

**DECISIONS OF THE
PACIFIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
OCTOBER 31-NOVEMBER 5, 2009**

PACIFIC HALIBUT MANAGEMENT

2010 PACIFIC HALIBUT MANAGEMENT

The Council adopted the following changes to the Area 2A Pacific halibut catch sharing plan (CSP) affecting Oregon and Washington sport fisheries.

Oregon Central Coast Subarea

Adjust the number of open days per week in the summer all-depth fishery from Friday, Saturday, and Sunday to Friday and Saturday.

Washington South Coast Subarea

- a) Continue the Sunday, Tuesday primary season structure through the third week in May. For the fourth week in May, the primary fishery will be open on Sunday only. Beginning the following week, the fishery would resume the Sunday, Tuesday structure until the primary season quota is attained.
- b) Specify that the season will be open in the nearshore area seven days per week.
- c) Revise the nearshore area to align the northern and western boundaries with the line approximating the 30-fm depth restriction.
- d) On days that the primary halibut season is open, allow the retention of lingcod seaward of the 30-fm line. Specify that once a boat has reached its limit of halibut, anglers onboard cannot fish for or retain any fish seaward of the 30-fm line.

ECOSYSTEM MANAGEMENT

ECOSYSTEM BASED FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN

The Council reviewed its record of decisions and guidance and heard reports from the Scientific and Statistical Committee, the Habitat Committee, and the public before assigning the following initial tasks to the Ecosystem Plan Development Team (EPDT) and the Ecosystem Advisory Subpanel (EAS):

- Schedule presentations by the Northwest and Southwest Fisheries Science Centers on the state of the science in support of ecosystem-based fishery management.
- Review the Council record of dialogue on ecosystem-based fishery management including statements by the Council, its Advisory Bodies, and the public.
- Review the existing Council fishery management plans (FMP) to identify existing approaches and commonalities regarding ecosystem approaches to management.

- Inventory ecosystem-related management tools for their applicability to the ecosystem based FMP (E-FMP) process.
- Review existing ecosystem-based management efforts of other regional fishery management councils.
- Prepare a report to the Council that includes
 - 1) Draft statement of purpose and need,
 - 2) draft list of possible initial goals and objectives,
 - 3) Draft range of options on a) the geographic range of the E-FMP, b) the regulatory scope of the E-FMP, c) the management unit species within the E-FMP, and
 - 4) Draft list of miscellaneous issues to be addressed by an E-FMP.

The EPDT and the EAS were appointed under Administrative Matters Agenda Item J.3. It is anticipated they will meet between now and the spring of 2010 and report to the Council at a spring Council meeting.

HABITAT

CURRENT HABITAT ISSUES

The Council reviewed two letters drafted by the Habitat Committee in response to salmon habitat issues raised at the September Council meeting.

The first letter contained comments on the actions and potential actions of the California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection (BOF) in view of the importance of California's forest lands to the freshwater survival and long-term recovery of northern California's salmon populations. In view of recent positive actions by the BOF and the extended timing before any future actions, the Council decided to hold any comments at this time.

The second draft letter contained comments to the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) concerning their long-term operations of the Central Valley Water Project. The comments in this letter support the salmon essential fish habitat conservation recommendations made to the BOR by National Marine Fisheries Service. With a few minor edits, this letter will be forwarded to the BOR.

HIGHLY MIGRATORY SPECIES MANAGEMENT

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE REPORT

The Council considered the National Marine Fisheries Service White Paper on matters relevant to possible future Council action to limit fishing effort in the west coast albacore troll/bait boat fishery. They provided guidance to the Highly Migratory Species Management Team (HMSMT) to gather information that would support noticed formal consideration at a future Council meeting.

The Council scheduled consideration of changing the current control date of March 9, 2000 for the April 2010 meeting.

RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE WESTERN AND CENTRAL PACIFIC FISHERIES COMMISSION

The Council made the following recommendations to the U.S. delegation to the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC):

- Support the adoption of complementary measures between the WCPFC and the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission to address fishing effort on bluefin tuna. Such measures should call for no increase in fishing effort on Pacific bluefin tuna, especially on zero-year-old recruits.
- To seek changes in the Marshall Islands Draft Conservation and Management Measure on Regulation of Transshipment so that observers are only required on the carrier vessel and not on the fishing vessel.
- Seek WCPFC support for the International Scientific Committee Albacore Working Group proposal for a Biological Sampling Plan for North Pacific albacore to refine the vital rates for North Pacific albacore, improve the quality of stock assessments, and proceed to secure necessary funding.
- Support continued research on albacore stocks and a three-year assessment cycle, noting that albacore is the most important HMS species to west coast fisheries.

FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN AMENDMENT 2: ANNUAL CATCH LIMITS AND ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES

The Council provided the following guidance to the HMSMT:

- Comprehensively review the list of management unit and monitored species in the HMS fishery management plan (FMP) to consider re-classification as in the fishery or ecosystem component species.
- Conduct a vulnerability analysis on shortfin mako, common thresher, and blue shark.
- Revise the list of alternatives for applying the international exception by dropping alternative 3 in the HMSMT Report (apply the international exception only to tunas and billfish). The alternatives would then include applying the international exception to all HMS FMP management unit species (MUS), or applying it to all MUS except for shortfin mako and common thresher shark.
- Pursue closer coordination with the Western Pacific Fishery Management Council (WPFMC) to gather more information on the need and appropriateness of designating a primary FMP for the HMS FMP MUS that are also part of the WPFMC's Pelagics FMP. In particular, further investigation of swordfish and striped marlin is warranted, with a view of designating the HMS FMP as the primary FMP for these stocks.

SALMON MANAGEMENT

2009 SALMON METHODOLOGY REVIEW

The Council directed the Model Evaluation Workgroup (MEW) to proceed with modification of the Coho Fishery Regulation Assessment Model (FRAM) to account for bias associated with multiple encounters in mark selective fisheries. The MEW should provide an evaluation of the modified Coho FRAM to the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) and Salmon Technical Team (STT) at, or prior to, the March Council meeting. The STT may consider use of the modified Coho FRAM for development of Preseason Report I if modification and evaluation are sufficiently complete. The Council will consider final approval of the modified Coho FRAM at the March Council meeting, before developing salmon management options for 2010.

The Council approved using the 2010 Chinook mark selective Chinook fishery harvest rate limitations of 10 percent per sequential fishery and 30 percent in total, based on SSC recommendations from a review of methodological capabilities.

The Council approved adoption of updated conservation objectives for Puget Sound coho stocks that will result in consistent annual management objectives under the Council's salmon fishery management plan and the Pacific Salmon Treaty.

The Council also received reports on the September 1 maturity boundary for Klamath River fall Chinook (KRFC) and on potential methods for forecasting fall fishing impacts south of Cape Falcon to subsequent returns of KRFC and Sacramento River fall Chinook. While the Council took no action on these topics, they did direct the STT to continue pursuit of data and analytical tools to provide additional insight, particularly regarding forecasting fall fishery impacts and the relevance of mature fish caught in the fall on allowable catch in the following year.

GROUND FISH MANAGEMENT

STOCK ASSESSMENTS AND REBUILDING ANALYSES FOR 2011-2012 GROUND FISH FISHERIES

The Council adopted new rebuilding analyses for bocaccio, canary rockfish, cowcod, darkblotched rockfish, Pacific ocean perch, widow rockfish, yelloweye rockfish, and petrale sole for use in management decision-making for 2011-2012 groundfish fisheries.

Additionally, the Council adopted new proxy biomass and harvest rate reference points recommended by the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) for petrale sole and other west coast flatfish species as follows: 1) a biomass target (i.e., B_{MSY}) of 25 percent of virgin biomass (i.e., B_{25} percent); 2) a minimum stock size threshold (the overfished designation threshold) of half that amount or $B_{12.5}$ percent; and 3) a harvest rate predicted to achieve maximum sustainable yield (i.e., F_{MSY}) of F_{30} percent.

Given this decision and the adopted current biomass estimate of petrale sole of 11.6 percent of virgin biomass, the Council presumes the petrale sole stock will be designated as being in the overfished category, which will require development of a rebuilding plan. Adoption of a rebuilding plan will occur as part of the 2011-2012 biennial specifications process, beginning in Agenda Item G.6 at this meeting and concluding at the June 2010 Council meeting.

COUNCIL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EXEMPTED FISHING PERMITS

The Council adopted six groundfish exempted fishing permits (EFPs) proposed for 2010. The six EFPs adopted by the Council and recommended to National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) for 2010 implementation are as follows.

- An EFP designed to test a trolled longline strategy to selectively harvest abundant chilipepper rockfish off central California.
- An EFP designed to test the effectiveness of a regional fishing association in Morro Bay and Port San Luis to manage a groundfish fishery using hook-and-line and trap gears in central California using limited entry trawl permits purchased by The Nature Conservancy. The Council recommended a petrale sole bycatch cap reduced from the requested 6 metric ton (mt) by the proportional reduction of the 2010 petrale sole optimum yield (OY) (to be decided under the inseason adjustments agenda item) or 2 mt, whichever is higher.
- An EFP designed to test floated, long leader gear to selectively harvest yellowtail rockfish by Oregon charter boats in waters deeper than 40 fathoms off Oregon.
- An EFP designed to test the use of recreational hook-and-line gear to catch underutilized chilipepper rockfish on Commercial Passenger Fishing Vessels (CPFVs) seaward of the non-trawl Rockfish Conservation Area (RCA) in waters off California between Pt. Conception and 40°10' N latitude. The Council recommends allowing five hooks per angler if the NMFS analysis needed to implement this revision is not a significant workload.
- An EFP sponsored by ODFW to allow selected Oregon charter boats to retain incidentally-caught yelloweye rockfish to be forfeited to the state. The objective of this EFP is to collect biological samples to inform future yelloweye assessments.
- An EFP to prosecute the 2010 shoreside whiting fishery. The Council requested NMFS staff collaborate with the Groundfish Management Team (GMT) to specify trip limits for incidentally caught lingcod, minor slope rockfish (including darkblotched), minor shelf rockfish, shortbelly rockfish, widow rockfish, yellowtail rockfish, Pacific ocean perch, Pacific cod, and sablefish for the 2010 shoreside whiting fishery. The EFP requires full retention of all species caught, but the value up to the specified trip limits of the incidentally caught species listed above would go to the fishermen.

The Council requested interim progress reports next November for all EFPs requested to span multiple calendar years (i.e., 12 month EFPs that start later than January next year). Bycatch caps (mt) of overfished groundfish species for each of the recommended EFPs, other than the shoreside whiting EFP, are shown in the table below.

Exempted Fishing Permit	Bocaccio	Canary	Cowcod	Darkblotch	Pop	Widow	Yelloweye
Trolled longline for chilipepper in California	3.300	0.027	0.015	0.400	*	3.000	0.005
Morro Bay/Port San Luis regional fishing association	5.000	0.023	0.200	1.000	0.136	2.000	0.068
Oregon recreational yellowtail	*	1.000	*	*	*	3.000	0.200
California recreational chilipepper	2.700	0.200	0.023	0.100	*	3.000	0.023
ODFW Yelloweye	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.060
Total all EFPs	11.000	1.250	0.237	1.500	0.136	11.000	0.356

Note: * signifies no proposed EFP cap.

FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN AMENDMENT 23: ANNUAL CATCH LIMITS AND ACCOUNTABILITY

The Council provided guidance on finalizing Amendment 23, which seeks to incorporate new National Standard 1 (NS1) guidelines for preventing overfishing in the Groundfish Fishery Management Plan. The Council requested simple framework language under Amendment 23 that is not overly prescriptive, yet still comports to the new NS1 guidelines. The Council decided they will select a preliminary preferred alternative for the amendment next March 2010 and a final alternative in June 2010.

FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN AMENDMENT 20: TRAWL RATIONALIZATION

The Council amended the initial allocation formula for its trawl rationalization program so the initial allocation of canary would include an equal allocation element proportional to the share of the buyback permit landings, similar to what is provided for non-overfished species. All permit holders will be sent a letter from the National Marine Fisheries Service advising them of this change and a new allocation table will be posted on the website.

Additionally, the Council passed a motion stating the policy position on priorities of the observer program to be the data collection needed for the trawl rationalization as the highest priority and as a secondary but important priority the communication of problems observed to law enforcement.

MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR 2011-2012 FISHERIES

The Council adopted a range of optimum yield/annual catch limit alternatives recommended by the Groundfish Management Team (GMT) for 2011-2012 groundfish fisheries. The Council also provided guidance on catch sharing of canary and yelloweye rockfish between sectors and states as well as guidance for developing a petrale sole rebuilding plan. The Council adopted the list of management measures and issues recommended by the GMT for analysis with some modifications.

The full list of final harvest specification and management measure alternatives will be available in the Council's winter newsletter. Analysis of the impacts of alternatives for 2011-2012 groundfish fisheries will be presented next year when the Council makes final decisions on harvest specifications in April and management measures in June.

INSEASON ADJUSTMENTS TO 2009 AND 2010 GROUNDFISH FISHERIES

The Council adopted the following inseason adjustments for 2010

1. Increase limits for the limited entry fixed gear sablefish daily trip limit fishery north of 36° N. latitude to a cumulative weekly landing limit of 1,750 lbs (i.e., more than one landing is allowed per week) not to exceed 7,000 lbs/2 months, with no daily limit effective January 1, or as soon as possible, in 2010.
2. Adopt groundfish regulations to allow lingcod retention in the Washington Pacific halibut recreational fisheries consistent with Council action under Agenda Item C.1.
3. For the area between 40°10 N. latitude and 42° N. latitude, increase the limited entry and open access fixed gear minor nearshore limit to 7,000 lb/ 2 months, no more than 1,200 lb of which may be species other than black rockfish effective January 1, or as soon as possible, through the end of the year.
4. Increase the tribal black rockfish quota for the area north of Cape Alava from 20,000 lbs to 30,000 lbs.
5. Modify the tribal widow rockfish landing limit to no more than 10 percent of the cumulative weight of yellowtail rockfish for a given vessel throughout the year.

The Council reviewed the rebuilding analysis for canary rockfish and determined that the 2010 optimal yield (OY) shall remain at 105 mt.

In response to the pessimistic stock assessment and the subsequent rebuilding analysis for petrale sole, the Council recommended reducing the petrale sole OY for 2010 from 2,393 mt to 1,200 mt. Corresponding management measures that provide for a year round opportunity while constraining catch of petrale sole to within 1,200 mt were adopted as follows:

Table 1 Council Recommended Trip Limits and RCA Boundaries

Area	Period	Shoreward	Seaward	Sablefish	Longspine	Shortspine	Dover	Other Flat	Petrale	Arrowtth	Slope Rk
North 40 10 Large & Small FR	1	See Attached Table		20,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	150,000	6,000
	2			20,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	150,000	6,000
	3			24,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	150,000	6,000
	4			24,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	150,000	6,000
	5			24,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	150,000	6,000
	6			20,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	150,000	6,000
North 40 10 SFFT	1	See Attached Table		9,000	5,000	5,000	65,000	60,000	9,500	90,000	6,000
	2			9,000	5,000	5,000	65,000	60,000	9,500	90,000	6,000
	3			9,000	5,000	5,000	65,000	60,000	9,500	90,000	6,000
	4			9,000	5,000	5,000	65,000	60,000	9,500	90,000	6,000
	5			9,000	5,000	5,000	65,000	60,000	9,500	90,000	6,000
	6			9,000	5,000	5,000	65,000	60,000	9,500	90,000	6,000
38 to 40 10	1	100	150	22,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	10,000	15,000
	2	100	150	22,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	10,000	15,000
	3	100	150	22,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	10,000	15,000
	4	100	150	22,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	10,000	15,000
	5	100	150	22,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	10,000	15,000
	6	100	150	22,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	10,000	15,000
S 38	1	100	150	22,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	10,000	55,000
	2	100	150	22,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	10,000	55,000
	3	100	150	22,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	10,000	55,000
	4	100	150	22,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	10,000	55,000
	5	100	150	22,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	10,000	55,000
	6	100	150	22,000	24,000	18,000	110,000	110,000	9,500	10,000	55,000

Note: Shaded cells in Table 1 represent changes. Chilipepper limits are set at 12,000 lbs per two months for all trawl gears in all periods south of 40°10' N. latitude. Splitnose limits are equal to slope rock limits south of 40°10' N. latitude.

Table 2 Council Recommended Northern RCA Boundaries

	Jan - Feb	Mar - Apr	May - Jun	Jul - Aug	Sep - Oct	Nov - Dec
North of 48 10	0 - 200*	0 - 200	0 - 150	0 - 150	0 - 200	0 - 200*
48 10 to 45 46	75 - 200*	75 - 200	75 - 150	100 - 150	75 - 200	75 - 200*
45 46 to 40 10			75 - 200	100 - 200	75 - 200	

Note: Shaded cells represent Council recommended changes. * Indicates that petrale sole RCA cut outs are open

COASTAL PELAGIC SPECIES MANAGEMENT

PACIFIC SARDINE STOCK ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT MEASURES

The Council adopted a harvest guideline (HG) of 72,039 metric tons (mt) for the 2010 Pacific sardine fishery. This HG is based on a biomass estimate of 702,024 mt and the harvest control rule in the Coastal Pelagic Species (CPS) Fishery Management Plan. The Council recommends that 5,000 mt of the HG be set-aside prior to allocation for dedicated Pacific sardine research activities in 2010. The Council recommends an adjusted allocation of 67,039 mt as the HG for the directed fishery to be allocated seasonally per the Amendment 11 framework. To allow for incidental landings of Pacific sardines in other CPS fisheries and to help to ensure the fishery does not exceed the total HG, the Council adopted a set aside of 7,000 mt allocated across seasonal periods as follows:

Total HG/ABC = 72,039 mt Research set aside = 5,000 mt Adjusted HG = 67,039 mt				
	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	
	Jan. 1- Jun. 30	Jul. 1- Sep 14	Sept. 15 – Dec. 31	Total
Seasonal Allocation (mt)	23,463	26,816	16,760	67,039
Incidental Set Aside (mt)	1,000	1,000	1,000	3,000
Management Uncertainty Buffer			4,000	4,000
Adjusted Allocation (mt)	22,463	25,816	11,760	60,039

The Seasonal Incidental Set-Asides are intended to allow CPS fisheries targeting species other than Pacific sardine to continue if a seasonal allocation to the directed fishery is reached or exceeded in any period. Under these circumstances, the Council anticipates National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) would close the directed sardine fishery and the fishery would revert to an incidental fishery with an incidental landing allowance of no more that 30 percent Pacific sardine by weight. The management uncertainty buffer in Period 3 is intended to minimize the chance of exceeding the total HG due to errors in closing the fishery.

Under this proposal, the Council anticipates NMFS will take the following inseason automatic actions:

- Any unused seasonal allocation to the directed fishery from Period 1 or Period 2 rolls into the next period directed fishery.
- Any overage of a seasonal allocation to the directed fishery from Period 1 or Period 2 is deducted from the next period directed fishery.
- Any unused Incidental Set-Aside from Period 1 or Period 2 rolls into the next period directed fishery.
- Any overage of the third period allocation to the directed fishery will be deducted from set-asides for that period.
- If both the seasonal allocation to the directed fishery and the Incidental Set-Aside are reached or exceeded in any period, the retention of Pacific sardine will be prohibited.
- Any set-aside for research that is not included in an exempted fishing permit (EFP) will be rolled into the third period directed fishery.
- Any research set-aside attributed to an EFP designed to be conducted prior to September 15, but not utilized, will roll into the third period directed fishery.
- Any research set-aside attributed to an EFP designed to be conducted after September 15, but not utilized, will not be re-allocated.

The Council will review proposals for the utilizing the research set aside through an EFP at its March 2010 and April 2010 meetings and recommends an updated assessment in 2010 and a full assessment in 2011.

FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN AMENDMENT 13: ANNUAL CATCH LIMITS AND ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES

The Council reviewed issues and potential alternatives for amending the Coastal Pelagic Species (CPS) Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for compliance with the reauthorized Magnuson-Stevens Act (MSA) and National Standard 1 guidelines. The Council supported further development and analyses of the alternatives proposed in Agenda Item I.2.a, Supplemental Attachment 1. The Council supported analysis of sector specific annual catch limits, but not for the live bait fishery and requested an analysis of annual catch targets to address management uncertainty and to buffer against overfishing. Additionally, the Council supports the proposed meetings between the CPS Management Team and the Scientific and Statistical Committee CPS Subcommittee to further review the CPS harvest control rules and their adequacy for addressing uncertainty and preventing overfishing. The Council put a lower priority on including additional forage species in the CPS FMP and on development of mechanisms to streamline inseason management. The Council recommends work on these issues occurs as workload allows with a focus on meeting time-sensitive requirements of the MSA and guidelines for meeting National Standard 1.

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

MEMBERSHIP APPOINTMENTS AND COUNCIL OPERATING PROCEDURES

INDIVIDUAL ADVISORY BODY APPOINTMENTS

The Council made the following individual advisory body appointments:

Ms. Lorna Wargo to the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife position on the Highly Migratory Species Management Team;

Ms. Melodie Palmer-Zwahlen to the California Department of Fish and Game position on the Model Evaluation Workgroup; and

Mr. Eric Chavez to the National Marine Fisheries Service position on the Habitat Committee, replacing Mr. Bryant Chesney.

ECOSYSTEM PLAN DEVELOPMENT TEAM (EPDT)

The Council reviewed the nominations to the EPDT and determined that a fifth NMFS Science Center member would be appropriate to take advantage of the needed expertise in the development of an ecosystem management plan. The Council approved modifying COP 3 to increase the EPDT membership to 13 to include five members from the Science Centers.

The Council appointed the following members to the EPDT:

California Department of Fish and GameTo be determined
Idaho Department of Fish and GameTo be determined
Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.....Ms. Cyreis Schmitt
Washington Department of Fish and WildlifeMr. Corey Niles
Tribal Government.....To be determined
NMFS NW Region.....Ms. Yvonne DeReynier
NMFS SW RegionMr. Joshua Lindsay
NMFS NW and SW Science Centers (5).....Dr. John FieldDr. Melissa Haltuch Dr.
Sam Herrick Dr. Andrew Leising ..Dr. Mary Ruckelshaus
National Ocean Service Dr. Lisa Wooninck ...(alternate Mr. Dan Howard)

ADVISORY BODY APPOINTMENTS FOR THE 2010-2012 TERM

The Council appointed the following persons to the 2010-2012 Advisory Body Term.

Coastal Pelagic Species Advisory Subpanel (10 Members)

California Commercial (3)Mr. David HaworthMs. Terry Hoinsky Mr. John Royal
Oregon CommercialMr. Eugene Law
Washington Commercial.....Mr. Robert Zuanich
California ProcessorMs. Diane Pleshner-Steele
Oregon Processor.....Mr. Mike Okoniewski
Washington ProcessorMr. Pierre Marchand
California Sport/CharterCPT Paul Strasser
ConservationMr. Ben Enticknap

Ecosystem Advisory Subpanel (11 Members)

California (3).....Ms. Kathy Fosmark.....Mr. Steven Fukuto.....Mr. Don Maruska
IdahoTo be determined later
Oregon (3)Mr. Ben Enticknap.....Mr. Scott McMullenMr. Frank Warrens
Washington (3).....Mr. Geoff LeBon.....Mr. Merrick BurdenMr. Daniel Waldeck
TribalTo be determined later

Groundfish Advisory Subpanel (20 Members)

Fixed Gear (3 positions)Mr. Robert Alverson.....Mr. Tom GhioMr. Gerry Richter
California TrawlMr. Tommy Ancona
Oregon TrawlMr. Kelly Smotherman
Washington TrawlMr. Marion Larkin
Open Access South of Cape MendocinoMr. Daniel Platt
Open Access North of Cape Mendocino.....Mr. Kenyon Hensel
Processors (At-large).....Mr. Barry Cohen, Mr. Tom Libby
At-Sea ProcessorMr. Daniel Waldeck
California Charter South of Pt ConceptionMr. Joe Villareal
California Charter North of Pt ConceptionMr. Robert Ingles
Oregon CharterMr. Wayne Butler

Washington CharterMr. Larry Giese
 Sport Fisheries (3 At-large)Mr. John HollowayMr. David Seiler..... Mr. Tom Marking
 ConservationMr. Shems Jud
 Active Tribal FisherMr. Roger Bain

Highly Migratory Species Advisory Subpanel (13 members)

Commercial TrollMr. Wayne Heikkila
 Commercial Purse SeineMr. August Felando
 Commercial GillnetMr. Steve Fosmark
 Commercial (3 At-large)..... Mr. Pete Dupuy ... Mr. Douglas Fricke..... Mr. William Sutton
 Processor South of Cape MendocinoMr. Steve Foltz
 Processor North of Cape MendocinoMr. Pierre Marchand
 California Charter BoatMr. Mike Thompson
 Washington/Oregon Charter Boat.....Ms. Linda Buell
 Private SportMr. Bob Osborne
 ConservationTo be determined later
 Public At-largeMs. Pamela Tom

Salmon Advisory Subpanel (15 members)

California TrollerMr. Duncan MacLean
 Oregon TrollerMr. Paul Heikkila
 Washington TrollerMr. Jim Olson
 Commercial Gillnet FisheryMr. Kent Martin
 ProcessorMr. Gerald Reinholdt
 California Charter BoatMr. Craig Stone
 Oregon Charter BoatMr. Mike Sorenson
 Washington Charter BoatMr. Butch Smith
 California Sport FisherMr. Paul Pierce
 Oregon Sport FisherMr. Richard Heap
 Washington Sport Fisher.....Mr. Steve Watrous
 Idaho Sport FisherMr. Thomas Welsh
 Washington Active Tribal FisherMr. Fancis Rosander
 California TribalMr. Mike Orcutt
 ConservationMr. Jim Hie

Habitat Committee (6 non-agency members)

Commercial Fishing IndustryMr. Joel Kawahara
 Sport Fishing IndustryMs. Liz Hamilton
 ConservationMr. Jim Hie
 NW or Columbia River Tribal Rep.Mr. Jeremy Gillman
 California Tribal.....Mr. David Hillemeier
 Public At-large.....Mr. Stephen Scheiblauer

Scientific and Statistical Committee (7 at-large members)

ScientistsDr. Louis W. BotsfordDr. John Carlos GarzaDr. Vladlena Gertseva
.....Dr. Selina Heppell.....Dr. Stuart Todd LeeDr. Andre E. Punt
.....Dr. Vidar Wespestad

FUTURE COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA AND WORKLOAD PLANNING

A proposed agenda for the March 2010 Council meeting will be available on the Council website www.pcouncil.org in mid-February 2010.

PFMC
11/09/2009

\\Bridget\PFMC_DATA\PFMC\MEETING\2009\November\Decision Document\Final Decisions.docx