

FIVE-YEAR CATCH SHARE REVIEW
Index on Gear Switching

[Public Review Draft of the Catch Share Document](#)

Section	Material	Public Review Draft Starting Page	PDF File Page
Executive Summary	Subsection Entitled “Gear Switching”	xvi	16
	Impact of gear switching on attainment (summary statement)	xxiii	23
	Table of originally impacts expected under A-20	xxviii	28
3.1.1(b)(1) Consolidation	Increase in number of vessel using fixed gear	3-10	66
3.1.2(a)(1) Distribution of Net Revenue	Cumulative net revenue low for gear switching vessels	3-50 continuing into Table 3-25	106
	Limited gear switching prior to catch shares	3-53	109
3.1.2(d)(1) Participation	Stat on decrease in days at-sea influenced by vessels gear switching	3-106	162
3.1.2(d)(6) Gear-switching Provision	Section on gear-switching provision	3-132	188
3.1.3(a)(1) Utilization of Non-whiting Species Allocations	Effects of the gear switching provision and the decrease in trawl discards on attainment while targeting DTS	3-147	203
3.1.3(c) Interdependencies with Other Fisheries	Conflicts with Other Fisheries	3-183	239
3.2.2(b)(1) Trends in Volume of Landings	“Morro Bay, which benefited from the vessels that switched gears to land sablefish”	3-215	271
3.2.2(b)(2)(a) Aggregate Shoreside Landings	Reference to Morro Bay and gear switching	3-219	275
3.2.2(b)(2)(b) Shoreside Landings in by Species and Species Group	“Participation of trawl-permitted vessels targeting sablefish with fixed gear (gear-switched vessels) has most benefited Morro Bay and Newport”	3-226	282
3.2.2(b)(3) Shoreside IFQ Vessel Participation	“large increase in the number of vessels landing in Morro Bay—. . . due to participation by vessels with trawl permits gear switching”	3-227	283
3.2.2(b)(4) Impacts on First Receivers	“for non-whiting buyers, while Morro Bay showed the greatest increase, again due to vessels switching gears”	3-230	286

3.2.2(g)(3)(a) Participating in Multiple Fisheries	Impacts of gear switching (general discussion)	3-289	245
	Amount of Gear Switching	3-290	346
	Responses from Non-IFQ Fixed Gear Fishermen	3-293	349
3.2.2(g)(3)(d) Interactions Between Trawlers and Other Fishery Sectors	Survey respondent opinion about localized depletion issue	3-305	361
3.2.3(b)(5) Leasing QPs	Gear switching as a means of entering the fishery	3-328	384
3.2.4. Small Vessels and Vessels Leaving the Fishery	Figure 3-84. PacFIN vessel data from 2006 to 2016 by vessel length and type.	3-346	
3.2.5(d) Central California	“the region’s fishermen have adapted by switching to fixed gear to focus on black cod”	3-352	408
3.3.1(a) Overfished and/or Constraining Stocks	“hypothesized that allowing fishermen to switch from trawl to pot fishing could increase demand for sablefish quota....”	3-367	423
3.3.3(b)(1) Marine Mammals	“management that results in fishermen switching from trawl gear to pots could increase interactions with large whales.”	3-388	444
3.3.4 Habitat Impacts	The switch from trawl to fixed gears could have resulted in a decrease in habitat impacts on trawlable habitats . . . [or] could be negative.	3-392	448
3.3.4(c) Changes in Bottom Trawl Effort	“Gear switching between trawl and fixed gear can have an impact on habitat.”...	3-395	451