. The catch per person rarely exceeds the bag limits in place in Oregon and northern California. Introducing a bag limit could cause unnecessary economic damage to the coastal communities of Washington State as they rely on the income that is provided by this fishery. Additionally, albacore fishing takes the pressure off the salmon fishery.

Second, there is no compelling biological reason why a bag limit is necessary. Imposition of a bag limit wouldn't reduce our current CPUE to any degree. The only explainable reason for imposition of a limit is a matter of perception. The 2006 stock assessment shows that although the fishing rate is very high in the North Pacific, the biomass is also very high. The 2011 stock assessment will provide information that can be used to determine the need for further regulation throughout the North Pacific and the US West Coast.

Third, in April of this year the Council decided to defer any regulatory action regarding effort limitation in the US commercial fishery until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known. Why should we be singled out for additional management when only around one half of one percent of the US west coast catch is caught in the Washington recreational fishery? In fact, 2 years ago, charter boats in Washington capped their potential effort through license legislation in lieu of consideration of a bag limit.

Last, although we strongly oppose a bag limit, a bag (trip) limit less than our neighboring states could be devastating. We hope you will defer consideration of any regulatory action until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known.

Thank you.

Respectfully yours,

Kevin Lanier

VP PSA SnoKing Chapter consisting of 900 members



October 23, 2010

Pacific Fishery Management Council  
7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101  
Portland, Oregon 97220-1384  
Mark Cedergreen, Chairman

Re: Washington Albacore tuna bag limit proposal

Dear Council members;

On behalf of the members of the 17 chapters of Puget Sound Anglers of Washington we are strongly opposed to the imposition of a bag (trip) limit on Albacore tuna at this time.

The Washington state recreational private boats are self regulated due to holding size capacity of ice and fish storage. This coupled with fuel capacity of the average boat greatly dictates the range that can be covered for fishing the albacore fishery. The catch per person rarely exceeds the bag limits in place in Oregon and northern California. Introducing a bag limit could cause unnecessary economic damage to the coastal communities of Washington State as they rely on the income that is provided by this fishery. Additionally, albacore fishing takes the pressure off the salmon fishery.

Second, there is no compelling biological reason why a bag limit is necessary. Imposition of a bag limit wouldn't reduce our current CPUE to any degree. The only explainable reason for imposition of a limit is a matter of perception. The 2006 stock assessment shows that although the fishing rate is very high in the North Pacific, the biomass is also very high. The 2011 stock assessment will provide information that can be used to determine the need for further regulation throughout the North Pacific and the US West Coast.

Third, in April of this year the Council decided to defer any regulatory action regarding effort limitation in the US commercial fishery until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known. Why should we be singled out for additional management when only around one half of one percent of the US west coast catch is caught in the Washington recreational fishery? In fact, 2 years ago, charter boats in Washington



capped their potential effort through license legislation in lieu of consideration of a bag limit.

Last, although we strongly oppose a bag limit, a bag (trip) limit less than our neighboring states could be devastating. We hope you will defer consideration of any regulatory action until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known.

Thank you.

Respectfully yours,

Ron Garner  
President State Board  
Puget Sound Anglers

October 25, 2010

Pacific Fishery Management Council  
7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101  
Portland, Oregon 97220-1384  
Mark Cedergreen, Chairman

Re: Washington Albacore tuna bag limit proposal

Dear Council members;

I'm strongly opposed to the imposition of a bag (trip) limit on Albacore tuna at this time.

The Washington state recreational private boats are self regulated due to holding size capacity of ice and fish storage. This coupled with fuel capacity of the average boat greatly dictates the range that can be covered for fishing the albacore fishery. The catch per person rarely exceeds the bag limits in place in Oregon and northern California. Introducing a bag limit could cause unnecessary economic damage to the coastal communities of Washington State as they rely on the income that is provided by this fishery. Additionally, albacore fishing takes the pressure off the salmon fishery.

Second, there is no compelling biological reason why a bag limit is necessary. Imposition of a bag limit wouldn't reduce our current CPUE to any degree. The only explainable reason for imposition of a limit is a matter of perception. The 2006 stock assessment shows that although the fishing rate is very high in the North Pacific, the biomass is also very high. The 2011 stock assessment will provide information that can be used to determine the need for further regulation throughout the North Pacific and the US West Coast.

Third, in April of this year the Council decided to defer any regulatory action regarding effort limitation in the US commercial fishery until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known. Why should we be singled out for additional management when only around one half of one percent of the US west coast catch is caught in the Washington recreational fishery? In fact, 2 years ago, charter boats in Washington capped their potential effort through license legislation in lieu of consideration of a bag limit.

Last, although I strongly oppose a bag limit, a bag (trip) limit less than our neighboring states could be devastating. I hope you will defer consideration of any regulatory action until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known.

Thank you.  
Respectfully yours,

Geoff Wilson

**Subject:** Fwd: "November PFMC HMS agenda item J-2"  
**From:** "pfmc.comments" <pfmc.comments@noaa.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, 25 Oct 2010 08:29:46 -0700  
**To:** Kit Dahl <Kit.Dahl@noaa.gov>

A total of 9 emails  
with this message  
were received.

----- Original Message -----

**Subject:** "November PFMC HMS agenda item J-2"  
**Date:** Sat, 23 Oct 2010 11:45:57 -0700  
**From:** [mountainequip@comcast.net](mailto:mountainequip@comcast.net)  
**Reply-To:** [Mountainequip@comcast.net](mailto:Mountainequip@comcast.net)  
**Organization:** Mountain Equipment Services, Inc.  
**To:** [pfmc.comments@noaa.gov](mailto:pfmc.comments@noaa.gov)

October 23, 2010

Pacific Fishery Management Council  
7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101  
Portland, Oregon 97220-1384  
Mark Cedergreen, Chairman

Re: Washington Albacore tuna bag limit proposal

Dear Council members;

I am strongly opposed to a recreational bag limit for Albacore Tuna in Washington State. Our recreational fleet is the smallest fleet on the West Coast, has the shortest window of opportunity in regards to the weather and has already taken steps to cap the number of vessels fishing in 2007 with the adoption of the limited entry for charter boats. In addition, the commercial catch has not been reduced in any way either through quotas or limited entry so why should the recreational catch be any different, especially considering the very minute impact our recreational fleet has on the overall biomass of N. Pacific Albacore?

Last, I strongly oppose a bag limit, a bag (trip) limit less than our neighboring states could be devastating. We hope you will defer consideration of any regulatory action until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known. Our small coastal communities rely on visiting fisherman and a loss of our tuna fishery would put another burden on these small communities.

Thank you.  
Respectfully yours,

Todd Schwartz  
Recreational Tuna Fisherman  
Snohomish, WA

**Subject:** Fwd: November PFMC HMS agenda item J-2  
**From:** "pfmc.comments" <pfmc.comments@noaa.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, 25 Oct 2010 08:30:15 -0700  
**To:** Kit Dahl <Kit.Dahl@noaa.gov>

----- Original Message -----

**Subject:** November PFMC HMS agenda item J-2  
**Date:** Sat, 23 Oct 2010 14:00:32 -0700  
**From:** Don <[donoverby@earthlink.net](mailto:donoverby@earthlink.net)>  
**To:** [pfmc.comments@noaa.gov](mailto:pfmc.comments@noaa.gov)

October 23, 2010

Pacific Fishery Management Council  
7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101  
Portland, Oregon 97220-1384  
Mark Cedergreen, Chairman

Re: Washington Albacore tuna bag limit proposal

Dear Council members;

I feel until a valid reasons are put forth an albacore tuna bag limit in Washington is wrong. I ask you oppose this proposal.

Don Overby  
16843 Sargent Rd SW  
Rochester, WA 98579

**Subject:** Fwd: tuna  
**From:** "pfmc.comments" <pfmc.comments@noaa.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, 25 Oct 2010 08:31:36 -0700  
**To:** Kit Dahl <Kit.Dahl@noaa.gov>

----- Original Message -----

**Subject:**tuna  
**Date:**Sat, 23 Oct 2010 14:43:55 -0700  
**From:**Maxfield <[maxfield.construction@comcast.net](mailto:maxfield.construction@comcast.net)>  
**To:**[pfmc.comments@noaa.gov](mailto:pfmc.comments@noaa.gov)

Please don't put a cap on tune limits for the sportsman

Thanks Ken Maxfield

**Subject:** Fwd: WA Albacore Bag Limits  
**From:** "pfmc.comments" <pfmc.comments@noaa.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, 25 Oct 2010 08:31:56 -0700  
**To:** Kit Dahl <Kit.Dahl@noaa.gov>

----- Original Message -----

**Subject:** WA Albacore Bag Limits  
**Date:** Sat, 23 Oct 2010 15:24:22 -0700  
**From:** David Martin <[dbmartin\\_cpa@hotmail.com](mailto:dbmartin_cpa@hotmail.com)>  
**To:** [pfmc.comments@noaa.gov](mailto:pfmc.comments@noaa.gov)

October 23, 2010

Pacific Fishery Management Council  
7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101  
Portland, Oregon 97220-1384  
Mark Cedergreen, Chairman

Re: Washington Albacore tuna bag limit proposal

Dear Council members;

The Washington state recreational private boats are self regulated due to holding size capacity of ice and fish storage. This coupled with fuel capacity of the average boat greatly dictates the range that can be covered for fishing the albacore fishery. The catch per person rarely exceeds the bag limits in place in Oregon and northern California. Introducing a bag limit could cause unnecessary economic damage to the coastal communities of Washington State as they rely on the income that is provided by this fishery. Additionally, albacore fishing takes the pressure off the salmon fishery.

Second, there is no compelling biological reason why a bag limit is necessary. Imposition of a bag limit wouldn't reduce our current CPUE to any degree. The only explainable reason for imposition of a limit is a matter of perception. The 2006 stock assessment shows that although the fishing rate is very high in the North Pacific, the biomass is also very high. The 2011 stock assessment will provide information that can be used to determine the need for further regulation throughout the North Pacific and the US West Coast.

Third, in April of this year the Council decided to defer any regulatory action regarding effort limitation in the US commercial fishery until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known. Why should we be singled out for additional management when only around one half of one percent of the US west coast catch is caught in the Washington recreational fishery? In fact, 2 years ago, charter boats in Washington capped their potential effort through license legislation in lieu of consideration of a bag limit.

Last, although we strongly oppose a bag limit, a bag (trip) limit less than our neighboring states could be devastating. We hope you will defer consideration of any regulatory action until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known.

Thank you.

Respectfully yours,

David B. Martin, CPA  
CFO/Controller  
**The Myers Group**  
P.O. Box 1170  
Clinton, WA 98236  
360-321-5776

**Subject:** Fwd: Tuna bag limit Support  
**From:** "pfmc.comments" <pfmc.comments@noaa.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, 25 Oct 2010 08:32:35 -0700  
**To:** Kit Dahl <Kit.Dahl@noaa.gov>

----- Original Message -----

**Subject:** Tuna bag limit  
**Date:** Sat, 23 Oct 2010 20:30:09 -0400 (EDT)  
**From:** [vandebergla@aol.com](mailto:vandebergla@aol.com)  
**To:** [pfmc.comments@noaa.gov](mailto:pfmc.comments@noaa.gov)

Dear Council Members,

I support bag or trip limits for the recreational Albacore fishery in Washington state. I am a sport fisherman and I would like to see the Albacore population spared the fate of the many Pacific Coast Salmon species. Let's protect them and then do the science to figure out what an appropriate harvest is. Thank you for your time.

Larry Vandeberg  
PO Box 64461  
Tacoma, WA 98466

**Subject:** Fwd: November PFMC HMS agenda item J-2"  
**From:** "pfmc.comments" <pfmc.comments@noaa.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, 25 Oct 2010 08:32:50 -0700  
**To:** Kit Dahl <Kit.Dahl@noaa.gov>

----- Original Message -----

**Subject:** November PFMC HMS agenda item J-2"  
**Date:** Sun, 24 Oct 2010 19:51:14 -0700  
**From:** Bo Palmer <[Bo@DefianceBoats.com](mailto:Bo@DefianceBoats.com)>  
**To:** [pfmc.comments@noaa.gov](mailto:pfmc.comments@noaa.gov) <[pfmc.comments@noaa.gov](mailto:pfmc.comments@noaa.gov)>

Pacific Fishery Management Council  
7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101  
Portland, Oregon 97220-1384  
Mark Cedergreen, Chairman

Re: Washington Albacore tuna bag limit proposal

Dear Council members;

With the limits placed on all of the different fish that are targeted by recreational fisherman. It has created a situation where we are forced to fish Halibut during a few weekends in May while our counterparts in Canada can fish year round. With the limits on Salmon, some species of which have been designated as endangered species. One of the real positives in the last few years have been that more and more fisherman have begun Tuna Fishing. Tuna fishing should be promoted and advertised as a way to relieve pressure on salmon stocks in the Puget Sound and on the Washington Coast. Many of the recreational Tuna Fisherman in Washington used to fish every weekend for Salmon in the puget sound. Or they spent their time rockfishing off the coast. Now I spend almost all of my sportfishing time offshore fishing Albacore. If Tuna Fishing is discouraged in any way, this will lead to more pressure on these other species. Why would this make sense, when Albacore Stocks are abundant and the recreational impact is miniscule. These Albacore are fished by countries from Japan to Mexico and every country in between. Anything that will put more pressure on our Salmon and Rockfish stocks needs to be thought through very carefully. There had better be some very good science behind any decision because the ramifications of the decision will affect much more than just the Albacore Stocks.

I am strongly opposed to a recreational bag limit for Albacore Tuna in Washington State. Our recreational fleet is the smallest fleet on the West Coast, has the shortest window of opportunity in regards to the weather and has already taken steps to cap the number of vessels fishing in 2007 with the adoption of the limited entry for charter boats. In addition, the commercial catch has not been reduced in any way either through quotas or limited entry so why should the recreational catch be any different, especially considering the very minute impact our recreational fleet has on the overall biomass of N. Pacific Albacore?

Last, I strongly oppose a bag limit, a bag (trip) limit less than our neighboring states could be devastating. We hope you will defer consideration of any regulatory action until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known. Our small coastal communities rely on visiting fisherman and a loss of our tuna fishery would put another burden on these small communities.

Sincerely,

Bo Palmer

6677 NW Newberry Hill Rd  
Silverdale WA, 98367

360-689-6767

**Subject:** imposition of a bag (trip) limit on Albacore tuna  
**From:** "pfmc.comments" <pfmc.comments@noaa.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, 25 Oct 2010 08:33:27 -0700  
**To:** Kit Dahl <Kit.Dahl@noaa.gov>

----- Original Message -----

**Date:** Sat, 23 Oct 2010 16:48:53 -0700  
**From:** D HEIM <[darrinheim@msn.com](mailto:darrinheim@msn.com)>  
**To:** [pfmc.comments@noaa.gov](mailto:pfmc.comments@noaa.gov)

Pacific Fishery Management Council  
7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101  
Portland, Oregon 97220-1384  
Mark Cedergreen, Chairman

Re: Washington Albacore tuna bag limit proposal

Dear Council members;

I strongly oppose the imposition of a bag (trip) limit on Albacore tuna.

The Washington state recreational private boats are self regulated due to holding size capacity of ice and fish storage. This coupled with fuel capacity of the average boat greatly dictates the range that can be covered for fishing the albacore fishery. The catch per person rarely exceeds the bag limits in place in Oregon and northern California. Introducing a bag limit could cause unnecessary economic damage to the coastal communities of Washington State as they rely on the income that is provided by this fishery.

Second, there is no compelling biological reason why a bag limit is necessary. Imposition of a bag limit wouldn't reduce our current CPUE to any degree. The only explainable reason for imposition of a limit is a matter of perception. The 2006 stock assessment shows that although the fishing rate is very high in the North Pacific, the biomass is also very high. The 2011 stock assessment will provide information that can be used to determine the need for further regulation throughout the North Pacific and the US West Coast.

Third, in April of this year the Council decided to defer any regulatory action regarding effort limitation in the US commercial fishery until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known. Why should we be singled out for additional management when only around one half of one percent of the US west coast catch is caught in the Washington recreational fishery? In fact, 2 years ago, charter boats in Washington capped their potential effort through license legislation in lieu of consideration of a bag limit.

Respectfully,

Darrin Heim

**Subject:** Fwd: November PFMC HMS Agenda item J-2  
**From:** "pfmc.comments" <pfmc.comments@noaa.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, 25 Oct 2010 12:44:38 -0700  
**To:** Kit Dahl <Kit.Dahl@noaa.gov>

----- Original Message -----

**Subject:** November PFMC HMS Agenda item J-2  
**Date:** Mon, 25 Oct 2010 12:06:38 -0700  
**From:** Rob Tobeck <[rob@griffinmaclean.com](mailto:rob@griffinmaclean.com)>  
**To:** [pfmc.comments@noaa.gov](mailto:pfmc.comments@noaa.gov)

Dear Council Members,

As a recreational angler and active member of many fishing rights groups, I would like to urge the council to take no action with regards to the recreational albacore catch off the coast of Washington. The facts are that the recreational catch is such a very limited percentage of the overall catch that any limits would do very little if anything with regards to conservation. At this point we haven't even established that there is a conservation need. I would propose that any action would be taken, if needed, after the 2011 stock assessment. Thank you.

Thank You,

Rob Tobeck  
**Griffin Maclean**  
**Insurance Brokers**  
1-888-61-Tobeck  
Fax 425-822-2737

**Subject:** Fwd: November PFMC HMS agenda item J-2  
**From:** "pfmc.comments" <pfmc.comments@noaa.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, 25 Oct 2010 15:40:27 -0700  
**To:** Kit Dahl <Kit.Dahl@noaa.gov>

----- Original Message -----

**Subject:** November PFMC HMS agenda item J-2  
**Date:** Mon, 25 Oct 2010 15:32:39 -0700  
**From:** Jason Takayoshi <[jasontakayoshi@acuraofbellevue.com](mailto:jasontakayoshi@acuraofbellevue.com)>  
**To:** [pfmc.comments@noaa.gov](mailto:pfmc.comments@noaa.gov)

Pacific Fishery Management Council  
7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101  
Portland, Oregon 97220-1384  
Mark Cedergreen, Chairman

Re: Washington Albacore tuna bag limit proposal

Dear Council members;

On behalf of the members of the 17 chapters of Puget Sound Anglers of Washington we are strongly opposed to the imposition of a bag (trip) limit on Albacore tuna at this time.

The Washington state recreational private boats are self regulated due to holding size capacity of ice and fish storage. This coupled with fuel capacity of the average boat greatly dictates the range that can be covered for fishing the albacore fishery. The catch per person rarely exceeds the bag limits in place in Oregon and northern California. Introducing a bag limit could cause unnecessary economic damage to the coastal communities of Washington State as they rely on the income that is provided by this fishery. Additionally, albacore fishing takes the pressure off the salmon fishery.

Second, there is no compelling biological reason why a bag limit is necessary. Imposition of a bag limit wouldn't reduce our current CPUE to any degree. The only explainable reason for imposition of a limit is a matter of perception. The 2006 stock assessment shows that although the fishing rate is very high in the North Pacific, the biomass is also very high. The 2011 stock assessment will provide information that can be used to determine the need for further regulation throughout the North Pacific and the US West Coast.

Third, in April of this year the Council decided to defer any regulatory action regarding effort limitation in the US commercial fishery until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known. Why should we be singled out for additional management when only around one half of one percent of the US west coast catch is caught in the Washington recreational fishery? In fact, 2 years ago, charter boats in Washington capped their potential effort through license legislation in lieu of consideration of a bag limit.

Last, although we strongly oppose a bag limit, a bag (trip) limit less than our neighboring states could be devastating. We hope you will defer consideration of any regulatory action until the results of the 2011 stock assessment are known.

Thank you.  
Respectfully yours,

Jason Takayoshi  
Member

**Subject:** Fwd: Tuna Bag Limit  
**From:** "pfmc.comments" <pfmc.comments@noaa.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, 25 Oct 2010 16:59:14 -0700  
**To:** Kit Dahl <Kit.Dahl@noaa.gov>

----- Original Message -----

**Subject:** Tuna Bag Limit  
**Date:** Mon, 25 Oct 2010 16:27:36 -0700  
**From:** Brant Godwin <[bgodwin@dunlapsoderland.com](mailto:bgodwin@dunlapsoderland.com)>  
**To:** [pfmc.comments@noaa.gov](mailto:pfmc.comments@noaa.gov)

Please put a bag limit in place. There is no reason to not have one even if it is a high one. Nobody needs the amount of fish some people catch. I have heard stories of waste. It seems like every fishery was thought to be inexhaustible at some point and then we find out otherwise. Let's not make tuna the next fishery we destroy by over fishing.

---

BRANT A. GODWIN

DUNLAP & SODERLAND, PS  
901 Fifth Ave., Suite 3003  
Seattle, WA 98164  
T: (206) 682-0902  
F: (206) 682-1551

[bgodwin@dunlapsoderland.com](mailto:bgodwin@dunlapsoderland.com)

This e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail, and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify me via return e-mail and via telephone at (206) 682-0902 and permanently delete the original and any printout thereof.