Status of China rockfish off the U.S. Pacific ² Coast in 2015



3

| | $\mathbf{F} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{Dick}^1$ |
|----------------------------|---|
| 4 | Melissa Monk ¹ |
| 5 | Ian Taylor ² |
| 0 | Molissa Haltuch ² |
| 1 | Tion Shui Tsou ³ |
| 8 | Patrick Mirick ⁴ |
| 9 | I durick Willick |
| 10 11 12 | ¹ Southwest Fisheries Science Center, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, 110 Shaffer Road, Santa Cruz, California 95060 |
| 13 14 15 | ² Northwest Fisheries Science Center, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, 2725 Montlake Boulevard East, Seattle, Washington 98112 |
| 16 17 | $^3\mathrm{Washington}$ Department of Fish and Wildlife, 600 Capitol Way North, Olympia, Washington 98501 |
| 18 | $^4 \mathrm{Oregon}$ Department of Fish and Wildlife, 2040 SE Marine Science Drive, Newport, OR 97365 |
| 19 20 21 22 23 | DRAFT SAFE Disclaimer: This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally disseminated by NOAA Fisheries. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy. |
| 24 | August 12, 2015 |

Status of China rockfish off the U.S. Pacific Coast in 2015

$_{27}$ Contents

| 28 | Ex | ecuti | ive summary | 1 |
|----|----|-------|---|----|
| 29 | | Stocl | x | 1 |
| 30 | | Catc | hes \ldots | 1 |
| 31 | | Data | and assessment | 5 |
| 32 | | Stocl | k biomass | 5 |
| 33 | | Recr | uitment | 11 |
| 34 | | Expl | oitation status | 14 |
| 35 | | Ecos | ystem considerations | 18 |
| 36 | | Refe | rence points | 18 |
| 37 | | Mana | agement performance | 22 |
| 38 | | Unre | solved problems and major uncertainties | 23 |
| 39 | | Decis | sion Tables | 23 |
| 40 | | Rese | arch and data needs | 30 |
| 41 | 1 | Intro | oduction | 33 |
| 42 | | 1.1 | Basic Information and Life History | 33 |
| 43 | | | 1.1.1 Early Life History | 34 |
| 44 | | 1.2 | Map | 34 |
| 45 | | 1.3 | Ecosystem Considerations | 34 |
| 46 | | 1.4 | Fishery Information and Summary of Management History | 35 |
| 47 | | 1.5 | Management Performance | 36 |
| 48 | 2 | Asse | essment | 36 |
| 49 | | 2.1 | Data | 36 |
| 50 | | | 2.1.1 Fishery-Dependent Data: Commercial Landings | 37 |

| 51 | | 2.1.2 | Fishery-Dependent Data: Commercial Discards | 39 |
|----------|-----|---------|---|----|
| 52 | | 2.1.3 | Fishery-Dependent Data: Recreational Landings and Discards | 40 |
| 53 | | 2.1.4 | Fishery-Dependent Data: Oregon Commercial Logbook | 44 |
| 54 | | 2.1.5 | Fishery-Dependent Data: Recreational Dockside Surveys | 46 |
| 55 | | 2.1.6 | Fishery-Dependent Data: Recreational Onboard Observer Surveys | 51 |
| 56 57 | | 2.1.7 | Fishery-Independent Data: sources considered, but not used in assessment | 55 |
| 58 | | 2.1.8 | Biological Data: Length and age compositions | 55 |
| 59 | | 2.1.9 | Biological Data: Age structures | 59 |
| 60 | | 2.1.10 | Biological Data: Aging precision and bias | 61 |
| 61 | | 2.1.11 | Biological Data: Weight-Length | 61 |
| 62 | | 2.1.12 | Biological Data: Maturity and Fecundity | 61 |
| 63 | | 2.1.13 | Biological Data: Natural Mortality | 62 |
| 64 | | 2.1.14 | Biological Data: Sex ratios | 62 |
| 65 | 2.2 | History | y of Modeling Approaches Used for this Stock | 62 |
| 66 | | 2.2.1 | Previous assessments | 62 |
| 67 | | 2.2.2 | Spatial stock structure | 63 |
| 68 | | 2.2.3 | 2013 Data Moderate Recommendations | 66 |
| 69 | 2.3 | Respon | nse to the 2015 STAR Panel Requests | 69 |
| 70 | 2.4 | Model | Description | 77 |
| 71 | | 2.4.1 | Transition from the 2013 to 2015 stock assessment $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 77 |
| 72 | | 2.4.2 | Definition of fleets and areas | 79 |
| 73 | | 2.4.3 | Summary of data for fleets and areas | 80 |
| 74 | | 2.4.4 | Modeling software | 80 |
| 75 | | 2.4.5 | Data weighting | 80 |
| 76 | | 2.4.6 | Priors | 80 |
| 77 | | 2.4.7 | General model specifications | 81 |
| 78 | | 2.4.8 | Estimated and fixed parameters | 81 |
| 79 | 2.5 | Model | Selection and Evaluation | 81 |
| 80 | | 2.5.1 | Key assumptions and structural choices | 81 |
| 81 | | 2.5.2 | Alternate models explored | 82 |

| 82 | | | 2.5.3 | Convergence | |
|-----|---|----------------------|---------|--|-------------|
| 83 | | 2.6 | Base-N | Model(s) Results | |
| 84 | | 2.7 | Uncert | ainty and Sensitivity Analyses | 85 |
| 85 | | | 2.7.1 | Retrospective analysis | 88 |
| 86 | | | 2.7.2 | Likelihood profiles | 88 |
| 87 | 3 | Refe | erence | Points | 89 |
| 88 | 4 | Har | vest P | rojections and Decision Tables | 90 |
| 89 | 5 | Reg | ional N | Management Considerations | 92 |
| 90 | 6 | Res | earch I | Needs | 92 |
| 91 | 7 | Ack | nowled | lgments | 94 |
| 92 | 8 | Tab | les | | 96 |
| 93 | 9 | Figu | ires | | 147 |
| 94 | A | open | dix A. | SS data file | A- 1 |
| 95 | A | open | dix B. | SS control file | B-1 |
| 96 | A | open | dix C. | SS starter file | C-1 |
| 97 | A | open | dix D. | SS forecast file | D-1 |
| 98 | A | opene | dix E. | Observed Angler Prediction | E-1 |
| 99 | A | open | dix F. | Reef Delineation and Drift Selection Methodologies | F-1 |
| 100 | A | open | dix G. | Commercial Regulations Histories | G-1 |
| 101 | A | open | dix H. | Recreational Regulations Histories | H-1 |

102 **References**

¹⁰³ Executive summary

104 \mathbf{Stock}

This assessment reports the status of the China rockfish (Sebastes nebulosus) resource in 105 U.S. waters off the coast of the California, Oregon, and Washington using data through 2014. 106 China rockfish are modelled with three independent stock assessments to account for spatial 107 variation in exploitation history as well as regional differences in growth and size composition 108 of the catch. The northern area model is defined as Washington state Marine Catch Areas 109 (MCAs) 1-4. The central area model spans from the Oregon-Washington border to $40^{\circ}10'$ 110 N. latitude. The southern area model spans 40°10′ N. latitude to the U.S.-Mexico border. 111 However, very little catch of China rockfish occurs south of Point Conception, California 112 $(34^{\circ}27' \text{ N. latitude}).$ 113

114 Catches

China rockfish are most often caught by hook-and-line (both recreational and commercial 115 fisheries) as well as by traps in the commercial live-fish fishery. Although China rockfish 116 were not a major target species, the commercial rockfish fishery along the U.S. Pacific West 117 Coast developed in the late 1800s and early 1990s. Available estimates of China rockfish 118 catch in California begin in the early 1900s, along with small commercial catches in Oregon 119 until recreational landings began to increase in the early 1970s (Figures a-c). Reconstructed 120 recreational landings of China rockfish in the northern assessment begin in 1967. As of 121 1995, Washington has prohibited commercial nearshore fixed gear in state waters and does 122 not have a historical reconstruction of China rockfish commercial landings. The majority of 123 commercial removals of China rockfish are now landed by live-fish fisheries in California and 124 southern Oregon. The magnitude of total removals over the last 10 years peaked in 2009 125 (35.52 mt) and has been decreasing since then. In recent years, California has the largest 126 removals of the three states (dominated by the recreational fleet) with smallest removals 127 coming from the Oregon recreational fleet (Table a). 128

The nearshore live-fish fishery developed in California in the late 1980s and early 1990s and 129 extended into Oregon by the mid-1990s, driven by the market prices for live fish. Northern 130 Oregon (north of Florence) does not contribute significantly to the live-fish fishery (maximum 131 removal of 0.02 mt) as the market for this sector of the fishery is centered in California. 132 Catches from the live-fish fishery in southern Oregon (south of Florence) has composed the 133 majority of the catch in that state since 1999, and peaked in 2002. In California, the landings 134 of live fish begin exceeding the landings of dead fish south of $40^{\circ}10'$ N. latitude in 1998 and 135 north of $40^{\circ}10'$ N. latitude in 1999; and the pattern continues through 2014. 136

¹³⁷ The historical reconstruction of landings from the recreational fishery for China rockfish in

¹³⁸ California goes back to 1928, and the fishery began significantly increasing in the late 1940s.

¹³⁹ The recreational catches in California are significantly higher than the commercial catches,

and have decreased in the last five years (Table a). Recreational catches in California peaked 140 in 1987 at 53.29 mt and have declined to roughly 10-20 mt per year over the last 10 years. 141 The trend is opposite in Oregon, with the magnitude of the commercial landings greater than 142 the recreational landings. The historical landings from the recreational fleet in Oregon start 143 in 1973 at 0.86 mt, peak in 1983 at 6.07 mt and again in 1993 at 6.04 mt. The recreational 144 catches over the last 10 years in Oregon have ranged from 1.67 mt in 2014 to 3.66 mt in 2007. 145 Recreational landings in Washington peaked in 1992 (7.98 mt) and have remained between 146 2-4 mt from 2005-2014. 147



Figure a: China rockfish landings for Washington. Washington has does not have a commercial nearshore fishery.



Figure b: Stacked line plot of China rockfish landings history for Oregon by fleet (recreational and commercial).



Figure c: Stacked line plot of China rockfish landings history for California by fleet (recreational and commercial).

| Year | Washington | Oregon | Oregon | California | California | Total |
|------|--------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-------|
| | recreational | commercial | recreational | $\operatorname{commercial}$ | recreational | |
| 2005 | 2.69 | 4.02 | 2.31 | 3.06 | 13.91 | 25.98 |
| 2006 | 2.31 | 4.64 | 3.07 | 3.00 | 11.35 | 24.37 |
| 2007 | 2.94 | 6.03 | 3.66 | 4.21 | 12.70 | 29.54 |
| 2008 | 3.16 | 7.76 | 3.22 | 4.15 | 13.82 | 32.12 |
| 2009 | 2.79 | 7.88 | 2.50 | 2.63 | 19.72 | 35.52 |
| 2010 | 3.68 | 4.84 | 2.85 | 2.11 | 17.85 | 31.34 |
| 2011 | 3.26 | 7.98 | 4.02 | 1.99 | 15.29 | 32.54 |
| 2012 | 2.96 | 8.76 | 4.14 | 1.83 | 13.80 | 31.49 |
| 2013 | 3.39 | 6.98 | 3.85 | 1.43 | 10.03 | 25.68 |
| 2014 | 3.03 | 4.38 | 1.67 | 1.69 | 10.32 | 21.08 |

Table a: Recent China rockfish landings (mt) by fleet.

¹⁴⁸ Data and assessment

¹⁴⁹ China rockfish was assessed as a data moderate stock in 2013 (Cope et al. 2015) using the ¹⁵⁰ XDB-SRA modeling framework. This assessment uses the newest version of Stock Synthesis ¹⁵¹ (3.24u). The model begins in 1900, and assumes the stock was at an unfished equilibrium ¹⁵² that year.

Data within the central and northern models were stratified as follows: central model north 153 and south of Florence, OR and the northern model groups MCAs 1-2 (southern WA) and 154 MCAs 3-4 (northern WA) (Figure d). Data for the management area south of $40^{\circ}10'$ N. 155 latitude are aggregated, in part because historical removals from the dominant fisheries 156 (recreational charter and private boat modes) prior to 2004 are not available at a finer spatial 157 The data used in the assessments includes commercial and recreational landings, scale. 158 Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE) indices from recreational and commercial fleets, and length 159 and age compositions. Discard data (total discards in mt and size compositions) from the 160 commercial live-fish fishery were modelled south of 40°10′ N. latitude. Where available, 161 age and length compositions for the recreational party/charter (CPFV) and private/rental 162 modes were developed separately. 163

¹⁶⁴ Stock biomass

Estimated spawning output in the northern area (Washington state) declined between the 1960s and 1990s but has been largely stable during the past two decades (Figure e and Table b). The estimated relative depletion level (spawning output relative to unfished spawning 168 output) of the northern stock in 2015 is 73.4% (~95% asymptotic interval: $\pm 63.6\% - 83.2\%$) (Figure f).

¹⁷⁰ The central area model for China rockfish estimates that spawning output is just above



Figure d: Map depicting the boundaries for the three base-case models, Southern model (south of $40^{\circ}10'$ N. latitude), Central model (south of $40^{\circ}10'$ N. latitude to the OR-WA border), and the Northern model (WA state MCAs 1-4).

the biomass target in 2015 (Figure e and Table c). The rate of spawning output decline is estimated to be steepest during the 1980s to 1990s and continued to decline from the early 2000s at a slower rate to an estimated minimum of 39.6% in 2014. The estimated relative depletion level of the central stock in 2015 is 61.5% (~95% asymptotic interval: \pm 53.8% -69.2%) (Figure f).

The assessment for the southern management area suggests that China rockfish were lightly, but steadily exploited since the early 1900s, with more rapid declines in spawning output beginning with development of the recreational fishery in the 1950s (Figure e and Table d). The estimated relative depletion level of the southern stock in 2015 is 29.6% (~95% asymptotic interval: $\pm 25.0\% - 34.3\%$) (Figure f). Although spawning output in the southern area is more depleted than the central and northern areas, it is the only area with an increasing trend over the past 15 years.

Table b: Recent trend in beginning of the year biomass and depletion for the northern China rockfish model.

| Year | Spawning Output | $\sim 95\%$ | Estimated | ~ 95% |
|------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| | (billion eggs) | confidence | depletion | confidence |
| | | interval | | interval |
| 2006 | 17.942 | (8.86-27.03) | 0.734 | (0.638-0.83) |
| 2007 | 18.030 | (8.94-27.12) | 0.738 | (0.642 - 0.833) |
| 2008 | 18.044 | (8.95 - 27.14) | 0.738 | (0.643 - 0.833) |
| 2009 | 18.034 | (8.93 - 27.13) | 0.738 | (0.642 - 0.833) |
| 2010 | 18.062 | (8.96 - 27.17) | 0.739 | (0.644 - 0.834) |
| 2011 | 17.993 | (8.89-27.1) | 0.736 | (0.64 - 0.833) |
| 2012 | 17.971 | (8.86 - 27.08) | 0.735 | (0.638 - 0.832) |
| 2013 | 17.981 | (8.87 - 27.09) | 0.736 | (0.639 - 0.833) |
| 2014 | 17.944 | (8.83 - 27.06) | 0.734 | (0.637 - 0.832) |
| 2015 | 17.950 | (8.83 - 27.07) | 0.734 | (0.637 - 0.832) |

| Year | Spawning Output | $\sim 95\%$ | Estimated | ~ 95% |
|------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|
| | (billion eggs) | confidence | depletion | confidence |
| | | interval | | interval |
| 2006 | 40.643 | (27.6-53.68) | 0.624 | (0.551 - 0.697) |
| 2007 | 40.851 | (27.8-53.9) | 0.627 | (0.555-0.7) |
| 2008 | 40.630 | (27.57-53.69) | 0.624 | (0.551 - 0.698) |
| 2009 | 40.313 | (27.25-53.38) | 0.619 | (0.545 - 0.694) |
| 2010 | 40.125 | (27.05-53.2) | 0.616 | (0.541 - 0.692) |
| 2011 | 40.380 | (27.29-53.47) | 0.620 | (0.545 - 0.695) |
| 2012 | 40.112 | (27.01-53.21) | 0.616 | (0.54 - 0.692) |
| 2013 | 39.706 | (26.6-52.82) | 0.610 | (0.533 - 0.687) |
| 2014 | 39.573 | (26.45-52.7) | 0.608 | (0.53 - 0.686) |
| 2015 | 40.033 | (26.88-53.19) | 0.615 | (0.538 - 0.692) |

Table c: Recent trend in beginning of the year biomass and depletion for the central (north of $40^{\circ}10'$ N. latitude to the OR-WA border) China rockfish model.

Table d: Recent trend in beginning of the year spawning output and depletion for the southern (south of $40^{\circ}10'$ N. latitude) China rockfish model.

| Year | Spawning Output | ~ 95% | Estimated | ~ 95% |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| | (billion eggs) | confidence | depletion | confidence |
| | | interval | | interval |
| 2006 | 14.430 | (9.47-19.39) | 0.217 | (0.164-0.27) |
| 2007 | 15.173 | (10.01 - 20.34) | 0.228 | (0.174 - 0.283) |
| 2008 | 15.819 | (10.46 - 21.18) | 0.238 | (0.182 - 0.294) |
| 2009 | 16.289 | (10.77 - 21.81) | 0.245 | (0.187 - 0.303) |
| 2010 | 16.361 | (10.75 - 21.97) | 0.246 | (0.186 - 0.306) |
| 2011 | 16.444 | (10.73-22.16) | 0.247 | (0.186 - 0.309) |
| 2012 | 16.758 | (10.91-22.6) | 0.252 | (0.189 - 0.315) |
| 2013 | 17.168 | (11.18-23.15) | 0.258 | (0.193 - 0.323) |
| 2014 | 17.899 | (11.73-24.07) | 0.269 | (0.203 - 0.336) |
| 2015 | 18.565 | (12.23-24.9) | 0.279 | (0.211 - 0.347) |



Figure e: Time series of spawning output trajectory (circles and line: median; light broken lines: 95% credibility intervals) for the three models of China rockfish (North=Washington state, Central = $40^{\circ}10'$ N. latitude to the OR/WA border, and South = south of $40^{\circ}10'$ N. latitude).



Figure f: Estimated relative depletion with approximate 95% asymptotic confidnce intervals (dashed lines) for the three base case assessment models.

183 Recruitment

Length and age composition data for China rockfish contain insufficient information to reliably resolve year-class strength. Therefore, all three base models assume that recruitment follows a deterministic Beverton-Holt stock-recruitment relationship, so trends in recruitment reflect trends in estimated spawning output. Given the assumed value of steepness and estimates of current stock status, estimated recruitment has remained fairly constant in the central and northern models, while the estimated biomass in the southern area has declined enough to impact spawning output (Figure g, Tables e, f and g).

| Year | Estimated | ~ 95% |
|------|-------------|-----------------|
| | Recruitment | confidence |
| | (1,000s) | interval |
| 2006 | 33.29 | (21.33 - 45.24) |
| 2007 | 33.30 | (21.35 - 45.25) |
| 2008 | 33.30 | (21.35 - 45.26) |
| 2009 | 33.30 | (21.35 - 45.26) |
| 2010 | 33.31 | (21.35 - 45.26) |
| 2011 | 33.30 | (21.34 - 45.25) |
| 2012 | 33.29 | (21.33 - 45.25) |
| 2013 | 33.29 | (21.33 - 45.25) |
| 2014 | 33.29 | (21.33 - 45.25) |
| 2015 | 33.29 | (21.33 - 45.25) |

Table e: Recent recruitment for the northern model (Washington state MCAs 1-4).

| Lable I. Recent recrititment for the central model (40–10) N. Jatitude to the OR/WA porc | Table f | f: Recent | recruitment f | or the central | l model (40 | °10′ N_1 | latitude to | the OR/ | /WA bore | ler) |
|--|---------|-----------|---------------|----------------|-------------|----------|-------------|---------|----------|------|
|--|---------|-----------|---------------|----------------|-------------|----------|-------------|---------|----------|------|

| Year | Estimated | ~ 95% |
|------|-------------|-----------------|
| | Recruitment | confidence |
| | (1,000s) | interval |
| 2006 | 68.27 | (54.59 - 81.94) |
| 2007 | 68.31 | (54.64 - 81.97) |
| 2008 | 68.26 | (54.59 - 81.94) |
| 2009 | 68.20 | (54.51 - 81.9) |
| 2010 | 68.17 | (54.47 - 81.87) |
| 2011 | 68.22 | (54.52 - 81.91) |
| 2012 | 68.17 | (54.46 - 81.87) |
| 2013 | 68.09 | (54.36 - 81.81) |
| 2014 | 68.06 | (54.32 - 81.8) |
| 2015 | 68.15 | (54.43 - 81.87) |

| Year | Estimated | ~ 95% |
|------|-------------|-------------------|
| | Recruitment | confidence |
| | (1,000s) | interval |
| 2006 | 122.32 | (105.92 - 138.73) |
| 2007 | 123.93 | (107.67 - 140.18) |
| 2008 | 125.23 | (109.07 - 141.39) |
| 2009 | 126.13 | (109.98 - 142.28) |
| 2010 | 126.27 | (109.96 - 142.57) |
| 2011 | 126.42 | (109.97 - 142.87) |
| 2012 | 126.99 | (110.52 - 143.46) |
| 2013 | 127.71 | (111.29 - 144.13) |
| 2014 | 128.94 | (112.72 - 145.15) |
| 2015 | 129.99 | (113.95 - 146.03) |

Table g: Recent recruitment for the southern model (south of $40^\circ 10'$ N. latitude).



Figure g: Time series of estimated China rockfish recruitments for the three base-case models with 95% confidence or credibility intervals.

¹⁹¹ Exploitation status

Harvest rates estimated by the northern area model for Washington have never exceeded 192 management target levels (Table h and Figure h). Model results for the central area suggest 193 that harvest rates have briefly exceeded the current proxy MSY value around 2000, but has 194 remained below the management target in the last decade (Table i and Figure h). Historical 195 harvest rates for China rockfish rose steadily in the southern management area until the 196 mid-1990s and exceeded the target SPR harvest rate for several decades, and is just below 197 the target harvest rate as of 2013 (Table j and Figure h). A summary of China rockfish 198 exploitation histories for the northern, central, and southern areas is provided as Figure i. 199

Table h: Recent trend in spawning potential ratio and exploitation for the northern China rockfish model (Washington state MCAs 1-4). Fishing intensity is (1-SPR) divided by 50% (the SPR target) and exploitation is F divided by F_{SPR} .

| Year | Fishing | $\sim 95\%$ | Exploitation | $\sim 95\%$ |
|------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| | intensity | confidence | rate | confidence |
| | | interval | | interval |
| 2005 | 0.44 | (0.27-0.61) | 0.32 | (0.17 - 0.47) |
| 2006 | 0.39 | (0.24 - 0.55) | 0.28 | (0.15 - 0.4) |
| 2007 | 0.47 | (0.3-0.65) | 0.35 | (0.19 - 0.51) |
| 2008 | 0.50 | (0.32 - 0.68) | 0.38 | (0.2-0.55) |
| 2009 | 0.45 | (0.28-0.63) | 0.33 | (0.18 - 0.49) |
| 2010 | 0.56 | (0.36 - 0.76) | 0.44 | (0.24 - 0.64) |
| 2011 | 0.51 | (0.32 - 0.7) | 0.39 | (0.21 - 0.57) |
| 2012 | 0.48 | (0.3-0.66) | 0.35 | (0.19 - 0.52) |
| 2013 | 0.53 | (0.34 - 0.72) | 0.41 | (0.22 - 0.59) |
| 2014 | 0.48 | (0.3-0.67) | 0.36 | (0.19 - 0.53) |
| | | . / | | |

| Year | Fishing | $\sim 95\%$ | Exploitation | $\sim 95\%$ |
|------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| | intensity | confidence | rate | confidence |
| | | interval | | interval |
| 2005 | 0.55 | (0.42 - 0.68) | 0.40 | (0.28-0.52) |
| 2006 | 0.62 | (0.49-0.76) | 0.48 | (0.34 - 0.62) |
| 2007 | 0.78 | (0.63-0.93) | 0.68 | (0.48 - 0.88) |
| 2008 | 0.82 | (0.66-0.97) | 0.73 | (0.52 - 0.95) |
| 2009 | 0.78 | (0.63 - 0.93) | 0.68 | (0.48 - 0.88) |
| 2010 | 0.61 | (0.48 - 0.75) | 0.47 | (0.33 - 0.61) |
| 2011 | 0.80 | (0.65 - 0.96) | 0.72 | (0.5 - 0.93) |
| 2012 | 0.85 | (0.69 - 1.01) | 0.79 | (0.55 - 1.02) |
| 2013 | 0.77 | (0.62 - 0.93) | 0.67 | (0.47 - 0.87) |
| 2014 | 0.53 | (0.4-0.66) | 0.39 | (0.27-0.5) |

Table i: Recent trend in spawning potential ratio and exploitation for the central China rockfish model (40°10′ N. latitude to the OR/WA border). Fishing intensity is (1-SPR) divided by 50% (the SPR target) and exploitation is F divided by F_{SPR} .

Table j: Recent trend in spawning potential ratio and exploitation for the southern China rockfish model (south of $40^{\circ}10'$ N. latitude). Fishing intensity is (1-SPR) divided by 50% (the SPR target) and exploitation is F divided by F_{SPR} .

| Year | Fishing | ~ 95% | Exploitation | ~ 95% |
|------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| | intensity | confidence | rate | confidence |
| | | interval | | interval |
| 2005 | 1.30 | (1.16-1.45) | 1.50 | (1.15-1.85) |
| 2006 | 1.18 | (1.03-1.33) | 1.19 | (0.91 - 1.47) |
| 2007 | 1.18 | (1.03-1.33) | 1.22 | (0.93 - 1.51) |
| 2008 | 1.23 | (1.08-1.37) | 1.35 | (1.04 - 1.67) |
| 2009 | 1.35 | (1.21 - 1.48) | 1.76 | (1.34 - 2.17) |
| 2010 | 1.34 | (1.2-1.48) | 1.70 | (1.29-2.1) |
| 2011 | 1.25 | (1.1-1.4) | 1.41 | (1.06 - 1.75) |
| 2012 | 1.20 | (1.05 - 1.35) | 1.27 | (0.96 - 1.58) |
| 2013 | 1.02 | (0.86 - 1.18) | 0.90 | (0.68 - 1.12) |
| 2014 | 1.04 | (0.89-1.2) | 0.96 | (0.73 - 1.19) |



Figure h: Estimated spawning potential ratio (SPR) for the northern, central, and southern base-case models. One minus SPR is plotted so that higher exploitation rates occur on the upper portion of the y-axis. The management target is plotted as a red horizontal line and values above this reflect harvests in excess of the overfishing proxy based on the SPR_{50%} harvest rate. The last year in the time series is 2014.



Figure i: Phase plot of estimated relative (1-SPR) vs. relative spawning biomass for the southern, central, and northern base case models. The relative (1-SPR) is (1-SPR) divided by 50% (the SPR target). Relative depletion is the annual spawning biomass divided by the unfished spawning biomass.

200 Ecosystem considerations

In this assessment, ecosystem considerations were not explicitly included in the analysis.
This is primarily due to a lack of relevant data and results of analyses (conducted elsewhere)
that could contribute ecosystem-related quantitative information for the assessment.

Recently available habitat information was used to select the data used in the onboard observer indices (see Appendix F, p.9).

²⁰⁶ Reference points

The management line for China rockfish is at 40°10′ N. latitude, with differing management guidelines north and south. From 2005-2010, the Nearshore Rockfish Complexes north and south of 40°10′ N. latitude were managed by a total catch Optimum Yield (OY). As of the Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC) 2011-12 management cycle, China rockfish has a component OFL and ABC within the northern and southern Nearshore Rockfish Complexes, based on the work by Dick and MacCall (2010).

This stock assessment estimates that China rockfish in the north are above the biomass 213 target. The spawning output of the stock declined between the 1960s and 1990s but has 214 largely been stable during the past few decades. The estimated relative depletion level in 215 2015 is 73.4% (~95% asymptotic interval: \pm 63.7% - 83.2%, corresponding to an unfished 216 spawning output of 24.4 billion eggs ($\sim 95\%$ asymptotic interval: 15.2 - 33.7 billion eggs) of 217 spawning output in the base model (Table k). Unfished age 5+ biomass was estimated to be 218 240.8 mt in the base case model. The target spawning output based on the biomass target 219 $(SB_{40\%})$ is 9.8 billion eggs, which gives a catch of 6.3 mt. Equilibrium yield at the proxy 220 F_{MSY} harvest rate corresponding to $SPR_{50\%}$ is 5.8 mt. 221

This stock assessment estimates that central area China rockfish are just above the biomass 222 target. The rate of spawning output decline is estimated to be steepest during the 1980s to 223 1990s and has continued to decline since the 1990s at a slower rate. The estimated relative 224 depletion level in 2015 is 61.5% (~95% asymptotic interval: $\pm 53.8\%$ - 69.2%), corresponding 225 to an unfished spawning output of 65.1 billion eggs ($\sim 95\%$ asymptotic interval: 51.8 - 78.4226 billion eggs) of spawning output in the base model (Table 1). Unfished age 5+ biomass was 227 estimated to be 591.5 mt in the base case model. The target spawning output based on the 228 biomass target $(SB_{40\%})$ is 26 billion eggs, which gives a catch of 15.7 mt. Equilibrium yield 229 at the proxy F_{MSY} harvest rate corresponding to $SPR_{50\%}$ is 14.5 mt. 230

This stock assessment estimates that China rockfish south of $40^{\circ}10'$ N. latitude are below the biomass target, but above the minimum stock size threshold, and have been increasing over the last 15 years. The estimated relative depletion level in 2015 is 27.9% (~95% asymptotic interval: $\pm 21.2\% - 34.7\%$), corresponding to an unfished spawning output of 66.5 billion eggs (~95% asymptotic interval: 49.6 - 83.4 billion eggs) of spawning output in the base model (Table m). Unfished age 5+ biomass was estimated to be 768.6 mt in the base case model.

- ²³⁷ The target spawning output based on the biomass target $(SB_{40\%})$ is 26.6 billion eggs, which
- $_{238}\,$ gives a catch of 21.1 mt. Equilibrium yield at the proxy F_{MSY} harvest rate corresponding

239 to $SPR_{50\%}$ is 19.5 mt.

Table k: Summary of reference points and management quantities for the northern (Washington state MCAs 1-4) base case model.

| Quantity | Estimate | 95% Confidence |
|--|----------|-------------------|
| | | Interval |
| Unfished spawning output (billions of eggs) | 24.4 | (15.2-33.7) |
| Unfished age $5+$ biomass (mt) | 240.8 | (153 - 328.7) |
| Unfished recruitment (R0, thousands) | 34.2 | (22.3-46) |
| Spawning output (2015, billions of eggs) | 17.9 | (8.8-27.1) |
| Depletion (2015) | 0.7344 | (0.6369 - 0.8319) |
| Reference points based on $SB_{40\%}$ | | |
| Proxy spawning output $(B_{40\%})$ | 9.8 | (6.1-13.5) |
| SPR resulting in $B_{40\%}$ ($SPR_{B40\%}$) | 0.444 | (0.444 - 0.444) |
| Exploitation rate resulting in $B_{40\%}$ | 0.0551 | (0.0522 - 0.058) |
| Yield with $SPR_{B40\%}$ at $B_{40\%}$ (mt) | 6.3 | (4-8.5) |
| Reference points based on SPR proxy for MSY | | |
| Spawning output | 11.3 | (7-15.5) |
| SPR_{proxy} | 0.5 | |
| Exploitation rate corresponding to SPR_{proxy} | 0.0458 | (0.0435 - 0.0482) |
| Yield with SPR_{proxy} at SB_{SPR} (mt) | 5.8 | (3.7-7.9) |
| Reference points based on estimated MSY values | | |
| Spawning output at $MSY (SB_{MSY})$ | 5.6 | (3.5-7.8) |
| SPR_{MSY} | 0.2875 | (0.2823 - 0.2927) |
| Exploitation rate at MSY | 0.0924 | (0.0863 - 0.0985) |
| MSY (mt) | 7 | (4.5-9.4) |

| Quantity | Estimate | 95% Confidence |
|---|----------|-----------------------|
| | | Interval |
| Unfished spawning output (billions of eggs) | 65.1 | (51.8-78.4) |
| Unfished age $5+$ biomass (mt) | 591.5 | (473.7-709.3) |
| Unfished recruitment (R0, thousands) | 71.3 | (57.9 - 84.6) |
| Spawning output (2015, billions of eggs) | 40 | (26.9-53.2) |
| Depletion (2015) | 0.6149 | (0.5381 - 0.6918) |
| Reference points based on $SB_{40\%}$ | | |
| Proxy spawning output $(B_{40\%})$ | 26 | (20.7-31.4) |
| SPR resulting in $B_{40\%}$ (SPR _{B40\%}) | 0.444 | (0.444 - 0.444) |
| Exploitation rate resulting in $B_{40\%}$ | 0.0584 | (0.0567 - 0.0602) |
| Yield with $SPR_{B40\%}$ at $B_{40\%}$ (mt) | 15.7 | (12.6-18.7) |
| Reference points based on SPR proxy for MSY | | |
| Spawning output | 30 | (23.8-36.1) |
| SPR_{proxy} | 0.5 | |
| Exploitation rate corresponding to SPR_{proxy} | 0.0484 | (0.0469 - 0.0498) |
| Yield with SPR_{proxy} at SB_{SPR} (mt) | 14.5 | (11.7-17.3) |
| Reference points based on estimated MSY values | | |
| Spawning output at MSY (SB_{MSY}) | 15.4 | (12.2-18.6) |
| SPR_{MSY} | 0.2925 | (0.29-0.295) |
| Exploitation rate at MSY | 0.098 | (0.094 - 0.1019) |
| MSY (mt) | 17.3 | (14-20.7) |

Table 1: Summary of reference points and management quantities for the central ($40^{\circ}10'$ N. latitude to the OR/WA border) base case model.

| Quantity | Estimate | 95% Confidence |
|---|----------|-------------------|
| | | Interval |
| Unfished spawning output (billions of eggs) | 66.5 | (49.6-83.4) |
| Unfished age $5+$ biomass (mt) | 768.6 | (660.1-877) |
| Unfished recruitment (R0, thousands) | 154.5 | (141.5 - 167.4) |
| Spawning output $(2015, \text{ billions of eggs})$ | 18.6 | (12.2-24.9) |
| Depletion (2015) | 0.2791 | (0.2113 - 0.3469) |
| Reference points based on $SB_{40\%}$ | | |
| Proxy spawning output $(B_{40\%})$ | 26.6 | (19.8-33.4) |
| SPR resulting in $B_{40\%}$ (SPR _{B40\%}) | 0.444 | (0.444 - 0.444) |
| Exploitation rate resulting in $B_{40\%}$ | 0.057 | (0.0491 - 0.065) |
| Yield with $SPR_{B40\%}$ at $B_{40\%}$ (mt) | 21.1 | (19.9-22.3) |
| Reference points based on SPR proxy for MSY | | |
| Spawning output | 30.6 | (22.8-38.4) |
| SPR_{proxy} | 0.5 | |
| Exploitation rate corresponding to SPR_{proxy} | 0.0476 | (0.041 - 0.0541) |
| Yield with SPR_{proxy} at SB_{SPR} (mt) | 19.5 | (18.4-20.6) |
| Reference points based on estimated MSY values | | |
| Spawning output at MSY (SB_{MSY}) | 15.5 | (11.2-19.9) |
| SPR_{MSY} | 0.2898 | (0.2832 - 0.2965) |
| Exploitation rate at MSY | 0.0938 | (0.0784 - 0.1092) |
| MSY (mt) | 23.4 | (22.1-24.8) |

Table m: Summary of reference points and management quantities for the southern (south of $40^{\circ}10'$ N. latitude) base case model.

²⁴⁰ Management performance

China rockfish is managed in the northern and southern Nearshore Rockfish Complex (split at 241 40°10′ N. latitude. Since the 2011-2012 management cycle, China rockfish has a contribution 242 OFL and ACL within each the northern and southern Nearshore Rockfish Complexes (Table 243 n). The estimated catch of China rockfish north of 40°10′ N. latitude of Nearshore Rockfish 244 Complex has been above both the China rockfish contribution to the northern Nearshore 245 Rockfish Complex OFL and ACL in all years (2011-2014). The estimated catch of China 246 rockfish south of 40°10′ N. latitude of Nearshore Rockfish Complex has been below the China 247 rockfish contribution to the northern Nearshore Rockfish Complex OFL and ACL in all years 248 (2011-2014). A summary of these values as well as other base case summary results can be 249 found in Table s. 250

Table n: Recent trend in total catch and commercial landings (mt) relative to the management guidelines. Estimated total catch reflect the commercial landings plus the model estimated discarded biomass. Note: 2015 and 2016 ACLs are proposed and not yet in regulations

| Year | Management | Nearshore | China | Estimated | Nearshore | e China Estima | | | | | |
|-------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | guideline | rockfish | contrib. | catch | rockfish | contrib. | catch | | | | |
| | | north | north | north | south | south | south | | | | |
| 2005 | ABC | na | na | 10.10 | na | na | 16.70 | | | | |
| | Total Catch OY | 122 | na | | 615 | na | | | | | |
| 2006 | ABC | na | na | 11.30 | na | na | 13.60 | | | | |
| | Total Catch OY | 122 | na | na | | | | | | | |
| 2007 | ABC | na | na | 15.80 | na | na | 14.20 | | | | |
| | Total Catch OY | 142 | na | | 564 | na | | | | | |
| 2008 | ABC | na | na | 16.90 | na | na | 16.00 | | | | |
| | Total Catch OY | 142 | na | | 564 | na | | | | | |
| 2009 | ABC | na | na | 15.40 | na | na | 21.00 | | | | |
| | Total Catch OY | 155 | na | | 650 | na | | | | | |
| 2010 | ABC | na | na | 12.40 | na | na | 19.30 | | | | |
| | Total Catch OY | 155 | na | | 650 | na | | | | | |
| 2011 | \mathbf{OFL} | 116 | 11.7 | 1156 | 19.8 | 16.20 | | | | | |
| | ACL | 99 | 9.8 | | 1001 | 16.5 | | | | | |
| 2012 | \mathbf{OFL} | 116 | 11.7 | 17.50 | 1145 | 19.8 | 14.10 | | | | |
| | ACL | 99 | 9.8 | | 990 | 16.5 | | | | | |
| 2013 | \mathbf{OFL} | 110 | 9.8 | 15.60 | 1164 | 16.6 | 10.40 | | | | |
| | ACL | 94 | 8.2 | | 1005 | 13.8 | | | | | |
| 2014 | \mathbf{OFL} | 110 | 9.8 | 10.10 | 1160 | 16.6 | 11.80 | | | | |
| | ACL | 94 | 8.2 | | 1001 | 13.8 | | | | | |
| 2015 | OFL | 88 | 7.2 | | 1313 | 55.2 | | | | | |
| | ACL | 69 | 50.4 | | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | \mathbf{OFL} | 88 | 7.4 | 1288 | 52.7 | | | | | | |
| | ACL | 69 | 6.8 | 1006 | 50.4 | | | | | | |

²⁵¹ Unresolved problems and major uncertainties

As in most/all stock assessments, the appropriate value for stock-recruit steepness remains a major uncertainty for China rockfish. In this assessment a prior value was available from a meta-analysis, allowing bracketing of the uncertainty. Exploration of the southern model during the STAR panel meeting established that the range of uncertainty in current and projected biomass status provided by this bracketing was very similar to the range due to natural mortality, and that natural mortality alone would be used to bracket uncertainty in model results for management advice.

While the northern and the southern area models are able to estimate a plausible value of natural mortality with an apparently good level of precision, this was not possible with the central area model.

The fishery-dependent abundance indices used in the assessment are relatively noisy. There is no fishery-independent index. The assessments assume that trends in CPUE indices are representative of population trends.

Assessment results for the central and the northern area models are dependent on the method used for weighting the conditional age-at-length data. This is an area of active research and there is a lack of consensus on an agreed approach. A workshop is planned for later this year that might provide guidance. For this assessment, the Panel recommended use of harmonic mean method, because it is a well-understood and frequently applied method that provided intermediate results compared to other alternatives.

The current term of reference for stock assessment require development of a single decision table with states of nature ranging along the dominant axis of uncertainty. This presumes that uncertainty is consequential only for a single variable or estimated quantity, such as natural mortality, steepness, or ending biomass. This approach may fail to capture important elements of uncertainty that should be communicated to the Council and its advisory bodies. Additional flexibility in the development of decision tables is needed.

277 Decision Tables

The forecasts of stock abundance and yield were developed using the final base models. The total catches in 2015 and 2016 are set to the PFMC adopted China rockfish contribution ACLs in the northern and central models (Table n). The southern model total catches in 2015 and 2016 are set to the average annual catch from 2012-2014. The exploitation rate for 2017 and beyond is based upon an SPR harvest rate of 50%. The average of 2010-2014 catch by fleet was used to distribute catches in forecasted years. The forecasted projections of the OFL for each model are presented in Table o.

²⁸⁵ Uncertainty in the forecasts is based upon the three states of nature agreed upon at the STAR ²⁸⁶ panel and are based on a low value of M, 0.05, and a high value, 0.09. Current medium-term ²⁸⁷ forecasts based on the alternative states of nature project that the stock, under the current

control rule as applied to the base model, will decline towards the target stock size Table 288 p. The current control rule under the low state of nature results in a stock decline into 289 the precautionary zone, while the high state of nature maintains the stock at near unfished 290 levels. Removing the catches resulting from the low M state of nature, assuming the base 291 and high values of M both maintain the stock at well above the current target stock size, as 292 does removing the recent average catches under all states of nature. Removing the high M 293 catches under the base model M and high M states of nature results in the population going 294 to extremely low levels during the projection period, spawning biomass and stock depletion 295 values are not reported for years in which the stock goes to these very low levels. 296

Current medium-term forecasts based on the alternative states of nature for the central 297 model project that the stock, under the current control rule as applied to the base model, 298 will decline towards the target stock size Table q. The current control rule under the low 299 state of nature results in a stock in the precautionary zone, while the high state of nature 300 maintains the stock increasing from 40% to 50% depletion from 2017 - 2026. Removing the 301 catches resulting from the low M state of nature, assuming the base and high values of M 302 both maintain the stock at well above the current target stock size. Removing the high M 303 catches under the base model M and low M states of nature results in the population going 304 to extremely low levels during the projection period. Removing average catches under the 305 base M and high M states of nature result in the stock remaining above the current target 306 stock size, and an ending depletion of 37% in 2026 for the low M state of nature. 307

Assuming that catches in 2015 and 2016 equal recent average catch, and that catches beginning in 2017 follow the default ACL harvest control rule, projections of expected China spawning output from the southern base model suggest the stock will be at roughly 30% of unfished spawning output in 2017, and increase to 38% by 2026 (Table r). The stock is expected to remain below the target stock size (40% of unfished spawning output) in the base model and "low M" states of nature through 2026, and to exceed target size in the "high M" scenario, assuming stationarity in the stock-recruitment assumptions.

Table o: Projections of potential OFL (mt) for each model, using the base model forecast.

| Year | North | Central | South | Total |
|------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| 2017 | 9.63 | 20.52 | 13.31 | 43.46 |
| 2018 | 9.29 | 20.05 | 13.84 | 43.18 |
| 2019 | 8.98 | 19.62 | 14.34 | 42.93 |
| 2020 | 8.69 | 19.21 | 14.80 | 42.71 |
| 2021 | 8.43 | 18.84 | 15.24 | 42.51 |
| 2022 | 8.20 | 18.50 | 15.63 | 42.33 |
| 2023 | 7.99 | 18.19 | 16.00 | 42.18 |
| 2024 | 7.80 | 17.91 | 16.34 | 42.05 |
| 2025 | 7.64 | 17.67 | 16.65 | 41.95 |
| 2026 | 7.49 | 17.45 | 16.93 | 41.87 |

Table p: Summary of 10-year projections beginning in 2017 for alternate states of nature based on an axis of uncertainty for the northern model. Columns range over low, mid, and high states of nature, and rows range over different assumptions of catch levels. An entry of '-' indicates that the stock is driven to very low abundance under the particular scenario.

| | | | | | States o | f nature | | |
|-------------|------|-------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | | | Low N | M 0.05 | Base 1 | M 0.07 | High I | M 0.09 |
| | Year | Catch | Spawning | Depletion | Spawning | Depletion | Spawning | Depletion |
| | | | Output | | Output | | Output | |
| | 2017 | 3.39 | 10.1 | 0.541 | 18.2 | 0.745 | 59.30 | 0.93 |
| | 2018 | 3.37 | 10.1 | 0.541 | 18.1 | 0.741 | 59.30 | 0.93 |
| | 2019 | 3.35 | 10 | 0.535 | 18.1 | 0.741 | 59.20 | 0.92 |
| 40-10 Rule, | 2020 | 3.32 | 9.9 | 0.53 | 18.1 | 0.741 | 59.20 | 0.92 |
| Low M | 2021 | 3.30 | 9.9 | 0.53 | 18 | 0.736 | 59.20 | 0.92 |
| | 2022 | 3.29 | 9.8 | 0.525 | 18 | 0.736 | 59.10 | 0.92 |
| | 2023 | 3.27 | 9.8 | 0.525 | 18 | 0.736 | 59.10 | 0.92 |
| | 2024 | 3.25 | 9.7 | 0.519 | 18 | 0.736 | 59.10 | 0.92 |
| | 2025 | 3.23 | 9.7 | 0.519 | 17.9 | 0.732 | 59.10 | 0.92 |
| | 2026 | 3.22 | 9.6 | 0.514 | 17.9 | 0.732 | 59.10 | 0.92 |
| | 2017 | 8.82 | 10.1 | 0.541 | 18.2 | 0.745 | 59.30 | 0.93 |
| | 2018 | 8.49 | 9.5 | 0.509 | 17.6 | 0.72 | 58.70 | 0.92 |
| | 2019 | 8.22 | 8.8 | 0.471 | 17 | 0.696 | 58.10 | 0.91 |
| 40-10 Rule | 2020 | 7.96 | 8.3 | 0.444 | 16.5 | 0.675 | 57.70 | 0.90 |
| | 2021 | 7.72 | 7.7 | 0.412 | 16 | 0.655 | 57.20 | 0.89 |
| | 2022 | 7.51 | 7.2 | 0.385 | 15.6 | 0.638 | 56.90 | 0.89 |
| | 2023 | 7.32 | 6.8 | 0.364 | 15.2 | 0.622 | 56.50 | 0.88 |
| | 2024 | 7.14 | 6.4 | 0.343 | 14.9 | 0.61 | 56.20 | 0.88 |
| | 2025 | 6.99 | 6 | 0.321 | 14.6 | 0.597 | 56.00 | 0.88 |
| | 2026 | 6.85 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 14.3 | 0.585 | 55.80 | 0.87 |
| | 2017 | 38.81 | 10.1 | 0.541 | 18.2 | 0.745 | 59.30 | 0.93 |
| | 2018 | 36.27 | 6.2 | 0.332 | 14.4 | 0.589 | 55.50 | 0.87 |
| | 2019 | 34.02 | - | - | 11 | 0.45 | 52.30 | 0.82 |
| 40-10 Rule, | 2020 | 32.06 | - | - | 8 | 0.327 | 49.40 | 0.77 |
| High M | 2021 | 30.35 | - | - | 5.4 | 0.221 | 46.90 | 0.73 |
| | 2022 | 28.87 | - | - | 3.3 | 0.135 | 44.80 | 0.70 |
| | 2023 | 27.59 | - | - | - | - | 43.00 | 0.67 |
| | 2024 | 26.51 | - | - | - | - | 41.40 | 0.65 |
| | 2025 | 25.57 | - | - | - | - | 40.10 | 0.63 |
| | 2026 | 24.79 | - | - | - | - | 39.00 | 0.61 |
| | 2017 | 2.45 | 10 | 0.535 | 18.1 | 0.741 | 59.20 | 0.92 |
| | 2018 | 2.45 | 10.1 | 0.541 | 18.1 | 0.741 | 59.30 | 0.93 |
| | 2019 | 2.45 | 10.1 | 0.541 | 18.2 | 0.745 | 59.30 | 0.93 |
| Average | 2020 | 2.45 | 10.1 | 0.541 | 18.3 | 0.749 | 59.40 | 0.93 |
| Catch | 2021 | 2.45 | 10.2 | 0.546 | 18.3 | 0.749 | 59.40 | 0.93 |
| | 2022 | 2.45 | 10.2 | 0.546 | 18.4 | 0.753 | 59.50 | 0.93 |
| | 2023 | 2.45 | 10.2 | 0.546 | 18.4 | 0.753 | 59.50 | 0.93 |
| | 2024 | 2.45 | 10.3 | 0.551 | 18.5 | 0.757 | 59.60 | 0.93 |
| | 2025 | 2.45 | 10.3 | 0.551 | 18.5 | 0.757 | 59.60 | 0.93 |
| | 2026 | 2.45 | 10.3 | 0.551 | 18.6 | 0.761 | 59.70 | 0.93 |

Table q: Summary of 10-year projections beginning in 2017 for alternate states of nature based on an axis of uncertainty for the central model. Columns range over low, mid, and high states of nature, and rows range over different assumptions of catch levels. An entry of '-' indicates that the stock is driven to very low abundance under the particular scenario.

| | | | | | States o | f nature | | |
|-------------|------|-------|-----------------|--|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | | | Low N | A 0.05 | Base I | M 0.07 | High I | 0.09 M |
| | Year | Catch | Spawning | Depletion | Spawning | Depletion | Spawning | Depletion |
| | | | Output | | Output | | Output | |
| | 2017 | 6.70 | 20.2 | 0.41 | 41.40 | 0.64 | 109.50 | 0.85 |
| | 2018 | 6.80 | 20.5 | 0.42 | 41.90 | 0.64 | 110.10 | 0.86 |
| | 2019 | 6.90 | 20.8 | 0.42 | 42.30 | 0.65 | 110.50 | 0.86 |
| 40-10 Rule, | 2020 | 6.90 | 21 | 0.43 | 42.70 | 0.66 | 111.00 | 0.86 |
| Low M | 2021 | 7.00 | 21.2 | 0.43 | 43.00 | 0.66 | 111.40 | 0.87 |
| | 2022 | 7.10 | 21.4 | 0.43 | 43.40 | 0.67 | 111.70 | 0.87 |
| | 2023 | 7.10 | 21.5 | 0.44 | 43.70 | 0.67 | 112.10 | 0.87 |
| | 2024 | 7.20 | 21.7 | 0.44 | 43.90 | 0.67 | 112.30 | 0.87 |
| | 2025 | 7.20 | 21.8 | 0.44 | 44.20 | 0.68 | 112.60 | 0.88 |
| | 2026 | 7.30 | 22 | 0.45 | 44.40 | 0.68 | 112.90 | 0.88 |
| | 2017 | 18.80 | 20.2 | 0.41 | 41.40 | 0.64 | 109.50 | 0.85 |
| | 2018 | 18.40 | 19.2 | 0.39 | 40.50 | 0.62 | 108.70 | 0.85 |
| | 2019 | 18.00 | 18.2 | 0.37 | 39.70 | 0.61 | 107.90 | 0.84 |
| 40-10 Rule | 2020 | 17.60 | 17.2 | 0.35 | 38.90 | 0.6 | 107.20 | 0.83 |
| 40-10 Rule | 2021 | 17.20 | 16.3 | 0.33 | 38.10 | 0.59 | 106.60 | 0.83 |
| | 2022 | 16.90 | 15.4 0.31 37.50 | | 0.58 | 106.10 | 0.83 | |
| | 2023 | 16.70 | 14.6 | 0.3 | 36.90 | 0.57 | 105.60 | 0.82 |
| | 2024 | 16.40 | 13.9 | 0.28 | 36.40 | 0.56 | 105.20 | 0.82 |
| | 2025 | 16.20 | 13.2 | 0.27 | 35.90 | 0.55 | 104.80 | 0.82 |
| | 2026 | 16.00 | 12.6 | 0.26 | 35.50 | 0.55 | 104.50 | 0.81 |
| | 2017 | 64.10 | 20.2 | 0.41 | 41.40 | 0.64 | 109.50 | 0.85 |
| | 2018 | 60.50 | 14.2 | 0.29 | 35.40 | 0.54 | 103.60 | 0.81 |
| | 2019 | 57.30 | 8.8 | 0.18 | 30.00 | 0.46 | 98.30 | 0.76 |
| 40-10 Rule, | 2020 | 54.40 | 4.1 | 0.08 | 25.20 | 0.39 | 93.60 | 0.73 |
| High M | 2021 | 51.90 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 20.90 | 0.32 | 89.60 | 0.70 |
| | 2022 | 49.80 | 0 | 0 | 17.10 | 0.26 | 86.00 | 0.67 |
| | 2023 | 47.90 | 0 | 0 | 13.80 | 0.21 | 83.00 | 0.65 |
| | 2024 | 46.30 | - | - | 10.90 | 0.17 | 80.40 | 0.63 |
| | 2025 | 44.92 | - | - | 8.40 | 0.13 | 78.20 | 0.61 |
| | 2026 | 43.74 | - | - | 6.30 | 0.1 | 76.20 | 0.59 |
| | 2017 | 11.28 | 20.2 | 0.41 | 41.40 | 63.70% | 109.50 | 0.85 |
| | 2018 | 11.28 | 20 | 0.41 | 41.40 | 63.50% | 109.50 | 0.85 |
| | 2019 | 11.28 | 19.8 | 0.40 | 41.30 | 63.40% | 109.50 | 0.85 |
| Average | 2020 | 11.28 | 19.5 | 0.40 | 41.20 | 63.30% | 109.50 | 0.85 |
| Catch | 2021 | 11.28 | 19.3 | 0.39 | 41.10 | 63.10% | 109.50 | 0.85 |
| | 2022 | 11.28 | 19 | 0.38 | 41.00 | 63.00% | 109.50 | 0.85 |
| | 2023 | 11.28 | 18.7 | $0.38 \qquad 40.90 \qquad 62.90\% \qquad 10$ | | 109.40 | 0.85 | |
| | 2024 | 11.28 | 18.5 | 0.37 | 40.80 | 62.70% | 109.40 | 0.85 |
| | 2025 | 11.28 | 18.3 | 0.37 | 40.80 | 62.60% | 109.40 | 0.85 |
| | 2026 | 11.28 | 18 | 0.37 | 40.70 | 62.50% | 109.40 | 0.85 |

Table r: Summary of 10-year projections beginning in 2017 for alternate states of nature based on an axis of uncertainty for the southern model. Columns range over low, mid, and high states of nature, and rows range over different assumptions of catch levels.

| | States of nature | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------|-------|---|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | | | Low N | A 0.05 | Base M | M 0.07 | High M 0.09 | | | | |
| | Year | Catch | Spawning | Depletion | Spawning | Depletion | Spawning | Depletion | | | |
| | | | Output | | Output | | Output | | | | |
| | 2017 | 5.08 | 14.30 | 0.21 | 19.82 | 0.30 | 23.16 | 0.40 | | | |
| | 2018 | 5.73 | 15.25 | 0.22 | 21.05 | 0.32 | 24.44 | 0.42 | | | |
| | 2019 | 6.35 | 16.17 | 0.23 | 22.24 | 0.33 | 25.66 | 0.44 | | | |
| 40-10 Rule, | 2020 | 6.96 | 17.06 | 0.25 | 23.37 | 0.35 | 26.80 | 0.46 | | | |
| Low M | 2021 | 7.54 | 17.91 | 0.26 | 24.44 | 0.37 | 27.86 | 0.48 | | | |
| | 2022 | 8.08 | 18.71 | 0.27 | 25.45 | 0.38 | 28.84 | 0.49 | | | |
| | 2023 | 8.60 | 19.47 | 0.28 | 26.39 | 0.40 | 29.74 | 0.51 | | | |
| | 2024 | 9.08 | 20.18 | 0.29 | 27.27 | 0.41 | 30.56 | 0.52 | | | |
| | 2025 | 9.54 | 20.85 | 0.30 | 28.09 | 0.42 | 31.31 | 0.54 | | | |
| | 2026 | 9.97 | 21.47 | 0.31 | 28.84 | 0.43 | 31.99 | 0.55 | | | |
| | 2017 | 10.81 | 14.30 | 0.21 | 19.82 | 0.30 | 23.16 | 0.40 | | | |
| | 2018 | 11.46 | 14.87 | 0.21 | 20.63 | 0.31 | 24.02 | 0.41 | | | |
| | 2019 | 12.07 | 15.40 | 0.22 | 21.38 | 0.32 | 24.81 | 0.42 | | | |
| 40-10 Rule | 2020 | 12.64 | 15.90 | 0.23 | 22.09 | 0.33 | 25.53 | 0.44 | | | |
| | 2021 | 13.17 | 16.35 | 0.23 | 22.74 | 0.34 | 26.19 | 0.45 | | | |
| | 2022 | 13.65 | 16.76 | 0.24 | 23.34 | 0.35 | 26.79 | 0.46 | | | |
| | 2023 | 14.10 | 17.14 | 0.25 | 23.90 | 0.36 | 27.33 | 0.47 | | | |
| | 2024 | 14.51 | 17.48 | 0.25 | 24.40 | 0.37 | 27.81 | 0.47 | | | |
| | 2025 | 14.89 | 17.79 | 0.26 | 24.87 | 0.37 | 28.24 | 0.48 | | | |
| | 2026 | 15.23 | 18.08 | 0.26 | 25.30 | 0.38 | 28.63 | 0.49 | | | |
| | 2017 | 17.86 | 14.30 | 0.21 | 19.82 | 0.30 | 23.16 | 0.40 | | | |
| | 2018 | 18.18 | 14.40 | 0.21 | 20.10 | 0.30 | 23.50 | 0.40 | | | |
| | 2019 | 18.41 | 14.48 | 0.21 | 20.36 | 0.31 | 23.80 | 0.41 | | | |
| 40-10 Rule, | 2020 | 18.62 | 14.54 | 0.21 | 20.59 | 0.31 | 24.07 | 0.41 | | | |
| High M | 2021 | 18.81 | 14.59 | 0.21 | 20.80 | 0.31 | 24.32 | 0.41 | | | |
| | 2022 | 18.99 | 14.62 | 0.21 | 20.99 | 0.32 | 24.55 | 0.42 | | | |
| | 2023 | 19.15 | 14.65 | 0.21 | 21.17 | 0.32 | 24.76 | 0.42 | | | |
| | 2024 | 19.30 | 14.67 | 0.21 | 21.34 | 0.32 | 24.96 | 0.43 | | | |
| | 2025 | 19.45 | 14.68 | 0.21 | 21.51 | 0.32 | 25.14 | 0.43 | | | |
| | 2026 | 19.58 | 14.70 | 0.21 | 21.67 | 0.33 | 25.32 | 0.43 | | | |
| | 2017 | 13.11 | 14.30 | 0.21 | 19.82 | 0.30 | 23.16 | 0.40 | | | |
| | 2018 | 13.11 | 14.72 | 0.21 | 20.45 | 0.31 | 23.85 | 0.41 | | | |
| | 2019 | 13.11 | 15.14 | 0.22 | 21.09 | 0.32 | 24.52 | 0.42 | | | |
| Average | 2020 | 13.11 | 15.56 | 0.22 | 21.71 | 0.33 | 25.17 | 0.43 | | | |
| Catch | 2021 | 13.11 | 15.98 | 0.23 | 22.33 | 0.34 | 25.80 | 0.44 | | | |
| | 2022 | 13.11 | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 22.94 | 0.34 | 26.42 | 0.45 | | | |
| | 2023 | 13.11 | 16.81 | 0.24 | 23.53 | 0.35 | 27.01 | 0.46 | | | |
| | 2024 | 13.11 | 17.23 | 0.25 | 24.12 | 0.36 | 27.58 | 0.47 | | | |
| | 2025 | 13.11 | 17.64 | 0.25 | 24.70 | 0.37 | 28.13 | 0.48 | | | |
| | 2026 | 13.11 | 18.06 | 0.26 | 25.26 | 0.38 | 28.67 | 0.49 | | | |

| 2015 | | | 88 | 7.2 | 69 | 6.6 | | | 1,313 | 55.2 | 1,114 | 50.4 | | | 182.58 | 17.9 | (8.83-27.07) | 0.7 | (0.637 - 0.832) | 33.29 | (21.33 - 45.25) | | | 381.29 | 40 | (26.88-53.19) | 0.61 | (0.538-0.692) | 68.15 | (54.43 - 81.87) | | | 280.18 | 19 | (12.23-24.9) | 0.28 | (0.211 - 0.347) | 129.99 | (113.95 - |
|----------|---------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|-----------|
| 2014 | 9.93 | 10.06 | 110 | 9.8 | 94 | 8.2 | 11.17 | 11.85 | 1,160 | 16.6 | 1,001 | 13.8 | 0.53 | 0.41 | 182.52 | 17.9 | (8.83-27.06) | 0.7 | (0.637 - 0.832) | 33.29 | (21.33 - 45.25) | 0.77 | 0.67 | 377.54 | 40 | (26.45 - 52.7) | 0.61 | (0.53 - 0.686) | 68.06 | (54.32 - 81.8) | 1.02 | 0.90 | 272.36 | 18 | (11.73-24.07) | 0.27 | (0.203 - 0.336) | 128.94 | (112.72 - |
| 2013 | 15.67 | 15.65 | 110 | 9.8 | 94 | 8.2 | 10.01 | 10.44 | 1,164 | 16.6 | 1,005 | 13.8 | 0.48 | 0.35 | 182.82 | 18.0 | (8.87-27.09) | 0.7 | (0.639 - 0.833) | 33.29 | (21.33 - 45.25) | 0.85 | 0.79 | 378.59 | 40 | (26.6-52.82) | 0.61 | (0.533 - 0.687) | 68.09 | (54.36 - 81.81) | 1.20 | 1.27 | 263.64 | 17 | (11.18-23.15) | 0.26 | (0.193 - 0.323) | 127.71 | (111.29 - |
| 2012 | 17.71 | 17.51 | 116 | 11.7 | 66 | 9.8 | 13.79 | 14.13 | 1,145 | 19.8 | 066 | 16.5 | 0.51 | 0.39 | 182.72 | 18.0 | (8.86-27.08) | 0.7 | (0.638 - 0.832) | 33.29 | (21.33 - 45.25) | 0.80 | 0.72 | 381.88 | 40 | (27.01 - 53.21) | 0.62 | (0.54-0.692) | 68.17 | (54.46 - 81.87) | 1.25 | 1.41 | 258.52 | 17 | (10.91 - 22.6) | 0.25 | (0.189 - 0.315) | 126.99 | (110.52 - |
| 2011 | 16.92 | 16.56 | 116 | 11.7 | 66 | 9.8 | 15.62 | 16.21 | 1,156 | 19.8 | 1,001 | 16.5 | 0.56 | 0.44 | 182.90 | 18.0 | (8.89-27.1) | 0.7 | (0.64-0.833) | 33.30 | (21.34 - 45.25) | 0.61 | 0.47 | 384.10 | 40 | (27.29-53.47) | 0.62 | (0.545 - 0.695) | 68.22 | (54.52 - 81.91) | 1.34 | 1.70 | 254.50 | 16 | (10.73 - 22.16) | 0.25 | (0.186 - 0.309) | 126.42 | (109.97 - |
| 2010 | 12.58 | 12.44 | | | 155 | | 18.75 | 19.32 | | | 650 | | 0.45 | 0.33 | 183.49 | 18.1 | (8.96-27.17) | 0.7 | (0.644 - 0.834) | 33.31 | (21.35 - 45.26) | 0.78 | 0.68 | 382.08 | 40 | (27.05-53.2) | 0.62 | (0.541 - 0.692) | 68.17 | (54.47 - 81.87) | 1.35 | 1.76 | 253.37 | 16 | (10.75-21.97) | 0.25 | (0.186 - 0.306) | 126.27 | (109.96 - |
| 2009 | 15.37 | 15.42 | | | 155 | | 20.15 | 20.98 | | | 650 | | 0.50 | 0.38 | 183.25 | 18.0 | (8.93 - 27.13) | 0.7 | (0.642 - 0.833) | 33.30 | (21.35 - 45.26) | 0.82 | 0.73 | 383.69 | 40 | (27.25 - 53.38) | 0.62 | (0.545 - 0.694) | 68.20 | (54.51 - 81.9) | 1.23 | 1.35 | 252.61 | 16 | (10.77 - 21.81) | 0.24 | (0.187 - 0.303) | 126.13 | (109.98 - |
| 2008 | 16.97 | 16.86 | | | 142 | | 15.16 | 16.02 | | | 564 | | 0.47 | 0.35 | 183.36 | 18.0 | (8.95-27.14) | 0.7 | (0.643 - 0.833) | 33.30 | (21.35 - 45.26) | 0.78 | 0.68 | 386.42 | 41 | (27.57-53.69) | 0.62 | (0.551 - 0.698) | 68.26 | (54.59 - 81.94) | 1.18 | 1.22 | 247.83 | 16 | (10.46 - 21.18) | 0.24 | (0.182 - 0.294) | 125.23 | (109.07 - |
| 2007 | 16.14 | 15.79 | | | 142 | | 13.39 | 14.22 | | | 564 | | 0.39 | 0.28 | 183.26 | 18.0 | (8.94-27.12) | 0.7 | (0.642 - 0.833) | 33.30 | (21.35 - 45.25) | 0.62 | 0.48 | 388.36 | 41 | (27.8-53.9) | 0.63 | (0.555-0.7) | 68.31 | (54.64 - 81.97) | 1.18 | 1.19 | 241.35 | 15 | (10.01 - 20.34) | 0.23 | (0.174 - 0.283) | 123.93 | (107.67 - |
| 2006 | 11.63 | 11.34 | | | 122 | | 12.74 | 13.60 | | | 615 | | 0.44 | 0.32 | 182.55 | 17.9 | (8.86-27.03) | 0.7 | (0.638 - 0.83) | 33.29 | (21.33 - 45.24) | 0.55 | 0.40 | 386.73 | 41 | (27.6-53.68) | 0.62 | (0.551 - 0.697) | 68.27 | (54.59 - 81.94) | 1.30 | 1.50 | 234.08 | 14 | (9.47 - 19.39) | 0.22 | (0.164 - 0.27) | 122.32 | (105.92 - |
| Quantity | Landings (mt) | Total Est. Catch (mt) | Nearshore RF ABC/OFL | China contrib. ABC/OFL | Nearshore RF OY/ACL | China contrib. OY/ACL | Landings (mt) | Total Est. Catch (mt) | Nearshore RF ABC/OFL | China contrib. ABC/OFL | Nearshore RF OY/ACL | China contrib. OY/ACL | $(1-SPR)(1-SPR_{50\%})$ | Exploitation rate | Age $5+$ biomass (mt) | Spawning Output | 95% CI | Depletion | 95% CI | Recruits | 95% CI | $(1-SPR)(1-SPR_{50\%})$ | Exploitation rate | Age $5+$ biomass (mt) | Spawning Output | 95% CI | Depletion | 95% CI | Recruits | 95% CI | $(1-SPR)(1-SPR_{50\%})$ | Exploitation rate | Age $5+$ biomass (mt) | Spawning Output | 95% CI | Depletion | 95% CI | Recruits | 95% CI |
| Region | North of | $40^{\circ}10' \text{ N}$ | | 0 | | | South of | $40^{\circ}10' \text{ N}$ | | J | | | Northern | model | | | | | | | | Central | model | | | | | | | | Southern | model | | | | | | | |

Table s: China rockfish base case results summary.



Figure j: Equilibrium yield curve for the base case models. Values are based on the 2014 fishery selectivity and with steepness fixed at 0.773.

Research and data needs 315

| 316 | We recommend the following research be conducted before the next assessment: |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 317 318 319 | 1. The number of hours fished in Washington should be recorded for each dockside sample (vessel) so that future CPUE can be measured as angler hours rather than just number of anglers per trip. This will allow for a more accurate calculation of effort. |
| 320 321 322 | 2. The number of hours fished in Oregon should be recorded for each dockside sample (vessel), instead of the start and end times of the entire trip. This will allow for a more accurate calculation of effort. |
| 323 324 | 3. Compare the habitat-based methods used to subset data for the onboard observer indices to Stephens-MacCall and other filtering methods. |
| 325 326 | 4. Explore the sensitivity of Stephens-MacCall when the target species is "rare" or not common encountered in the data samples. |
| 327 328 329 330 331 | 5. A standardized fishery independent survey sampling nearshore rockfish in all three states would provide a more reliable index of abundance than the indices developed from catch rates in recreational and commercial fisheries. However, information value of such surveys would depend on the consistency in methods over time and space and would require many years of sampling before an informative index could be obtained. |
| 332 | 6. A coastwide evaluation of genetic structure of China rockfish is a research priority. |

- Genetic samples should be collected at sites spaced regularly along the coast throughout 333 the range of the species to estimate genetic differences at multiple spatial scales (i.e., 334 isolation by distance). 335
- 7. Difficulties were encountered when attempting to reconstruct historical recreational 336 catches at smaller spatial scales, and in distinguishing between landings from the pri-337 vate and charter vessels. Improved methods are needed to allocate reconstructed recre-338 ational catches to sub-state regions within each fishing mode. 339
- 8. There was insufficient time during the STAR Panel review to fully review the abun-340 dance indices used in the China rockfish assessments. Consideration should be given to 341 scheduling a data workshop prior to STAR Panel review for review of assessment input 342 data and standardization procedures for indices, potentially for all species scheduled 343 for assessment. The nearshore data workshop, held earlier this year, was a step in this 344 direction, but that meeting did not deal with the modeling part of index development. 345
- 9. The Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey (MRFSS) index in Oregon was 346 excluded from the assessment model because it was learned that multiple intercept 347 interviews were done for a single trip. Evaluate whether database manipulations or 348 some other approach can resolve this issue and allow these data to be used in the 349 assessment. 350

10. Many of the indices used in the China rockfish assessment model used the Stephens-MacCall (2004) approach to subset the CPUE data. Research is need to evaluate the performance of the method when there are changes in management restrictions and in relative abundance of different species. Examination of the characteristics of trips retained/removed should be a routine part of index standardization, such as an evaluation of whether there are time trends in the proportion of discarded trips.

11. Fishery-dependent CPUE indices are likely to be the only trend information for many nearshore species for the foreseeable future. Indices from a multi-species hook-and-line fishery may be influenced by regulatory changes, such as bag limits, and by interactions with other species (e.g., black rockfish) due to hook competition. It may be possible to address many of these concerns if a multi-species approach is used to develop the indices, allowing potential interactions and common forcing to be evaluated.

12. Consider the development of a fishery-independent survey for nearshore stocks. As
 the current base model structure has no direct fishery-independent measure of stock
 trends, any work to commence collection of such a measure for nearshore rockfish, or
 use of existing data to derive such an index would greatly assist with this assessment.

Basic life history research may help to resolve assessment uncertainties regarding appropriate values for natural mortality and steepness.

14. Examine length composition data of discarded fish from recreational onboard observer programs in California and Oregon. Consider modeling discarded catch using selectivity and retention functions in Stock Synthesis rather than combining retained and discarded catch and assuming they have identical size compositions. Another option would be to model discarded recreational catch as a separate fleet, similar to the way commercial discards were treated in the southern model.

Ageing data were influential in the China rockfish stock assessments. Collection and
 ageing of China rockfish otoliths should continue. Samples from younger fish not
 typically selected by the fishery are needed to better define the growth curve.

16. Consider evaluating depletion estimators of abundance using within season CPUE
 indices. This approach would require information on total removals on a reef-by-reef
 basis.

17. The extensive use of habitat information in index development is a strength of the
China rockfish assessment. Consideration should be given to how to further incorporate
habitat data into the assessment of nearshore species. The most immediate need seems
to be to increase the resolution of habitat maps for waters off Oregon and Washington,
and standardization of habitat data format among states.

18. Although all the current models for China rockfish estimated implausibly large recruit ment deviations when allowed to do so, particularly early in the modeled time period,

further exploration of available options in stock synthesis could produce acceptable results. In addition, this work may provide guidance on any additional options that could be added to stock synthesis to better handle this situation. For example, assuming different levels autocorrelation in the stock-recruit relationship for data-moderate stocks may help curb the tendency to estimate extreme recruitment with sparse datasets.

Research is needed on data-weighting methods in stock assessments. In particular,
 a standard approach for conditional age-at-length data is needed. The Center for
 the Advancement of Population Assessment Methodology (CAPAM) data weighting
 workshop, scheduled for later this year, should make important progress on this research
 need.