These materials do not constitute a formal publication and are for information only. They are in a pre-review, pre-decisional state and should not be formally cited. They are to be considered provisional and do not represent any determination or policy of NOAA or the Department of Commerce.

Fishing Effort in the 2002-2021 U.S. Pacific Coast Groundfish Fisheries. 2023.
K.A. Somers ${ }^{1}$, C.E. Whitmire ${ }^{2}$, E. Steiner ${ }^{3}$, J.E. Jannot ${ }^{1}$, K. Richerson ${ }^{1}$, V.J. Tuttle ${ }^{1}$, and J.T. McVeigh ${ }^{1}$.
${ }^{1}$ Fisheries Observation Science Program
National Marine Fisheries Service
Northwest Fisheries Science Center
Fishery Resource Analysis and Monitoring Division
2725 Montlake Blvd. E.
Seattle, WA 98112
${ }^{2}$ Population Ecology Program
National Marine Fisheries Service
Northwest Fisheries Science Center
Fishery Resource Analysis and Monitoring Division
99 Pacific Street; Bldg. 255-A
Monterey, CA 93940
${ }^{3}$ Economic and Social Science Research Program
National Marine Fisheries Service
Northwest Fisheries Science Center
Fishery Resource Analysis and Monitoring Division
2725 Montlake Blvd. E.
Seattle, WA 98112

## Table of Contents

List of Figures. ..... 3
List of Tables ..... 7
Executive Summary .....  8
Acknowledgments ..... 10
Introduction ..... 10
Shore-Based Trawl Fleet ..... 10
1990s to 2000: Limiting participants ..... 10
2000 to 2010: Developing data collection and management tools ..... 11
2011 to Present: Catch shares ..... 12
At-Sea Hake Midwater Trawl Fishery ..... 13
Fishing Effort Trends. ..... 13
Data Sources ..... 14
Observer Data ..... 15
Logbook Data ..... 15
Landings Data ..... 16
Data Usage ..... 16
Methods ..... 17
Landings ..... 17
Gear Usage ..... 17
Location of Effort ..... 18
Geospatial Analysis ..... 18
Seasonal Timing of Effort ..... 19
Depth of Effort ..... 20
Results ..... 20
Trawl Sectors ..... 20
Bottom trawl ..... 20
Midwater trawl targeting rockfish ..... 21
Midwater trawl targeting hake ..... 21
Fixed Gear Sectors. ..... 23
Pot ..... 23
Hook-and-line ..... 24
Lost Gear and Recovered Gear ..... 25
References ..... 26
Figures ..... 27
Tables ..... 57

## List of Figures

Figure 1. Annual total fleet-wide FMP groundfish (not including hake) landings ( mt ) in bottom trawl and midwater rockfish trawl sectors.27

Figure 2. Annual fleet-wide total towing hours in the bottom trawl and midwater rockfish trawl sectors. .28
Figure 3. Tow duration per haul (hours) in the bottom trawl and midwater rockfish trawl sectors. Medians and first and third quartiles for each year are shown.
Figure 4. Percentage of retained FMP groundfish landed in latitudinal bins by the bottom trawl sector; patterns in actual fishing activity are shown in Figure 5. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data................. 30 Figure 5. Spatial distribution and intensity of bottom trawl fishing effort. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The LE bottom trawl sector time periods account EFH closures that began mid-2006 on June 12. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within $10 x 10 \mathrm{~min}$ cells.31 Figure 6. Percentage of retained FMP groundfish landed in bimonthly bins by the bottom trawl sector. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data. 32
Figure 7. Percentage of bottom trawl hauls in 50 -fathom depth bins. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data. 33
Figure 8. Percentage of retained FMP groundfish landed in latitudinal bins by the midwater rockfish trawl sector; patterns in actual fishing activity are shown in Figure 9. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.

Figure 9. Spatial distribution and intensity of midwater rockfish trawl fishing effort. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within 10x10 min cells. .35
Figure 10. Percentage of retained FMP groundfish landed in bimonthly bins by the midwater rockfish trawl sector. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.
Figure 11. Percentage of midwater rockfish trawl hauls in 50 -fathom depth bins. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data. 36
Figure 12. Annual total fleet-wide Pacific hake landings (mt) in midwater hake trawl sectors............ 37
Figure 13. Annual fleet-wide total towing hours in midwater hake trawl sectors. ............................... 38

Figure 14. Tow duration per haul (hours) in midwater hake trawl sectors. Medians and first and third quartiles for each year are shown.38

Figure 15. Percentage of retained Pacific hake landed in latitudinal bins by shoreside midwater hake trawl; patterns in actual fishing activity are shown in Figure 16. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data. .... 39 Figure 16. Spatial distribution and intensity of fishing effort by shoreside midwater hake trawl. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within $10 \times 10$ min cells.40

Figure 17. Percentage of retained hake landed in bimonthly bins by shoreside midwater trawl targeting hake. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data. .40
Figure 18. Percentage of shoreside midwater hake trawl hauls in 50 -fathom depth bins. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.
Figure 19. Percentage of retained hake caught in latitudinal bins by at-sea midwater trawl sectors. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data. 42
Figure 20. Spatial distribution and intensity of fishing effort by at-sea midwater trawl catcherprocessors. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within 10x10 min cells.43

Figure 21. Spatial distribution and intensity of fishing effort by at-sea midwater trawl mothership catcher-vessels. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within $10 x 10 \mathrm{~min}$ cells.
Figure 22. Percentage of retained hake caught in bimonthly bins by at-sea midwater trawl sectors. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.
Figure 23. Percentage of at-sea midwater trawl hauls in 50 -fathom depth bins. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.46
Figure 24. Annual total fleet-wide groundfish landings (mt) in fixed gear sectors. ..... 47
Figure 25. Annual total fleet-wide number of pots in the pot sectors. ..... 47
Figure 26. Number of pots per set in pot sectors, summarized as median, first, and third quartiles in each year. ..... 48

Figure 27. Percentage of retained groundfish landed in latitudinal bins by pot sectors patterns in actual fishing activity are shown in Figures 28 and 29. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.49

Figure 28. Spatial distribution and intensity of fishing effort by the non-catch shares pot sector. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within 10x10 min cells. .50
Figure 29. Spatial distribution and intensity of fishing effort by the catch shares pot sector. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ cells.
Figure 30. Percentage of retained groundfish landed in bimonthly bins by pot sectors. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data. To maintain confidentiality, the catch shares fleet data are summarized for January through April and for 2017 and 2018...................................................................................... 50 Figure 31. Percentage of observed pot hauls in 50 -fathom depth bins. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.
Figure 32. Annual total fleetwide number of hooks deployed in hook-and-line sectors. .................... 52
Figure 33. Number of hooks per set in hook-and-line sectors, summarized as median, first, and third quartiles in each year. .52
Figure 34. Percentage of retained groundfish landed in latitudinal bins by hook-and-line sectors patterns in actual fishing activity are shown in Figures 36 and 37. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.

Figure 35. Spatial distribution and intensity of fishing effort by the non-catch shares hook-and-line sector. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within 10x10 min cells. .54 Figure 36. Spatial distribution of fishing effort by the catch shares hook-and-line sector. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ cells. 54
Figure 37. Percentage of retained groundfish landed in bimonthly bins by hook-and-line sectors. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data. Catch shares 2017, 2018, and 2091 data are not shown to maintain confidentiality, because less than 3 vessels were active in some of the seasonal strata. .55

Figure 38. Percentage of observed hook-and-line hauls in 50 -fathom depth bins. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.

## List of Tables

Table 1. Data sources for reported metrics for each sector and gear and time periods analyzed by sector and gear. The time periods used in geospatial analysis differ from those presented in this table because 2019 and 2020 are grouped for analysis whereas 2021 is analyzed as a single year.
Table 2. Effort by trawl gears. Targeted retained consists of all FMP-groundfish except Pacific whiting for bottom trawl and midwater rockfish and of only Pacific whiting for all whiting-targeting sectors. Dashes indicate data summaries not applicable to the given sector. Asterisks indicate confidential data.

Table 3. Effort by fixed gear sectors. Trips in the non-catch shares sectors are estimated based on landings by a vessel on a unique day. See Table 4 for coverage rates. ................................................. 59
Table 4. Observed effort in NCS fixed gear sectors.
Table 5. Lost and recovered gear on hauls observed in shoreside federal groundfish fisheries. Dashes represent no available data and where data are not applicable.
Table 6. Observed hauls with lost and recovered gear in the $100 \%$ observed at-sea midwater whiting fisheries.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report analyzes trends in fishing effort of U.S. West Coast groundfish fisheries during the period 2002-21, including the amount, timing, location, and depth of fishing effort and retained catch. The National Marine Fisheries Science (NMFS) Biological Opinion (BiOp) on Continuing Operation of the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery (NMFS 2012) requires that reports are issued every two years and align with harvest specification periods as feasible. We focus on changes that have occurred since the 2011 implementation of an individual fishing quota (IFQ) program, and specifically on developments in 2019-20 and 2021. This analysis contextualizes the other reports required by this BiOp, and this executive summary highlights significant changes in the most recent three years of data.

Landings and effort (tow hours) in the bottom trawl fleet continued to decrease in 2020 and 2021. The spatial and seasonal distribution of landings were similar to previous years. Effort in the 0-50fth depth bin decreased compared to earlier years, while actvitiy in the 50-100fth depth bin increased slightly.

Landings in the midwater rockfish trawl fleet were similar from 2017 to 2021. Effort in tow hours remained around 1100 from 2018 to 2021, other than a decrease to 900 hours in 2020. The median tow duration per haul increased slightly from 1.5 hours in 2017 to 2 hours in 2021. The spatial distribution of landings from 2019 to 2021 were similar to previous years, although the proportion of landings near Newport increased to $32 \%$ in 2021 and landings near Bellingham decreased to 0\% in 2021. Seasonally, 2019 to 2021 landings were higher in March-April and lower in September-October compared to previous years. The depth distribution was similar, although less effort occurred in the 50100 fth depth bin and more effort occurred in the 100-150 fth depth bin.

Landings in the shoreside hake, at-sea MS, and at-sea CPs mostly decreased from 2019 to 2021. In the shoreside fleet, effort in tow hours decreased for the first time in 2021 since 2016. In both at-sea fleets, tow hours have mostly decreased from 2019 to 2021. Landings by the shoreside fleet were lower near Newport and higher near Astoria compared to 2011 to 2018. The seasonal and depth distributions of shoreside hake landings were similar to previous years. At-sea CP and MS effort was more patchily distributed in recent years compared to 2011 to 2018. The at-sea CP fleet processed the majority of catch in September-October, resulting in the highest catch processed in that bimonthly period across the years compared. The proportion of catch processed in July-August continued to decrease, with no catch processed in 2021. The majority of MS landings were processed in May-June and September-October in 2019 to 2021. More than $90 \%$ of CP and MS landings come from hauls in depths of 100-250 fth.

NCS pot landings remained around 600 mt from 2015 to 2020 and increased to 670 mt in 2021, while the CS fleet has decreased from 2019 to 2021 to 680 mt . Effort in number of
pots has decreased in both sectors from 2019 to 2021 . The median number of pots per set in the CS fleet reached an all-time high of $\sim 50$ pots in 2020 and 2021. In the NCS fleet, 2019 to 2021 landings in Newport were higher than in previous years, while landings near Astoria and Fort Bragg were lower. In the CS pot fleet, landings in Newport accounted for 40 to 50 percent of annual landings, higher than in previous years; landings in Astoria decreased while landings in Bellingham increased. Catch shares pot landings also continued to occur further south than NCS pot landings, specifically in the 35 to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitudinal bins. Seasonally, the NCS pot fleet landed more catch later in the year in 2019 to 2021, reflecting season extensions in 2020 and 2021. Landings in May-June from 2019 to 2021 were lower than in previous years, around $7 \%$. In the CS pot fleet, landings have been concentrated in September-October with the remaining effort fairly evenly distributed throughout the rest of the year. In 2019 to 2020, the proportion of landings were higher in November-December than in previous years. Fishing effort in the NCS pot fleet continued to occur primarily in depths from 100-300 fth, while the majority of CS pot fleet effort occurred slightly deeper in 150-350 fth.

Groundfish landings by the NCS hook-and-line fleet decreased from 2,400 mt in 2017 to $1,600 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2021, and estimated annual fleetwide hooks reached an historic low of 6 million hooks in 2021. The median number of hooks per set in the NCS fleet has increased to $\sim 2,500$ hooks per set from 2010 to 2021 (Table 3, Figure 33). CS landings have been lower, and no effort occurred in 2020 or 2021. Generally, landings by the NCS hook-andline fleet were fairly evenly distributed along the coast, while more than half of CS landings occurred in the $48^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitudinal bin in 2019. Seasonal and depth distributions in the NCS and CS fleets were similar in 2019 to 2021 compared to previous years.

Lost and recovered gear patterns in 2019 to 2021 were similar to those of previous years.
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## Introduction

The Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC) designs and adapts the groundfish fishery management plan (FMP; PFMC 2022), with the goals of achieving maximum sustainable yield (MSY) and promoting year-round fishing opportunities to support domestic consumer markets and the economies of coastal communities. In 2011, PFMC implemented a major management shift by introducing a catch shares program to the federal trawl fleets. This report assesses changes in fishing effort in the U.S. Pacific Coast groundfish fisheries, with an emphasis on differences before and after catch shares implementation, and is mandated by the NMFS Biological Opinion (BiOp) on Continuing Operation of the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery (NMFS 2012). We provide data for the available time series (2002-21), but focus the main analyses on trends in fishing effort that have occurred since the previous report. We are cautious in definitively attributing differences to IFQ implementation, because many factors outside the scope of this report-including variations in weather, market price, stock size, quota leasing, and catch limits-have impacted fishing effort over this 20-year period. Management shifts and changes that occurred prior to IFQ implementation are described briefly to provide important background and context in understanding and analyzing fleet dynamics.

## Shore-Based Trawl Fleet

1990s to 2000: LIMIting PARTICIPANTS
In the shoreside bottom trawl fleet, the number of commercial vessels participating was first limited in 1994, with the implementation of a federal licensing program. At that point, the fishery was considered overcapitalized and, rather than shortening trawl fishing seasons, the effort expended by individual vessels was constrained through a system of periodic (usually 1- or 2-month) cumulative landing limits. Beginning in the late 1990s, it became apparent that several species were depleted and in need of rebuilding. The severity and scope of management actions required to promote rebuilding led the Department of Commerce to declare the fishery a disaster in 2000. Catch allocations for rebuilding species were reduced by more than $90 \%$ from levels of the 1990s, resulting in new management approaches to ensure fishing opportunities for healthy stocks throughout the year.

At the dawn of this fishery transformation in 2000, the economic subcommittee of PFMC's Scientific and Statistical Committee released a report on overcapitalization of stocks by the
groundfish fleet, which concluded that shore-based trawl capacity was two-to-four times the amount needed to harvest the available resource. With the help of NMFS, the trawl industry developed a proposal to reduce fleet capacity, which was subsequently enacted by the United States Congress. This plan resulted in a buyback program, initiated in late 2003, which permanently removed 91 vessels and 239 groundfish, crab, and shrimp permits from the fishery. The buyback was funded through both a grant from the federal government and a government-guaranteed loan repaid by the fleet through landings fees.

## 2000 то 2010: Developing data collection and management tools

Comprehensive catch and bycatch data were required to model and inform management alternatives. To collect the needed data, the West Coast Groundfish Observer Program (WCGOP) was established and, in 2002, began to place trained scientists aboard fishing vessels operating in fisheries that target and incidentally catch groundfish off the U.S. West Coast. WCGOP observed $20-30 \%$ of bottom trawl landings using a random stratified sampling design from 2002 through 2010, providing critical information that supported reliable fishery modeling and estimation of fishing mortality, especially for rebuilding species.

Using this new dataset and refined modeling tools, scientists and managers found that coastwide bycatch rates for rebuilding species were too high to support year-round fishing of target species. One response to this situation was the designation of closed areas. Preventing fishing from occurring in areas where bycatch of rebuilding species was highest lowered average fleet bycatch rates. Some closures, such as the Cowcod and Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Areas, had fixed boundaries, while the rockfish conservation area (RCA) combined fixed, minimum boundaries (for example, lines approximating the 100and 150 -fathom [fth] contours) with the ability to extend the closed area shoreward or seaward. Cumulative limits for target species were frequently set differently for areas shoreward and seaward of the RCA, with limitations on fishing in both areas during the same cumulative period. To ensure that fishing did not occur in closed areas, all trawl vessels were required to install an approved vessel monitoring system (VMS). This requirement was later extended to cover other sectors of the groundfish fleet. On 12 June 2006, Amendment 19 to the FMP closed additional areas to bottom trawl fishing, and other areas to all bottom contact gears, to protect groundfish essential fish habitat (EFH).

In addition to area closures, gear restrictions were also implemented. Throughout the 1980s and 1990s, bottom-trawl fishing on the continental shelf was characterized by two very different strategies (Rogers and Pikitch 1992):

1. Flatfish were targeted over flat gravel or mud substrate, using nets with footropes whose bobbins were typically less than 12.7 cm in diameter, to
minimize fish escaping under the footrope (Rogers and Pikitch 1992, PFMC 2000).
2. Rockfish, or a mix of rockfish and flatfish, were targeted using much larger footropes, including some that employed commercial truck tires, to allow fishing in very rocky substrate.
Concurrent with the implementation of the RCA, all bottom trawl fishing shoreward of the RCA was required to use footropes no larger than 20.32 cm in diameter and to restrict chafing gear, which protects the underside of the net but can damage habitat. Combined with low landing limits for all shelf rockfish, these restrictions removed economic incentive for vessels to trawl in rocky shelf habitats which could cause expensive damage to trawl gear. Subsequently, based on fishery testing of innovative gear designs, a new, more selective flatfish trawl net was required in waters shoreward of the RCA and north of lat $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. This design featured a headrope that was longer than the footrope, which increased selectivity by exploiting the behavior of many rockfish to swim upwards and escape the net in response to encountering the footrope. Continued development of novel gear that reduces bycatch and habitat impacts creates the potential for lessening gear and area restrictions in the future.

## 2011 to Present: Catch shares

In 2011, the prior management regime of landing limits for trawl vessels was replaced by a catch share program, which allocates fishing privileges as individual fishing quotas (IFQ) for catch by species or species complex to individual fishers. The goal of the catch share program, as defined in Amendment 20 of the FMP (PFMC 2022), is to:

Create and implement a capacity rationalization plan that increases net economic benefits, creates individual economic stability, provides for full utilization of the trawl sector allocation, considers environmental impacts, and achieves individual accountability of catch and bycatch.

The program's objectives include promoting a viable, profitable, and efficient groundfish fishery that provides participants with increased operational flexibility and safety, while promoting practices that reduce bycatch and discard mortality and minimize ecological impacts. To accomplish these goals, shares of overall trawl sector allocations of numerous species are distributed to trawl permit owners based on catch history. Each year, share percentages are converted to poundage amounts that limit catch of those species. Transfers of quota pounds and quota shares themselves are allowed, but are subject to accumulation restrictions to discourage consolidation. To provide full accounting of catch, including atsea discards, against these quota, each vessel is required to be monitored on all trips, either via a federal observer or, starting in 2015, via electronic monitoring (EM).

IFQ management altered three major aspects of the shoreside trawl fishery. First, accountability for discards shifted from the fleet as a whole to individual operations,
resulting in a rapid and substantial reduction in discards of most species. Second, with the new explicit accounting of all discard, landings limits no longer needed to be set artificially low in an attempt to implicitly account for this mortality. These new opportunities allowed individual operations to better target healthy stocks. The IFQ program creates incentives for individuals to avoid catching species that are overfished or rebuilding, and ensures that the fleet remains under species or species complex catch limits. Third, the regulations that implemented the IFQ program allowed for gear switching, which occurs when permit holders with quota pounds and a trawl endorsement can use multiple gear types (although not within the same trip), including trawl (bottom and midwater) and fixed gear (pot and hook-and-line). These management changes impacted fishing effort in bottom trawl and shoreside midwater sectors, and altered fixed gear fishing effort, by providing a new opportunity for fixed gear fishing activity and potential competition between IFQ and other fixed gear sectors. Throughout this report, we aggregate the limited entry (LE) sablefish primary, open access (OA), and daily trip limit sectors into a single non-catch share (NCS) fixed gear fleet. Fishing areas, tactics, and methods in the NCS fleets are similar to the areas and methods used in the catch share fixed gear fishery, and thus could be impacted by catch share implementation. We include them here as a comparison to the IFQ fixed gear fleet, and for a broader understanding of catch share impacts to the entire groundfish fleet.

## At-Sea Hake Midwater Trawl Fishery

Unlike the shore-based fleet, which delivers catch to processors on land, the at-sea hake midwater trawl fleet processes catch onboard while at sea. The at-sea midwater trawl fishery was observed by the North Pacific Groundfish Observer Program from 1975 until 2001, when the At-Sea Hake Observer Program (A-SHOP) began to manage observer coverage. Under both organizations, observer coverage on board mothership catcher vessels (MS) and catcher-processors (CP) was at or near $100 \%$ of fishing days prior to IFQ implementation. Coverage to detect discards by catch vessels before the point of delivery to an MS began with catch share management. Before catch share implementation, the CP fleet had formed a fishing cooperative in response to other PFMC management goals. In response to the implementation of catch share management, the MSes formed a separate fishing cooperative. The shift to catch shares had ramifications on quota management and bycatch accountability, but only minor changes in overall fishery management, and so very little effect on fishing. The cooperative system somewhat relieved the race to fish, but the primary driver for change in amount of fishing effort for the at-sea hake fishery has been highly variable total allowable catch of hake over the last 20 years.

## Fishing Effort Trends

With this background in mind, we present trends in fishing effort in selected U.S. Pacific coast groundfish fishery sectors from 2002 to 2021 . The primary objective of this report is to evaluate changes in fishing effort over time by gear type since implementation of the IFQ
management program in the U.S. West Coast groundfish fishery. This report updates the previous release (2002-19) and analyzes two additional years of data, 2020 and 2021. We analyze fishing effort in the following sectors of U.S. West Coast groundfish fisheries:

1. Bottom and midwater trawl targeting groundfish, excluding hake:

- LE Bottom Trawl: Limited entry bottom trawl (2002-10).
- CS Bottom Trawl: IFQ non-hake bottom trawl (2011-21).
- CS Midwater Rockfish Trawl: IFQ shoreside midwater trawl targeting rockfish (2011-21).

2. Midwater trawl targeting hake:

- CS SS Midwater Hake Trawl: IFQ shoreside midwater trawl targeting hake (201121).
- CS AS CP: At-sea midwater trawl targeting hake, utilizing CPs (2002-21).
- CS AS MS: At-sea midwater trawl targeting hake, utilizing MSes (2002-21).

3. Fixed gear:

- NCS Pot: Pot gear fished in NCS, aggregating sablefish LE fixed gear primary (tier endorsed), OA fixed gear, and LE fixed gear daily trip or quota limits (2002-21).
- CS Pot: IFQ pot (2011-21).
- NCS Hook-and-Line: Hook-and-line gear fished in NCS, aggregating the same sectors as NCS Pot.
- CS Hook-and-Line: IFQ hook-and-line (2011-21).

This report describes changes in the magnitude of fishing catch and effort coastwide, as well as subtler changes in timing, spatial location, and depth. We analyze total groundfish and hake landings, and total and median tow duration or number of hooks or pots coastwide, as appropriate for the gear. We also present maps showing fishing effort across different sectors, gears, and time periods to compare and contrast fisheries and management regimes. To further explore changes in fishing effort, we present the proportion of shoreside landings (or catch, in the case of the at-sea midwater fleets) in bimonthly periods and latitudinal and depth bins. Together, this information helps to identify changes in the intensity and distribution of effort and catch over the past 20 years.

## DATA SOURCES

Data sources for this report include: 1) observers aboard commercial fishing vessels landing catch shoreside (recorded and maintained by WCGOP), 2) observers aboard commercial fishing vessels processing catch at sea (recorded and maintained by A-SHOP), 3) state logbooks from the Pacific Fisheries Information Network (PacFIN), 4) fish tickets from PacFIN, and 5) electronic monitoring (EM) data from the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (PSMFC).

## Observer Data

Fishing effort estimates were derived from independent scientific observation of catch conducted on commercial groundfish vessels at sea by WCGOP and A-SHOP, which are managed under the Northwest Fishery Science Center's (NWFSC) Fishery Resource Analysis and Monitoring Division's (FRAM) Fishery Observation Science (FOS) program. WCGOP observes several federally managed sectors of the groundfish fishery, including the LE bottom trawl, LE and OA fixed gear, and shoreside midwater trawl. A-SHOP observes both the CP and MS portions of the at-sea hake midwater trawl fishery, although the majority of MS catcher vessels now use electronic monitoring.

WCGOP's goal is to improve total catch estimates by collecting information on at-sea discards of groundfish on the U.S. West Coast. A-SHOP accounts for total catch and documents bycatch by sampling all catch on at-sea processors. For more details about observer program goals, vessel selection, and data collection, see the FOS web page. ${ }^{1}$ Observer coverage for each fishery sector can be found in Somers et al. (2022). ${ }^{2}$ WCGOP, ASHOP, and fish ticket data quality assurance, quality control, and processing methods are described in detail in Somers et al. (2022).

## Logbook Data

Vessel logbook recordkeeping is a state-mandated requirement for the LE and CS groundfish bottom trawl sectors in Washington, Oregon, and California. A common format logbook is used by all three states, and vessel-reported logbook information is entered into state agency databases. The electronic logbook data are then uploaded by state agencies to the PacFIN regional database, which is maintained by PSMFC.

Bottom trawl logbook data for 2002-21 were retrieved from the PacFIN database in December 2022. These data were assigned into groundfish fishery sectors following procedures described in Somers et al. (2022). Logbook and observer data sometimes have

[^0]slight discrepancies, so summaries of fleetwide vessels, trips, and hauls may be inconsistent with other reports.

## LANDINGS DATA

Fleetwide landing receipts are the cornerstone of landed catch information for shoreside sectors. These fish tickets are trip-aggregated sales receipts issued to vessels by fish buyers in each port for each delivery of fish. Fish tickets are designed and issued by agencies in each state (WA, OR, or CA) and must be returned to the agencies for processing. Fish buyers are required to record catch by market category (single species or a mix of species). Each state conducts species-composition sampling by market category, and submits fish ticket and species-composition data to the PacFIN database. PacFIN applies the percentage of weight of each species within market categories obtained from species composition sampling to the fish ticket data. In doing so, landed weights from sampled market categories are distributed to individual species whenever possible. PacFIN data for fish ticket landings with state species-composition sampling applied were queried in July 2022. As with logbook data, estimates of total vessels and trips in a fleet may differ between fish tickets and observer data, so discrepancies may exist between this and other reports.

## DATA UsAGE

We selected the data source for each analysis that ensures both high data quality and consistency for comparisons across sectors and time periods. These sources are summarized in Table 1 and are further described below.

In shoreside sectors, we report total landings as recorded on fish tickets of targeted species for each sector: FMP-managed groundfish (excluding hake) for non-hake-targeting sectors, and hake landings only for hake-targeting sectors. The LE bottom trawl fishery did not, and the NCS fixed gear sectors do not, have $100 \%$ observer coverage, so fish tickets are the primary data source available for fishing effort comparisons. We approximated spatial location of catch using the latitude of the port of landing, although effort occurs at varying distances from landing locations. We also used fish ticket data to describe the proportional landings in bimonthly periods and in latitudinal bins in the shoreside sectors.

To describe haul duration and proportion of hauls in depth bins for bottom trawl sectors, we use logbook data to account for all fishing effort. In fixed gear and shoreside midwater sectors, we use WCGOP data to explore trends in gear usage and depth on observed hauls. Although not all trips of the non-catch share portion of the fixed gear sector are observed, this is the only data source available. For 2015-21, logbook data for the EM portions of the CS pot and midwater fleets were incorporated. In NCS fixed gear sectors, we extrapolated the fleetwide numbers of hooks and pots based on observer data; see Methods for details. The use of observer data in sectors with less than $100 \%$ observer coverage produced more
uncertainty in reported trends of total gear usage, gear use per haul, and depth than it did in sectors with logbook or observer data for all trips.

All data used to assess fishing effort in the at-sea hake fishery come from A-SHOP. Haullevel information on location and retained catch are captured directly in the observer data.

## Methods

Many of the data summaries described below aggregate data to explore variation between different time periods. These groupings are as consistent as possible across analyses of different metrics, while maintaining the data confidentiality. These time periods are summarized in Table 1 and further described here. The LE bottom trawl sector was grouped into pre- and post-Amendment 19 periods, to account for changes caused by EFH closures that began on 12 June 2006. Bottom trawl data from 2006 were not included in summaries of annual proportion of bimonthly catch, as the year would be split into two periods; the 2006 data were included in all other summaries. The shoreside IFQ fishery was grouped, by gear, into 2011-18 and annually for the most recent three years of data, except in maps, where data were grouped into 2019-20 and 2021 to balance the necessary masking of confidential data with relevant time periods. To address changes around the implementation of IFQ management, we grouped the non-IFQ fixed gear sector into the pre-IFQ period (2002-10), the initial IFQ period (2011-18), and the most recent data (2019, 2020, and 2021 separately, except in maps and as needed to mask confidential data). The at-sea hake fishery was not impacted by the EFH closures, so we grouped years to create approximately equivalent time periods: 2002-05, 2006-10, 2011-18, and the most recent three years' data separately, except in maps as described above.

## LANDINGS

Total targeted landings were estimated coastwide for each sector by year. We calculated total FMP groundfish landings (excluding hake) to provide a unit of effort for the multi-species-targeting bottom and midwater trawl and fixed gear sectors, and total hake landings to estimate effort by hake-targeting midwater trawl fisheries.

## Gear Usage

We calculated total hours of fleetwide towing, total fixed-gear units deployed, towing duration per haul, and number of hooks or pots. These metrics provide estimates of effort that, unlike total catch, are not impacted by fishing efficiency, stock density, and other factors. Expansions were performed in NCS fixed gear sectors to estimate the total number of hooks or pots. NCS fixed gear estimates were generated for each effort index by year, sector, and gear based on the following equation and then summed across all strata:
where:
$\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}=$ estimated effort,
$\boldsymbol{b}=$ observed number of gear units,
$r=$ observed retained weight (in mt) of groundfish species,
$\boldsymbol{h}=$ number of hauls in observer data, and
$\boldsymbol{C}=$ weight (mt) of retained groundfish species recorded on all fish tickets.
We also calculated the number of sets or hauls where lost gear was observed and where gear was recovered, by sector, gear, and year. Recovered gear could consist of crab pots, other fixed gear, or trawl nets retrieved in the codend, but does not include hauls where trawl gear was lost and immediately recovered in the same haul. We report only observed occurrences of lost or recovered gear and do not expand observed events to create fleetwide estimates. We report lost or recovered gear summaries at finer sector-level scales than other analyses in this report to better describe these patterns. As part of our quality control procedures, we developed rules to identify cases of lost or recovered gear which ensured consistent reporting and comparisons among years. Recovered gear is reported for all years in all fisheries, except for 2002 in the fixed gear fisheries. In the catch share fixed gear fisheries, lost gear is reported for all years, while those data were only available from 2010 to 2019 in the non-catch share fixed gear fisheries. This report summarizes the most recent data and should be considered the best source of data for this information.

## Location of Effort

To assess trends in the location of fishing effort, we explored landings patterns in the shoreside fishery and catch in the at-sea fishery by one-degree latitudinal bins. Similar to the methods used for timing, described above, we calculated the proportion made in each latitudinal degree and then calculated the median and first and third quartiles across years in each time period.

## Geospatial Analysis

In addition to describing broad trends in the location of landings and catch and the depth of fishing effort, we also assessed spatial patterns by plotting individual fishing locations. We used a straight line connecting the start and end points of trawl hauls or fixed gear sets to represent each fishing event. We excluded hauls or sets that intersected land or occurred outside the U.S. exclusive economic zone (EEZ) for all sectors and, for bottom trawl, also removed hauls deeper than $2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ or towing greater than five knots (straight line distance divided by tow duration). From these line features, we created an effort density layer that depicts the relative intensity of fishing effort within relevant gear types and time periods. The following description of methods closely matches those used for development of fishing intensity layers created for PFMC's review of groundfish EFH (GEFHRC 2012).

Fishing intensity was calculated as the total length of all lines intersecting a standardized area. To calculate this metric, we used a line density algorithm in ArcGIS Pro 2.9.0 (Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc., Redlands, California). The line density algorithm calculates density within a circular search area centered at a grid cell of specified size (see How Line Density works). ${ }^{3}$ Effort values were standardized for each time period by dividing per-cell density values by the total number of years in each period. The value (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) for each grid cell is the quotient of total line portions intersecting the circular area per grid cell area per year. Because density outputs are highly sensitive to the specified radius and cell size, relative values are more informative than absolute values. Relative density identifies areas where fishing effort is concentrated, while still ensuring confidentiality of individual fishing locations, and is thus superior to depicting confidential tow lines. The initial density output was more spatially extensive than what is shown in the map figures, because it included confidential cells where density values were calculated from tows or sets made by less than three vessels. Confidential cells, representing less than three vessels, were removed from the maps presented in this report. Density parameters were chosen to minimize data exclusion but maintain confidentiality while still providing a high spatial resolution ( $500-\mathrm{m}$ cell size). A larger search radius ( $5,000 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was used to develop shoreside processing midwater trawl and fixed gear density outputs than for trawl densities ( $3,000 \mathrm{~m}$ ), because effort in those sectors was generally patchier compared to the bottom and at-sea processing midwater trawl sectors. Because the density outputs cannot fully capture the entire footprint of fishing, we summarized length of all lines intersecting $10 \times 10$-minute cells. Cumulative lengths were divided by the total length of all lines for each gear sector and time period, and reported as relative coastwide effort (\%).

## Seasonal Timing of Effort

To assess trends in the timing of fishing effort, we calculated the proportion of annual targeted landings in the shoreside fishery and catch in the at-sea fishery by each fleet and gear occurring in bimonthly periods over each year. We then calculated the median and first and third quartiles of that proportion across years in each time period. We do not

[^1]report data for 2019, 2020, or 2021 for the catch share hook-and-line fleet because fewer than three vessels fished in each bimonthly period.

## Depth of Effort

Patterns in fishing effort by depth were explored by calculating the proportion of hauls in 50 -fth depth bins. Similar to timing and location, we calculated the median and first and third quartiles across years in each time period.

## Results

## Trawl Sectors

## BOTTOM TRAWL

The bottom trawl sector retained $\sim 12,300 \mathrm{mt}$ of FMP groundfish species in 2020 and $\sim 12,800 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2021; the two lowest annual catch amounts by the bottom trawl fleet since 2002 (Table 2, Figure 1). Fleetwide bottom trawl effort continued to decrease from the high of the catch share period in 2013 and was almost a third that level in 2020 and 2021 (Table 2, Figure 2). Median haul duration has generally decreased since 2011 to around two hours and forty minutes in 2020 and 2021 (Table 2, Figure 3).

The spatial distributions of landings were similar from 2019 to 2021 (Table A-1, Figure 4). The greatest proportions of landings were made near Astoria, Oregon (lat $46^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ), but were lower in all three years than in 2011-18 (Table A-1, Figure 4). Landings near the ports of Newport, Oregon (lat $44^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ), and Fort Bragg, California (lat $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ), each comprised approximately $20 \%$ of coastwide landings. The proportions of landings north of lat $46^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and south of lat $39^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ for the most recent three years remained low and similar to past landings in those areas.

Seasonal patterns of landings in 2019 to 2020 largely fell within the patterns observed in previous time periods, although the proportion of catch landed in November-December was the highest on record for that bimonthly period. Landings in 2019 to 2020 were lowest in January-February and highest in March/April; around 15\% of landings occurred in all other bimonthly periods. Landings in 2021 were less uniform: landings in 2021 peaked in March/April and then decreased to around 15\% September through December. Additionally, across all time periods, the highest proportion of May-June landings and the lowest proportion of September-October landings both occurred in 2021 (Table A-2, Figure 6).

The proportion of hauls in the $0-50$-fth depth bin continued to decrease, while activity in $50-100$-fth waters increased slightly (Table A-3, Figure 7). Across other depth bins, the distribution of effort was similar across all time periods.

## Midwater trawl targeting rockfish

In 2015, the annual catch limit (ACL) for yellowtail rockfish increased 1.5 times over the 2014 ACL, from approximately 4,400 to 6,600 mt. More dramatically, from 2016 to 2017, the widow rockfish ACL increased more than sixfold, from 2,000 mt to more than $13,000 \mathrm{mt}$. With increased targeting opportunities, the CS midwater trawl rockfish fleet has re-emerged. Groundfish landings in this sector generally increased from 2011 to 2018, although groundfish retained decreased slightly in 2019 and 2020 before returning to 2018 levels in 2021. (Table 2, Figure 1 and Figure 2). After doubling compared to the previous year in 2018, fleetwide tow hours remained around 1100 in 2019 and 2021, but decreased to around 900 in 2020 . The median tow duration per haul in the midwater rockfish trawl fleet has increased slightly from 1.5 hours in 2017 to 2 hours in 2021; variability in tow duration was higher in 2020 and 2021 compared to previous years (Table 2, Figure 3).

From 2011 to 2018, landings of midwater rockfish occurred from central Washington to central Oregon; in 2019 to 2020 also occurred in southern Oregon and in 2019 to 2021, as part of an exempted fishing permit (EFP), in northern California (Table A-1, Figure 8). Between two-thirds and three-quarters of the landings in each time period occurred along the Oregon-Washington border at lat $46^{\circ}$ N. Around $22 \%$ of landings in 2019 to 2020 and around $32 \%$ of landings in 2021 occurred near Newport in the $44^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitudinal bin. Landings near Bellingham, Washington (lat $48^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) were much lower in 2019 to 2020 than in 2011 to 2018, and no landings occurred in this latitudinal bin in 2021.

The shoreside midwater season starts in mid-May, except for participants in an EFP that began in 2017 and removed seasonal restrictions for this gear. From 2011 to 2018 and in 2021, approximately $12 \%$ of landings were made in January-February; only $8 \%$ of 2019 to 2020 landings were made in this bimonthly period. A greater proportion of landings occurred from 2019 to 2021 in March-April compared to 2011 to 2018; landings were lower in these more recent years in September-October compared to the median in 2011 to 2018 (Table A-2, Figure 10). The high variability in percent of landings made in July-August for 2011 to 2018 reflects the re-emergence of the targeting strategy during this period.

The depth distribution of midwater rockfish trawl effort in 2019 to 2021 was similar to that of 2011 to 2018, although less effort occurred in the 50-100 fth depth bin and more effort occurred in the 100-150 fth depth bin (Table A-3, Figure 11).

Landings by all three sectors of the hake-targeting midwater fleet increased from 2015 to 2016 (Table 2, Figure 12), and annual hake landings in the shoreside and CP fleets continued to increase in 2017. These two fleets decreased from 2017 to 2021, except a slight rebound in 2019. The MS fleet remained constant from 2016 to 2018, then decreased from 2018 to 2021.

Effort, measured by total number of hours towing, increased in the shoreside fleet from 2016 to an historical high of 8,600 hours in 2020 and then decreasing to 6,000 hours in 2021 (Table 2, Figure 13). Trends in both at-sea processing fleets were more variable in recent years, but have mostly decreased following historic highs in 2016. Towing hours per haul were highly variable between 2019 and 2021; the shoreside fleet ranged from 1.3 to 4.3 hours with an average around 2.5 hours, and the at-sea CP fleet similarly ranged from 1.7 to 4.4 hours with a slightly higher average of 3 hours. The MS fleet showed greater variability, ranging from 0.9 to 5.2 hours and an average of 2.4 hours (Table 2, Figure 14).

## Shoreside hake fleet

Compared to 2011-18, the shoreside fleet in 2019 to 2021 landed a lower proportion of hake near Newport ( $44^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitudinal bin) and a greater proportion near Astoria ( $46^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitudinal bin; Table A-4, Figure 15).

Overall the seasonal distribution of landings by the shoreside fleet were similar in 2019 to 2021 compared to previous years, with the majority of landings continued to occur in July and August in most years (Table A-5, Figure 17). The proportion of landings in May-June in 2019 to 2021 were on the higher end of the 2011 to 2018 range, while the opposite was true of November-December.

The depth distribution of landings in 2019 to 2021 was similar to that of 2011 to 2018, with the majority of annual landings by the shoreside fleet coming from water depths of 50-150 fth (Table A-6, Figure 18). Landings from deeper than 250 fth continued to be low, with no landings greater than 250 fth in 2019 to 2020 and no landings from waters deeper than 400 fth in 2021.

## At-SEA HAKE FLEET

Fishing effort in the at-sea midwater hake trawl fishery concentrated off Oregon across all time periods (Table A-4, Figure 19). CP effort was more patchily distributed in recent years compared to 2011 to 2018 . In 2019 to 2020 , effort primarily occurred in the $42^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 43^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, and $47^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitudinal bins, while 2021 effort was concentrated in the $42 \mathrm{~N}^{\circ}$ to $44^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitudinal bins. The spatial distribution of MS effort in 2019 to 2021 was also patchier than in previous years, with 2019 to 2020 effort concentrated in the $42^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 43^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, and $47^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitudinal bins and 2021 effort focused in the $41^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 42^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, and $47^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitudinal bins (Table A-4, Figure 19).

The midwater at-sea fleet's season begins on 15 May. The proportion of CP landings processed in the May-June period was on the higher range of previous years in 2019 to 2020, but on the lower end in 2021 (Table A-5, Figure 22). The majority of catch processed in 2021 occurred in September-October, resulting in the highest catch processed in that bimonthly period across the years compared. The proportion of catch processed in JulyAugust continued to decrease, with no catch processed in 2021. The majority of MS landings were processed in May-June in most years. In 2019 to 2020, small proprotions of catch were also processed from July to December. In 2021, more than a quarter of catch was also processed in September-October, but no catch was processed in any other bimonthly period.

Since 2006, more than $80 \%$ of CP and MS landings have come from hauls in 100-250 fth; from 2019 to 2021, this pattern increased to $90 \%$ or more in each year (Table A-6, Figure 23).

## Fixed Gear Sectors

## Рот

Annual groundfish landings using pot gear were fairly stable from 2015 to 2020 in the NCS fleet at about 600 mt , with an increase to 670 mt in 2021 (Table 3, Figure 24). The CS fleet showed a slight but generally increasing trend from 2013-19, with a high of more than 850 mt , and has since decreased to 680 mt in 2021. Based on landings and observer data in the NCS fleet and census monitoring in the CS fleet, both sectors increased the total number of pots deployed from 2013 to 2017, before a decrease in 2018 and slight rebound in 2019 followed by a continued decease in 2020 and 2021 (Tables 3 and 4, Figure 25). Since 2011, the median number of pots per set in both the CS and NCS pot fleets has ranged between $\sim 15$ and $\sim 50$ (Table 3, Figure 26). The median number of pots per set in the CS fleet in 2020 and 2021 reached an all-time high of $\sim 50$ pots in 2020 and 2021, two of the only years when the median number of pots per set was greater in the CS than in the NCS fleet.

From 2002 to 2021, the majority of landings by the NCS pot fleet occurred between Astoria (lat $46^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) and Fort Bragg (lat $39^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$; Table A-6, Figure 27). From 2019 to 2021, landings in Newport (lat $44^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) bin were higher than in previous years, while landings near Astoria and Fort Bragg were lower. In the CS pot fleet, landings in Newport accounted for 40 to 50 percent of annual landings from 2019 to 2021, above the 2011 to 2018 range (Table A-6, Figure 27). The percentage of landings made in Astoria decreased from 38 in 2019 to 8 in 2021; the percentage of landings in Bellingham (lat $48^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) increased from less than $1 \%$ in 2011 to 2018 to $10 \%$ in 2021. Catch shares pot landings also continued to occur further south than NCS pot landings, specifically in the 35 to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitudinal bins.

From 2002-18, the majority of landings by the NCS pot fleet occurred between May and October (Table A-8, Figure 30). Landings typically peaked in May-June and July-August prior to IFQ implementation in 2011, when this peak shifted to September-October. The proportion of landings occurring in both July-August and September-October increased from 2019 to 2021 and reached historic highs of almost half of landings made in September-October. Landings in November-December were also higher, reflecting season extensions in 2020 and 2021. Landings in May-June from 2019 to 2021 were lower than in previous years, around $7 \%$. The CS pot fleet has shown considerably more variability in seasonal landings distributions. To maintain confidentiality, 2021 landings are reported with March-June combined. Generally, landings have been concentrated in SeptemberOctober with the remaining effort fairly evenly distributed throughout the rest of the year. In 2019 to 2020, the proportion of landings were higher in November-December than in previous years.

From 2002 to 2021, fishing effort in the NCS pot fleet occurred primarily in depths from 100-300 fth (Table A-9, Figure 31). The depth distribution of landings from 2019 to 2021 were generally within the range of previous years. The majority of CS pot fleet effort in 2011 to 2018 occurred in depths from 150-600 fth. From 2019 to 2021, this effort became more concentrated in shallower depths, from 150-350 fth.

## Hook-AND-LINE

Groundfish landings by the NCS hook-and-line fleet decreased from 2,400 mt in 2017 to $1,600 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2021 (Table 3, Figure 24). Estimated fleetwide hooks, calculated from total landings and observed hooks per set (see Table 4), showed a similar pattern and reached an historic low of 6 million hooks per year in 2021 (Table 3, Figure 32). The median number of hooks per set in the NCS fleet was stable from 2002 to 2010 at $\sim 2,000$ hooks; this rate increased in 2012 and has been closer to $\sim 2,500$ hooks per set through 2021 (Table 3, Figure 33).

CS landings have been lower and less variable than NCS landings, ranging between 115200 mt of groundfish from 2013 to 2019, with no effort in 2020 or 2021. CS effort has generally decreased from 2011 to 2021, and remained around a half-million hooks in all years from 2013 to 2019 except 2016, 2020, and 2021(Table 3, Figure 32). Hooks per set in the CS fleet generally increased from 2013 to 2019, and have remained around 3,200 since 2015.

Hook-and-line groundfish landings occurred from lat 48-32 N (Table A-7, Figure 34). Generally, landings by the NCS hook-and-line fleet were fairly evenly distributed along the coast. Landings in the CS fleet were much more concentrated, with more than half occurring in the $48^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitudinal bin in 2019. No landings in the CS fleet occurred south of lat $43^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ after 2016.

Landings by the NCS hook-and-line fleet increased throughout the calendar year before peaking in September-October across all time periods and years (Table A-8, Figure 37). In the CS fleet, landings were more variable from January to August but also peaked in September-October, with typically half or more landings occurring in that bimonthly period.

Both the NCS and CS hook-and-line fleets fish in depths ranging from 0-750 fth (Table A-9, Figure 38). The depth distribution of observed NCS hook-and-line hauls was similar across time periods and years, with the majority of landings coming from hauls in the 150-200-fth depth bin. The majority of CS hook-and-line effort occurred in the 200-250-fth depth bin across time periods and years, while effort in other depth bins was highly variable.

## Lost Gear and Recovered Gear

Observed gear loss was least common in trawl fisheries. In shoreside bottom trawl fleets, gear loss occurred on $\sim 0.1 \%$ of observed hauls annually, and was never observed in shoreside midwater trawl fleets (Table 5). On average, in at-sea midwater fleets, $0.02 \%$ of hauls lost gear annually, with a maximum of less than $0.2 \%$ (Table 6). Gear loss was observed more often in fixed gear fisheries than in the trawl fleet. Lost gear was observed in the non-catch share hook-and-line fisheries on about $2 \%$ of hauls, representing $0.5 \%$ of observed hooks. In the catch share hook-and-line fleet, approximately 1\% of hauls lost approximately $0.3 \%$ of hooks. In the catch share pot fleet, around $4 \%$ of hauls lost approximately $0.4 \%$ of pots; in the non-catch share pot fleet, around $3 \%$ of hauls lost approximately $0.4 \%$ of pots.

The percentage of hauls recovering gear was typically greater than those losing gear, likely reflecting gear loss in unobserved fisheries. Gear recovery was observed most frequently in fisheries using bottom trawl gear, when $\sim 4 \%$ of hauls recovered gear. Midwater gears rarely contact the ocean floor, so gear recovery is exceedingly rare. Less than $0.7 \%$ of observed shoreside midwater hauls recovered gear, and no recovered gear has been observed in the at-sea midwater fleet. Fixed gears are less likely than bottom trawl to recover gear due to differences in deployment and the gear itself. Hook-and-line fleets recovered gear on less than $0.3 \%$ of observed hauls, with no incidents in most years. Approximately $0.1 \%$ of observed pot hauls recovered gear.
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## Figures



FIGURE 1. Annual total fleet-wide FMP groundfish (not including hake) landings ( mt ) in bottom trawl and midwater rockfish trawl sectors.
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FIGURE 2. Annual fleet-wide total towing hours in the bottom trawl and midwater rockfish trawl sectors.


FIGURE 3. Tow duration per haul (hours) in the bottom trawl and midwater rockfish trawl sectors. Medians and first and third quartiles for each year are shown.


FIGURE 4. Percentage of retained FMP groundfish landed in latitudinal bins by the bottom trawl sector; patterns in actual fishing activity are shown in Figure 5. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.

## <TO BE UPDATED>

FIGURE 5. Spatial distribution and intensity of bottom trawl fishing effort. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The LE bottom trawl sector time periods account EFH closures that began mid-2006 on June 12. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ cells.


FIGURE 6. Percentage of retained FMP groundfish landed in bimonthly bins by the bottom trawl sector. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.


FIGURE 7. Percentage of bottom trawl hauls in 50-fathom depth bins. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.


FIGURE 8. Percentage of retained FMP groundfish landed in latitudinal bins by the midwater rockfish trawl sector; patterns in actual fishing activity are shown in Figure 9. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.

## <TO BE UPDATED>

FIGURE 9. Spatial distribution and intensity of midwater rockfish trawl fishing effort. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within 10x10 min cells.


FIGURE 10. Percentage of retained FMP groundfish landed in bimonthly bins by the midwater rockfish trawl sector. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.


FIGURE 11. Percentage of midwater rockfish trawl hauls in 50 -fathom depth bins. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.


FIGURE 12. Annual total fleet-wide Pacific hake landings ( mt ) in midwater hake trawl sectors.


FIGURE 13. Annual fleet-wide total towing hours in midwater hake trawl sectors.


FIGURE 14. Tow duration per haul (hours) in midwater hake trawl sectors. Medians and first and third quartiles for each year are shown.


FIGURE 15. Percentage of retained Pacific hake landed in latitudinal bins by shoreside midwater hake trawl; patterns in actual fishing activity are shown in Figure 16. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.

## <TO BE UPDATED>

FIGURE 16. Spatial distribution and intensity of fishing effort by shoreside midwater hake trawl. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within 10x10 min cells.


FIGURE 17. Percentage of retained hake landed in bimonthly bins by shoreside midwater trawl targeting hake.
Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.


FIGURE 18. Percentage of shoreside midwater hake trawl hauls in 50 -fathom depth bins. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.


FIGURE 19. Percentage of retained hake caught in latitudinal bins by at-sea midwater trawl sectors. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.

FIGURE 20. Spatial distribution and intensity of fishing effort by at-sea midwater trawl catcher-processors. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ cells.

FIGURE 21. Spatial distribution and intensity of fishing effort by at-sea midwater trawl mothership catcher-vessels. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within 10x10 min cells.


FIGURE 22. Percentage of retained hake caught in bimonthly bins by at-sea midwater trawl sectors. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.


FIGURE 23. Percentage of at-sea midwater trawl hauls in 50 -fathom depth bins. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.


FIGURE 24. Annual total fleet-wide groundfish landings (mt) in fixed gear sectors.


FIGURE 25. Annual total fleet-wide number of pots in the pot sectors.


FIGURE 26. Number of pots per set in pot sectors, summarized as median, first, and third quartiles in each year.


FIGURE 27. Percentage of retained groundfish landed in latitudinal bins by pot sectors patterns in actual fishing activity are shown in Figures 28 and 29. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.

FIGURE 28. Spatial distribution and intensity of fishing effort by the non-catch shares pot sector. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ cells.

## <TO BE UPDATED>

FIGURE 29. Spatial distribution and intensity of fishing effort by the catch shares pot sector. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within 10x10 min cells.


FIGURE 30. Percentage of retained groundfish landed in bimonthly bins by pot sectors. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data. To maintain confidentiality, the catch shares fleet data are summarized for January through April and for 2017 and 2018.


FIGURE 31. Percentage of observed pot hauls in 50 -fathom depth bins. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.


FIGURE 32. Annual total fleetwide number of hooks deployed in hook-and-line sectors.


FIGURE 33. Number of hooks per set in hook-and-line sectors, summarized as median, first, and third quartiles in each year.


FIGURE 34. Percentage of retained groundfish landed in latitudinal bins by hook-and-line sectors patterns in actual fishing activity are shown in Figures 36 and 37. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.

FIGURE 35. Spatial distribution and intensity of fishing effort by the non-catch shares hook-and-line sector. Intensity (units: $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is depicted by a color ramp of cool (low) to warm (high) colors. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ cells.

## <TO BE UPDATED>

FIGURE 36. Spatial distribution of fishing effort by the catch shares hook-and-line sector. The overall footprint of fishing for each time period is depicted in grayscale, with darker (black) tones depicting a higher relative contribution to coastwide effort within 10x10 min cells.


FIGURE 37. Percentage of retained groundfish landed in bimonthly bins by hook-and-line sectors. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data. Catch shares 2017, 2018, and 2091 data are not shown to maintain confidentiality, because less than 3 vessels were active in some of the seasonal strata.


FIGURE 38. Percentage of observed hook-and-line hauls in 50 -fathom depth bins. Minimum, median, and maximum are shown for each time period; annual estimates are shown for the most recent three years of data.

## Tables

TABLE 1. Data sources for reported metrics for each sector and gear and time periods analyzed by sector and gear. The time periods used in geospatial analysis differ from those presented in this table because 2019 and 2020 are grouped for analysis whereas 2021 is analyzed as a single year.

| Sector and Gear | Landings | Gear Usage | Location of Effort | Geospatial Analysis | Seasonal Timing of Effort | Depth of Effort | Time Periods Analyzed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LE Bottom Trawl | Fish tickets | Logbook | Fish tickets | Logbook | Fish tickets | Logbook | 2002 to mid-2006; mid-2006 to 2010. <br> Seasonal: 2002 to 2005; 2007 to 2010. |
| CS Bottom Trawl | Fish tickets | Logbook | Fish tickets | Logbook | Fish tickets | Logbook | 2011 to 2018; 2019; 2020; 2021. |
| CS Midwater Rockfish Trawl | Fish tickets | WCGOP, <br> Logbook | Fish tickets | WCGOP, <br> Logbook | Fish tickets | WCGOP, <br> Logbook | 2011 to 2018; 2019; 2020; 2021. |
| CS SS Midwater Hake Trawl | Fish tickets | WCGOP, Logbook | Fish tickets | WCGOP, <br> Logbook | Fish tickets | WCGOP, <br> Logbook | 2011 to 2018; 2019; 2020; 2021. |
| CS AS CP | A-SHOP | A-SHOP | A-SHOP | A-SHOP | A-SHOP | A-SHOP | 2002 to 2006; 2006 to 2010; 2011 to 2018; 2019; 2020; 2021. |
| CS AS MSCV | A-SHOP | A-SHOP | A-SHOP | A-SHOP | A-SHOP | A-SHOP | 2002 to 2006; 2006 to 2010; 2011 to 2018; 2019; 2020; 2021. |
| NCS Pot | Fish tickets | WCGOP, Fish Tickets | Fish tickets | WCGOP | Fish tickets | WCGOP | 2002 to 2010; 2011 to 2018; 2019; 2020; 2021. Latitudinal and depth: 2011 to 2018; 2019 to 2020; 2021. |
| CS Pot | Fish tickets | WCGOP, <br> Logbook | Fish tickets | WCGOP, <br> Logbook | Fish tickets | WCGOP, <br> Logbook | 2011 to 2018; 2019; 2020; 2021. Depth: <br> 2011 to 2018; 2019 to 2020; 2021. |
| NCS Hook-and-Line | Fish tickets | WCGOP, Fish Tickets | Fish tickets | WCGOP | Fish tickets | WCGOP | 2002 to 2010; 2011 to 2018; 2019 to $\text { 2020; } 2021 .$ |
| CS Hook-and-Line | Fish tickets | WCGOP, <br> Logbook | Fish tickets | WCGOP, <br> Logbook | Fish tickets | WCGOP, <br> Logbook | 2011 to 2018; 2019 to 2020; 2021. <br> Seasonal: 2011 to 2018 only. |

TABLE 2. Effort by trawl gears. Targeted retained consists of all FMP-groundfish except Pacific whiting for bottom trawl and midwater rockfish and of only Pacific whiting for all whiting-targeting sectors. Dashes indicate data summaries not applicable to the given sector. Asterisks indicate confidential data.

| Sector and Gear |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Trawl | Hours per |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Year | Vessels | Trips | Hauls | Targeted Retained (mt) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Tow } \\ \begin{array}{r} \text { Duration } \\ (\text { hrs } \end{array} \end{array}$ | Lower Quartile | Median | Upper |
| Shoreside | LE Bottom Traw | 2002 | 199 | 4163 | 19518 | 17394 | 83263 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 5.50 |
|  |  | 2003 | 200 | 3542 | 17488 | 17405 | 77526 | 2.00 | 3.50 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2004 | 121 | 2442 | 14124 | 17097 | 51559 | 1.80 | 2.80 | 4.95 |
|  |  | 2005 | 123 | 2563 | 15607 | 18421 | 53954 | 1.80 | 2.75 | 4.50 |
|  |  | 2006 | 119 | 2379 | 15461 | 16774 | 56348 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 4.70 |
|  |  | 2007 | 121 | 2395 | 15086 | 19575 | 61227 | 2.20 | 3.50 | 5.40 |
|  |  | 2008 | 119 | 2391 | 16327 | 22930 | 72760 | 2.50 | 4.00 | 6.00 |
|  |  | 2009 | 117 | 2675 | 18736 | 25576 | 81481 | 2.33 | 3.80 | 5.83 |
|  |  | 2010 | 104 | 1947 | 13863 | 22134 | 65966 | 2.50 | 4.25 | 6.50 |
|  | CS Bottom Trawl | 2011 | 72 | 1156 | 9042 | 17091 | 38400 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 5.90 |
|  |  | 2012 | 66 | 1119 | 8821 | 17006 | 36163 | 2.00 | 3.60 | 5.75 |
|  |  | 2013 | 68 | 1218 | 9763 | 18549 | 39983 | 2.00 | 3.60 | 5.70 |
|  |  | 2014 | 63 | 1012 | 8158 | 15820 | 32932 | 2.00 | 3.50 | 5.60 |
|  |  | 2015 | 59 | 913 | 7452 | 16062 | 28656 | 1.92 | 3.17 | 5.20 |
|  |  | 2016 | 57 | 890 | 6895 | 16762 | 27047 | 2.00 | 3.30 | 5.27 |
|  |  | 2017 | 62 | 972 | 6849 | 18925 | 26834 | 2.00 | 3.20 | 5.33 |
|  |  | 2018 | 58 | 868 | 6208 | 15067 | 22223 | 1.80 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 2019 | 54 | 829 | 5854 | 15100 | 19739 | 1.79 | 2.90 | 4.60 |
|  |  | 2020 | 50 | 699 | 4459 | 12314 | 13483 | 1.77 | 2.67 | 3.80 |
|  |  | 2021 | 48 | 729 | 4728 | 12768 | 13698 | 1.75 | 2.65 | 3.60 |
|  | Midwater <br> Rockfish <br> Trawl | 2011 | * | + | * | * |  | * | * |  |
|  |  | 2012 | 6 | 18 | 54 | 242 | 98 | 0.80 | 1.22 | 2.52 |
|  |  | 2013 | 5 | 22 | 97 | 413 | 171 | 0.85 | 1.42 | 2.32 |
|  |  | 2014 | 9 | 35 | 134 | 877 | 271 | 1.00 | 1.84 | 2.75 |
|  |  | 2015 | 14 | 67 | 223 | 1728 | 358 | 0.73 | 1.42 | 2.09 |
|  |  | 2016 | 9 | 46 | 123 | 1144 | 239 | 1.00 | 1.67 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 2017 | 17 | 174 | 349 | 5877 | 642 | 0.75 | 1.50 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 2018 | 24 | 296 | 538 | 11515 | 1135 | 1.00 | 1.70 | 2.75 |
|  |  | 2019 | 25 | 283 | 541 | 9959 | 1128 | 1.00 | 1.75 | 2.65 |
|  |  | 2020 | 28 | 210 | 384 | 9382 | 882 | 1.00 | 1.82 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2021 | 27 | 267 | 448 | 11722 | 1133 | 1.17 | 2.00 | 3.35 |
|  | Midwater Hake Trawl | 2011 | 26 | 902 | 1716 | 90354 | 3967 | 0.92 | 1.67 | 3.23 |
|  |  | 2012 | 24 | 703 | 1582 | 65279 | 5936 | 1.58 | 3.32 | 5.41 |
|  |  | 2013 | 24 | 916 | 1715 | 96857 | 4595 | 1.25 | 2.27 | 3.67 |
|  |  | 2014 | 25 | 939 | 1724 | 97980 | 4730 | 1.25 | 2.24 | 3.83 |
|  |  | 2015 | 22 | 580 | 1467 | 57920 | 6728 | 1.86 | 3.67 | 6.50 |
|  |  | 2016 | 23 | 743 | 1618 | 85382 | 5275 | 1.35 | 2.54 | 4.50 |
|  |  | 2017 | 25 | 1236 | 2314 | 144126 | 5873 | 1.20 | 2.17 | 3.50 |
|  |  | 2018 | 26 | 1127 | 2094 | 129158 | 6056 | 1.42 | 2.44 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2019 | 27 | 1240 | 2360 | 143757 | 6643 | 1.37 | 2.48 | 3.77 |
|  |  | 2020 | 28 | 1239 | 2763 | 138224 | 8623 | 1.50 | 2.67 | 4.25 |
|  |  | 2021 | 25 | 1014 | 2013 | 125633 | 5947 | 1.40 | 2.42 | 4.07 |
| At-Sea | Midwater Hake Catcher Processor | 2002 | 5 | -- | 559 | 36314 | 1061 | 1.00 | 1.75 | 2.65 |
|  |  | 2003 | 6 | -- | 768 | 41452 | 911 | 0.50 | 0.92 | 1.67 |
|  |  | 2004 | 6 | -- | 1501 | 72839 | 1973 | 0.58 | 1.00 | 1.77 |
|  |  | 2005 | 6 | -- | 1337 | 78421 | 2239 | 0.75 | 1.30 | 2.25 |
|  |  | 2006 | 9 | -- | 1497 | 78096 | 2981 | 1.00 | 1.67 | 2.58 |
|  |  | 2007 | 9 | - | 1577 | 72800 | 4404 | 1.33 | 2.42 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2008 | 8 | - | 1886 | 107846 | 5558 | 1.67 | 2.67 | 3.83 |
|  |  | 2009 | 5 | - | 868 | 34542 | 1932 | 1.25 | 2.00 | 3.00 |
|  |  | 2010 | 6 | -- | 1068 | 54210 | 2653 | 1.33 | 2.33 | 3.33 |
|  |  | 2011 | 9 | - | 1549 | 71282 | 4762 | 1.75 | 2.92 | 4.08 |
|  |  | 2012 | 9 | -- | 1107 | 55457 | 3546 | 2.08 | 2.92 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2013 | 9 | -- | 1459 | 77906 | 3294 | 1.35 | 2.17 | 2.92 |
|  |  | 2014 | 9 | -- | 1696 | 103172 | 4731 | 1.67 | 2.60 | 3.67 |
|  |  | 2015 | 9 | -- | 1519 | 68435 | 5691 | 1.42 | 2.70 | 5.67 |
|  |  | 2016 | 9 | -- | 2205 | 108781 | 7291 | 2.08 | 3.17 | 4.25 |
|  |  | 2017 | 9 | -- | 2159 | 137104 | 5716 | 1.57 | 2.50 | 3.50 |
|  |  | 2018 | 9 | -- | 1971 | 116005 | 6994 | 2.25 | 3.33 | 4.64 |
|  |  | 2019 | 9 | -- | 1948 | 116352 | 6221 | 1.85 | 3.00 | 4.17 |
|  |  | 2020 | 10 | -- | 1505 | 111015 | 4975 | 1.67 | 2.92 | 4.42 |
|  |  | 2021 | 10 | -. | 1522 | 103261 | 4891 | 1.68 | 2.93 | 4.32 |
|  | Midwater Hake Mothership Catcher Vessel | 2002 | 11 | -- | 574 | 26489 | 1625 | 1.25 | 2.50 | 3.94 |
|  |  | 2003 | 12 | -- | 536 | 25323 | 501 | 0.42 | 0.67 | 1.25 |
|  |  | 2004 | 10 | -- | 571 | 24004 | 797 | 0.58 | 1.08 | 1.75 |
|  |  | 2005 | 18 | -- | 1040 | 48553 | 1883 | 0.67 | 1.33 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 2006 | 20 | -- | 1283 | 54034 | 2326 | 0.67 | 1.25 | 2.50 |
|  |  | 2007 | 20 | -- | 1147 | 47213 | 3134 | 1.33 | 2.33 | 3.76 |
|  |  | 2008 | 19 | -- | 1349 | 57736 | 3866 | 1.08 | 2.30 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2009 | 19 | -- | 600 | 24032 | 1686 | 1.31 | 2.46 | 3.92 |
|  |  | 2010 | 21 | -- | 908 | 35722 | 2805 | 1.48 | 2.59 | 4.25 |
|  |  | 2011 | 18 | -- | 1248 | 49932 | 2976 | 0.88 | 1.75 | 3.17 |
|  |  | 2012 | 16 | -- | 949 | 37997 | 3162 | 1.67 | 2.78 | 4.50 |
|  |  | 2013 | 18 | -- | 1256 | 52305 | 3076 | 1.08 | 2.00 | 3.33 |
|  |  | 2014 | 19 | -- | 1308 | 61794 | 3547 | 1.00 | 1.83 | 3.42 |
|  |  | 2015 | 14 | -- | 640 | 27549 | 2135 | 1.25 | 2.25 | 4.00 |
|  |  | 2016 | 17 | -- | 1565 | 64598 | 5502 | 1.58 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
|  |  | 2017 | 15 | -- | 1309 | 65358 | 3661 | 1.13 | 2.13 | 3.83 |
|  |  | 2018 | 17 | -- | 1535 | 65979 | 4552 | 0.93 | 2.00 | 3.92 |
|  |  | 2019 | 19 | -- | 1232 | 51829 | 3748 | 1.22 | 2.48 | 4.26 |
|  |  | 2020 | 15 | -- | 769 | 37261 | 2002 | 0.92 | 1.75 | 3.25 |
|  |  | 2021 | 17 | -- | 716 | 35507 | 2636 | 1.41 | 3.00 | 5.17 |

TABLE 3. Effort by fixed gear sectors. Trips in the non-catch shares sectors are estimated based on landings by a vessel on a unique day. See Table 4 for coverage rates.

| Sector and Gear |  | Year | Fleetwide |  |  |  | Observed Gear Units per Set |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Groundfish | Estimated |  |  |  |
|  |  | Vessels | Trips | Retained (mt) | Total Gear Units | Lower Quartile | Median | Upper Quartile |
| Pot | Non-Catch Shares |  | 2002 | 105 | 1086 | 475 | 31039 | 8 | 19 | 38 |
|  |  |  | 2003 | 130 | 1312 | 808 | 49434 | 9 | 25 | 32 |
|  |  | 2004 | 99 | 1097 | 825 | 59433 | 10 | 18 | 38 |
|  |  | 2005 | 139 | 1349 | 1007 | 50289 | 22 | 24 | 35 |
|  |  | 2006 | 233 | 1926 | 1065 | 56879 | 8 | 43 | 50 |
|  |  | 2007 | 170 | 1423 | 698 | 44074 | 12 | 20 | 46 |
|  |  | 2008 | 153 | 1441 | 693 | 38850 | 35 | 39 | 41 |
|  |  | 2009 | 167 | 1468 | 878 | 51130 | 10 | 49 | 60 |
|  |  | 2010 | 144 | 1260 | 846 | 65840 | 20 | 25 | 47 |
|  |  | 2011 | 155 | 1051 | 659 | 41280 | 21 | 36 | 41 |
|  |  | 2012 | 124 | 697 | 426 | 56838 | 25 | 33 | 46 |
|  |  | 2013 | 72 | 530 | 374 | 19803 | 6 | 16 | 35 |
|  |  | 2014 | 98 | 515 | 493 | 34797 | 19 | 32 | 44 |
|  |  | 2015 | 138 | 854 | 590 | 29848 | 30 | 33 | 39 |
|  |  | 2016 | 159 | 938 | 596 | 46037 | 27 | 34 | 42 |
|  |  | 2017 | 152 | 950 | 607 | 38886 | 10 | 30 | 48 |
|  |  | 2018 | 144 | 704 | 597 | 37105 | 26 | 33 | 39 |
|  |  | 2019 | 105 | 599 | 612 | 49153 | 32 | 39 | 48 |
|  |  | 2020 | 86 | 363 | 623 | 39545 | 28 | 37 | 46 |
|  |  | 2021 | 75 | 412 | 673 | 27400 | 30 | 34 | 40 |
|  | Catch Shares | 2011 | 18 | 218 | 789 | 41310 | 11 | 30 | 35 |
|  |  | 2012 | 19 | 247 | 710 | 52116 | 15 | 29 | 42 |
|  |  | 2013 | 11 | 93 | 502 | 29982 | 19 | 26 | 35 |
|  |  | 2014 | 13 | 103 | 619 | 31754 | 12 | 30 | 35 |
|  |  | 2015 | 13 | 115 | 745 | 32482 | 27 | 31 | 38 |
|  |  | 2016 | 14 | 128 | 824 | 34793 | 19 | 26 | 34 |
|  |  | 2017 | 14 | 124 | 860 | 40615 | 21 | 26 | 34 |
|  |  | 2018 | 12 | 91 | 707 | 29329 | 27 | 38 | 49 |
|  |  | 2019 | 13 | 130 | 867 | 33979 | 25 | 32 | 45 |
|  |  | 2020 | 10 | 119 | 729 | 32614 | 45 | 49 | 50 |
|  |  | 2021 | 8 | 87 | 679 | 24935 | 33 | 50 | 52 |
| Hook-andLine | Non-Catch Shares | 2002 | 455 | 4395 | 2094 | 7966946 | 1280 | 2000 | 2560 |
|  |  | 2003 | 498 | 4655 | 2274 | 10817806 | 1005 | 2080 | 3000 |
|  |  | 2004 | 486 | 4035 | 2342 | 9624423 | 878 | 1476 | 2400 |
|  |  | 2005 | 505 | 4406 | 2732 | 8546003 | 968 | 2338 | 3100 |
|  |  | 2006 | 533 | 4148 | 2532 | 11195417 | 1035 | 2175 | 2988 |
|  |  | 2007 | 508 | 3991 | 2101 | 9290013 | 842 | 2025 | 2908 |
|  |  | 2008 | 472 | 4613 | 2350 | 11286289 | 1239 | 2325 | 3000 |
|  |  | 2009 | 494 | 5474 | 2968 | 26552037 | 1011 | 1826 | 3000 |
|  |  | 2010 | 474 | 6058 | 3180 | 21263056 | 900 | 1890 | 3158 |
|  |  | 2011 | 518 | 5554 | 2961 | 21469414 | 899 | 1600 | 3140 |
|  |  | 2012 | 483 | 4685 | 2351 | 18284681 | 1500 | 2700 | 3600 |
|  |  | 2013 | 485 | 4085 | 1890 | 15065733 | 2091 | 2856 | 3480 |
|  |  | 2014 | 516 | 4072 | 1796 | 11510664 | 1500 | 2500 | 3200 |
|  |  | 2015 | 674 | 4682 | 2160 | 9252980 | 1300 | 2432 | 3215 |
|  |  | 2016 | 618 | 4365 | 2185 | 11078120 | 1431 | 2657 | 3287 |
|  |  | 2017 | 610 | 4680 | 2389 | 11860064 | 2213 | 2759 | 3414 |
|  |  | 2018 | 610 | 4366 | 2262 | 11022083 | 1805 | 2560 | 3384 |
|  |  | 2019 | 507 | 3870 | 2020 | 9980575 | 1626 | 2430 | 3293 |
|  |  | 2020 | 452 | 3487 | 1552 | 9180567 | 1139 | 2530 | 3040 |
|  |  | 2021 | 419 | 3204 | 1663 | 6284939 | 1320 | 2237 | 3300 |
|  | Catch Shares | 2011 | 13 | 107 | 364 | 2247803 | 1963 | 3540 | 4800 |
|  |  | 2012 | 9 | 37 | 271 | 1457954 | 1730 | 2842 | 3719 |
|  |  | 2013 | 9 | 29 | 80 | 587238 | 190 | 2484 | 3404 |
|  |  | 2014 | 12 | 43 | 179 | 579183 | 90 | 2497 | 3382 |
|  |  | 2015 | 5 | 16 | 138 | 577070 | 2338 | 3208 | 4009 |
|  |  | 2016 | 7 | 33 | 201 | 1005900 | 2418 | 3099 | 3953 |
|  |  | 2017 | 3 | 12 | 116 | 464557 | 2560 | 3200 | 3840 |
|  |  | 2018 | 4 | 11 | 164 | 473437 | 2563 | 3503 | 4152 |
|  |  | 2019 | 3 | 10 | 141 | 452294 | 2534 | 3200 | 3849 |
|  |  | 2020 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2021 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |

TABLE 4. Observed effort in NCS fixed gear sectors.


TABLE 5. Lost and recovered gear on hauls observed in shoreside federal groundfish fisheries. Dashes represent no available data and where data are not applicable.

| Sector | Gear | Year | Observed | Trips | Hauls | Effort (hours or hooks/pots) | Retained Target <br> Species (mt) | Fleetwide Targeted Species or Groups Retained (mt) | \% Landings Observed | Observed Hauls with Lost Gear | \% Observed Hauls with Lost Gear | Observed Fixed Gear Lost | \% Observed <br> Fixed Gear Lost | Observed Hauls <br> Recovering Derelict <br> Gear | \% Observed Hauls <br> Recovering Derelict <br> Gear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limited Entry Trawl | Bottom Trawl | 2002 | 132 | 570 | 3185 | 13606.37 | 2496.3 | 17393.7 | 14\% | 2 | 0.06\% | -- | -- | 64 | 2.01\% |
|  |  | 2003 | 125 | 465 | 2315 | 11599.6 | 2433.6 | 17405.3 | 14\% | 7 | 0.30\% | -- | - | 72 | 3.11\% |
|  |  | 2004 | 103 | 616 | 3482 | 13921.86 | 4176.0 | 17096.9 | 24\% | 2 | 0.06\% | -- | -- | 102 | 2.93\% |
|  |  | 2005 | 105 | 524 | 3504 | 12715.41 | 4042.8 | 18420.8 | 22\% | 4 | 0.11\% | -- | -- | 167 | 4.77\% |
|  |  | 2006 | 37 | 476 | 3025 | 11577.61 | 3247.0 | 16773.5 | 19\% | 4 | 0.13\% | -- | -- | 250 | 8.26\% |
|  |  | 2007 | 88 | 374 | 2549 | 11457.89 | 3311.3 | 19575.5 | 17\% | 8 | 0.31\% | -- | -- | 138 | 5.41\% |
|  |  | 2008 | 100 | 438 | 3224 | 15129.47 | 4670.5 | 22929.6 | 20\% | 5 | 0.16\% | -- | -- | 162 | 5.02\% |
|  |  | 2009 | 101 | 590 | 4455 | 19786.54 | 5947.3 | 25576.3 | $23 \%$ | 5 | $0.11 \%$ | -- | -- | 239 | 5.36\% |
|  |  | 2010 | 83 | 348 | 2640 | 13151.99 | 4042.4 | 22133.8 | 18\% | 3 | 0.11\% | --- | --- | 87 | 3.30\% |
| Catch Shares | Bottom and Midwater Trawl | 2011 | 72 | 1134 | 9180 | 40120.12 | 16964.3 | 17086.1 | 99\% | 11 | 0.12\% | -- | -- | 403 | 4.39\% |
|  |  | 2012 | 67 | 1089 | 8944 | 37961.1 | 16902.2 | 17050.3 | 99\% | 4 | 0.04\% | -- | -- | 362 | 4.05\% |
|  |  | 2013 | 68 | 1193 | 9995 | 42000.31 | 18484.2 | 18571.2 | 100\% | 5 | 0.05\% | -- | -- | 301 | 3.01\% |
|  |  | 2014 | 64 | 1032 | 8314 | 34154.95 | 15731.2 | 15838.7 | 99\% | 2 | 0.02\% | -- | -- | 264 | 3.18\% |
|  |  | 2015 | 60 | 904 | 7467 | 28816.8 | 15590.3 | 15658.2 | 100\% | 2 | 0.03\% | -- | -- | 281 | 3.76\% |
|  |  | 2016 | 53 | 802 | 6598 | 24951.63 | 14900.9 | 15002.8 | 99\% | 4 | 0.06\% | -- | -- | 192 | 2.91\% |
|  | Bottom Traw | 2017 | 54 | 839 | 6388 | 25112.3 | 16096.9 | 16125.4 | 100\% | 4 | 0.06\% | -- | -- | 195 | 3.05\% |
|  |  | 2018 | 48 | 695 | 5364 | 19434.93 | 12689.4 | 12780.0 | 99\% | 1 | 0.02\% | -- | -- | 136 | 2.54\% |
|  |  | 2019 | 45 | 647 | 5014 | 16805.39 | 12668.5 | 12741.3 | 99\% | 4 | 0.08\% | -- | -- | 177 | 3.53\% |
|  |  | 2020 | 42 | 519 | 3597 | 10769.24 | 9513.0 | 10500.7 | 91\% | 0 | 0.00\% | -- | -- | 203 | $5.64 \%$ |
|  |  | 2021 | 38 | 565 | 3874 | 11655.17 | 10871.4 | 11241.6 | 97\% | 3 | 0.08\% | -- | --- | 219 | 5.65\% |
| Catch Shares EM | Bottom and Midwater Trawl | 2016 | 7 | 29 | 182 | 918.62 | 487.2 | 1755.9 | 28\% | 0 | 0.00\% | -- |  | 3 | 1.65\% |
|  |  | 2017 | 8 | 25 | 152 | 679.21 | 469.3 | 2761.1 | 17\% | 1 | 0.66\% | -- | -- | 5 | 3.29\% |
|  |  | 2018 | 9 | 54 | 309 | 1162.37 | 690.7 | 2285.3 | 30\% | 1 | 0.32\% | -- | -- | 10 | 3.24\% |
|  |  | 2019 | 8 | 51 | 278 | 1000.96 | 582.8 | 2343.8 | 25\% | 2 | 0.72\% | -- | -- | 7 | 2.52\% |
|  |  | 2020 | 5 | 13 | 66 | 210.94 | 160.0 | 2065.3 | 8\% | 0 | 0.00\% | -- | - - | 3 | 4.55\% |
|  |  | 2021 | 6 | 23 | 108 | 284.61 | 163.6 | 1512.5 | 11\% | 0 | 0.00\% | -- | -- | 4 | 3.70\% |
| Catch Shares | Midwater Rockfish Trawl | 2014 | 9 | 34 | 133 | 268.46 | 873.7 | 873.7 | 100\% | 0 | 0.00\% | -- |  | 1 | ${ }^{0.75 \%}$ |
|  |  | 2015 | 7 | 43 | 147 | 246.47 | 968.5 | 968.5 | 100\% | 0 | 0.00\% | -- | -- | 1 | 0.68\% |
|  |  | 2018 | 13 | 200 | 383 | 836.41 | 6448.6 | 6448.6 | 100\% | 0 | 0.00\% | -- | -- | 1 | 0.26\% |
|  |  | 2019 | 13 | 181 | 362 | 776.44 | 5311.4 | 5320.0 | 100\% | 0 | 0.00\% | -- | --- | 1 | 0.28\% |
| Catch Shares | Shoreside Hake Trawl | 2011 | 27 | 929 | 1717 | 3974.59 | 90248.8 | 90248.8 | 100\% | 0 | 0.00\% | -- | -- | 17 | 0.99\% |
|  |  | 2012 | 24 | 744 | 1601 | 5960.79 | 65288.0 | 65288.0 | 100\% | 0 | 0.00\% | -- | -- | 1 | 0.06\% |
|  |  | 2013 | 24 | 960 | 1734 | 4628.08 | 96867.8 | 96867.8 | 100\% | 0 | 0.00\% | -- | -- | 8 | 0.46\% |
|  |  | 2014 | 25 | 996 | 1725 | 4732.66 | 97925.2 | 97982.7 | 100\% | 0 | 0.00\% | -- | -- | 9 | 0.52\% |
|  |  | 2018 | 5 | 5107 | 180 | 608.84 | 9746.0 | 9746.0 | 100\% | 0 | 0.00\% | - | - | 4 | 2.22\% |
| Catch Shares | Hook and Line | 2011 | 11 | 1194 | 624 | 2247803 | 334.0 | 335.9 | 99\% | 6 | 0.96\% | 4286 | 0.19\% | 2 | 0.32\% |
|  |  | 2012 | 8 | 32 | 501 | 1457954 | 239.0 | 241.3 | 99\% | 7 | 1.40\% | 12057 | 0.83\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  |  | 2013 | 8 | 29 | 215 | 587238 | 79.4 | 79.4 | 100\% | 4 | 1.86\% | 4810 | 0.82\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  |  | 2014 | 8 | 31 | 219 | 579183 | 85.2 | 98.4 | $87 \%$ | 5 | 2.28\% | 79 | 0.01\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  |  | 2015 | 5 | 16 | 180 | 577070 | 133.4 | 137.8 | 97\% | 1 | 0.56\% | 382 | 0.07\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  |  | 2016 | 5 | 30 | 322 | 1005900 | 177.2 | 192.7 | 92\% | 3 | 0.93\% | 6172 | 0.61\% | 1 | 0.31\% |
|  |  | 2017 | 4 | $4 \quad 13$ | 145 | 464557 | 112.9 | 116.4 | 97\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0.69\% |
|  |  | 2018 | 4 | 410 | 135 | 473437 | 152.3 | 164.0 | 93\% | 1 | 0.74\% | 513 | 0.11\% | 0 | 0.00\% |

TABLE 5, CONTINUED.
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TABLE 6. Observed hauls with lost and recovered gear in the $100 \%$ observed at-sea midwater whiting fisheries.

| Sector | Year | Total Hauls | Hauls with Lost Gear | \% Hauls with Lost Gear | Recovering <br> Gear |  | Estimated Lost Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catcher Processor | 2002 | 559 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2003 | 768 | 1 | 0.13\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2004 | 1501 | 1 | 0.07\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2005 | 1337 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2006 | 1497 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2007 | 1577 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2008 | 1886 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2009 | 868 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2010 | 1068 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2011 | 1549 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2012 | 1107 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2013 | 1459 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2014 | 1696 | 1 | 0.06\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2015 | 1519 | 1 | 0.07\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 4.00 |
|  | 2016 | 2205 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2017 | 2159 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2018 | 1971 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2019 | 1948 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2020 | 1505 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2021 | 1522 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| Mothership Catcher Vessel | 2002 | 574 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2003 | 536 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2004 | 571 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2005 | 1040 | 1 | 0.10\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 20.00 |
|  | 2006 | 1283 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2007 | 1147 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2008 | 1349 | 1 | 0.07\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 65.00 |
|  | 2009 | 600 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2010 | 908 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2011 | 1248 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2012 | 949 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2013 | 1256 | 1 | 0.08\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 18.14 |
|  | 2014 | 1308 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2015 | 640 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2016 | 1565 | 2 | 0.13\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 63.61 |
|  | 2017 | 1309 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2018 | 1535 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2019 | 1232 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2020 | 769 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 2021 | 716 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/west-coast/science-data/fisheries-observation-science-west-coast
    ${ }^{2}$ Somers, K. A., K. Richerson, V. Tuttle, and J. T. McVeigh. 2022. Fisheries Observation Science Program Coverage Rates, 2002-21. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Data Report DR-2022-02.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ https://desktop.arcgis.com/en/arcmap/10.7/tools/spatial-analyst-toolbox/how-line-density-works.htm

