

PROPOSED AGENDA

Coastal Pelagic Species Advisory Subpanel

Pacific Fishery Management Council
Doubletree by Hilton Sonoma
Cotati Room
One Doubletree Drive
Rohnert Park, CA 94928
Telephone: 707-584-5466

April 10-11, 2019

This meeting of the Coastal Pelagic Species Advisory Subpanel (CPSAS) begins at 8 a.m., Wednesday, April 10, 2019, in conjunction with the April Pacific Fishery Management Council meeting. There will be an opportunity for public comment, at the discretion of the CPSAS Co-Chairs. Breaks will be taken as necessary, at the discretion of the Co-Chairs.

WEDNESDAY APRIL 10, 2019 - 8 AM

A. Call to order, Introductions, Approve Agenda	Mike Okoniewski
(8 a.m., 0.5 hours); (CPSAS may attend NMS Coordination	Diane Pleschner-Steele
Report with Habitat Committee at 8:15am)	Kerry Griffin

B. Overview of June Council Meeting Work Products (8:30 a.m., 1 hour)

Kerry Griffin

C. Exempted Fishing Permits (9:30 a.m., 0.5 hours); (w/SSC, Salon II)

Diane Pleschner-Steele, Mike Okoniewski

D. Pacific Sardine Stock Assessment and Management Measures (10 a.m., 1.25 hours); (w/SSC, Salon II)

Kevin Hill

E. Central Subpopulation of Northern Anchovy (CSNA) Management Update
(11:15 a.m., 1 hour). (w/SSC, Salon II)

SWFSC

LUNCH

F. CSNA Management Update (continued)
(1:30 p.m., 0.5 hours); (w/SSC, Salon II)

André Punt SWFSC

G. CSNA Litigation Response (2 p.m., 1 hour), (w/SSC, Salon II)

SWFSC

BREAK

(3:30 p.m., 1 hour) I. Public Comment Diane Pleschner-Steele (4:30 p.m., 0.5 hours) Mike Okoniewski THURSDAY APRIL 11, 2019 - 8 AM J. Review and Finalize E.3 Report All (8 a.m., 1 hour) K. Work Session and Review Reports All (9 a.m., 3 hours); (NMFS Report on Council floor approx. 11:30 a.m.) LUNCH L. Review and Finalize E. 4 and E.5 Reports All (1 p.m., 1 hour); (EFPs on Council floor approx. 1 p.m.) M. Allocation Review Procedures - Preliminary Jim Seger (2 p.m., 0.5 hours) N. Elections Kerry Griffin (2:30 p.m.; 0.5 hours) O. Navigating the Council Process Mike Burner (3 p.m., 1 hour); (w/CPSMT, Bodega Room) Kris Kleinschmidt P. Public Comment Diane Pleschner-Steele (4 p.m., 0.5 hours) Mike Okoniewski Q. Future Workload Planning All (4:30 p.m., 1 hour) **ADJOURN PFMC**

All

H. Finalize E.2 Report

03/19/19

PROPOSED AGENDA

Coastal Pelagic Species Management Team

Pacific Fishery Management Council
Doubletree by Hilton Sonoma
Bodega Room
One Doubletree Drive
Rohnert Park, CA 94928
Telephone: 707-584-5466

April 9-11, 2019

This meeting of the Coastal Pelagic Species Management Team (CPSMT) begins at 8 a.m., Tuesday April 9, 2019, in conjunction with the April Pacific Fishery Management Council meeting. There will be an opportunity for public comment, at the discretion of the CPSMT Chair. Breaks will be taken as necessary, at the discretion of the Chair.

TUESDAY APRIL 9, 2019 – 8 AM

(4:30 p.m., 1 hour)

A.	Call to order, Introductions, Approve Agenda (8 a.m., 1 hour)	Alan Sarich Kerry Griffin
В.	Management Categories – Review Draft Documents (9 a.m., 1.5 hours)	Lorna Wargo
<i>C</i> .	Stock Assessment Prioritization – Review Draft Documents (10:30 a.m., 1.5 hours)	Alan Sarich
LU	UNCH	
D.	SAFE Document – Discussion (1 p.m., 1 hour)	Kerry Griffin
E .	Discussion on June Products and Expectations (2 p.m., 1 hour); Discussion and next steps	All
F.	Discussion of April Agenda Items and Expectations (3 p.m., 1.5 hours); Discussion and next steps	All
G.	Public Comment	Alan Sarich

WEDNESDAY APRIL 10 – 8 AM

H. Work Session All (8 a.m., 1.5 hours); CPSMT may attend NMS Coordination Report with Habitat Committee at 8:15am I. Exempted Fishing Permits Diane Pleschner-Steele, Mike Okoniewski (9:30 a.m., 0.5 hours); (w/SSC, Salon II) J. Pacific Sardine Stock Assessment and Management Measures Kevin Hill (10 a.m., 1.25 hours); (w/SSC, Salon II) L. Central Subpopulation of Northern Anchovy (CSNA) Management Update André Punt (11:15 a.m., 1 hour); (w/SSC, Salon II) **SWFSC** LUNCH M. CSNA Management Update (continued) André Punt (1:30 p.m., 0.5 hours); (w/SSC, Salon II) **SWFSC** N. CSNA Litigation Response **SWFSC** (2 p.m., 1 hour), (w/SSC, Salon II) **BREAK** O. Finalize E.2 Report All (3:30 p.m., 1 hour) Alan Sarich P. Public Comment (4:30 p.m., 0.5 hours) THURSDAY APRIL 11, 2019 - 8 AM Q. Review and Finalize E.3 Report All (8 a.m., 1 hour); Report to Council (I.4) R. Work Session and Review Reports All (9 a.m., 3 hours); (NMFS Report on Council floor approx. 11:30 a.m.) LUNCH S. Review and Finalize E. 4 and E.5 Reports All (1 p.m., 1.5 hours) T. Allocation Review Procedures - Preliminary Jim Seger (2:30 p.m., 0.5 hours)

U. Navigating the Council Process (3 p.m., 1 hour)(With CPSAS, Bodega Room)

Mike Burner Kris Kleinschmidt

V. Future Workload Planning (4 p.m., 1 hour)

All

ADJOURN

PFMC 03/19/19

PROPOSED AGENDA Enforcement Consultants

Pacific Fishery Management Council
Doubletree by Hilton Sonoma
Vintage Board Room (3rd Floor)
One Doubletree Drive
Rohnert Park, CA 94928
Telephone: 707-584-5466
April 11-15, 2019

THURSDAY, APRIL 11, 2019 – 3:00 PM

A. Call to Order Ryan Howell, Chair

- 1. Introductions
- 2. Review and Adopt Agenda

B. Council Agenda Items for Possible Comment

There may or may not be enforcement issues associated with all of the following items. Items on the Council Agenda, but not listed here, may also be considered during the Enforcement Consultants (EC) meeting.

F. Salmon Management

- F.1 Tentative Adoption of 2019 Management Measures for Analysis

 Council Action: Adopt Tentative 2019 Ocean Salmon Management Measures for Analysis
- F.2 Clarify Council Direction on 2019 Management Measures
 Council Action: Council Guidance and Direction

G. Groundfish Management

- G.1 National Marine Fisheries Service Report Council Discussion
- G.2 Endangered Species Act Mitigation Measures for Seabirds Preliminary Action Council Action: Review Mitigation Measures for Seabird Interactions in Groundfish Fisheries Pursuant to the 2017 United States Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Opinion and Adopt a Preliminary Preferred Alternative

H. Pacific Halibut Management

- H.1 Incidental Catch Limits for 2019 Salmon Troll Fishery Final Action
 Council Action: Adopt Final Incidental Catch Recommendations for 2019 and April 2020 Non-Indian Salmon Troll Fisheries
- H.2 Commercial Directed Fishery Workshop Planning
 Council Action: Identify Scope and Provide Direction for Planning a Workshop to
 Explore Issues and Ideas for Potential Changes to the Area 2A Commercial
 Directed Halibut Fishery

F. Salmon Management

F.6 Further Direction on 2019 Management Measures Council Action: Council Guidance and Direction

G. Groundfish Management

- G.3 Endangered Species Act Mitigation Measures for Salmon Preliminary Action Council Action: Review Mitigation Measures for Salmon Interactions in Groundfish Fisheries Pursuant to the 2017 NMFS Biological Opinion That Were Not Addressed Through the 2019-2020 Biennial Management Process and Adopt a Range of Alternatives and Preliminary Preferred Alternative, As Appropriate
- G.6 Electronic Monitoring: Implementation Update ..Brett Wiedoff & Melissa Hooper Council Action: Review Progress on Program Implementation Including Regulatory Activities and Provide Guidance on Future Program Development
- G.7 Vessel Movement Monitoring Update
 Council Action: Review and Provide Comment
- G.9 Final Inseason Management, Including Shorebased Carryover and Salmon Caps for Midwater Trawl Exempted Fishing Permits (EFP) Final Action
 Council Action: Adopt Final Inseason Adjustments for 2019, Including

Shorebased Carryover and Salmon Bycatch Caps for Midwater Trawl Exempted Fishing Permits, as Necessary to Achieve but Not Exceed Annual Catch Limits and Other Management Objectives

F. Salmon Management

F.7 Final Action on 2019 Management Measures

Council Action: Adopt Final Management Measures for 2019 Ocean Salmon Fisheries

D. Administrative Matters

D.7 Future Council Meeting Agenda and Workload Planning
 Council Discussion and Guidance on Future Meeting Agenda and Workload
 Planning

C. Other Topics

- 1. Enforcement Corner
- 2. Other

D. Public Comment

FRIDAY, APRIL 12, 2019 through MONDAY, APRIL 15, 2019

Meeting continues as necessary.

ADJOURN

PFMC 03/19/19

PROPOSED AGENDA Groundfish Advisory Subpanel

Pacific Fishery Management Council
DoubleTree by Hilton Sonoma
Salon II
One Doubletree Drive
Rohnert Park, CA 94928
Telephone: 707-584-5466

April 12-15, 2019

Groundfish Advisory Subpanel (GAP) meetings are open to the public, and public comments will be taken at the discretion of the GAP Chair. Dates and times on this agenda are subject to change once the meeting begins. Agenda items listed under the Groundfish Management subheading are not in numerical order, they reflect the Council Meeting Agenda numbering. Note, times not specified for discussion and/or presentations will be allocated to the GAP's drafting and reviewing.

FRIDAY APRIL 12, 2019 – 8AM

GAP Administrative Matters

1. Roll Call, Introductions, Announcements, etc.

John Holloway, Chair Brett Wiedoff

- 2. Opening Remarks and Agenda Overview
- 3. Approve Agenda

G. Groundfish Management

4. Amendment 26 Blackgill Rockfish — Final Action (8:15 a.m.; Report to the Council Sunday, April 14)

John DeVore/Brian Hooper

5. Science Improvements and Methodology Review (9:15 a.m.; Report to the Council Monday, April 15)

John DeVore

- 2. ESA Mitigation Measures for Seabirds Preliminary Action (10:30 a.m.; Report to the Council Saturday, April 13)
- Kit Dahl/Keeley Kent

3. ESA: Mitigation Measures for Salmon (1 p.m.; Report to the Council Sunday, April 14)

Todd Phillips

D. Administrative Matters

4. Allocation Review Procedures – Preliminary (2 p.m.; Report to the Council Sunday, April 14)

Jim Seger

GAP Administrative Matters (continued)

4. Draft and Review Statements (3 p.m.)

Feedback Session on the Saltonstall-Kennedy Grant Program

Public comment opportunity on improving communication, dissemination, and utilization of results achieved by projects awarded Saltonstall-Kennedy Grants.

7 p.m. – Vineyard Room

SATURDAY APRIL 13, 2019 – 8AM

GAP Administrative Matters (continued)

5. Draft and Review Statements (8 *a.m.*)

H. Halibut

2. Commercial Directed Fishery Workshop Planning (10 a.m.; Report to the Council Sunday, April 14)

Robin Ehlke

G. Groundfish Management (continued)

3. ESA: Mitigation Measures for Salmon (continued) (11 a.m.; Joint meeting GMT/GAP, Salon II, Report to the Council Sunday, April 14)

GAP Administrative Matters (continued)

6. Draft and Review Statements (1 *p.m.*)

G. Groundfish Management (continued)

7. Vessel Movement Monitoring Update (3 p.m.; Report to Council Monday, April 15)

Brian Corrigan

GAP Administrative Matters (continued)

7. Draft and Review Statements (4 p.m.)

SUNDAY APRIL 14, 2019 – 8AM

GAP Administrative Matters (continued)

8. Draft and Review Statements (8 a.m.)

G. Groundfish Management (continued)

6. Electronic Monitoring: Implementation Update (8:30 a.m.; Report to Council Monday, April 15)

Brett Wiedoff/Melissa Hooper

9. Final Inseason Management, Including Shorebased Carryover and Salmon Caps for Midwater Trawl EFPs – Final Action (10:00 a.m.; Joint GMT/GAP Meeting in Salon II; Report to Council Monday, April 15)

Jessi Doerpinghaus

G. Groundfish Management (continued)

8. Cost Recovery Report (1 p.m.; Report to the Council Monday, April 15)

Jim Seger/Chris Biegle

D. Administrative Matters (continued)

7. Future Council Meeting Agenda and Workload Planning (9 a.m.; Report to the Council Tuesday, April 16)

MONDAY APRIL 15, 2019 – 8AM

GAP Administrative Matters (continued)

9. Draft and Review Statements (8 a.m.)

ADJOURN

PFMC 03/19/19

PROPOSED AGENDA Groundfish Management Team

Pacific Fishery Management Council
DoubleTree by Hilton Sonoma
Cotati Room
One Doubletree Drive
Rohnert Park, CA 94928
Telephone: 707-584-5466

April 12-15, 2019

Groundfish Management Team (GMT) meetings are open to the public, and public comments will be taken at the discretion of the GMT Chair. Dates and times on this agenda are subject to change once the meeting begins. Agenda items listed under the Groundfish Management subheading are not in numerical order, they reflect their Council Meeting Agenda numbering. Note, times not specified for discussion and/or presentations will be allocated to the GMT's drafting and reviewing of statements, reports, etc.

Friday, April 12, 2019 — 8:00 a.m.

GMT Administrative Matters

1. Roll Call, Introductions, Announcements, etc.

Abigail Harley, Chair Todd Phillips

- 2. Opening Remarks and Agenda Overview
- 3. Approve Agenda

G. Groundfish Management

2. Endangered Species Act (ESA) Mitigation Measures for Seabirds–Preliminary Action (8:30 a.m.; Report to the Council Saturday, April 13)

Kit Dahl/Keeley Kent

3. ESA Mitigation Measures for Salmon (10:00 a.m.; Report to the Council Sunday, April 14)

Todd Phillips/Brian Hooper

1. NMFS Report (1:00 p.m.; Report to the Council Sunday, April 14)

4. Amendment 26: Blackgill Rockfish Management

-Final Action
(2:00 p.m.; Report to the Council Sunday, April 14)

John DeVore/Brian Hooper

Friday, April 12, 2019 — continued

GMT Administrative Matters (continued)

Draft and Review Statements (4:00 p.m.)

Saturday, April 13, 2019 — 8:00 a.m.

G. Groundfish Management (continued)

- 3. ESA Mitigation Measures for Salmon & Salmon Caps for Midwater Trawl EFPs (9:00 a.m.; Joint meeting with SAS, Vineyard B, Report to the Council Sunday, April 14)
- 3. ESA Mitigation Measures for Salmon (11:00 a.m.; Joint meeting with GAP, Salon II, Report to the Council Sunday, April 14)
- 9. Final Inseason Management, Including Shorebased Carryover and Salmon Caps for Midwater Trawl EFPs Final Action (4:00 p.m.; Report to Council Monday, April 15)

GMT Administrative Matters (continued)

Draft and Review Statements (8:00 a.m.)

Feedback Session on the Saltonstall-Kennedy Grant Program

Public comment opportunity on improving communication, dissemination, and utilization of results achieved by projects awarded Saltonstall-Kennedy Grants.

7 p.m. – Vineyard Room

Sunday, April 14, 2019 — 8:00 a.m.

G. Groundfish Management (continued)

5. Science Improvements and Methodology Review Report (8:00 a.m.; Report to the Council Monday, April 15)

John DeVore

- 9. Final Inseason Management, Including Shorebased Carryover and Salmon Caps for Midwater Trawl EFPs Final Action (10:00 a.m.; Joint GMT/GAP Meeting in Salon II; Report to Council Monday, April 15)
- 6. Electronic Monitoring: Implementation Update (11:00 a.m.; Report to Council Monday, April 15)

Brett Wiedoff/Melissa Hooper

GMT Administrative Matters (continued)

Draft and Review Statements (1:00 p.m.)

Monday, April 15, 2019 — 8:00 a.m.

D. Administrative Measures

7. Future Council Meeting Agenda and Workload Planning (8:00 a.m.; Report to the Council Tuesday, April 16)

Abigail Harley

GMT Administrative Matters (continued)

Draft and Review Statements (9:00 a.m.)

ADJOURN

PFMC 03/1<u>9</u>3/1<u>9</u>8

PROPOSED AGENDA Habitat Committee

Pacific Fishery Management Council
Doubletree by Hilton Sonoma
Salon I
One Doubletree Drive
Rohnert Park, CA 94928
(707) 584-5466
April 10, 2019

Process notes: Numbering reflects the Council agenda. Starred* items appear on the Council agenda. Michael Clark will represent U.S. Fish and Wildlife. Public comment on agenda items will be taken during each agenda item. Arlene Merems will serve as timekeeper.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 10, 2019, 8 AM

A. Call to Order and Habitat Administrative Matters

1. Introductions and Approval of Agenda Lance Hebdon 8:00 a.m.

D. Council Administrative Matters

1. National Marine Sanctuary Coordination Report* Lisa Wooninck 8:15 a.m.

Notes: Fran Recht

C. Habitat Matters

1. Shared NMFS/Council Habitat Priorities Barry Thom 9:00 a.m.

Notes: Correigh Greene

BREAK 10:00 a.m.

D. Council Administrative Matters

6. Membership Appointments, Mike Burner, Kris Kleinschmidt 10:15 a.m Statement of Organization, Practices Notes: Justin Alvarez

Statement of Organization, Practices and Procedures, Council Operating Procedures/

Navigating the Council

C. Habitat Matters

2. Member Updates HC 11:00 a.m.

Notes: Scott Heppell

LUNCH 11:30 a.m.

D. Council Administrative Matters

7. Future Council Meeting [and HC Meeting] Agenda* All 1:00 p.m.

Notes: Arlene Merems

A. Habitat Administrative Matters

3. Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda

Public

1:30 p.m.

C. Habitat Matters

3. Update on Bureau of Reclamation Biological Assessment on the Central Valley Project and 2019 Forecasts *Notes: Eric Wilkins* and Operations

D. Council Administrative Matters

2. Legislative Report*

All

2:30 p.m.

Notes: Noah Oppenheim

3:00 p.m.

A. Habitat Administrative Matters

4. Finalize Comments on D.1, D.2, D.7, Habitat Report

All 3:15 p.m.

ADJOURN 5:00 PM

PFMC 03/19/19

PROPOSED AGENDA Legislative Committee

Pacific Fishery Management Council
Doubletree by Hilton Sonoma
Vintage Board Room (3rd Floor)
One Doubletree Drive
Rohnert Park, CA 94928
707-584-5466

April 10, 2019

Legislative Committee meetings are open to the public, and public comments will be taken at the discretion of the Legislative Committee Chair.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 10, 2019 – 1:00 PM

A. Call to Order
1. Introductions
2. Approval of Agenda

B. Review of Legislation in the 116th Congress
C. General Discussion of Current Legislation
All
D. Public Comment
E. Future Meeting Plans and Other Business
All
ADJOURN

Dave Hanson
Dave Hanson

All
And All

PFMC 03/19/19

PROPOSED AGENDA Model Evaluation Workgroup

Pacific Fishery Management Council
Doubletree by Hilton Sonoma
Sonoma Room
One Doubletree Drive, Rohnert Park, CA 94928
Telephone: 707-584-5466

April 10, 2019

This is a public meeting and not a public hearing. This is a work session for the primary purpose of reviewing items coming before the Pacific Fishery Management Council at their concurrent meeting. Time for public comment may be provided at the discretion of the Model Evaluation Workgroup (MEW) Chair.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 10 – 10:00 a.m.

MEW Administrative Matters

Call to Order

Roll Call, Introductions, Announcements, etc.

Opening Remarks and Agenda Overview

Approve Agenda

Project Updates

Workload Planning

Angelika Hagen-Breaux (Chair)

Robin Ehlke

MEW

MEW

Council Agenda Items for Review and Possible Comment

F. Salmon Management

4. Methodology Review Preliminary Topic Selection (Report to the Scientific and Statistical Committee at 3:15 p.m. today; Report to the Council on Saturday)

D. Administrative Matters

7. Future Council Meeting Agenda and Workload Planning (*Report to the Council on Monday*)

ADJOURN

PFMC 03/19/19

PROPOSED AGENDA Salmon Advisory Subpanel

Pacific Fishery Management Council
Doubletree by Hilton Sonoma
Vineyard Room
One Doubletree Drive
Rohnert Park, CA 94928
Telephone: 707-584-5466

April 10-15, 2019

Daily start time is 8:00 A.M.

This is a public meeting and not a public hearing. This is a work session for the primary purpose of reviewing items coming before the Pacific Fishery Management Council at their concurrent meeting. Time for public comment may be provided at the discretion of the Salmon Advisory Subpanel (SAS) Chair.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 10

SAS Administrative Matters

Call to Order

Roll Call, Introductions, Announcements, etc.

Opening Remarks and Agenda Overview

Approve Agenda

Assignments to Monitor Other Advisory Bodies and Draft Statements

Butch Smith, Chair

Robin Ehlke

SAS

Butch Smith, Chair

Council Agenda Items for Review and Possible Comment

F. Salmon Management

1. Tentative Adoption of 2019 Management Measures for Analysis Discussion with the Salmon Technical Team - 9:00 a.m. Continue individual discussions with state delegates Report to the Council on Thursday

Reconvene at 3:30 p.m.

C. Habitat

1. Current Habitat Issues (4 p.m.)

Habitat committee meets all day Wednesday

Megan to report to SAS at end of day regarding any relevant topics

Dave H. to present to SAS 1:00 p.m. Thursday, April 11 on Klamath River topics

Report to the Council Thursday

SAS Administrative Matters (continued)

Review Statements: SAS

Draft and finalize for Secretariat by close of business today:

F.1 Salmon (Tentative Adoption of 2019 Ocean Salmon Management Measures)

C.1 Current Habitat Issues (if needed)

THURSDAY, APRIL 11

Klamath River Presentation from Dave Hillemeier - 1:00 p.m.

Council Agenda Items for Review and Possible Comment

D. Administrative Matters

4. Allocation Review Procedures - preliminary *Report to council on Sunday*

F. Salmon Management (continued)

5. Update on Salmon Rebuilding Plans

Discussion with the Salmon Technical Team - 10:30 a.m. Thursday On Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) agenda - 9:00 a.m. Thursday. Report to the Council on Saturday

4. Methodology Review Preliminary Topic Selection
On the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) agenda Wednesday at 3:15 p.m.
Report to the Council on Sunday

SAS Administrative Matters (continued)

Review Statements: SAS

Draft F.5 Salmon Rebuilding Plan Update

Draft F.4 Methodology Review Preliminary Topics

FRIDAY, APRIL 12

SAS Administrative Matters (continued)

Review Statements: SAS

Finalize and submit F.5 Salmon Rebuilding Plan Update

Finalize and submit F.4 Methodology Review Preliminary Topics

Council Agenda Items for Review and Possible Comment

F. Salmon Management (continued)

- 2. Clarify Council Direction on 2019 Management Measures Report to the Council today, prepare and submit statement if needed
- 3. Southern Resident Killer Whale ESA Re-initiation Update Report to the Council Saturday, draft statement by noon

 H. Pacific Halibut Management 1. Final Incidental Landing Restrictions for 2019-2020 Salmon Troll Fishery Report to the Council on Sunday 2. Directed Commercial Fishery Workshop Planning Report to the Council on Sunday 	
SAS Administrative Matters (continued)	
Review Statements: Finalize and submit F.3 Southern Resident Killer Whale ESA Re-initiation Update Draft H.1 Halibut (Final incidental landing restrictions) Draft H.2 Halibut (Commercial Fishery Workshop planning) SATURDAY, APRIL 13	SAS
SAS Administrative Matters (continued)	
Review Statements: Finalize and submit H.1 Halibut (Final incidental landing restrictions) Finalize and submit H.2 Halibut (Commercial Fishery Workshop planning)	SAS
Council Agenda Items for Review and Possible Comment	
 G. Groundfish Management 3. ESA Salmon Mitigation Measures ROA/PPA Report to the Council on Sunday Meet with GMT members in SAS room (Vineyard B) - 9:00 a.m. Saturday 9. Final Action on Inseason Adjustments; including Salmon Caps for MWT EFP Report to the Council on Monday Meet with GMT members in SAS room (Vineyard B) - 9:00 a.m. Saturday 	
SAS Administrative Matters (continued)	
Review Statements: Draft and Finalize Groundfish statements (G.3 or G.9) as needed	SAS
SUNDAY, APRIL 14	
Council Agenda Items for Review and Possible Comment	
 F. Salmon Management (continued) 6. Further Direction on 2019 Management Measures Report to the Council Sunday, prepare and submit statement if needed 	
SAS Administrative Matters (continued) Review Statements	SAS

Draft F.7 Salmon (Final Action on 2019 Salmon Management Measures)

MONDAY, APRIL 15

SAS Administrative Matters (continued)

Review Statements SAS

Finalize F.7 Salmon (Final Action on 2019 Salmon Management Measures)

Council Agenda Items for Review and Possible Comment

- F. Salmon Management (continued)
 - 7. Final Action on 2019 Management Measures *Report to the Council today*
- D. Administrative Matters (continued)
 - 7. Future Council Meeting Agenda and Workload Planning

Robin Ehlke

ADJOURN

PFMC 03/19/19

PROPOSED AGENDA Salmon Technical Team

Pacific Fishery Management Council Doubletree by Hilton Sonoma Sonoma Room One Doubletree Drive Rohnert Park, CA 94928 Telephone: 707-584-5466

April 10-16, 2019

Daily start time is 8:00 A.M.

This is a public meeting and not a public hearing. This is a work session for the primary purpose of reviewing items coming before the Pacific Fishery Management Council at their concurrent meeting. Time for public comment may be provided at the discretion of the Salmon Technical Team (STT) Chair.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 10

STT Administrative Matters

Call to Order

Roll Call, Introductions, Announcements, etc.

Opening Remarks and Agenda Overview

Approve Agenda

Assignments to Monitor Other Advisory Bodies and Draft Statements

Michael O'Farrell, Chair

Robin Ehlke

STT

Michael O'Farrell

Council Agenda Items for Review and Possible Comment

D. Administrative Matters

Robin Ehlke

4. Allocation Review Procedures - Preliminary Report to the Council on Sunday

F. Salmon Management

STT

- 1. Tentative Adoption of 2019 Ocean Management Measures for Analysis Discussion with the Salmon Advisory Subpanel (SAS) 9:00 a.m. Report to the Council on Thursday
- 4. Methodology Review Preliminary Topic Selection

 MEW discussions at 10:00 a.m. Wednesday (Sonoma Room)

 Report to the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) 3:15 p.m. Wednesday

 Report to the Council on Saturday

THURSDAY, APRIL 11

Council Agenda Items for Review and Possible Comment

F. Salmon Management (continued)

STT

5. Update on Salmon Rebuilding Plans
Discussion with the Science and Statistical Committee (SSC) - 9:00 a.m. Thursday
Discussion with the SAS - 10:30 a.m. Thursday
Report to the Council on Saturday

STT Administrative Matters (continued)

STT

Review Statements

Finalize F.1 Report (Tentative Adoption of 2019 Ocean Management Measures for Analysis)

Draft F.5 Report (Salmon Rebuilding Plan Update)

Draft F.4 Report (Methodology Review Preliminary Topic Selection)

FRIDAY, APRIL 12

STT Administrative Matters (continued)

STT

Review Statements

Finalize F.5 Report (Salmon Rebuilding Plan Update)

Council Agenda Items for Review and Possible Comment

F. Salmon Management (continued)

STT

- 2. Clarify Council Direction on 2019 Management Measures Report to the Council today, prepare and submit statement by noon
- 3. Southern Resident Killer Whale ESA Reinitiation Update Report to the Council Saturday, prepare and submit statement by noon

SATURDAY, APRIL 13

STT Administrative Matters (continued)

STT

Review Statements

Finalize F.4 Report (Methodology Review Preliminary Topic Selection)
Draft D.7 Report (Future Council Meeting Agenda and Workload Planning)

SUNDAY, APRIL 14

STT Administrative Matters (continued)

Navigating the Council 8:00 a.m. presentation

Mike Burner and Kris Kleinschmidt

Council Agenda Items for Review and Possible Comment

F. Salmon Management (continued)

6. Further Direction on 2019 Management Measures

Report to the Council today, prepare and submit statement if needed

STT Administrative Matters (continued)

STT

Review Statements

Finalize D.7. Report (Future Council Meeting Agenda and Workload Planning)

MONDAY, APRIL 15

Council Agenda Items for Review and Possible Comment

F. Salmon Management (continued)

7. Final Action on 2019 Management Measures *Report to the Council today*

STT

D. Administrative Matters (continued)

7. Future Council Meeting Agenda and Workload Planning Report to the Council Tuesday

Robin Ehlke

STT Administrative Matters (continued)

Draft Preseason Report III

STT

TUESDAY, APRIL 16

STT Administrative Matters (continued)

STT

Draft Preseason Report III

ADJOURN

PFMC

03/20/19

PROPOSED AGENDA Scientific and Statistical Committee

Pacific Fishery Management Council
Doubletree by Hilton Sonoma
Salon II Room
One Doubletree Drive
Rohnert Park, California 94928
Telephone: 707-584-5466

April 10-11, 2019

Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) meetings are open to the public, and public comments will be accepted during the scheduled public comment period. Public comment at times other than the established public comment period will be taken at the discretion of the SSC Chair.

Committee member work assignments are noted in parentheses at the end of each agenda item. The first name listed is the discussion leader and the second, the rapporteur. A suggestion for the amount of time each agenda item should take is provided. All times are approximate and subject to change. At the time the agenda is approved, priorities can be set and these times revised. Discussion leaders should determine whether more or less time is required, and request the agenda be amended.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 10, 2019 – 8 AM

A. Call to Order

1. Call to Order and Introductions

John Field

2. Report of the Executive Director

Chuck Tracy

- 3. Approve Agenda and March 2019 Minutes
- 4. Subcommittee Assignments Current assignments are listed at the end of this agenda
- 5. Open Discussion and Future Meeting Planning (8 a.m., 0.75 hours)

D. Council Administrative Matters

6. Membership Appointments and Council Operating Procedures (SSC Closed Session) (8:45 a.m., 0.75 hours) *Report to Council on Thursday (Council Closed Session)*

E. Coastal Pelagic Species Management

2. 2019 Exempted Fishing Permits (EFPs) – Final Approval Mike Okoniewski and Diane Pleschner-Steele (9:30 a.m., 0.5 hours; **Berger, Harte**) *Report to Council on Thursday*

3. Pacific Sardine Assessment, Harvest Specifications, and Management Measures – Final Action Kevin Hill (10 a.m., 1 hour; **Field, Punt**) *Report to Council on Friday*

BREAK (11 – 11:15 a.m.)

Central Subpopulation of Northern Anchovy
 Management Update
 André Punt and SWFSC
 (11:15 a.m., 1 hour; Budrick, Hamel) Report to Council on Friday

LUNCH (12:15 – 1:30 p.m.)

- 4. Central Subpopulation of Northern Anchovy Management Update, Continued (1:30 p.m., 0.5 hours)
- 5. Central Subpopulation of Northern Anchovy Litigation Response SWFSC (2 p.m., 1 hour; **Satterthwaite, Tsou**) *Report to Council on Friday*

BREAK (3 - 3:15 p.m.)

F. Salmon Management

4. Methodology Review Preliminary Topic Selection Salmon Technical Team (3:15 p.m., 0.5 hours; **Shelton, Speir**) *Report to Council on Saturday*

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

3:45 p.m. (or immediately following Agenda Item F.4)

Public comments, including comments on issues <u>not</u> on the agenda, are accepted at this time.

A. SSC Administrative Matters, Continued

6. Review Statements (Following public comment period)

<u>THURSDAY</u>, <u>APRIL 11</u>, <u>2019 – 8 AM</u>

A. SSC Administrative Matters, Continued

7. Review Statements (8 a.m., 1 hour)

F. Salmon Management, Continued

5. Salmon Rebuilding Plan Update

Salmon Technical Team

(9 a.m., 1.5 hours; Byrne, Johnson) Report to Council on Saturday

G. Groundfish Management

5. Science Improvements and Methodology Review Report (10:30 a.m., 1.5 hours; **Sampson, Sharma**) *Report to Council on Monday*

LUNCH (12 - 1:30 p.m.)

D. Council Administrative Matters, Continued

7. Future Council Meeting Agenda and Workload Planning (1:30 p.m., 1 hour; **Field**) *Report to Council on Tuesday*

A. SSC Administrative Matters, Continued

8. Review Statements

ADJOURN

SSC Subcommittee Assignments, March 2019

Salmon	Groundfish	Coastal Pelagic Species	Highly Migratory Species	Economics	Ecosystem- Based Management
Alan Byrne	David Sampson	André Punt	Aaron Berger	Cameron Speir	Dan Holland
John Budrick	Aaron Berger	Aaron Berger	John Field	Michael Harte	John Field
Owen Hamel	John Budrick	John Budrick	Michael Harte	Dan Holland	Michael Harte
Michael Harte	John Field	Alan Byrne	Dan Holland	André Punt	Galen Johnson
Galen Johnson	Owen Hamel	John Field	André Punt	David Sampson	André Punt
Will Satterthwaite	André Punt	Owen Hamel	David Sampson		Will Satterthwaite
Rishi Sharma	Rishi Sharma	Will Satterthwaite	Rishi Sharma		Ole Shelton
Ole Shelton	Tien-Shui Tsou	Tien-Shui Tsou			Cameron Speir
Cameron Speir					Tien-Shui Tsou

Bold denotes Subcommittee Chairperson

PFMC 03/20/19

DRAFT SUMMARY MINUTES Scientific and Statistical Committee

Pacific Fishery Management Council
Hilton Vancouver Hotel
Hemlock Room
301 West Sixth Street
Vancouver, Washington 98660
Telephone: 360-993-4500

March 6-7, 2019

Members in Attendance

- Dr. Aaron Berger, National Marine Fisheries Service Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Newport, OR
- Dr. John Budrick, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Belmont, CA
- Mr. Alan Byrne, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise, ID
- Dr. John Field, SSC Chair, National Marine Fisheries Service Southwest Fisheries Science Center, Santa Cruz, CA
- Dr. Owen Hamel, National Marine Fisheries Service Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA
- Dr. Michael Harte, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR
- Dr. Dan Holland, National Marine Fisheries Service Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA
- Dr. Galen Johnson, Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission, Olympia, WA
- Dr. André Punt, University of Washington, Seattle, WA
- Dr. David Sampson, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Newport, OR
- Dr. William Satterthwaite, National Marine Fisheries Service Southwest Fisheries Science Center, Santa Cruz, CA
- Dr. Rishi Sharma, National Marine Fisheries Service Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA
- Dr. Ole Shelton, National Marine Fisheries Service Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA
- Dr. Cameron Speir, National Marine Fisheries Service Southwest Fisheries Science Center, Santa Cruz, CA
- Dr. Tien-Shui Tsou, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, WA

Members Absent

None.

SSC Recusals for the March 2019 Meeting						
SSC Member	Issue	Reason				
Dr. Owen Hamel	G.3 New Methodology Informing	Dr. Hamel contributed to				
Dr. Owen Hamei	Sigma Values - Final Adoption	the sigma analysis.				
Dr. André Punt	G.3 New Methodology Informing	Dr. Punt contributed to				
DI. Alidie Fullt	Sigma Values - Final Adoption	the sigma analysis.				
Dr. André Punt	K.1 Central Subpopulation of	Dr. Punt did the F _{MSY}				
DI. Alidie Fuilt	Northern Anchovy	analysis.				

A. Call to Order-SSC Administrative Matters

John Field called the meeting to order at 0800. Chuck Tracy briefed the SSC on their agenda. The effects of the government shut-down have affected the Council agendas for this year, with some items deferred to later. Subsequent litigation and court rulings have also affected Council business. Chuck explained there are some changes to the Council web site including the provision of meeting transcripts and the e-portal for public comments. There was an extended discussion on the court order for the Central Subpopulation of Northern Anchovy. The development of the salmon rebuilding plans has also been delayed due to the shut-down. Chuck encouraged some dialogue between the SSC and the Salmon Technical Team on the schedule for review of rebuilding plans and to begin scoping the selection of salmon methodology review topics, which will be discussed at the April meeting.

E. Ecosystem Management

1. California Current Ecosystem and Integrated Ecosystem Assessment Report and Science Review Topics

The Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) received a presentation by Drs. Chris Harvey (Northwest Fisheries Science Center) and Toby Garfield (Southwest Fisheries Science Center) on the 2019 California Current Integrated Ecosystem Assessment (CCIEA) California Current Ecosystem Status Report (Agenda Item E.1.a, IEA Team Report 1, March 2019). The report is a concise source of information on trends in climate and oceanographic, biological, social, and economic indicators. It continues to be an important contribution to the Council process that provides an ecosystem perspective on West Coast fish stocks, fisheries, and coastal communities.

The SSC appreciates the CCIEA team's continued responsiveness to suggestions by the Council and SSC on previous reports and continuing efforts to augment and improve the Status Report with additional information useful to the Council. The 2019 Status Report includes several new indicators and analyses that were reviewed by the SSC Ecosystem Subcommittee (SSCES) in September 2018 including: a new statistical approach to analyze forage time series and improve comparability between regions (section 4.2); an analysis of shifts in availability of petrale sole and sablefish to four major ports (section 6.3); and the seasonal forecasts of ocean conditions off Washington and Oregon (Section 7.2). Other new additions include: an indicator of the occurrence of harmful algal blooms (section 3.2) and a time series of krill length off of Trinidad Head in northern California (section 4.1). There are also a number of new additions in the Supplementary Materials (Agenda Item E.1.a, IEA Team Report 2, March 2019). The CCIEA team was not able

to address some additional requests due to the partial government shutdown; however, the SSC commends the team's efforts to provide the report in a timely manner allowing time for review despite the shutdown.

For the first time there are numerical forecasts of salmon returns included in the Status Report (Figures H.3.1 and H.3.2 in the Supplementary Materials). These forecasts are not comparable to the forecasts used by the Salmon Technical Team (STT) for salmon management. The SSC will work with the CCIEA team to review these forecasts and determine how best to communicate this information in future CCIEA reports.

The SSCES has regularly met with members of the CCIEA prior to the September Council meeting to review selected indicators proposed for inclusion in the annual ecosystem Status Report. A report on the September 2018 SSCES review of new CCIEA topics is attached to this statement as supplementary information. The four topics reviewed were all included in the 2019 Status Report. An additional indicator of the distribution and availability of Albacore in the California Current had been scheduled for joint review by the SSCES and the SSC Highly Migratory Species (HMS) subcommittee in a webinar in January, but the review was not completed and will need to be rescheduled due to the partial government shutdown.

In March 2018 the EWG recommended the SSCES consider holding future reviews of new CCIEA topics on a biennial basis in even years because of the large workload reviewing groundfish assessment in odd years. However, the SSCES is no longer constrained by a conflict with a SSC Groundfish Subcommittee meeting which has been moved to August. The IEA continues to evolve rapidly and new indicators and analyses may benefit from timely feedback. The SSC therefore recommends that it continue to evaluate requests for reviews from the CCIEA team annually in March and schedule reviews at SSCES meetings the following September if needed.

The CCIEA team has proposed one potential topic for review in September 2019 - Spatial indicators of bottom contact by trawl gear and fixed gear. The SSC agrees this would a useful topic to review in September. The SSCES needs to reschedule the review of the Albacore distribution and availability forecasts, and this could be included in the September meeting rather than rescheduling it as a webinar. As noted above, the SSCES also proposes reviewing the salmon forecasts and the stoplight indicators that were presented in the Supplementary Materials. Since the review of the albacore topic was to be done jointly with the SSC Highly Migratory Species subcommittee, and the review of salmon forecasts would benefit from joint review with the SSC Salmon Subcommittee, it may be necessary to hold at least part of the September SSCES subcommittee meeting jointly with these other subcommittees.

SSC Notes:

The density of piscivorous seabirds in Figure 4.7.1 may not be a meaningful indicator of the health or productivity of these populations. It would be useful to supplement this with other measures of productivity such as breeding success. There are good data available.

Krill length (Figure 4.1.2) by itself as an indicator of ecosystem productivity could be misinterpreted without also considering density. Both condition and abundance should be presented.

For whale entanglements it would be useful if possible to describe entanglements by stock. Some humpback stocks are going up and some are stocks of concern (Central American stock)

It would be useful to show charts of trends in bottom trawl seafloor contact over longer time periods, e.g., indicate changes relative to levels further back in time - at least back to 1999.

The trends in availability of groundfish to ports may not reflect the availability to the fishery if these trends are driven by changes that are mainly within the RCA. It is not clear whether this might be a problem with petrale sole and sablefish, but it is possible that the fishery may not have seen the increased availability the trends suggest.

The salmon forecasts used by the STT are to the mouth of the Columbia River rather than to Bonneville Dam. The forecasts in the Status Report would exclude all the lower river stocks and they reflect the run size at Bonneville Dam. There is concern that these forecasts may be confusing since they have different meaning than the ones used in the Council process. The differences should be clarified. Reporting the variable used for forecasts (e.g., the value of the principle component from the stoplight analysis used) would also be useful.

It appears that the hypoxia forecast is done in January going 9 months out. A winter forecast might be important for Dungeness crab which has experience crab die-offs this winter off Oregon.

Section 4.4 indicates no new assessments in 2018; however, there was an assessment of Pacific hake.

For Figure 4.2.1-3 these are hard to read in grey scale if you don't have a color printout. Could something be done to make these readable in grey scale?

Figures showing biomass for HMS actually reflect the broader Eastern Pacific rather than just the California Current, which should be clarified in text or caption.

2. Climate and Communities Initiative Update

The Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) received a briefing from Dr. Kit Dahl on the Climate and Communities Initiative. The Climate and Communities Initiative deals with climate change scenario planning to inform Council management how to be responsive to the effects of climate change and variability on the California Current Fisheries and Fishing Communities. The Ecosystem Workgroup report has focused on 2 components: i) the planning tools that one may use, and what kind of scenarios to present, and ii) how the Council would pursue these scenario planning exercises. The focus of the work done so far has dealt with the 1st part primarily.

The SSC encourages the work so far and recommends that the Council formulate a process to evaluate outcomes/consequences of these scenarios. Since scenario planning is still in development, using a quantitative approach in conjunction with the collaborative processes used in the North Pacific Fishery Management Council could be a possible model to follow. The key to these planning processes is to combine scenarios and strategies to evaluate outcomes. There should be some engagement between the communities, science centers, and other research institutions within the Council process.

SSC Notes:

- 1. North Pacific scenarios (Alaska Climate Integrated Models, ACLIM) are quantitative and combine the qualitative scenarios. Workshop approaches are not recommended as opposed to a more quantitative approach that is being taken by other councils. The Ocean Modelling Forum (OMF) could facilitate model comparisons across alternative scenarios.
- 2. Example of climate scenarios used in conjunction with the ongoing hake Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE), or the Sacramento Winter Run Chinook MSE that was conducted in 2017, could provide some context on how these scenarios could be examined.
- 3. Topics presented by the EWG centered primarily on a specific species with an evaluation of their resiliency and redistribution as effects of climate change. Are we looking at entire system responses or species-specific responses?
- 4. Regional mosaics allow us to examine multi-fishery effects. Workshop scenarios could help scoping out the magnitude of some of these issues.
- 5. Examining projections on temporal scales is important (decadal or inter-annual projections) for some of the scenario planning exercises. Providing guidance on the temporal projections for scenario planning is a worthwhile exercise.

G. Groundfish Management

Determining a New Rockfish Steepness Prior

SSC Notes:

Dr. Chantel Wetzel (NWFSC) presented an update of the meta-analysis used to derive a prior distribution for steepness (recruitment productivity) of west coast rockfish stocks. This is an update to previous analyses by Dr. Martin Dorn, Dr. Jim Thorson, and Dr. Wetzel and follows Dr. Wetzel's presentation to the Groundfish Subcommittee in November 2018. The SSC identified several concerns with the statistical behavior of sequential updates to the analysis and with some of the assumptions underlying the meta-analytical approach. As a result of these concerns, the SSC does not endorse the updated analysis as best available science. Therefore in the near term (i.e. for the 2019 assessment cycle) the SSC recommends using the same prior distribution and default values as were endorsed for use in the 2017 assessment cycle (steepness = 0.72 (0.16); mean(se)). The SSC also identified potential improvements that should be investigated further to inform the 2021 assessment cycle.

There was a discussion about various technical aspects of the meta-analysis. Of particular note was the implausible result that showed a very high estimated posterior predictive mean steepness for rockfish (> 0.9). This estimated value is notably larger than estimates from previous meta-analyses (estimated steepness of 0.72 in 2017; 0.58 in 2007) and appears to conflict with the fundamental biology of long-lived, live-bearing rockfish species. The changes in estimated steepness are concerning to the SSC as they are not consistent with the expected statistical behavior of estimators with increasing amounts of information. The last few years of data have resulted in a dramatic increase in estimated steepness for multiple species of rockfish on the West Coast, radically changing their estimated productivity over the past decade. Of particular note is the high precision of estimated steepness at the bound of 1 for several species, which are

disproportionately influential in determining the results of the meta-analysis. An increased estimated steepness has dramatic consequences for stock productivity and status determinations, understanding the origins of the changing steepness estimates are of paramount importance.

The SSC had discussions about the shape and precision of the likelihood profiles that feed into the meta-analysis. In particular, the large number of profiles that identified the upper bound (1) as the most likely value were concerning. Several of these likelihood profiles imply high precision of the steepness. The SSC discussed possible causes for this behavior - e.g. do these attributes suggest substantial mis-specification in the broader stock-assessment model(s)? - and the downstream consequences of using such results.

Examination of the estimated likelihood profiles for individual rockfish species from successive assessments also revealed that the estimated steepness for individual species could vary dramatically among assessments. For example, estimate for yelloweye rockfish shifted from an MLE of about 0.4 to an estimate of 1.0 between the assessments in 2009 and 2017. Such dramatic changes occurred in a several species. This suggests a relatively small number of years of additional recruitment information radically altered the estimated steepness. Given the similarity of model structure among assessments, and the longevity of most rockfish, such behavior is statistically unsavory.

A further concern was that there is non-independence among rockfish stocks in recruitment. Rockfish appear to share good and bad years of recruitment and as such the assumption of independence of rockfish included in the analysis is likely inappropriate. The effect of such non-independence for inference about steepness is unclear.

Given the SSC's concerns about the meta-analysis, Dr. Owen Hamel provided a number of options for choosing a steepness prior for use in groundfish assessments. Options considered include reverting to the value used during the previous assessment cycle (steepness = 0.72), using a value consistent with established proxies the PFMC uses for F_{MSY} and B_{MSY}/B_0 (steepness = 0.6), or the new meta-analysis (steepness = 0.93). No options below the prior distribution mean of 0.6 were considered.

This cycle the assessors will not be doing type C analyses.

Proposals that were discussed without being fully fleshed out:

- Scale likelihood profiles such that the optima identified by later analyses are generally within ~2LL units of the optima identified by early analyses; i.e., if the location of the negLL minimum moves around a lot as data accumulate for a single stock, the likelihood profile should be shallow enough that all of the later values for the optima would have appeared plausible based on the earlier likelihood profiles.
- Using the Mangel et al. (2010) method for determining steepness based on life-history characteristics.
- *Include results from multiple assessments for a single species in a single model structure?*
- *Mixture distribution for posterior?*
- 3. New Methodology Informing Sigma Values Final Adoption

The Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) reviewed analyses relating to the scientific uncertainty in estimating the overfishing limit (OFL), denoted sigma (σ). Sigma, in combination with the Council's policy choice for the overfishing probability (P*), determines the size of the buffer between the OFL and the acceptable biological catch (ABC) for groundfish and coastal pelagic species (CPS). The Council's current sigma value for category 1 stocks (0.36) is based on the analysis by Ralston et al. (2011) that estimated among-assessment variation in historical spawning biomass for a set of groundfish and CPS stock assessments completed through 2009. That analysis was based on spawning biomass and not OFL and did not directly account for increases in scientific uncertainty that accrue as assessment results are projected into the future.

The SSC received a presentation from Ms. Kristin Privitera-Johnson (University of Washington) summarizing results from several different approaches for estimating sigma for the first year after an assessment is conducted (the baseline sigma; Agenda Item G.3, Attachments 1 and 2). Another presentation from Dr. Chantel Wetzel (Northwest Fisheries Science Center) summarized results from an analysis of increased scientific uncertainty (hence sigma) with assessment age (Agenda Item G.3, Supplemental REVISED Attachment 3). Initial versions of both analyses were reviewed by the Groundfish and CPS Subcommittees in November 2018 (see Agenda Item G.3.a, SSC Groundfish and Coastal Pelagic Species Subcommittees' Report 1).

Baseline Value for Sigma

Agenda Item G.3, Attachments 1 and 2 compared various approaches for setting a baseline sigma, including the "historical biomass approach" used in Ralston et al. (2011). The analyses considered approaches that set sigma based on between-assessment variation in projections of spawning biomass or OFL, with alternative methods of accounting for recruitment variability.

The projection approach presented to the SSC's Groundfish and CPS Subcommittees in November conducted 25-year projections for each assessment starting in 1998, 2003, and 2008, and set fishing mortality to the F_{MSY} proxy for those years, with deterministic or stochastic recruitment. The Subcommittees requested an additional approach ('Method B', which is more consistent with uncertainty in recruitment in the final years of an assessment) that used 1-year projections derived across 15 years for each of the two or three assessments used for each species. The SSC considers sigma derived from the 1-year projections, using Method B, to be the best approach to set sigma representing scientific uncertainty for 1-year projections of category-1 assessments. This value is 0.439.

The new analyses were restricted to benchmark assessments conducted using recent versions of the stock synthesis software (from 2009 to present) and technical constraints prevented the use of seasonal models (e.g., for Pacific sardine). To account for the limitations associated with having only a subset of groundfish species in the analysis, the SSC recommends that the value chosen for sigma from the full set of species (including CPS) be scaled by the ratio of the sigma from the updated historical biomass approach (0.389) and from the subset of species used for this analysis (0.342).

The SSC recommends a baseline sigma value of 0.50 = 0.439*(0.389/0.342) for category 1 groundfish and CPS stocks. As in the 2011 recommendation, the SSC recommends baseline sigma

values of twice the category 1 value for category 2 stocks (1.0) and four times that value for category 3 stocks (2.0) (Table 1).

Increase in Sigma Due to Stock Assessment Age

Agenda Item G.3, Supplemental REVISED Attachment 3 was based on deterministic projections of spawning biomass starting from a low state of nature relative to base-model projections of spawning biomass. The low state of nature was constructed so that starting spawning biomass is consistent with the previous value for sigma (0.36) with a probability of 25%. Projections for both the base model and the low state of nature were based on an assumption of full attainment of the ABCs derived from the base model, which causes the two projections to diverge with the rate of divergence reflecting the population dynamic characteristics of the stock.

Due to concerns that the projections would be highly sensitive to the assumption of full ABC attainment, the Subcommittees in November requested additional analyses with Dover sole and chilipepper rockfish be excluded, as attainment rates for these stocks have been consistently low. Removing these two stocks made very little difference in the increase in sigma over time. The SSC recommends using the analysis with all species included, and applying the relative rate of increase in sigma (7.5% of the baseline value with each additional year) to the baseline category 1 and 2 sigmas of 0.5 and 1.0, i.e.:

Sigma (years since assessment) = (baseline sigma) * (1.0 + (years since assessment - 1)*0.075).

For example, for assessments conducted in 2019 the baseline sigma will apply in 2020. However, for the first year of application (2021) the sigma will be 7.5% larger and the resulting buffer will increase accordingly (e.g., to 6.5% rather than 6.1%) (Table 1).

As the OFLs from category 3 analyses are constant, there is no reason for the category 3 sigma to increase with time. Depending on the Council's selected value for P*, the reductions in catch to account for increasing scientific uncertainty with projection year should conform to the values shown in the first attached table.

The projection year resets to 1 when a full or update assessment is conducted. The projection year will not reset following a catch-only update.

The SSC will review the baseline values of sigma and the rate of increase in sigma with assessment age prior to the next assessment cycle.

Literature Cited

Ralston, S.; A.E. Punt; O.S. Hamel; J.D. DeVore; and R.J. Conser. 2011. A meta-analytic approach to quantifying scientific uncertainty in stock assessments. Fish. Bull. 109: 217–231.

Table 1. SSC-recommended reductions to OFL for scientific uncertainty for category 1, 2, and 3 groundfish and CPS stocks based on sigma and P^* values by projection year and P^* . The sigmas in the first header row are the baseline sigmas.

	Catego	ory 1 (σ=0.5)	Categ	ory 2 (σ=1)	Categ	ory 3 (σ=2)
Year P*	0.45	0.40	0.45	0.40	0.45	0.40
1 (baseline)	6.1%	11.9%	11.8%	22.4%	22.2%	39.8%
2 a/	6.5%	12.7%	12.6%	23.8%	22.2%	39.8%
3	7.0%	13.6%	13.5%	25.3%	22.2%	39.8%
4	7.4%	14.4%	14.3%	26.7%	22.2%	39.8%
5	7.8%	15.2%	15.1%	28.1%	22.2%	39.8%
6	8.3%	16.0%	15.9%	29.4%	22.2%	39.8%
7	8.7%	16.8%	16.7%	30.7%	22.2%	39.8%
8	9.1%	17.6%	17.4%	32.0%	22.2%	39.8%
9	9.6%	18.3%	18.2%	33.3%	22.2%	39.8%
10	10.0%	19.1%	19.0%	34.6%	22.2%	39.8%

^{a/} Projection Year 2 is the first year of the management cycle following groundfish stock assessments.

Table 2. A comparison of the old and new sigma values for category 1, 2, and 3 groundfish and CPS stocks.

	Ca	tegory 1 σ	Cat	Category 2 σ a/		Category 3 σ	
Year	Old	New	Old	New	Old	New	
1	0.36	0.50	0.72	1.0	1.44	2.00	
2	0.36	0.5375	0.72	1.075	1.44	2.00	
3	0.36	0.575	0.72	1.15	1.44	2.00	
4	0.36	0.6125	0.72	1.225	1.44	2.00	
5	0.36	0.65	0.72	1.30	1.44	2.00	
6	0.36	0.6875	0.72	1.375	1.44	2.00	
7	0.36	0.725	0.72	1.45	1.44	2.00	
8	0.36	0.7625	0.72	1.525	1.44	2.00	
9	0.36	0.80	0.72	1.60	1.44	2.00	
10	0.36	0.8375	0.72	1.675	1.44	2.00	

a/ Note that some older category 1 assessments have been reassigned to category 2 in the past to account for the increased uncertainty. This will no longer be necessary.

Table 3. A comparison of the old and new scientific uncertainty reductions for $P^* = 0.45$.

P*=0.45	Category 1		Category 2		Category 3	
Year	Old	New	Old	New	Old	New
1	4.4%	6.1%	8.7%	11.8%	16.6%	22.2%
2	4.4%	6.5%	8.7%	12.6%	16.6%	22.2%
3	4.4%	7.0%	8.7%	13.5%	16.6%	22.2%
4	4.4%	7.4%	8.7%	14.3%	16.6%	22.2%
5	4.4%	7.8%	8.7%	15.1%	16.6%	22.2%
6	4.4%	8.3%	8.7%	15.9%	16.6%	22.2%
7	4.4%	8.7%	8.7%	16.7%	16.6%	22.2%
8	4.4%	9.1%	8.7%	17.4%	16.6%	22.2%
9	4.4%	9.6%	8.7%	18.2%	16.6%	22.2%
10	4.4%	10.0%	8.7%	19.0%	16.6%	22.2%

Table 4. A comparison of the old and new scientific uncertainty reductions for $P^* = 0.4$.

P*=0.4	Category 1		Ca	Category 2		tegory 3
Year	Old	New	Old	New	Old	New
1	8.7%	11.9%	16.7%	22.4%	30.6%	39.8%
2	8.7%	12.7%	16.7%	23.8%	30.6%	39.8%
3	8.7%	13.6%	16.7%	25.3%	30.6%	39.8%
4	8.7%	14.4%	16.7%	26.7%	30.6%	39.8%
5	8.7%	15.2%	16.7%	28.1%	30.6%	39.8%
6	8.7%	16.0%	16.7%	29.4%	30.6%	39.8%
7	8.7%	16.8%	16.7%	30.7%	30.6%	39.8%
8	8.7%	17.6%	16.7%	32.0%	30.6%	39.8%
9	8.7%	18.3%	16.7%	33.3%	30.6%	39.8%
10	8.7%	19.1%	16.7%	34.6%	30.6%	39.8%

SSC Notes:

- At the time that the current sigma values were adopted, the SSC noted that additional sources of uncertainty had been identified, and indicated that the overall estimate of uncertainty could increase with additional analyses (<u>Agenda Item B.2.b</u>, <u>Supplemental SSC Report, June 2010</u>).
- The SSC should include a statement in June or September regarding the spex process on uncertainty in very long term projections where uncertainty for category 1 and 2 exceed the data poor uncertainty.
- The Subcommittee report states that because recruitment variation with CPS is large the analysis primarily applies to groundfish; the approach is not ideal for CPS. However, it is better to include the adjustment for increasing uncertainty than not make any adjustment.

D. Salmon Management

2. Review of Rebuilding Plans

Dr. Michael O'Farrell (Southwest Fisheries Science Center) and members of the Salmon Technical Team (STT) briefed the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) about the five draft salmon rebuilding plans. All five plans had the rebuilding and economic analysis removed, hence the SSC did not review the plans at this time. Dr. O'Farrell reported that the STT is working on the plans using the SSC recommendations from the November 2018 meeting. They intend to submit a final draft of the Chinook plans in April; however, the coho plans may not be ready until June.

SSC Notes:

Coho plans had the no fishing alternative removed and incorporated new co-manager recommendations.

In the Strait of Juan de Fuca plan Appendix D presents an analysis to support a change in management breakpoints. There are standard methods (i.e., Ricker, Beverton-Holt) to fit a stock-recruit relationship that should be explored.

Changes in hatchery practices and trucking of Sacramento River fall Chinook (SRFC) may affect the utility of using SRFC as the indicator stock for the Central Valley fall chinook stock complex, which includes hatchery and natural fall chinook from the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers as well as the late fall chinook return.

3. Review of 2018 Fisheries and Summary of 2019 Stock Forecasts

Dr. Michael O'Farrell (Southwest Fisheries Science Center) and members of the Salmon Technical Team (STT) briefed the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) about the five draft salmon rebuilding plans, presented an overview of the Review of 2018 Ocean Salmon Fisheries and the 2019 Preseason Report I to the SSC, and responded to questions.

Two Chinook stocks (Sacramento River fall Chinook and Klamath River fall Chinook) and three natural coho stocks (Queets, Juan de Fuca, and Snohomish) remain overfished, defined as when the most recent three year geometric mean of escapement is below the Minimum Stock Size Threshold. No stocks were subject to overfishing in 2018 and no stocks met the criteria for approaching an overfished condition.

The SSC endorses the 2019 acceptable biological catches and overfishing limits (2019 line in Table V-5) in Preseason Report I as the best available science for use in 2019 salmon management.

SSC Notes:

Sacramento late Fall Chinook had the lowest escapement on record, but high jack counts indicate a likely increase next year.

Chapter 1: Coho 2016 and 2017 overpredicted escapement for Stock Recruitment on page 41, consider revisiting projection methods.

Stillaguamish coho just barely above MSST, landslide effect not fishing issue.

In the future, the SSC may consider more detail regarding the stocks for which the forecasts and ABC/OFL are being endorsed in the statement since Willapa Bay coho methods have not been reviewed but are in Table 5.

No forecasts other than the SRFC and KRFC have been reviewed by the SSC.

K. Coastal Pelagic Species Management

- 1. Comments on Court Ordered Rulemaking on Harvest Specifications for the Central Subpopulation of Northern Anchovy (NOTE: This item was cancelled on the Council's agenda since no proposed rule was published. The SSC still reviewed material associated with K.1.a and their notes are captured below. These notes will inform a report to the Council in April)
 - a. Review Approach for Computing F_{MSY} , B_{MSY} , and MSY for the Central Subpopulation of Northern Anchovy

SSC Notes:

The SSC discussed two draft documents prepared by Dr. André Punt (University of Washington) informing management options for the central subpopulation of northern anchovy (CSNA). These documents were prepared in response to an April 2018 Council motion and not in response to the recent court order. The SSC endorsed the basic approach in both documents, while offering suggestions for short-term and long-term revisions and next steps.

AN APPROACH FOR COMPUTING F_{MSY} , B_{MSY} and MSY FOR THE CSNA

This document builds on the MSST report (September 2016 Agenda Item E.1.a, Supplemental NMFS Report), performing a Bayesian analysis to fit alternative formulations of the stock-recruit relationship (Ricker or Beverton-Holt, with or without autocorrelation) to the assessment estimates and assumed life history parameters reported in the Jacobson et al. (1995) assessment. Model fitting was performed using the Sample Importance Resample algorithm and model formulations were compared using Bayes Factor. The SSC recommends retaining all model formulations for consideration rather than restricting consideration to the formulation with the highest Bayes Factor.

The analysis generates a posterior distribution for values of F_{MSY} , the annual exploitation rate corresponding to maximum sustainable yield. Using this output in management further requires selecting a point estimate of F_{MSY} and thus choosing between the mean and median (or other quantile/moment) as the point estimate, and when applying the estimate of F_{MSY} to a biomass estimate further account needs to be taken for selectivity and whether the biomass estimate is based on spawning biomass, 1+ biomass, or some other metric. The SSC recommends use of the posterior median as the point estimate for F_{MSY} , given that accepted practice is to use the posterior

median to determine OFLs from Bayesian assessments and because the logic used in assigning buffers against the OFL starts from a baseline 50% probability of overfishing.

The SSC endorsed the basic approach and had the following requests for short-term additions to the analysis:

- Add notation specifying units.
- Explain how the value used for observation error (σ_I) was derived.
- Specify in the text that S_a is selectivity at age.
- Double-check the specific panels of Figure 5 referred to in the text.
- Use a log-uniform prior for R_0 , which is more appropriate than a uniform prior when uncertainty spans orders of magnitude.
- As a sensitivity analysis, show the results when negative values of autocorrelation in recruitment are excluded.
- Consider showing the results of projections assuming a natural mortality of M=1.1. Refitting the stock-recruit relationship based on alternative assumptions of M is not feasible in the time available.

In the longer term, the SSC recommends considering alternative formulations of the stock-recruit relationship and attempting to account for seasonal effects and the continuous, income-breeding nature of anchovy reproduction rather than a model based on discrete years and age classes.

WHITEPAPER ON FREQUENCY OF ASSESSMENTS AND UPDATES TO OFLS, ABCS AND ACLS FOR THE CENTRAL SUBPOPULATION OF NORTHERN ANCHOVY

This document provides an illustrative example of the tradeoffs involved in different frequencies of updating OFL specifications based on new biomass estimates, assuming that F_{MSY} is known, illustrating the tradeoff between greater catch stability but larger buffers required to maintain a given risk of overfishing when updates are less frequent. It also describes how an MSE or MSE-lite approach could be used to further assess the value of different frequencies of new assessments (allowing updates to reference points such as F_{MSY} and B_{MSY} in addition to updating biomass) and the required timeline for such analyses (assuming full time staff were available). The SSC endorsed the conceptual illustration, proposed approaches, and approximate timelines, assuming that staff are available. The SSC notes that the time required for an MSE increases with the amount of stakeholder involvement desired.

Suggested short-term additions:

- Add an illustrative example for a constant catch scenario, setting catch equal to 25% of MSY.
- Consider adding an example assuming less frequent updates, e.g. once every ten years. It was noted that if updates were so infrequent, it would likely be accompanied by a shift to using a running average biomass rather than using just the result of the most recent survey. Options like this could be better addressed in an MSE-lite scenario.

References

Jacobson, L. D., N. C. H. Lo, S. F. Herrick, Jr., and T. Bishop. 1995. Spawning biomass of the northern anchovy in 1995 and status of the coastal pelagic fishery during 1994. NMFS, SWFSC Admin. Rep. LJ-95-11. 52 p.

Statistical Note

Comparisons based on Bayes Factor are sensitive to the range of the priors.

Nomenclature Note

In other contexts, F_{MSY} refers to an instantaneous rate and the annual rate is denoted by E_{MSY} , however F_{MSY} is used for the annual rate here following typical practice for CPS.

C. Council Administrative Matters, Continued

5. Future Council Meeting Agenda and Workload Planning

NOTE: The workload planning table at the end of these minutes was originally appended to the SSC report to the Council in March.

The Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) discussed future workload planning and the impacts of the partial government shutdown on previously scheduled meetings, and has the following updates and recommendations. The partial government shutdown resulted in the cancellation of several meetings (Groundfish Subcommittee meeting in January in Seattle for best practices and skate catch reconstructions, the remotely operated vehicle (ROV) survey methodology review, Highly Migratory Species webinar on albacore distribution).

The SSC has rescheduled the Groundfish Subcommittee meeting concurrent with the Pre-Assessment workshop for groundfish stock assessments for March 25-26, in Portland, OR with listening stations and remote participation from Newport, OR and Santa Cruz, CA. That meeting will include subcommittee review of the best practices guidelines. That meeting will be followed by a one-day review of skate catch reconstructions on March 27, also in Portland, OR. The SSC recommends that the ROV survey methodology review be rescheduled for late 2019 or early 2020.

As reported in the SSC statement on the California Current IEA Report (Agenda Item E.1.b, Supplemental SSC Report), the SSC recommends that it continue to evaluate requests for reviews from the CCIEA team annually in March and schedule reviews at SSC Ecosystem Subcommittee (SSC-ES) meetings the following September as needed. For this September, the SSC recommends that the SSC-ES and Highly Migratory Species subcommittees review of drivers of albacore distribution and availability to fisheries, and that the SSC-ES review spatial indicators of bottom contact by trawl gear and fixed gear. The SSC-ES also proposes reviewing the salmon forecasts and the stoplight indicators that were presented in the CCIEA Supplementary Materials, pending availability of IEA team members. If the latter is reviewed, the meeting would benefit from joint review with the SSC Salmon Subcommittee.

As the partial government shutdown also precluded the ability of the CPS subcommittee to review Pacific sardine assessment as part of the March meeting, due to the inability of the assessment team to complete the assessment, that review has been scheduled for a webinar for March 28.

With respect to previously planned stock assessment review panels (Pacific mackerel and the four groundfish panels), the SSC recommends that these remain as previously scheduled. However,

the Northwest Fisheries Science Center has indicated that delaying review of the two update stock assessments, widow rockfish and petrale sole, would allow for age readers to catch up on age determination efforts that were delayed due to the government shutdown. Consequently, the SSC recommends delaying review of those updated stock assessments to the previously scheduled August 20 Groundfish subcommittee meeting. To accommodate the time needs for that review, the SSC recommends that this be a 1.5 day meeting in either Portland, OR or Seattle.

The SSC continues to recommend a Groundfish Mop-up review panel be held, if needed, from September 30 to October 4, 2019, in Seattle.

The SSC recommends that a salmon methodology review be held in October of 2019, most likely in Portland, OR, with potential topics to be discussed in April.

SSC Notes:

Make sure we have the appropriate representation of STT and salmon staff officer at the April discussion of salmon methodology topics. We should also revisit the question of when we are reviewing pre-season report 1, what are we actually supposed to be endorsing (we have never formally reviewed forecast methods for Willapa Bay Coho). Ensure that it is clear that we are not endorsing every forecast in preseason 1.

From the SSC statement on E.1, it was noted that the SSC-ES is no longer constrained by a conflict with a SSC Groundfish Subcommittee meeting at the September Council meeting, as the SSC Groundfish Subcommittee meeting has been moved to August. The IEA continues to evolve rapidly and new indicators and analyses may benefit from timely feedback.

SSC Subcommittee Assignments, March 2019

Salmon	Groundfish	Coastal Pelagic Species	Highly Migratory Species	Economics	Ecosystem- Based Management
Alan Byrne	David Sampson	André Punt	Aaron Berger	Cameron Speir	Dan Holland
John Budrick	Aaron Berger	Aaron Berger	John Field	Michael Harte	John Field
Owen Hamel	John Budrick	John Budrick	Michael Harte	Dan Holland	Michael Harte
Michael Harte	John Field	Alan Byrne	Dan Holland	André Punt	Galen Johnson
Galen Johnson	Owen Hamel	John Field	André Punt	David Sampson	André Punt
Will Satterthwaite	André Punt	Owen Hamel	David Sampson		Will Satterthwaite
Rishi Sharma	Rishi Sharma	Will Satterthwaite	Rishi Sharma		Ole Shelton
Ole Shelton	Tien-Shui Tsou	Tien-Shui Tsou			Cameron Speir
Cameron Speir					Tien-Shui Tsou

Bold denotes Subcommittee Chairperson

Council Meeting Dates	Location	Likely SSC Mtg Dates	Major Topics
April 9-16, 2019 Proposed Subcommittees may meet Apr 9 Advisory Bodies may begin Wed, Apr 10 Council Session may begin Thur, Apr 11	DoubleTree by Hilton Sonoma One Doubletree Drive Rohnert Park, CA 94928 Phone: 707-584-5466	Two-day SSC Session Wed, Apr 10 – Thu, Apr 11	Pacific Sardine Assessment and Management Measures CPS EFP Review CPS Methodology Review Topic Selection CSNA Management Update CSNA Litigation Response Groundfish Science Improvement and Methodology Review Report Salmon Methodology Review Topic Selection
June 18-25, 2019 Proposed Subcommittees may meet Tues, June 18 Advisory Bodies may begin Wed, June 19 Council Session may begin Thur, June 20	DoubleTree by Hilton San Diego – Mission Valley 7450 Hazard Center Drive San Diego, CA 92108 Phone: 619-297-5466	Two-day SSC Session Wed, June 19 – Thu, June 20	Pacific Mackerel Assessment and Management Measures CPS Stock Prioritization 2021-2022 Groundfish Spex Planning
September 11-18, 2019 Proposed Subcommittees may meet Wed, Sept 11 Advisory Bodies may begin Thur, Sept 12 Council Session may begin Fri, Sept 13	The Riverside Hotel 2900 Chinden Blvd Boise, ID 83714 Phone: 208-343-1871	One-day Ecosystem Subcm Session Wed, Sep 11 Two-day SSC Session Thu, Sep 12 – Fri, Sep 13	Groundfish Assessments Review 2021-2022 Groundfish Spex Groundfish Stock Assessment Methodology Review Topic Selection Off-year Science Improvements Salmon Methodology Topic Priorities
November 13-20, 2019 Proposed Subcommittees may meet Wed, Nov 13 Advisory Bodies may begin Thur, Nov 14 Council Session may begin Fri, Nov 15	Hilton Orange County/Costa Mesa 3050 Bristol Street Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Phone: 714-540-7000	Two-day SSC Session Thu, Nov 14 – Fri, Nov 15	CPS Methodology Topic Selection CPS SAFE Groundfish Stock Assessments & Cowcod Rebuilding Analysis (if needed) 2021-2022 Groundfish Spex Groundfish Stock Assessment Methodology Topic Priorities Salmon Methodology Review

Proposed Workshops and SSC Subcommittee Meetings for 2019

	Workshop/Meeting	Potential Dates	Sponsor/ Tentative Location	SSC Reps.	Additional Reviewers	AB Reps.	Council Staff
1	Pre-Assessment Workshop for 2019 Groundfish Assessments (Except Cabezon), Portland, OR/ webinar	Mar. 25-26	Council/ Portland, OR; / webinar	STAR Chairs & Interested GF Subcommittee members	None	GMT GAP	DeVore
2	Review of Historical Catch Reconstructions of Skate Species and Other Skate Data Issues	Mar. 27	Council/ Portland, OR/ webinar	GF Subcommittee	TBD	Doerpinghaus (GMT) Richter (GAP)	DeVore
3	Pacific Sardine Update Assessment Review	Mar. 28 (9-noon) Webinar	Council/ Vancouver, WA	CPS Subcommittee	None	CPSMT CPSAS	Griffin
4	Pacific Mackerel STAR Panel	Apr. 23 – 25	Council/ La Jolla, CA	Punt (Chair), Hamel, Budrick	CIE	CPSMT CPSAS	Griffin
5	Cabezon STAR Panel	May 6-10	Council/ Newport, OR	Sharma (Chair),	CIE	Mirick (GMT) Richter (GAP)	DeVore
6	Longnose and Big Skates STAR Panel	June 3-7	Council/ Seattle, WA	Sampson (Chair)	CIE Dorn	Doerpinghaus (GMT) Richter (GAP)	DeVore
7	Sablefish STAR Panel	July 8-12	Council/ Seattle, WA	Field (Chair)	CIE	Mirick (GMT) Richter (GAP)	DeVore

Proposed Workshops and SSC Subcommittee Meetings for 2019

	Workshop/Meeting	Potential Dates	Sponsor/ Tentative Location	SSC Reps.	Additional Reviewers	AB Reps.	Council Staff
Þ					Ianelli		
8	Gopher/Black-and-Yellow RF and Cowcod STAR Panel	July 22-26	Council/ Santa Cruz, CA	Hamel (Chair), Satterthwaite	CIE	Mandrup (GMT) Richter (GAP)	DeVore
9	Review of STAR Panel Reviews to Develop the Mop- Up Review, if needed; Review of update GF assessments	Aug. 20-21	Council/ Portland, OR or Seattle, WA	GF Subcommittee	NA	GMT GAP	DeVore
10	Ecosystem Indicators Review, Including Review of Analyses of Drivers of Albacore Distribution and Availability to Fisheries in the California Current	Sep. 11	Council/ Boise, ID	HMS, Salmon, & Ecosystem Subcommittees	TBD	None	Dahl DeVore
11	Groundfish Mop-Up Review Panel, if needed	Sep. 30 – Oct. 4	Council/ Seattle, WA	GF Subcommittee members	CIE	GMT GAP	DeVore
12	Salmon Methodology Review	Oct. TBD	Council/ TBD	Salmon Subcommittee members	NA	STT, MEW	Ehlke

Proposed Workshops and SSC Subcommittee Meetings for 2019 Sponsor/ **Additional** Council Workshop/Meeting **Potential Dates Tentative** SSC Reps. AB Reps. Staff **Reviewers** Location Hamel (Chair?), Review of Nearshore ROV Shelton, Tsou, Fall 2019/Winter 2020 Council/ **13** Survey Designs and CIE None DeVore **TBD** Santa Cruz, CA Sharma, Berger, Methodologies Field GF & CPS Data-Limited Methodology Council/ 14 2020 - TBD Subcommittee **TBD TBD** DeVore Workshop TBD members