Agenda Item I.2.a Supplemental HMSAS Presentation 1 March 2018

#### Deep-Set Buoy Gear Authorization Range of Alternatives

HMSAS REPORT MARCH 2018

#### General Statement on Proposed Action

- Trials to date show promise; but the lack of data could result in uninformed decisions.
- ► HMSAS Recommendation delay at least one year to allow new EFPs to gather necessary information.

#### a. Possession

- "Individual entity" same as "Person" under 50 CFR §660.702?
- ii. Ownership and no stacking Agree
- Assigning to specific vessel Recommend one transfer/season exceptions for force majeure
- iv. Permit holder not required to be aboard vessel Agree

#### b. Renewal

Valid for one year and expire if not renewed.

The HMSAS recommends addition of the following:

Permits not renewed or are non-renewable because of inactivity revert to issuing Agency and made available to potential new entrants. If LE – lottery?

#### c. Transfer

- Limits on initial transferability
  - See additional clarification on:
    - "such a time", "stable state", "benefit management"
  - HMSAS Recommendation
    - Removal of this/limiting number of transfers during first three years post implementation (exc death, bankruptcy, etc)

- d. Gear Description
  - i. HMSMT Definition
    - Support requiring circle hooks
  - ii. Gear marking
    - HMSAS Agrees

- e. Gear Tending
  - i. Footprint
    - HMSAS recommends Council consider an exception when actively tending a hooked fish.

- f. Gear Deployment/Retrieval

  Not a protection of resource issue
  - No deployment before Sunrise Agree, if necessary
  - ii. 3-hours after local sunset
    - HMSAS recommends Council consider an exception when actively tending a hooked fish.

- g. Multiple Gears
  - Allowed/Tagging or marking of landings.
    - Just DSBG caught fish?
    - HMSAS recommends the Council eliminate the tagging/marking requirement. If important to markets, Buyers will require it

- h. Geographic Area
  - HMSAS recommends Council replace current language with either of the following:
    - All Federal waters offshore California, Oregon and Washington
    - ii. All Federal waters within the Limited Entry area within in the Southern California Bight

HMSAS Recommended definition of Limited Entry area within in the Southern California Bight



- i. Fishery timing
  - i. No closed season Agree

- j. Species
  - i. All legal HMS are allowed
    - HMSAS recommends Council modify this to read "All species are allowed unless otherwise prohibited."

- k. Fishery Monitoring
  - Logbooks required
    - HMSAS seeks clarification as to whether DSBG will have a separate logbook from other allowed gear types
  - ii. HMS FMP Requirements
    - HMSAS recommends Council consider lower observer coverage for DSBG given EFP results

#### ROA for consideration under NEPA will include

- a. Permitting
  - The HMSAS assumes establishing a limited access privilege program would be allowed
  - i. Open Access
    - The HMSAS recommends the Council not include this option in the ROA

#### ROA for consideration under NEPA will include

Open Access north of Point Conception and Limited Entry South of Point Conception

- 1. Limited Entry South of Point Conception Alternatives
  - a. Capacity HMSAS recommends adding an alternative for up to 25 vessels
  - b. Qualifications to obtain permits
    - No qualifications HMSAS opposes this
    - ii. Only HMS Permittees HMSAS opposes this
    - iii. Demonstrated swordfish fishery participation proposed criteria to follow

#### ROA for consideration under NEPA will include

Limited Entry Permitting - criteria for obtaining a permit:

- 1. Current (as of March 2018) active EFP Participants
- 2. Current active Drift Gill Net Permitholders
- 3. Applicants pending NMFS issuance of their EFP to fish during 2018
- 4. Current Drift Gill Net Permitholders without recent landings
- 5. DSBG EFP crew
- 6. Current holders of Drift Gill Net Permits