

Agenda Item E.5, Review of CPS FMP Categories
Council Task:

- 1. Review Existing Management Categories**
- 2. Discuss the Need and Workload Associated With Revising Management Categories**
- 3. Provide Further Guidance as Appropriate**

DRAFT-NOT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PU

I move that the Council:

1. Consider a process to remove the CPS management unit species categories of “Active” and “Monitored,” **Amendment: The intent of this process is to clarify terminology in the FMP and make it consistent with other Council FMPs but not to change the overall management framework for CPS stocks (moved by Briana Brady; 2nd by Louis Zimm)** Amendment failed, yes Pollard, Brady, (see voting log?).
2. Consider characterizing the CPS management unit species as being “In the Fishery,” “Ecosystem Component,” or “Prohibited” species, consistent with NS1 guidelines and other Council FMPs,
3. Direct the SSC to periodically review and evaluate the quantity and quality of data to inform CPS stock assessments, similar to the categories used for groundfish described in Agenda Item E.5.a, Supplemental SSC Report 1, and
4. Direct the CPSMT to:
 - a. Consider and provide feedback on the following draft Purpose and Need statement and a draft process and timeline for the Council’s consideration at the April 2019 meeting. **Amendment: change April to “November 2019” moved by: Briana Brady; 2nd by Bob Dooley** Amendment failed. voting yes Brady, Dooley, Zimm.

Amendment: Change “April” to “June”. Moved by Phil Anderson; 2nd by Brad Pettinger.

Roll call on Anderson amendment – voting yes: Braby, Pollard, Zimm, Brady, Svensson, Pettinger, Hassemer, Dooley, Lockhart, Anderson. Amendment carried.

Draft Purpose and Need – The terms used to label the CPS stock management categories (i.e., “active” and “monitored”) appear to cause confusion relative to the intent and application of those categories, and this lack of clarity may lead to a perception of non-compliance with National Standard 1 (NS1) guidelines. Therefore, the purpose of the proposed action is to clearly demonstrate compliance with NS1 guidelines and eliminate any confusion associated with these management category terms. The proposed action will also promote consistency with terminology across the Council’s fishery management plans

(FMPs), clarify the management strategies that the Council intends to use for the stocks managed under the CPS FMP, and provide flexibility relative to a process to consider revisions to stock-specific management strategies.

- b. In drafting the process and timeline, consider the workload associated with:
 - i. developing proposed changes to the FMP structure and language to clarify the description of the management strategies for CPS fisheries, and
 - ii. retain the flexibility to consider revising the management strategies for a particular stock through a routine process (e.g., through a regular specifications and management process, rather than an FMP amendment).

Moved by Michele Culver; 2nd by Phil Anderson

Motion as amended carried unanimously.

DRAFT-NOT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PURPOSES