

CORRECTION TO ATTACHMENT 1: STOCK DEFINITION CONSIDERATIONS FOR A RANGE OF ALTERNATIVES AND ASSOCIATED MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS FOR STOCKS TO BE ASSESSED IN 2025 AND 2027 DOCUMENT

In review of F.4 Attachment 1, Pacific Fishery Management Council staff was informed a document section was inaccurate and needed to be corrected. Specifically, the Table 1 overview (pg 4) and the Alternative 2 (state/region stock definition) section narrative (pg 8) were inaccurate in noting the geographic area represented in the most recent stock assessment for chilipepper rockfish ([Field et al. 2016](#)). The stock assessment area encompassed the US/Mexico border in the south to the Columbia River in the north, and thus would include Oregon and California.

The following are excerpted sections with proposed edits in **red-line**.

Excerpt Table 1.

Species (candidates for 2025 assessment year)	Assessment stratification
Chilipepper rockfish (<i>Sebastes goodei</i>)	Single model, Oregon-California only (Field et al. 2016).

Excerpt Alternative 2 (state/region stock definitions)

Alternative 2 may apply to chilipepper rockfish. Under this alternative, a species is defined as a state-specific stock or a combination of states (i.e., a California stock, an Oregon stock, and a Washington stock or an **Oregon-California stock, etc. ~~and an Oregon-Washington stock~~**). For example, the most recent chilipepper rockfish assessment was for **Oregon-California only** (Field et al. 2016), and thus a state/**region**-specific stock definition alternative is proposed as one option in the range. Depletion estimates for the stock are presented in stock assessment(s) at a state (or state combination) scale. Each stock would have specific HCRs and status determinations under this alternative that align with state or state combination boundaries.