

DRAFT VOTING LOG  
Pacific Fishery Management Council  
208<sup>th</sup> Meeting  
April 2011

**Motion 1:** Have a closed session after Agenda Item I.7, cancel the legislative session on Monday, and approve the meeting agenda as shown in Agenda Item A.4, April Council Meeting Agenda as amended.

Moved by: Rod Moore                                      Seconded by: Steve Williams  
Motion 1 carried unanimously.

**Motion 2:** Adopt the EFP as outlined in Agenda Item C.2.a, Attachment 1: West Coast Aerial Sardine Survey 2011 Application for Exempted Fishing Permit, Revised; April 2011.

Moved by: Rod Moore                                      Seconded by: Dorothy Lowman  
Motion 2 carried unanimously.

**Motion 3:** Approve the acoustic-trawl methodology for potential use in CPS assessments as shown in Agenda Item C.3.a, Attachments 2 and 3.

Moved by: Rod Moore                                      Seconded by: David Crabbe  
Motion 3 carried unanimously.

**Motion 4:** Have the HC draft a letter to the Army Corp of Engineers (ACE) requesting they initiate an essential fish habitat (EFH) consultation on the levee vegetation policies and asking for an extension to the comment period; and provide that draft letter to the Council in their June briefing books for consideration.

Moved by: Michele Culver                                      Seconded by: Rod Moore  
Motion 4 carried unanimously.

**Motion 5:** For Pacific halibut management, adopt Option 1, Status Quo: – Beginning May 1, license holders may land no more than one halibut per each three Chinook, except one halibut may be landed without meeting the 1:3 ratio requirement, and no more than 35 halibut may be landed per trip. Halibut retained must be no less than 32 inches in total length (with head on).

Moved by: Phil Anderson                                      Seconded by: Gway Kirchner  
Motion 5 carried unanimously.

**Motion 6:** Working from both the SSC and GMT Reports:

- Adopt the recommendations and requests included in Agenda Item I.2.c, Supplemental SSC Report;
- Relative to the depth dependent mortality rates (page 3, Supplemental GMT Report), this is a low priority and the GMT should not spend time updating these rates (with data from 2008-2010), for the 2013-2014 cycle;

- Relative to evaluating the short and long-term conservation performance of the Council's rebuilding plans and economic framework; the GMT should develop a list of questions for SSC consideration at the June meeting. The GMT and SSC will have a follow-up discussion at the November Council meeting;
- Relative to the stock complex evaluation (page 4, Supplemental GMT Report), the GMT should proceed with the next steps in the analysis for Council review in June. Also, begin work on the Productivity and Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) for the September meeting.
- Schedule revisions of Council Operating Procedure 19 to comport the exempted fishing permit process with the biennial schedule (page 5, Supplemental GMT Report), for review at the June Council meeting;
- Further explore the programmatic FMP framework (page 6, Supplemental GMT Report), with particular attention on the two options in Agenda Item I.2.a, Supplemental Attachment 1 for discussions in June.

Moved by: Michele Culver  
Motion 6 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Dale Myer

**Motion 7:** Adopt the draft process and schedule in Agenda Item I.2.b, Draft Proposed Schedule, with a change in the date of the Notice of Availability of the draft EIS of May 18, 2012, as recommended by NMFS.

Moved by: Rod Moore  
Motion 7 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Gway Kirchner

**Motion 8:** Establish a group of appropriate tribal, Federal, and state scientists and/or managers to develop standards and criteria for determining if proposals for coral and sponge protection are the best suited for management under the groundfish FMP; particularly as EFH or through other available existing authorities. The group would report back to the Council with alternatives and any recommendations for sponge and coral management under the discretionary provisions recognized by the MSA. [this would be done through a TOR [for this group], not under COP 22.]

Moved by: David Sones  
Motion 8 tabled, not voted on.

Seconded by: Rod Moore

**Motion 9:** Table Motion 8.

Moved by: Frank Lockhart  
Motion 9 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Marija Vojkovich

**Motion 10:** Add a tribal representative to the EFHRC as described in draft COP 22, including the parameters of allowing an alternate designee as described in COP 2.

Moved by: David Sones  
Motion 10 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Michele Culver

**Motion 11:** Adopt COP 22 as shown in Agenda Item I.3.a, Attachment 2, with the following changes: for page 1, item 1 under “Purpose” (the reference to areas closed to bottom trawling) and on page 2, item 1 under “Duties,” (references to areas closed to bottom contact fishing gear and further down “bottom trawling and bottom contact fishing gear”) – modify the language to say “bottom trawling or other bottom contact fishing gear.” Page 3, strike out the reference to the original habitat technical review committee.

Moved by: Michele Culver  
Motion 11 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Frank Lockhart

**Motion 12:** Relative to the data request in Supplemental Attachment 4, have NMFS take the lead for assembling the necessary data and work products [from their own sources or from the states where necessary] and supply it to the EFHRC displayed in an aggregate manner that protects data confidentiality.

Moved by: Michele Culver  
Motion 12 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Dorothy Lowman

**Motion 13:** Move forward with all the items in G.5.b, Attachment 2, except the fishing and non-fishing threats sections, and consider those at the June meeting, after receiving a revised report.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  
Motion 13 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Steve Williams

**Motion 14:** Adopt the 2011 ocean salmon fisheries management measures as shown in Agenda Item G.6.d, Supplemental Tribal Motion.

Moved by: David Sones  
Motion 14 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Pat Pattillo

**Motion 15:** Adopt the 2011 non-Indian commercial and recreational salmon management measures for the area north of Cape Falcon as presented in Agenda Item G.6.b, Supplemental STT Report.

Moved by: Pat Pattillo  
Motion 15 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Dale Myer

**Motion 16:** Adopt the 2011 non-Indian commercial and recreational salmon management measures for the area between Cape Falcon and the Oregon/California border as presented in Agenda Item G.6.b, Supplemental STT Report.

Moved by: Steve Williams  
Motion 16 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Rod Moore

**Motion 17:** Adopt the 2011 non-Indian commercial and recreational ocean salmon management measures for the area between the Oregon/California border and the U.S./Mexico border as presented in Agenda Item G.6.b with the following changes:

- Delete the commercial fishery in the California KMZ scheduled for September 15-30 and in the area south of Point Sur scheduled for September 1-30;
- Close the recreational fishery in the Fort Bragg and San Francisco areas October 30, and in the areas south of Point Sur September 18;
- Open the 2012 recreational fishery in Fort Bragg on April 7, 2012.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich

Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine

Motion 17 carried unanimously.

**Motion 18:** NMFS to move forward with further development of the PIE rule and the issues described in Agenda Item I.6.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 2, taking into account the comments expressed in GMT, GAP and EC reports, and public comment that we just received; relative to the trailing action calendar in Attachment 1, this would come back to the Council in June while item 4c, lenders, would come back to the Council in September.

Moved by: Michele Culver

Seconded by: Dale Myer

Motion 18 carried unanimously.

**Motion 19:** Recommend NMFS add language to the PIE rule allowing a vessel operating under a limited entry trawl permit that has legally processed groundfish (other than Pacific whiting) at sea prior to July 20, 2010, be allowed to process at sea beginning January 1, 2012. To qualify, a permit holder must verify that the activity occurred prior to July 20, 2010 using fish tickets, dock receiving tickets, landing receipts, or other official documents, and that the vessel is operating under the Shorebased IFQ Program regardless of the type of gear used. Regulatory language should also include an appropriate conversion factor and/or an appropriate process for calculating a conversion factor for glazed groundfish.

Moved by: Gway Kirchner

Seconded by: Rod Moore

Motion 19 carried unanimously.

**Motion 20:** Specify the composition and duties of the proposed ad hoc Trawl Rationalization Regulatory Review Committee (TRREC) as follows:

Committee Name: Trawl Rationalization Regulatory Evaluation Committee (TRREC)

Objective: Use expertise of selected individuals with knowledge of the operational aspects and regulations for the groundfish fishery to assist the Council by developing recommendations for regulatory changes to address specific regulatory issues identified by the Council and assigned to the TRREC.

Duties: Specifically, the TRREC:

- Will identify and discuss specific regulatory changes in an effort to resolve issues identified by the Council.
- Shall not address policy or allocation issues that have not been specifically assigned by the Council.
- New regulatory issues arising through TRREC discussions (as identified by TRREC members or the public) should be noted and summarized by Council staff at the end of TRREC reports. Such regulatory issues could be reviewed and vetted through the Council advisory bodies at a future Council meeting.
- It is anticipated that the majority of the issues addressed by the TRREC will be relative to the shoreside sector. Individuals (e.g., observer provider, LE fixed gear) or groups (e.g., at-sea whiting) may be added to the TRREC to address specific issues, as needed.

Proposed Candidate Seats for Primary Group (Shoreside):

3 Shoreside Non-whiting Catcher Vessels (1 from each state: WA, OR, CA)  
 1 Shoreside Whiting Catcher Vessel  
 1 Shoreside Non-whiting Processor  
 1 Shoreside Whiting Processor

Agency Representatives:

Agencies should have the appropriate representatives available at the meetings to participate in the discussions and comment on proposed regulatory changes, including staff from NMFS OLE, NMFS NW Region, NOAA General Counsel, and PSMFC. Additionally, the Council would cover travel expenses for one representative from each state to attend the TRREC meetings.

Moved by: Michele Culver

Seconded by: Dale Myer

Motion 20 carried unanimously.

**Motion 21:** Approve the draft April 2010 minutes as provided in Agenda Item E.3.a, Attachment 1, with three corrections. On page 7 correct the misspellings of “Norvell” and on page 11 correct the misspelling of “Ms. Michele Culver” (Staff will search and replace any other misspellings of these names).

Moved by: Rod Moore

Seconded by: Michele Culver

Motion 21 passed unanimously.

**Motion 22:** Confirm the appointments of LT Bob Farrell to the California Department of Fish and Game position on the Enforcement Committee with AC Tony Warrington acting as his first designee, and Ms. Vicki Frey to the California Department of Fish and Game position on the Habitat Committee.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich

Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine

Motion 22 passed unanimously.

**Motion 23:** Confirm Mr. Phil Anderson as the Council representative to the U.S. Section of the Joint Management Committee under the U.S.-Canada Pacific Hake/Whiting Agreement.

Moved by: Rod Moore  
Motion 23 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Michele Culver

**Motion 24:** Confirm the appointment of Mr. Joe Schumacker to the governmental tribal representative position on the Groundfish Essential Fish Habitat Review Committee with an alternate of Ms. Jennifer Hagen.

Moved by: David Sones  
Motion 24 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Michele Culver

**Motion 25:** For the June Council meeting, as part of the agenda item for the report from the SSC on results of the June Stock Assessment Review panel assessments, that we would also get a report on the results of the April workshop and the SSC recommendations for whether or not to use that methodology, and a draft terms of reference for the Council to consider, with the expectation that through that consideration the Council would decide whether to accept the terms of reference as a draft and whether the timing of implementation would be for the 2013-2014 or the 2015-2016 fishing seasons.

Moved by: Michele Culver  
Motion 25 carried (Ms. Vojkovich voted no).

Seconded by: Frank Lockhart