Agenda Item C.3 Supplemental Attachment 7 November 2020

# Agenda Item C.3 -STANDARDIZED BYCTACH REPORTING METHODOLGY

November Council Meeting 2020

Brett Wiedoff - PFMC staff

## ATTACHMENTS

- <u>C.3, Attachment 1</u>: NMFS Final Rule for Standardized Bycatch Reporting Methodology
- <u>C.3, Attachment 2</u>: Summary of Final Rule and Criteria for Consistency Review
- <u>C.3, Attachment 3</u>: Coastal Pelagic Species FMP SBRM Information
- <u>C.3, Attachment 4</u>: Pacific Coast Groundfish FMP SBRM Information
- <u>C.3, Attachment 5</u>: Highly Migratory Species FMP SBRM Information
- <u>C.3, Attachment 6</u>: Pacific Coast Salmon FMP SBRM Information
- <u>C.3.a, Supplemental GMT Report 1</u>
- <u>C.3.a, Supplemental HMSMT Report 1</u>
- <u>C.3.a, Supplemental HMSAS Report 1</u>
- <u>C.3.a, Supplemental CPSMT Report 1</u>
- <u>C.3.a, Supplemental STT Report 1</u>

## **COUNCIL ACTION**

Review Fishery Management Plans for Consistency with the Final Rule and Discuss Next Steps

Note: Just the first step in the review process

#### **Review Process**

- All Amendments must be consistent by Feb 21, 2022 Look again in 5 years
- NOVEMBER 2020- Preliminary review pf each FMP for consistency with the final rule
- NOVEMBER 2020- Identify any FMPs that may need to be amended
- NEXT STEPS: If amendment(s) are needed then schedule a time during a future Council meeting to verify the need, examine the workload associated with development of FMP amendment(s), and schedule further action to complete the amendments
- > 2021 Year At a Glance: June (Scoping), Sept (ROA), Nov (FPA)

## OUTCOMES OF REVIEW

"The review should provide information sufficient for NMFS to determine whether an FMP needs to be amended."

Interpretation:

- Document if FMP is consistent with the final rule, transmit that info to NMFS.
- If amendments are needed, develop proposed amendment(s) and transmit those to NMFS. If changes to fishery research plans associated with FMPs are needed the Council will need to give NMFS guidance.
- Transmit Council's final action(s) to NMFS after November 2021 Council mtg so that FMPs are consistent by Feb 2022.

## PRELIM REVIEW WITH ADVISORY BODIES

- Asked ABs to look at FMP, answer the criteria questions, and use Table 1- Attachment 2 to document first look
- Mark 'yes' where the FMP meets each requirement with section number in FMP. Mark 'no' if info is not found or incomplete, provide section number of FMP if possible.
- Goal: Give Council a sense of what the workload may be
- Council staff will work internally to verify findings (work with ABs if needed) then conduct further scoping at next Council mtg
- SSC may need to be involved in the future

## PRELIM RESULTS

- Coastal Pelagic FMP: Potential deficiencies noted under Data Uncertainty.
- Groundfish FMP: Consistent with final rule under all criteria.
- High Migratory Species FMP: Potential deficiencies noted under Data Uncertainty and Data Use criteria for commercial fisheries. Recreational fisheries information is potentially deficient under all criteria.
- Pacific Salmon FMP: Some potential deficiencies noted under Data Uncertainty criteria.

## **QUESTIONS?**