DRAFT – Subject to Change and Approval by the ISC22 Plenary

INTER-AMERICAN TROPICAL TUNA COMMISSION

SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

13TH MEETING

(*by videoconference*)

16-20 May 2022

DOCUMENT SAC-13 INF-R

ISC PBFWG

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (*DRAFT*)

1. Stock Identification and Distribution

Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) has a single Pacific-wide stock managed by both the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC) and the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (IATTC). Although found throughout the North Pacific Ocean, spawning grounds are recognized only in the western North Pacific Ocean (WPO). A portion of each cohort makes trans-Pacific migrations from the WPO to the eastern North Pacific Ocean (EPO), spending up to several years of its juvenile life stage in the EPO before returning to the WPO.

2. Catch History

While there are few Pacific bluefin tuna (PBF) catch records prior to 1952, PBF landings records are available dating back to 1804 from coastal Japan and to the early 1900s for U.S. fisheries operating in the EPO. Based on these landing records, PBF catch is estimated to be high from 1929 to 1940, with a peak catch of approximately 47,635 t (36,217 t in the WPO and 11,418 t in the EPO) in 1935; thereafter catches of PBF dropped precipitously due to World War II. PBF catches increased significantly in 1949 as Japanese fishing activities expanded across the North Pacific Ocean. By 1952, a more consistent catch reporting process was adopted by most fishing nations and estimated annual catches of PBF fluctuated widely from 1952 to 2020 (Figure 1). During this period reported catches peaked at 40,383 t in 1956 and reached a low of 8,653 t in 1990. The reported catch in 2019 and 2020 was 11,557 t and 13,779 t, respectively including non-member countries of the International Scientific Committee for Tuna and Tuna-like Species in the North Pacific Ocean (ISC).

Management measures were implemented by Regional Fisheries Management Organizations (RFMOs) beginning in 2011 (WCPFC in 2011 and IATTC in 2012) and became stricter in 2015. While a suite of fishing gears have been used to catch PBF, the majority of the catch is currently made by purse seine fisheries (Figure 2). Catches during 1952-2020 were predominately composed of juvenile PBF; the catch of age 0 PBF has increased significantly since the early 1990s but declined as the total catch in weight declined since the mid-2000s due to stricter control of juvenile catch (Figures 1 and 3).



Figure 1. Annual catch (ton) of Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) by ISC member countries from 1952 through 2020 (calendar year) based on ISC official statistics.



Figure 2. Annual catch (ton) of Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) by gear type by ISC member countries from 1952 through 2020 (calendar year) based on ISC official statistics.



Figure 3. Estimated annual catch-at-age (number of fish) of Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) by fishing year by the base-case model (1952-2020).

3. Data and Assessment

Population dynamics were estimated using a fully integrated age-structured model (Stock Synthesis (SS) v3.30) fitted to catch (retained and discarded), size-composition, and catch-per-unit of effort (CPUE) based abundance indices data from 1952 to 2020 fishing years (FY), provided by Members of (ISC), Pacific Bluefin Tuna Working Group (PBFWG) and non-ISC countries obtained through the Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC). Life history parameters included a length-at-age relationship from otolith-derived ages and natural mortality estimates from a tag-recapture study and empirical-life history methods. The assessment model is a single-area model and assumes "areas-as-fleets" fishery selectivity. The 2022 base-case model maintained most of the model structure and settings from the previous benchmark assessment in 2020.

A total of 25 fleets were defined for use in the stock assessment model based on country/gear/season/region stratification until the end of the 2020 FY (June 2021). Quarterly observations of catch and size compositions, when available, were used as inputs to the model to describe the removal processes. Annual estimates of standardized CPUE from the Japanese distant water, off-shore and coastal longline, the Taiwanese longline, and the Japanese troll fleets were used as measures of the relative abundance of the population. The CPUE data from Japanese longline (adult index) in 2020 and Japanese troll (recruitment index) after 2016 were not included in the model as these observations may be biased due to the additional management measures implemented in Japan. The assessment model was fitted to the input data in a likelihood-based statistical framework. Maximum likelihood estimates of model parameters, derived outputs, and their variances were used to characterize stock status and to develop stock projections.

After implementing minor improvements and refinements, the PBFWG found that the 2022 base-case model is consistent with the 2020 assessment results, that it fits the data well and the results are internally consistent among most of the data sources. The model diagnostics concluded that the model captures the production function of PBF well, thus its estimated biomass scale is reliable, and that the model has good predictability. Based on these observations, the PBFWG concluded that the 2022 assessment model reliably represents the population dynamics and is the best available scientific information for the PBF stock.

4. Stock Status and Conservation Information

The base-case model results ,reported by fishing year (FY) unless otherwise specified, show that: (1) spawning stock biomass (SSB) fluctuated throughout the assessment period (1952-2020); (2) the SSB steadily declined from 1996 to 2010; (3) the SSB has increased since 2011 resulting in the 2020 SSB being back to the 1996 level; (4) total biomass after 2011 continued to increase with an increase in young fish, creating the 2nd highest biomass peak in the assessed history in 2020; (5) fishing mortality ($F_{\%SPR}$), which declined to a level producing about 1% of SPR[1] in 2004-2009, bounced back to a level producing 30.7% of SPR in 2018-2020; and (6) SSB in 2020 was 10.2% of $SSB_{F=0}$, an increase from the 5.6% of $SSB_{F=0}$ estimated for 2018 in the 2020 assessment (2018 was the last year of the 2020 assessment). Based on the model diagnostics, the estimated biomass trend for the last 40 years is considered robust although SSB prior to the 1980s is uncertain due to data limitations. The SSB in 2020 was estimated to be around 65,464 t (Table 1 and Figure 4), which is a 30,000 t increase from 2018 according to the base-case model. An increase of young fish (0-2 years old) biomass was observed in 2016-2020 (Figure 5), likely resulting from low fishing mortality on those fish (Figure 6) and is expected to accelerate the recovery of SSB in the future even further.

[1] SPR (spawning potential ratio) is the ratio of the cumulative spawning biomass that an average recruit is expected to produce over its lifetime when the stock is fished at the current fishing level to the cumulative spawning biomass that could be produced by an average recruit over its lifetime if the stock was unfished. $F_{\%SPR}$: F that produces % of the spawning potential ratio (i.e., 1-%SPR).

Table 1. Total biomass, spawning stock biomass, recruitment, spawning potential ratio, and depletion ratio ($SSB/SSB_{F=0}$) of Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) estimated by the base-case model, 1952-2020 FY.

Year	Total Biomass (t)	Spawning Stock Biomass (t)	Recruitment (1,000 fish)	Spawning Potential Ratio	Depletion Ratio
1952	134,789	103,359	14,008	11.6%	16.1%
1953	136,421	97,912	20,617	12.9%	15.2%
1954	146,892	88,019	34,911	7.9%	13.7%
1955	156,701	75,353	13,343	11.4%	11.7%
1956	176,167	67,818	33,476	15.8%	10.5%
1957	193,973	77,053	11,635	10.8%	12.0%
1958	202,415	100,943	3,203	19.5%	15.7%
1959	209,868	136,650	7,709	23.9%	21.2%
1960	202,700	144,704	7,554	17.3%	22.5%
1961	194,047	156,534	23,235	3.4%	24.3%
1962	177,257	141,792	10,774	10.9%	22.0%
1963	166,291	120,933	27,842	6.6%	18.8%
1964	154,459	106,314	5,689	7.5%	16.5%
1965	142,916	93,572	10,955	3.0%	14.5%
1966	120,164	89,589	8,556	0.1%	13.9%
1967	105,483	83,751	10,951	1.1%	13.0%
1968	91,650	77,872	14,356	1.4%	12.1%
1969	80,731	64,561	6,450	8.6%	10.0%
1970	74,490	54,181	7,182	2.9%	8.4%
1971	66,467	47,017	12,407	1.3%	7.3%
1972	64,098	40,725	22,890	0.3%	6.3%
1973	62,899	35,510	11,251	5.6%	5.5%
1974	65,165	28,711	13,983	6.3%	4.5%
1975	65,978	26,420	11,223	8.9%	4.1%
1976	65,030	29,152	8,071	3.1%	4.5%
1977	74,864	35,066	25,589	3.7%	5.4%
1978	76,566	32,974	14,317	5.0%	5.1%
1979	73,608	27,866	12,876	8.2%	4.3%
1980	72,844	29,713	6,554	6.2%	4.6%
1981	57,749	27,591	13,360	0.3%	4.3%
1982	40,714	24,235	6,454	0.0%	3.8%
1983	33,472	14,773	10,090	6.0%	2.3%
1984	37,662	12,895	9,063	5.3%	2.0%
1985	39,805	12,957	9,654	2.7%	2.0%
1986	34,473	15,316	7,939	1.1%	2.4%
1987	32,080	14,105	5,980	8.2%	2.2%
1988	38,238	15,059	9,483	11.0%	2.3%
1989	42,074	14,888	4,291	14.6%	2.3%
1990	57,971	18,994	17,436	18.4%	3.0%
1991	69,431	25,290	10,617	9.8%	3.9%
1992	76,142	32,456	3,968	14.7%	5.0%
1993	83,395	43,890	4,430	16.8%	6.8%
1994	97,472	50,177	29,319	13.5%	7.8%
1995	93,999	62,246	16,012	5.2%	9.7%
1996	96,300	61,563	17,964	8.8%	9.6%
1997	90,121	56,179	11,082	6.0%	8.7%
1998	95,748	55,612	16,075	4.2%	8.6%
1999	91,805	51,374	22,755	3.4%	8.0%
2000	76,307	48,461	14,385	1.7%	7.5%
2001	77,426	46,059	17,302	9.5%	7.2%
2002	75,311	43,899	13,541	5.7%	6.8%
2003	67,904	43,152	7,157	2.3%	6.7%
2004	65,640	35,881	27,746	1.4%	5.6%
2005	55,074	29,159	15,118	0.7%	4.5%
2006	43,314	23,294	13,540	1.1%	3.6%
2007	42,659	18,424	22,227	0.5%	2.9%
2008	38,290	13,716	21,072	0.6%	2.1%
2009	33,985	10,195	8,277	1.2%	1.6%
2010	36,969	9,761	17,952	2.4%	1.5%
2011	38,817	11,183	13,526	4.9%	1.7%
2012	42,482	13,902	7,169	8.2%	2.2%
2013	52,764	16,313	13,169	5.7%	2.5%
2014	53,075	19,185	3,641	11.1%	3.0%
2015	59,220	23,640	8,653	12.5%	3.7%
2016	69,494	30,516	16,690	12.8%	4.7%
2017	82,681	32,538	10,895	21.9%	5.1%
2018	103,849	35,741	11,145	28.3%	5.6%
2019	129,972	45,173	11,843	28.8%	7.0%
2020	156,517	65,464	11,316	35.1%	10.2%
Median(1952-2020)	74,864	35,881	11,635	6.2%	5.6%
Average(1952-2020)	89,353	49,845	13,390	8.3%	7.7%



Figure 4. Maximum likelihood estimates of total stock biomass (top), spawning stock biomass (middle), and recruitment (bottom) of Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) (1952-2020) estimated from the base-case model. The solid line represents the point estimates and dashed lines

delineate the 90% confidence interval by bootstrapping. Note that the bootstrap confidence interval may not capture the full uncertainty around the recruitment estimates for 2017-2020.



Figure 5. Total biomass (tonnes) by age of Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) estimated from the base-case model (1952-2020). Note that the recruitment estimates for 2017-2020 may be more uncertain than in other years.

Historical recruitment estimates have fluctuated since 1952 without an apparent trend (Figure 4). Currently, stock projections assume that future recruitment will fluctuate around the historical (1952-2019 FY) average recruitment level after the initial rebuilding target is reached. No significant autocorrelation was found in recruitment estimates, supporting the use in the projections of recruitment sampled at random from the historical timeseries. In addition, now that SSB has recovered to be larger than the historical median, the PBFWG considers that the assumption that future recruitment will fluctuate within the historical range is reasonable. The recruitment index based on the Japanese troll CPUE has proven to be an informative indicator of recruitment in PBF assessments. However, the present assessment does not use the recruitment index for the recent period (2017-2020) due to the possible change in catchability caused by the change in fishing operations following management intervention. Due to a lack of data to inform trends in recent recruitment, the mean recruitment estimates for 2017-2020 are primarily estimated by the stock-recruitment relationship and are more uncertain than for other years. If recruitment in this period is in fact below average, the projections would be more pessimistic, while the impact on the current status would be minimal as those cohorts have not grown to contribute to the SSB. The PBFWG, therefore, investigated the projection results based on a model which includes the recruitment monitoring survey CPUE index for the recent period, which provided slightly pessimistic recruitment estimates for the assessment terminal years. That analysis provided slightly more pessimistic results as compared to those using the base-case model, but not at a level that would necessitate modification of the present management advice based on the base-case model. Note that the PBFWG decided not to include the recruitment monitoring index in the base case assessment as, due to its short duration (2017-2020), the PBFWG was unable to assess its reliability and consistency with other data sources in the model.

Estimated age-specific fishing mortalities (F) on the stock during the periods of 2011-2013 and 2018-2020 compared with 2002-2004 estimates (the reference period for the WCPFC Conservation and Management Measure) are presented in Figure 6. A substantial decrease in estimated F is observed in ages 0-2 in 2018-2020 FY relative to the previous years.



Figure 6. Geometric means of annual age-specific fishing mortalities (F) of Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) for 2002-2004 (dotted line), 2011-2013 (broken line), and 2018-2020 (solid line).

The WCPFC and IATTC adopted an initial rebuilding biomass target (the median SSB estimated for the period from 1952 to 2014) and a second rebuilding biomass target (20%$SSB_{F=0}$ under average recruitment) but not any fishing mortality reference level. The 2022 assessment estimated the initial rebuilding biomass target ($SSB_{MED1952-2014}$) to be 6.3%$SSB_{F=0}$ and the corresponding fishing mortality expressed as SPR of $F_{6.3\%SPR}$. The Kobe plot shows that the point estimate of the SSB_{2020}

was 10.2%$SSB_{F=0}$ (i.e., SSB was approximately 50% of 20%$SSB_{F=0}$) and that the recent (2018-2020) fishing mortality corresponds to $F_{30.7\%SPR}$, reaching the historical lowest level (Table 1 and Figure 7). Although no reference points have been adopted to evaluate the status of PBF, an evaluation of stock status against some common reference points shows that the stock is overfished relative to the biomass-based limit reference points adopted for other species in WCPFC (20%$SSB_{F=0}$), but that the 2018-2020 fishing mortality was lower than the F corresponding to that reference point (20%SPR) ((1-$SPR_{2018\text{-}2020}$)/(1-$SPR_{20\%}$)=0.87 in Table 2). The PBFWG also investigated the impact of the alternative model incorporating the recruitment monitoring index on the estimation of stock status. This model estimated SSB to be 10.7%$SSB_{F=0}$ in 2020 and F 27.9%SPR in 2018-2020. Biomass and SPR estimates from this model do not differ appreciatively from the base-case model.

Table 2. Ratios of the estimated fishing mortalities (Fs and 1-SPRs for 2002-04, 2011-13, and 2018-2020) relative to potential fishing mortality-based reference points, terminal year SSB (t) for each reference period, and depletion ratio **(SSB/$SSB_{F=0}$)** for the terminal year of the reference period for Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) from the base-case model**.** F_{max}: Fishing mortality (F) that maximizes equilibrium yield per recruit (Y/R). $F_{0.1}$: F at which the slope of the Y/R curve is 10% of the value at its origin. F_{med}: F corresponding to the inverse of the median of the observed R/SSB ratio. $F_{xx\%SPR}$: F that produces a given % of the unfished spawning potential (biomass) under equilibrium conditions.

Reference Period	Fmax	F0.1	Fmed	(1-SPR)/(1-$SPR_{xx\%}$) $SPR_{10\%}$	$SPR_{20\%}$	$SPR_{30\%}$	$SPR_{40\%}$	Estimated SSB for terminal year of each period (ton)	Depletion rate for terminal year of each period (%)
2002-2004	1.96	2.89	1.16	1.08	1.21	1.38	1.61	35,881	5.6%
2011-2013	1.54	2.27	0.87	1.04	1.17	1.34	1.56	16,313	2.5%
2018-2020	0.75	1.14	0.33	0.77	0.87	0.99	1.15	65,464	10.2%



Figure 7. Kobe plots for Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) estimated from the base-case model. The X-axis shows the annual SSB relative to 20%$SSB_{F=0}$ and the Y-axis shows the spawning potential ratio (SPR) as a measure of fishing mortality. Vertical and horizontal solid lines in the left figure show 20%$SSB_{F=0}$ (which corresponds to the second biomass rebuilding target) and the corresponding fishing mortality that produces SPR, respectively. Vertical and horizontal broken lines in both figures show the initial biomass rebuilding target (SSB_{MED} = 6.3%$SSB_{F=0}$) and the corresponding fishing mortality that produces SPR, respectively. SSB_{MED} is calculated as the median of estimated SSB in 1952-2014. The left figure shows the historical trajectory, where the open circle indicates the first year of the assessment (1952), the solid circle indicates the last year of the assessment (2020), and grey crosses indicate the uncertainty of estimates in 2020 using bootstrapping. The right figure shows the trajectory of the last 30 years.

Figure 8 depicts the historical impacts of the harvest by the fleets on the PBF stock, showing the estimated biomass when fishing mortality from the respective fleets is zero. The impact of the EPO fisheries group was large before the mid-1980s, decreasing significantly thereafter. From the mid-1980s to the late 1990s, the WPO coastal fisheries group has had the greatest impact on the PBF stock. Since the introduction of the WPO purse seine fishery group targeting small fish (ages 0-1), the impact of this group has rapidly increased, and the impact in 2020 was greater than any of the other fishery groups. The WPO longline fisheries group has had a limited effect on the stock throughout the analysis period because the impact of a fishery on a stock depends on both the number and size of the fish caught by each fleet; i.e., catching a high number of smaller juvenile fish can have a greater impact on future spawning stock biomass than catching the same weight of larger mature fish. In 2020, the estimated cumulative impact proportion between WPO and EPO fisheries is about 83% and 17%, respectively. There is greater uncertainty associated with the dead discards than other fishery impacts because the impact of discarding is not based on observed data.



Figure 8. The trajectory of the spawning stock biomass of a simulated population of Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) when zero fishing mortality is assumed, estimated by the base-case model. (top: absolute SSB, bottom: relative SSB). In 2020, the estimated cumulative impact proportion between WPO and EPO fisheries is about 83% and 17%, respectively. Fisheries group definition; WPO longline fisheries: F1, F12, F17, F23. WPO purse seine fisheries for small fish: F2, F3, F18, F20. WPO purse seine fisheries for large fish: F4, F5. WPO coastal fisheries: F6-11, F16, F19. EPO fisheries: F13, F14, F15, F24. WPO unaccounted fisheries: F21, 22. EPO unaccounted fisheries: F25. For exact fleet definitions, please see the 2022 PBF stock assessment report.

Stock Status

The PBF spawning stock biomass (SSB) has gradually increased in the last 10 years, and its pace of increase is accelerating. These changes in biomass coincide with a decline in fishing mortality over the last decade. Based on these findings, the following information on the status of the Pacific bluefin tuna stock is provided:

1. **The latest (2020) SSB is estimated to be 10.2% of $SSB_{F=0}$. No biomass-based limit or target reference points have been adopted for PBF, but the PBF stock is overfished relative to the potential biomass-based reference points (20%$SSB_{F=0}$) adopted for other tuna species by the IATTC and WCPFC. On the other hand, SSB reached its initial rebuilding target (SSB_{MED} = 6.3%$SSB_{F=0}$) in 2019, 5 years earlier than originally planned by RFMOs.**
2. **The recent (2018-2020) $F_{\%SPR}$ is estimated to produce 30.7%SPR, indicating that overfishing is no more occurring for PBF relative to the level producing 20%SPR.**

Conservation Advice

After the steady decline in SSB from 1996 to the historically low level in 2010, the PBF stock has started recovering, with recovery being more rapid in recent years, most likely due to more stringent management measures. The 2020 SSB was above the initial rebuilding target while it is still below the second rebuilding target adopted by the WCPFC and IATTC. However, the stock recovery is faster than scheduled. The fishing mortality ($F_{\%SPR}$) in 2018-2020 has reduced to the level producing 30.7%SPR, the lowest ever observed, suggesting the end of overfishing pending adoption of an actual reference level.

The PBFWG conducted projections based on the base-case model under several harvest scenarios and time schedules as requested by the RFMOs. The results are shown in Tables 3-5 and Figure 9. Under all examined scenarios the second rebuilding target of WCPFC and IATTC, rebuilding to 20%$SSB_{F=0}$ by 2029 FY (10 years after reaching the initial rebuilding target) with at least 60% probability, is reached, and the risk of SSB falling below the historical lowest SSB at least once in 10 years is negligible. Also, scenario 5 (the conversion of small fish quota to large fish quota at the current conversion factor of 1.47) makes the second-best among the scenarios examined (Table 4). The Kobe chart of the projection results show that PBF SSB will recover to the 2nd rebuilding target due to reduced fishing mortality (Figure 10). In scenarios 6-9 where future impact ratios between WPO and EPO are specified by the RFMOs, the recovery probability or impact ratio was approximated during the search for the appropriate increase levels. More specifically, those scenarios were tuned to achieve the 2nd rebuilding target (10 years after achieving the initial rebuilding target) with 60% probability, and as a result, the catch increases are much more aggressive than other scenarios.

The PBFWG evaluated projection results of sensitivity models with lower mortality, larger asymptotic length in the von Bertalanffy growth function, lower steepness, or the recent recruitment monitoring index fit. Though projection results from these lower productivity models are more pessimistic than those from the base-case model, the PBFWG concluded that the current advice is robust to these alternative model assumptions.

The projection results assume that the CMMs are fully implemented and are based on certain biological and other assumptions. For example, these future projection results do not contain assumptions about discard mortality. Although the impact of discards on SSB is small compared to other fisheries (Figure 8), discards should be considered in future harvest scenarios. Given the uncertainty in future recruitment and the influence of recruitment on stock biomass as well as the impact of changes in fishing operations due to the management, monitoring recruitment and SSB should continue.

A future Kobe chart and impacts by fleets estimated from projections under the current management scheme are provided in Figures 10 and 11, respectively. Because the projections include catch limits, fishing mortality ($F_{x\%SPR}$) is expected to decline, i.e., SPR will increase, as biomass increases. The same information for all harvest scenarios are provided in the main body of the assessment report.

Table 3. Future projection scenarios for Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*).

Reference No	Harvesting scenarios						
	Catch upper limit increments from status quo			Catch limit in the projection			Note
	WCPO		EPO	WCPO		EPO	
	Small	Large	Commercial	Small	Large	Commercial	
1	New CMM			4,475	7,860	3,995	NC request (paragraph 1; New CMM) WCPFC CMM 2021-02, IATTC Resolution C-21-05
2	New CMM	+500 tons	+500 tons	4,475	8,360	4,495	NC request (Paragraph 1, Appendix table 1st line)
3	10% increase on the New CMM			4,948	8,621	4,395	NC request (Paragraph 1, Appendix table 2nd line)
4	20% increase on the New CMM			5,420	9,382	4,794	NC request (Paragraph 1, Appendix table 3rd line)
5	-580 tons	+853 tons	New CMM	3,895	8,713	3,995	NC request (paragraph 3; conversion factor scenario). Transferring 10% (JPN) and 25% (KOR) of small fish catch quota to their largefish catch quota with the defined conversion factor (1.47).
6	+30%	+30%	+190%	5,893	10,143	11,586	NC request (Achieving 2nd rebuilding target at 10 years after achieving initial rebuilding target in 60 % probability. Fishery impact ratio at rebuilding year is 75:25. Additional quota is assigned proportionally for the WPO fisheries and independently for the EPO commercial fisheries. The balance of additional quota between the WPO and EPO is adjusted to achieve the given fishery impact ratio between them.)
7	New CMM	+130%	+190%	4,475	17,752	11,586	NC request (Achieving 2nd rebuilding target at 10 years after achieving initial rebuilding target in 60 % probability. Fishery impact ratio at rebuilding year is 75:25. Additional quota is assigned only for the WPO large fish fisheries and EPO commercial fisheries. The balance of additional quota between the WPO and EPO is adjusted to achieve the given fishery impact ratio between them)
8	+60%	+60%	+90%	7,310	12,425	7,591	NC request (Achieving 2nd rebuilding target at 10 years after achieving initial rebuilding target in 60 % probability. Fishery impact ratio at rebuilding year is 80:20. Additional quota is assigned proportionally for the WPO fisheries and independently for the EPO commercial fisheries. The balance of additional quota between the WPO and EPO is adjusted to achieve the given fishery impact ratio between them.)
9	New CMM	+230%	+90%	4,475	25,362	7,591	NC request (Achieving 2nd rebuilding target at 10 years after achieving initial rebuilding target in 60 % probability. Fishery impact ratio at rebuilding year is 80:20. Additional quota is assigned only for the WPO large fish fisheries and EPO commercial fisheries. The balance of additional quota between the WPO and EPO is adjusted to achieve the given fishery impact ratio between them)
10	Old CMM (50% of 2002-04 average level)	Old CMM (2002-04 average level)	Old CMM	4,475	6,841	3,300	Old CMM
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 catch for all fisheries

* The Reference number of the Scenario is different from those given by the IATTC-WCPFC NC Joint WG meeting.

* Fishing mortality for scenario 1 is specified as the average level of age-specific fishing mortality during 2002-2004, which is the reference years in the WCPFC. Higher levels of the fishing mortality are specified for other scenarios to fulfill their quota in those projections.

* The Japanese unilateral measure (transferring 250 mt of catch upper limit from that for small PBF to that for large PBF during 2020-2034) is reflected in the projections.

Table 4. Future projection scenarios for Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) and their results on the base-case model. 2nd rebuilding target is 20%$SSB_{F=0}$. SSB_{loss} is the lowest SSB observed.

Reference No	Harvesting scenarios: WCPO Small	WCPO Large	EPO Small	EPO Large	Peformance indicators: The fishing year expected to achive the 2nd rebuilding target with >60% probability	Risk to breach SSB_{loss} at least once by 2030	Probability of achiving the 2nd rebuilding target at 10 years after achieving initial rebuilding target [2029]	Median SSB at 10 years after achieving initial rebuilding target [2029]	Median SSB at 2034	Fishery impact ratio of WPO fishery at 10 years after achieving the initial rebuilding target [2029]	Fishery impact ratio of EPO fishery at 10 years after achieving the initial rebuilding target [2029]
1	New CMM				2023	0%	98.8%	262,795	275,086	81.1%	18.9%
2	New CMM	500 tons increase on the New CMM	500 tons increase on the New CMM		2023	0%	98.2%	256,170	267,802	80.3%	19.7%
3	10% increase on the New CMM				2023	0%	96.9%	245,333	254,681	82.3%	17.7%
4	20% increase on the New CMM				2023	0%	94.0%	227,183	234,053	83.4%	16.6%
5	-580	+853	New CMM		2023	0%	99.3%	269,289	283,541	80.2%	19.8%
6	+30%	+30%	+190%		2023	0%	64.1%	154,417	153,420	75.5%	24.5%
7	New CMM	+130%	+190%		2029	0%	60.0%	147,931	149,723	75.2%	24.8%
8	+60%	+60%	+90%		2023	0%	61.3%	147,275	144,125	80.6%	19.4%
9	New CMM	+230%	+90%		2030	0%	58.6%	145,058	148,828	78.3%	21.7%
10	Old CMM (50% of 2002-04 average level)	Old CMM (2002-04 average level)	Old CMM		2023	0%	99.4%	272,845	320,885	82.1%	17.9%
11	0	0	0		2022	0%	100.0%	478,465	578,729	83.0%	17.0%

* The numbering of Scenarios is different from those given by the IATTC-WCPFC NC Joint WG meeting and the same as Table 3.

* Recruitment is resampled from historical values.

+

Table 5. Expected yield for Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) under various harvesting scenarios based on the base-case model.

Reference No	Harvesting scenarios						Future expected catch							
	Catch upper limit increments from status quo			Catch upper limit in the projection			2024				2034			
	WCPO		EPO	WCPO		EPO	WCPO		EPO		WCPO		EPO	
	Small	Large	Commercial	Small	Large	Commercial	Small	Large	Commercial	Sport	Small	Large	Commercial	Sport
1	New CMM			4,475	7,860	3,995	4,496	7,884	4,008	1,228	4,497	7,922	4,012	1,540
2	New CMM	500 tons increase on the New CMM	500 tons increase on the New CMM	4,475	8,360	4,495	4,496	8,366	4,506	1,216	4,496	8,419	4,510	1,513
3	10% increase on the New CMM			4,948	8,621	4,395	4,965	8,610	4,404	1,189	4,965	8,674	4,407	1,430
4	20% increase on the New CMM			5,420	9,382	4,794	5,434	9,307	4,801	1,150	5,435	9,413	4,802	1,318
5	-580 tons	+853 tons	New CMM	3,895	8,713	3,995	3,916	8,749	4,009	1,250	3,917	8,787	4,013	1,616
6	+30%	+30%	+190%	5,893	10,143	11,586	5,892	10,181	11,521	996	5,889	10,018	11,247	924
7	New CMM	+130%	+190%	4,475	17,752	11,586	4,492	17,733	11,552	1,012	4,491	17,144	11,486	1,079
8	+60%	+60%	+90%	7,310	12,425	7,591	7,240	12,502	7,594	979	7,211	12,073	7,512	841
9	New CMM	+230%	+90%	4,475	25,362	7,591	4,494	23,864	7,601	1,030	4,493	24,055	7,597	1,160
10	Old CMM (50% of 2002-04 average level)	Old CMM (2002-04 average level)	Old CMM	4,475	6,841	3,300	4,497	6,866	3,317	1,243	4,497	6,888	3,319	1,580
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



Figure 9. Comparisons of various projected median SSB for all harvest scenarios examined for Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) obtained from projection results. The black horizontal solid line shows the second rebuilding target for this species (20%$SSB_{F=0}$).



Figure 10. "Future Kobe Plot" based on the median estimates of SSB and SPR from the projections for Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) from Scenario 1 from Table 3.



Figure 11. "Future impact plot" from projection results for Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*) from Scenario 1 of Table 3. The top figure shows absolute biomass and the bottom figure shows relative impacts. The impact is calculated based on the expected increase of SSB in the absence of the respective group of fisheries.