

DRAFT
Three Year Review of the Lower Columbia River tule fall Chinook Abundance-based
Harvest Matrix
November 3, 2018

At its November 2011 meeting, the Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) passed a motion recommending that NOAA’s National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) consider an abundance-based management (ABM) matrix as the harvest control rule for lower Columbia River (LCR) tule fall Chinook salmon for salmon fisheries in 2012 and beyond (McIsaac 2011). The control rule identifies exploitation rate limits based on four levels of aggregate abundance consisting primarily of lower river hatchery (LRH) Chinook salmon, (Table 1). This stock grouping is most commonly referred to as the LRH stock management unit, which is the indicator stock for wild LCR tule fall Chinook salmon.

Table 1. Variable fishing exploitation rate limits based on abundance tier as proposed by the Council (from McIsaac 2011).

| Lower River Hatchery (LRH) Abundance Forecast | Total Exploitation Rate Limit |
|--|--|
| 0 – 30,000 | 0.30 |
| 30,000 – 40,000 | 0.35 |
| 40,000 – 85,000 | 0.38 |
| >85,000 | 0.41 |

In 2012 NMFS issued a biological opinion on approval of the management of the ocean fisheries subject to the Fishery Management Plan for salmon fisheries off the coasts of Washington, Oregon and California. The biological opinion evaluated the proposed harvest impacts to the Endangered Species Act (ESA)-listed LCR Chinook Salmon Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU), including the ABM matrix for the tule fall Chinook salmon component (Table 1). NMFS concluded in the biological opinion that the proposed fishing seasons were not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the LCR Chinook Salmon ESU (NMFS 2012). The opinion adopted the recommendation from the Council to assess the performance of the ABM matrix every three years as a check on projected results and any changes in key presumptions.

NMFS is conducting its second review since the ABM matrix has now been implemented for six years. This report provides our draft conclusions. We invite comments and expect to consider submitted comments prior to the Council’s March 2019 meeting, at which time we plan to issue a final review. Written comments should be submitted to Jeromy Jording by February 1, 2019 at either Jeromy.Jording@noaa.gov or 510 Desmond Drive SE, Suite 103, Lacey WA 98503-1263.

The effectiveness of this ABM strategy depends, in part, on whether abundance of LCR hatchery chinook can be predicted with reasonable accuracy and precision. When the current ABM matrix (Table 1) was proposed annual tule fall Chinook salmon run sizes

were predicted, for fishery management purposes, using sibling models for the LRH stock management unit. Wild fall Chinook salmon returns could not be forecasted independently because of the lack of reliable age and escapement data for most wild populations. Examining correlations between the aggregate LRH return and the abundance of wild fish in the aggregate between 1964 and 2010 suggested that the hatchery forecast provided a suitable proxy for wild returns due to common effects of marine conditions to which both hatchery and wild fish are subjected (Beamesderfer et al. 2011). Table 2 lists the annual preseason terminal run size forecast of LRH Chinook salmon since implementing the ABM matrix along with the resulting exploitation rate tier planned for each year.

Table 2. Annual LRH Chinook salmon stock forecasts and allowable preseason exploitation rates (from PFMC preseason Report I, 2018).

| Year | LRH Preseason Abundance Forecast | Allowed Exploitation Rate based on ABM matrix |
|------|----------------------------------|---|
| 2012 | 127,000 | 0.41 |
| 2013 | 88,000 | 0.41 |
| 2014 | 110,000 | 0.41 |
| 2015 | 94,900 | 0.41 |
| 2016 | 133,700 | 0.41 |
| 2017 | 92,400 | 0.41 |
| 2018 | 62,400 | 0.38 |

Table 2 indicates that since implementation of the ABM matrix the preseason abundance of LRH fall Chinook salmon have allowed fisheries to operate at the highest tier available in all but one year. Table 3 lists the annual postseason terminal returns of LRH Chinook salmon for the same time period along with the associated postseason estimate of the exploitation rate. For context, the recent 10-year average abundance estimate for LRH fall Chinook salmon was 81,250 (PFMC 2018).

Table 3. Annual LRH Chinook salmon stock post season returns and resulting exploitation rates (from Joint Columbia River Management Staff (JCRMS) Fall Stock Status and Fisheries Report, 2018).

| Year | LRH Actual Post Season Return | Exploitation Rate achieved ¹ |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 2012 | 85,000 | 0.43 |
| 2013 | 104,800 | 0.33 |
| 2014 | 101,900 | 0.46 |
| 2015 ² | 128,700 | 0.34 |
| 2016 ² | 81,500 | 0.36 |
| 2017 ² | 64,600 | 0.36 |

¹ Calculated total exploitation on LCR tule Chinook salmon in all fisheries in the ocean and in the Columbia River below Bonneville Dam. These are estimated using the Fisheries Regulation Assessment Model (FRAM) which is currently used by the Council to annually estimate impacts of proposed ocean and terminal fisheries on Chinook and coho salmon stocks.

² Preliminary estimate.

The exploitation rates calculated in Table 3 use harvest from all fisheries in marine waters and the Columbia River, below Bonneville Dam. While ongoing monitoring efforts continue to be directed at gathering consistent data regarding natural population status and trends (e.g., abundance numbers, age composition, hatchery fractions, and productivity), the time series are still too short to use for forecasting preseason abundance. As evidenced by blank columns, escapement data for two populations in Table 5, four populations in Table 6, and two populations in Table 7 were not previously monitored. Updated spawning abundances begin in 2010 in Table 5 through Table 7, with data coming from either the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife's Salmon Conservation and Reporting Engine (SCORE) or Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife's Salmon and Steelhead Recovery Tracker online databases. Data in each table for years prior to 2010 came from the risk assessment report examining the ABM matrix (Beamesderfer et al. 2011).

Since 2012 preseason abundance forecasts for LRH Chinook salmon have been in the high abundance tier (Table 2) except for 2018, which is consistent with high abundances seen for other fall Chinook salmon stocks in the Columbia River during that period (JCRMS 2018). Because abundance has been high fisheries have been managed subject to a 41 percent total exploitation rate limit in years 2012 – 2017, with a 38 percent limit in 2018 (Table 2). Post season estimates of abundance indicate that the abundance category was correctly forecast in four of the six years in the period. In 2012 the post season estimate of abundance was 85,000 (Table 3). This is directly at the threshold of 85,000 for the high abundance tier. In 2016 and 2017 the preliminary post season run size estimates indicate that a 38 percent preseason limit would have been appropriate rather than the 41 percent indicated by preseason abundance. Preliminary post season estimates based on FRAM model analysis indicate that exploitation rates exceeded the preseason limit in two years out of the six (2012, 2014), although the postseason abundance estimates were consistent with the preseason management tier. When more data points allow for a more comprehensive review, the estimates of exploitation rates from FRAM should be compared to independent exploitation rate estimates derived from coded-wire tag groups.

New escapement information gathered over the last four or five years shows no substantive changes in abundance or hatchery fractions that are inconsistent with previous trends (Tables 5 through 7). There is also now consistent information for eight populations resulting from increased sampling associated with the states' commitment to monitor natural-origin escapements across multiple populations to determine the effectiveness of the ABM matrix over the long term.

NMFS administers the Mitchell Act hatchery funding in the Columbia River, which has historically produced a large proportion of the overall hatchery tule Chinook salmon. In 2017 NMFS adopted a Record of Decision ("Mitchell Act ROD") which guides NMFS' decision on the distribution of funds for hatchery production under the Mitchell Act. The Mitchell Act ROD directs NMFS to apply stronger performance goals to all Mitchell Act-funded, Columbia River Basin hatchery programs that affect ESA-listed primary and contributing salmon and steelhead populations. It requires "integrated hatchery programs [to] be better integrated" and "isolated hatchery programs [to] be better isolated". These

stronger performance goals reduced the risks of hatchery programs on natural-origin salmon and steelhead populations, including the LCR Chinook Salmon ESU, and primarily to the tule Chinook salmon MPGs.

These changes resulted in reductions to several of the tule Chinook salmon programs based on their biological effects to the LCR Chinook Salmon ESU. These changes will affect future annual release sizes of the aggregate LRH stock management unit that the ABM matrix relies on. NMFS analyzed these changes in production under the ESA and issued a biological opinion which found the policy direction was not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any species affected by the hatchery production (NMFS 2017).

The ABM matrix risk assessment report (Beamesderfer et. al. 2011) estimated annual hatchery releases of lower Columbia River programs averaged 22 million LRH juveniles per year from 1998 through 2008. While this production level reflects program changes in the mid-1990s to reduce production costs and selectively eliminate programs with lower success rates, it was the level of expected annual LRH released used to set the breakpoints for the tiers in Table 1.

As levels of the aggregate LRH return are modified over the coming decade to align with NMFS' 2017 Mitchell Act ROD, the expectations about tier frequency in the ABM matrix may change depending on whether conditions remain similar to the preceding 20-year period used to develop the ABM matrix or return to conditions more representative of the longer term (1959-1998). The ABM matrix risk assessment model, which was parameterized to represent the last 20 years and represented a prolonged period of low productivity and survival, determined that annual release levels of LRH hatchery juveniles could be reduced to 16 million total, before the relationship between the aggregate LRH return and the abundance of wild fish, considered in the aggregate, no longer provides a suitable proxy for wild returns. The expected reduction in LRH releases, from the Mitchell Act program changes, will be realized in brood year 2020 (release year 2021). The expected new long-term annual total release will be approximately 17.3 million LRH juveniles, assuming level production from the Cowlitz system which is not funded by the Mitchell Act. This represents a reduction of approximately 21.4 percent from the 22 million reference level.

As part of the adaptive management strategy developed through the ABM matrix, NMFS recommends once LRH release modifications are final and ocean maturity age classes reach three years (beginning in 2024) the abundance tiers be adjusted by the same percent (21.4%), so that breakpoints would account for any reductions in production. The resulting tiers are captured in Table 4. By 2024 it should also be possible to evaluate the feasibility of forecasting the wild tule component independently.

Table 4. Variable fishing exploitation rate limits based on LRH Chinook release modifications implemented through the Mitchell Act ROD (numbers are rounded to the nearest 1,000).

| LRH Abundance Forecast | Total Exploitation Rate Limit |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 0 – 24,000 | 0.30 |
| 24,000 – 31,000 | 0.35 |
| 31,000 – 67,000 | 0.38 |
| >67,000 | 0.41 |

Table 5. Annual available escapement of Lower Columbia River tule Chinook salmon Coast strata populations.

| Year | Youngs Bay | | Grays / Chinook | | Big Creek | | Elochoman / Skamokawa | | Clatskanie | | Mill / Abernathy / Germany | |
|------|------------|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|--------|------------|--------|----------------------------|--------|
| | # | % wild | # | % wild | # | % wild | # | % wild | # | % wild | # | % wild |
| 1991 | | | 127 | 47.0 | | | 196 | 9.0 | 287 | 10.0 | 2,017 | 85.0 |
| 1992 | | | 109 | 76.0 | | | 190 | 100.0 | 287 | 10.0 | 839 | 47.0 |
| 1993 | | | 27 | 52.0 | | | 288 | 78.0 | 287 | 10.0 | 885 | 71.0 |
| 1994 | | | 30 | 70.0 | | | 706 | 98.0 | 136 | 10.0 | 3,854 | 40.0 |
| 1995 | | | 9 | 39.0 | | | 156 | 50.0 | 194 | 10.0 | 1,395 | 51.0 |
| 1996 | | | 280 | 17.0 | | | 533 | 66.0 | 1,069 | 10.0 | 593 | 54.0 |
| 1997 | | | 15 | 12.0 | | | 1,875 | 11.0 | 155 | 10.0 | 603 | 23.0 |
| 1998 | | | 96 | 24.0 | | | 228 | 25.0 | 214 | 10.0 | 368 | 60.0 |
| 1999 | | | 195 | 68.0 | | | 718 | 25.0 | 233 | 10.0 | 575 | 69.0 |
| 2000 | | | 169 | 70.0 | | | 196 | 62.0 | 607 | 10.0 | 416 | 58.0 |
| 2001 | | | 261 | 43.0 | | | 2,354 | 82.0 | 607 | 10.0 | 4,024 | 39.0 |
| 2002 | | | 107 | 47.0 | | | 7,581 | 0.0 | 894 | 10.0 | 3,343 | 5.0 |
| 2003 | | | 398 | 39.0 | | | 6,820 | 65.0 | 1,088 | 10.0 | 3,810 | 56.0 |
| 2004 | | | 766 | 25.0 | | | 4,796 | 1.0 | 252 | 10.0 | 6,804 | 2.0 |
| 2005 | | | 147 | 41.0 | | | 2,204 | 5.0 | 233 | 10.0 | 2,083 | 13.0 |
| 2006 | | | 302 | 100.0 | | | 317 | 100.0 | 97 | 10.0 | 636 | 62.0 |
| 2007 | | | 63 | 100.0 | | | 165 | 100.0 | 90 | 10.0 | 335 | 48.0 |
| 2008 | | | 40 | 68.0 | | | 841 | 10.0 | 90 | 10.0 | 750 | 49.0 |
| 2009 | | | 312 | 43.0 | | | 2,246 | 18.0 | 168 | 56.0 | 604 | 93.0 |
| 2010 | 1,152 | n/a | 170 | 48.6 | 14,933 | 6.0 | 1,261 | 10.9 | 100 | 12.0 | 2,410 | 6.5 |
| 2011 | 4,011 | 39.0 | 416 | 14.9 | 2,640 | 5.0 | 1,084 | 5.8 | 144 | 9.0 | 1,192 | 7.9 |
| 2012 | 5,667 | 3.0 | 160 | 21.9 | 1,100 | 5.0 | 207 | 30.1 | 80 | 10.0 | 147 | 14.3 |
| 2013 | 8,180 | 5.0 | 1,644 | 5.5 | - | 0 | 448 | 17.8 | 38 | 8.0 | 657 | 19.4 |
| 2014 | 2,380 | 5.0 | 969 | 19.1 | 2,050 | 2.0 | 680 | 22.0 | 78 | 9.0 | 554 | 6.2 |
| 2015 | 2,011 | 19.0 | 762 | 28.9 | - | 0 | 988 | 23.7 | 44 | 9.0 | 989 | 8.1 |
| 2016 | 813 | 24.0 | 356 | 22.6 | 888 | 8.0 | 366 | 25.0 | 100 | 2.0 | 397 | 21.9 |
| 2017 | n/a | n/a | 565 | 52.3 | n/a | n/a | 115 | 67.5 | n/a | n/a | 95 | 17.4 |

Table 6. Annual available escapement of Lower Columbia River tle Chinook salmon Cascade stata populations.

| Year | Lower Cowlitz ¹ | | Upper Cowlitz | | Toutle | | Coweeman | | Kalama | | Lewis ² | | Clackamas | | Sandy | | Washougal | |
|------|----------------------------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|--------|-----------|--------|
| | # | % wild | # | % wild | # | % wild | # | % wild | # | % wild | # | % wild | # | % wild | # | % wild | # | % wild |
| 1991 | 935 | 26.0 | | | | | 340 | 100.0 | 5,152 | 54.0 | 470 | 100.0 | | | | | 3,673 | 47.0 |
| 1992 | 1,022 | 26.0 | | | | | 1,247 | 100.0 | 3,683 | 48.0 | 335 | 100.0 | | | | | 2,399 | 76.0 |
| 1993 | 1,330 | 6.0 | | | | | 890 | 100.0 | 1,961 | 89.0 | 164 | 100.0 | | | | | 3,924 | 52.0 |
| 1994 | 1,225 | 19.0 | | | | | 1,695 | 100.0 | 2,014 | 71.0 | 610 | 100.0 | | | | | 3,888 | 70.0 |
| 1995 | 1,370 | 13.0 | | | | | 1,368 | 100.0 | 3,012 | 69.0 | 409 | 100.0 | | | | | 3,063 | 39.0 |
| 1996 | 1,325 | 58.0 | | | | | 2,305 | 100.0 | 10,630 | 44.0 | 403 | 100.0 | | | | | 2,921 | 17.0 |
| 1997 | 2,007 | 72.0 | | | | | 689 | 100.0 | 3,539 | 40.0 | 305 | 100.0 | | | | | 4,669 | 12.0 |
| 1998 | 1,665 | 37.0 | | | | | 491 | 100.0 | 4,294 | 69.0 | 127 | 100.0 | | | | | 2,971 | 24.0 |
| 1999 | 969 | 16.0 | | | | | 299 | 100.0 | 2,577 | 3.0 | 331 | 100.0 | | | | | 3,129 | 68.0 |
| 2000 | 2,165 | 10.0 | | | | | 290 | 100.0 | 1,284 | 21.0 | 515 | 100.0 | | | | | 2,155 | 70.0 |
| 2001 | 3,647 | 44.0 | | | | | 802 | 73.0 | 3,553 | 18.0 | 750 | 70.0 | | | | | 3,901 | 43.0 |
| 2002 | 9,671 | 76.0 | | | | | 877 | 97.0 | 18,627 | 1.0 | 1,032 | 77.0 | | | | | 6,050 | 47.0 |
| 2003 | 7,001 | 88.0 | | | | | 1,106 | 89.0 | 24,684 | 0.0 | 738 | 98.0 | | | | | 3,444 | 39.0 |
| 2004 | 4,621 | 70.0 | | | | | 1,503 | 91.0 | 6,434 | 11.0 | 1,388 | 29.0 | | | | | 10,597 | 25.0 |
| 2005 | 2,968 | 17.0 | | | | | 853 | 60.0 | 9,053 | 3.0 | 607 | 100.0 | | | | | 2,678 | 41.0 |
| 2006 | 2,051 | 47.0 | | | | | 561 | 100.0 | 10,386 | 1.0 | 1,300 | 82.0 | | | | | 1,936 | 14.0 |
| 2007 | 1,401 | 53.0 | | | | | 234 | 100.0 | 3,296 | 6.0 | 492 | 73.0 | | | | | 1,528 | 87.0 |
| 2008 | 1,259 | 90.0 | | | | | 404 | 52.0 | 3,734 | 4.0 | 567 | 87.0 | | | | | 2,491 | 93.0 |
| 2009 | 2,602 | 45.0 | | | | | 780 | 63.0 | 7,548 | 10.0 | 299 | 100.0 | 492 | 49.0 | | | 2,741 | 30.0 |
| 2010 | 3,734 | 68.3 | 10,142 | 31.0 | 1,917 | 11.9 | 584 | 70.7 | 5,315 | 11.2 | 2,435 | 63.0 | 18 | 22.0 | 3,640 | 47.0 | 5,530 | 10.7 |
| 2011 | 3,685 | 74.5 | 14,182 | 30.0 | 1,498 | 13.2 | 707 | 88.1 | 7,591 | 5.6 | 2,339 | 70.6 | 117 | 29.0 | 3,542 | 46.0 | 3,224 | 14.6 |
| 2012 | 2,725 | 57.0 | 6,143 | 32.0 | 907 | 25.9 | 526 | 88.2 | 7,477 | 3.9 | 1,874 | 67.2 | 316 | 19.0 | 714 | 80.0 | 965 | 26.2 |
| 2013 | 4,320 | 80.5 | 7,366 | 45.0 | 1,754 | 52.1 | 2,322 | 67.5 | 8,487 | 9.6 | 8,173 | 75.5 | 424 | 92.0 | 2,576 | 97.0 | 3,612 | 33.1 |
| 2014 | 4,347 | 67.2 | 225 | 40.0 | 783 | 51.4 | 830 | 95.7 | 9,451 | 8.1 | 6,299 | 54.4 | 184 | 69.0 | n/a | n/a | 1,529 | 65.3 |
| 2015 | 5,981 | 70.0 | n/a | n/a | 598 | 63.2 | 1,391 | 97.7 | 6,423 | 45.1 | 11,073 | 54.9 | 310 | 62.0 | n/a | n/a | 2,925 | 45.6 |
| 2016 | 3,885 | 74.1 | n/a | n/a | 803 | 46.1 | 439 | 93.6 | 4,226 | 60.2 | 5,906 | 54.0 | 912 | 78.0 | n/a | n/a | 2,198 | 40.0 |
| 2017 | 3,630 | 80.6 | n/a | n/a | 594 | 52.9 | 841 | 85.7 | 3,041 | 57.0 | 3,865 | 62.4 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 1,112 | 59.2 |

¹ Tule Chinook salmon in the Cowlitz River were previously a conglomerate estimate.

² Tule Chinook salmon estimates from both East and North Fork Lewis Rivers.

Table 7. Annual available escapement of Lower Columbia River tule Chinook salmon Gorge stata populations.

| Year | Upper Gorge | | White Salmon | | Hood | |
|------|-------------|--------|--------------|--------|------|--------|
| | # | % wild | # | % wild | # | % wild |
| 1991 | | | | | | |
| 1992 | | | | | 22 | 73.0 |
| 1993 | | | | | 10 | 60.0 |
| 1994 | | | | | 39 | 64.0 |
| 1995 | | | | | 12 | 67.0 |
| 1996 | | | | | 16 | 81.0 |
| 1997 | | | | | 30 | 80.0 |
| 1998 | | | | | 40 | 85.0 |
| 1999 | | | | | 19 | 84.0 |
| 2000 | | | | | 34 | 94.0 |
| 2001 | | | | | 39 | 74.0 |
| 2002 | | | | | 36 | 92.0 |
| 2003 | | | | | 64 | 89.0 |
| 2004 | | | | | 35 | 89.0 |
| 2005 | | | | | 49 | 86.0 |
| 2006 | | | | | 55 | 89.0 |
| 2007 | | | | | 45 | 100.0 |
| 2008 | | | | | 27 | 78.0 |
| 2009 | | | | | 65 | 88.0 |
| 2010 | 84 | 25.0 | 348 | 89.9 | n/a | n/a |
| 2011 | 1,187 | 17.7 | 628 | 59.1 | n/a | n/a |
| 2012 | 407 | 16.2 | 509 | 43.2 | n/a | n/a |
| 2013 | 2,056 | 27.2 | 879 | 29.1 | n/a | n/a |
| 2014 | 1,672 | 19.9 | 973 | 45.9 | n/a | n/a |
| 2015 | 4,689 | 34.0 | 862 | 27.6 | n/a | n/a |
| 2016 | 84 | 25.0 | 348 | 89.9 | n/a | n/a |
| 2017 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

References

- Beamesderfer, R., T. Cooney, P. Dygert, S. Ellis, M. Falcy, L. Lavoy, C. LeFleur, H. Leon, G. Norman, J. North, T. Stahl, C. Tracy. 2011. Exploration of Abundance-Based Management Approaches for Lower Columbia River Tule Chinook. Pacific Fishery Management Council Ad Hoc Tule Chinook Work Group (TCW). October 2011.
- Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2018. Joint staff report: stock status and fisheries for fall Chinook salmon, Coho salmon, Chum salmon, summer steelhead and White sturgeon. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. Joint Columbia River Management Staff. July 16, 2012.
- McIsaac, D. O. 2011. Letter transmitting the Pacific Fishery Management Council's recommendation for a specific abundance based management approach for lower Columbia River tule fall Chinook. Letter to W. Stelle, National Marine Fisheries Service, from D.O. McIsaac, Executive Director, Pacific Fisheries Marine Council, Portland, OR. December 14, 2011.
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2012. Endangered Species Act Section 7(a)(2) Biological Opinion and Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) Consultation. Effects of the Pacific Coast Salmon Plan Fisheries on the Lower Columbia River Chinook Evolutionarily Significant Unit. Dept. of Comm. NMFS, NWR, Salmon Management Division. NWR-2011-6415. April 26, 2012.
- NMFS. 2017. Endangered Species Act section 7(a)(2) Biological Opinion and Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act EFH Consultation. NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service's implementation of the Mitchell Act Final Environmental Impact Statement preferred alternative and administration of Mitchell Act hatchery funding. January 15, 2017. NMFS Consultation No.: WCR-2014-697. 535p.
- Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC). 2018. Preseason Report I: Stock Abundance Analysis and Environmental Assessment Part 1 for 2018 Ocean Salmon Fishery Regulations. (Document prepared for the Council and its advisory entities.) Pacific Fishery Management Council, 7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 101, Portland, Oregon 97220-1384.