Agenda Item D.9 Supplemental Council Staff PowerPoint (Electronic Only) June 2015

## Blackgill and Slope Rockfish Quota Share Allocation

Agenda Item D.9

## Situation

- Council deliberation:
  - Separate blackgill from the southern slope rockfish
  - Establish Intersector allocations
  - Reallocate QS
- QS reallocation options adopted for analysis
  - Options based on original Amendment 20 formula
    - varying the equal sharing component
  - Plus option to use 2003-2014 allocation period
  - These options are problematic
  - Amendment 20 includes a default approach

## Situation

- Council deliberation:
  - Separate blackgill from the southern slope rockfish
  - Establish Intersector allocations
  - Reallocate QS
- QS reallocation options adopted for analysis
  - - varying the equal sharing component
  - Plus option to use 2003-2014 allocat
  - These options are problematic
  - Amendment 20 includes a default



Problem With Reallocating Based on Landing History

- Slope QS has been trading
  - Difficult to associate history with current owners
- Landings do not correspond to QS accounts
  - Difficult to attribute landing to QS for more recent years (2011-2014)

Problem With Reallocating Based on Landing History





Limited Blackgill Reporting on Fish Tickets – Fleet average species composition applied to slope complex and market categories

Year	Reported as Blackgill on the Fish Ticket	Year	Reported as Blackgill on the Fish Ticket
1994	4.6%	2002	29.0%
1995	9.7%	2003	74.9%
1996	8.1%		
1997	39.9%		
1998	43.1%	2011	3.9%
1999	34.1%	2012	21.2%
2000	24.8%	2013	85.33%
2001	44.1%	2014	80.9%

## A Few Additional Issues Analyzed for September

If blackgill rockfish is broken out of the slope complex

Application of the 9% slope rockfish vessel usage cap

Treatment of QP carryover for the year after a breakout.