Table of Scientific Uncertainty Buffers for Pacific Sardine ${ }^{1}$ given a natural mortality rate of $M=0.59$ (the rounded value from both the 2020 benchmark $(M=0.585)$ and 2022 update $(M=0.591)$ ). Based upon the natural-mortality based approach suggested in Wetzel and Hamel (2023; last paragraph of Results section, bottom of page 8), one can calculate $r$ (the annual linear increase in $\sigma$ ) to be:

$$
r=0.52^{*} M^{*} \sigma_{\text {baseline }}=0.31^{*} \sigma_{\text {baseline }}
$$

such that:

$$
\sigma_{y}=\sigma_{\text {baseline }} *\left(1+0.31^{*}\left(y_{\text {management }}-y_{\text {assessment }}\right)\right),
$$

where $y_{\text {management }}$ is the year being considered for management decisions and $y_{\text {assessment }}$ is the year in which the assessment was conducted and adopted for management. Italics indicate values that exceed category 3 values for the same $\mathrm{P}^{*}$. Bold indicates applicable row for 2023.

|  |  | Category 1 (baseline $\sigma=0.5$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Category 2 (baseline $\sigma=1.0$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.25 | Year | P* | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.25 |
| 1 |  | 6.1\% | 11.9\% | 17.5\% | 23.1\% | 28.6\% | 1 |  | 11.8\% | 22.4\% | 32.0\% | 40.8\% | 49.1\% |
| 2 |  | 7.9\% | 15.3\% | 22.3\% | 29.1\% | 35.7\% | 2 |  | 15.2\% | 28.2\% | 39.6\% | 49.7\% | 58.7\% |
| 3 |  | 9.7\% | 18.6\% | 26.8\% | 34.6\% | 42.1\% | 3 |  | 18.4\% | 33.7\% | 46.4\% | 57.2\% | 66.5\% |
| 4 |  | 11.4\% | 21.7\% | 31.1\% | 39.7\% | 47.8\% | 4 |  | 21.5\% | 38.7\% | 52.5\% | 63.7\% | 72.8\% |
| 5 |  | 13.1\% | 24.7\% | 35.1\% | 44.4\% | 53.0\% | 5 |  | 24.5\% | 43.3\% | 57.8\% | 69.1\% | 77.9\% |
| 6 |  | 14.8\% | 27.6\% | 38.8\% | 48.8\% | 57.7\% | 6 |  | 27.4\% | 47.6\% | 62.6\% | 73.7\% | 82.1\% |
| 7 |  | 16.4\% | 30.4\% | 42.4\% | 52.8\% | 61.9\% | 7 |  | 30.2\% | 51.5\% | 66.8\% | 77.7\% | 85.5\% |
| 8 |  | 18.1\% | 33.1\% | 45.7\% | 56.4\% | 65.7\% | 8 |  | 32.9\% | 55.2\% | 70.5\% | 81.0\% | 88.2\% |
| 9 |  | 19.6\% | 35.6\% | 48.9\% | 59.8\% | 69.1\% | 9 |  | 35.4\% | 58.6\% | 73.8\% | 83.9\% | 90.4\% |
| 10 |  | 21.2\% | 38.1\% | 51.8\% | 63.0\% | 72.1\% | 10 |  | 37.9\% | 61.7\% | 76.8\% | 86.3\% | 92.2\% |
| 11 |  | 22.7\% | 40.5\% | 54.6\% | 65.9\% | 74.9\% | 11 |  | 40.3\% | 64.6\% | 79.4\% | 88.4\% | 93.7\% |
| Category 3 (constant $\sigma=2.0$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P* |  | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 22.2\% | 39.8\% | 53.7\% | 65.0\% | 74.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Developed by Owen Hamel, Northwest Fisheries Science Center
Wetzel, C.R., and Hamel, O.S. 2023. Applying a probability harvest control rule to account for increased uncertainty in setting precautionary harvest limits from past stock assessments. Fisheries Research 262, 106659.

