## Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action

There is a need to adequately monitor the catch share program for compliance in an economical and flexible manner while meeting the goals and objectives of national policies and standards, the Pacific Coast Groundfish FMP, the trawl rationalization program, and all applicable laws and acts including the Magnuson-Stevens Act (MSA) and Endangered Species Act (ESA). NMFS and the Council identified that EM may be a viable option to monitor the catch share program for compliance with IFQs and individual and mothership coop sector allocations. The purpose of the proposed action is to meet the following regulatory objectives:

- 1. Reduce total fleet monitoring costs to levels sustainable for the fleet and agency;
- 2. Reduce observer costs for vessels that have a relatively lower total revenue;
- 3. Maintain monitoring capabilities in small ports;
- 4. Increase national net economic value generated by the fishery;
- 5. Decrease incentives for fishing in unsafe conditions;
- 6. Use the technology most suitable and cost effective for any particular function in the monitoring system; and
- 7. Reduce the physical intrusiveness of the monitoring system by reducing observer presence.

At the September 2014 Council meeting in Spokane, WA, the Council selected their final preferred alternatives for an electronic monitoring program for the Pacific coast limited entry trawl groundfish fishery catch shares program. The following table provide the Council's selections:

Table 1. Fixed gear fishery (longline and pot) final preferred alternatives and options with footnotes.

Alternatives/Option Choices for Fixed Gear Fishery (longline and pot)								
Step 1. Choose Overall Alternative (this will be the primary data source for discard estimates)								
Alternative 1: No Action – Human Observers Estimate Discards								
Alternative 2. Camera Recordings Use to Estimate Discards								
Alternative 3. Logbooks used to Estimate Discard with Logbook Audits a/								
Step 2. For Alternative 2 or 3, Choose an Option from Each of the Following Rows								
EM Component	Options for Each EM Component Category							
2.3.2.1 (Alt 1) and 2.3.3	A. 100% (Alt 2 only)	B. X% (Alt 2 Only)	C. X% (Alt 2 Only) plus Logbook Audit					
(Alt2) Video Reading			logbook review (Alt 3 Only) <b>a</b> /					
Protocol (% review)								

## Agenda Item F.4 Attachment 1 April 2016

				April 20
2.3.2.2 and Discard Accounting - Individual or Fleetwide	A. One Discard Category, Full Accounting for All Discards	B. Two Discard Categories, Sector or ACL Deduction for Category 2 Discards	C. Two Discard Categories, No Accounting for Category 2 Discards	
Thetwide				
2.3.2.3 Retention	A. Maximize	B. Optimize <b>b</b> /		
Requirements				
2.3.2.4 Halibut Retention/	Default Rates	Default Rates	D. Discard Exemption (100%	E. Captain/Crew F. With EM Data-
Discard with Fishery	A. IPHC Gear Rate	B. WCGOP Rate	retained)	Evaluation Via IPHC Approved Method <b>c</b> /
Specific Options	longline 16%; pot 18% mortality <b>c</b> /	C. Vessel Specific Rate		Method C/
2.3.2.5 Discard Species List	A. NMFS Rulemaking	B. Routine Process	C. Full Council Rulemaking	
Adjustment	Process		Process	
2.3. Eligibility for Camera	A. Initial and Continued			
Use	Eligibility Requirements			
2.3.2.6 EM Vessel Operation	A. No Expiration	B. Annual		
Plan - IVMP Expiration				
Declaration of EM Use	A. Annual - choose for entire	B. Annual - project for year	C. Declare Until Changed	D. Declare Until
(	year	(monthly/quarterly)	(some limit on frequency of	Changed (no
			change)	limit on
3.3.2.8 Data Transfer	A. PSMFC Staff	B. EM provider	C. SS Catch Monitor	frequency) D. Vessel
Process	A. I SIVIL'C Stall	<b>D</b> . Em provider	. ss catch Monitor	Operator (crew)
(Not Mutually Exclusive)				E. 3rd Party
Video and Data Processing	A. NMFS d/	B. PSMFC	C. EM Provider	D. 3rd Party d/
Analysis				
Payment for Scientific Data	A. Government	B. Industry	C. Combination	
Collection/Observations				
a / The mene and menious and day Altern		1	1: ( 1 1 100)	)

a/ The percent review under Alternative 3 to be the minimum level determined to be necessary to ensure compliance (no less than 10%) with an escalation clause for noncompliance.

b/ Optimized retention with allowable discarded species informed by EFPs and other field work by PSMFC (if no species are allowed to be discarded due to inability to speciate and accurately estimate discard amounts then it would be equivalent to Maximized Retention).

c/ Option A may be the method that can be applied at this time. Prefer Option F if the method is approved by IPHC.

d/ Certified Third party (Option D) once a certification process has been established, until then, Government (Option A) – NMFS or their agent (e.g. PSMFC).