#### **CURRENT HABITAT ISSUES**

The Habitat Committee (HC) will meet on Friday, April 3, 2009, to discuss the Olympic Coast National Marine Sanctuary management plan review process, the NMFS Biological Opinion for California water projects and winter run Chinook fisheries, the Work Group Report on Causes of the 2008 Salmon Failure, the impacts of suction gold dredging in salmon habitat, wave energy, and other matters.

# **Council Action:**

Consider comments and recommendations developed by the HC at its April 2009 meeting.

## Reference Materials:

1. Agenda Item C.1.b, Supplemental HC Report.

## Agenda Order:

a. Agenda Item Overview

Jennifer Gilden Stuart Ellis

- b. Report of the Habitat Committee
- c. Reports and Comments of Agencies and Advisory Bodies
- d. Public Comment
- e. Council Action: Consider Habitat Committee Recommendations

PFMC 03/19/09

#### HABITAT COMMITTEE REPORT ON CURRENT HABITAT ISSUES

The Habitat Committee (HC) received updates on hydrokinetic energy developments and the status of the Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) Review Committee. The HC will provide comments on the report on the Sacramento salmon decline and the Draft Biological Opinion on the California Water Projects under those agenda items.

#### **Hydrokinetic Energy**

The HC continues to track hydrokinetic energy proposals and staff maintains a database of the status of proposals (attached).

The Reedsport wave energy project by Ocean Power Technology is finalizing its settlement agreement and expects to install its first of 10 buoys in 2010. The first buoy will be a test buoy generating power, but not transmitting it to shore. The settlement process provides for a license term of 35 years.

The proposed OPT 200-buoy project in Coos Bay will begin its settlement process soon with proposed buoy placement in 2011.

The Minerals Management Service (MMS) announced that it will initiate a study on the effects of electromagnetic fields on fish and marine mammals.

Finavera has dropped their wave energy proposals throughout the west coast and focus on wind energy. This terminates, among other projects, the Makah project in Washington.

On March 17 MMS and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) published a joint statement, with a memorandum of understanding soon to follow, regarding permitting authority for projects.

The California Energy Commission recently published a report on wave energy that deals with both the socio-economic and environmental effects of wave energy projects.

#### **Groundfish EFH Review Committee (EFHRC)**

The HC heard an update about the EFHRC's Terms of Reference. The HC expects to provide additional comments on EFH proposals in June.

The HC recommends that the Council direct the EFHRC, as a part of their current charge, to draft a schedule (for Council review) of the five-year review process. This will assure adequate time to gather and analyze new information and receive and review proposals for changes to EFH from advisory groups, agencies, and other partners.

**PFMC** 

4/4/09

# **West Coast Hydrokinetic Energy Projects**

	Location	Latest news	Next steps	Technology description	Footprint description
Updated: 3/19/2009	Eureka	Progress report submitted 11/08. Meeting with Hoopa tribe, agencies, stakeholders. No activity on FERC site since Nov 2008.	Plans to file NOI/PAD in May 2009.	40-80 buoys, 20 mW	7.025 square miles, 2.5 miles offshore.
(Sonoma County Water Updated: 3/19/2009	Study location is 14.3 sq nautical miles. Three miles off the coast of Sonoma County, in state waters. Water depths of 20-50 meters.	Applied for preliminary permit 2/23/09.	Waiting for approval of preliminary permit.	Looking at oscillating water column & buoy-type devices, including Pelamis.	
(Sonoma County Water	Study area is 10.4 square nm.	Applied for preliminary permit 2/23/09			
Updated: 3/19/2009	•				
South (Sonoma County	Study area is 15.3 square nm.	Applied for preliminary permit 2/23/09. No	Awaiting approval of preliminary permit		Initially 2-5 MW; eventually 40- 200 MW.
Updated: 3/19/2009	In state waters off Sonoma County, near Fort Ross, 20-50 m deep. Initially 2-5 MW; eventually 40-200 MW.	additional information available on FERC website.	application.		
	(Sonoma County Water Updated: 3/19/2009 (Sonoma County Water Updated: 3/19/2009 South (Sonoma County	Leregy Park Updated: 3/19/2009  Led 6/27/2008  Study location is 14.3 sq nautical miles. Three miles off the coast of Sonoma County, in state waters. Water depths of 20-50 meters.  (Sonoma County Water Updated: 3/19/2009  South (Sonoma County Updated: 3/19/2009  Study area is 10.4 square nm. Updated: 3/19/2009  Study area is 15.3 square nm. In state waters off Sonoma County, near Fort Ross, 20-50 m deep. Initially 2-5 MW; eventually 40-	Leregy Park Updated: 3/19/2009 Led 6/27/2008  Eureka  Progress report submitted 11/08. Meeting with Hoopa tribe, agencies, stakeholders. No activity on FERC site since Nov 2008.  E(Sonoma County Water Updated: 3/19/2009  Updated: 3/19/2009  South (Sonoma County Water Updated: 3/19/2009  South (Sonoma County Updated: 3/19/2009	Lupdated: 3/19/2009  Lipdated:	Location Latest news Next steps description  Eureka Progress report submitted 11/08. Meeting with Hoopa tribe, agencies, stakeholders. No activity on FERC site since Nov 2008.  (Sonoma County Water Updated: 3/19/2009 Updat

		Location	Latest news	Next steps	Technology description	Footprint description
Green Wave Mendocino P-13053	Wave Park* Updated: 2/18/2009	Mendocino	Preliminary permit accepted for filing and comment 12/08. Motions to intervene from NMFS, PGE, Sierra Club, several fishing associations & communities. Many comments. No longer on FERC website, possibly due to technical error.	Waiting for preliminary permit.	Buoys or other technology, 5 mW	2.5 x 6.9 miles (study area), up to 2.6 miles offshore, 120- 390 feet deep.
Green Wave San Luis O	bispo Wave Park* Updated: 2/18/2009	Morro Bay  Possibly Federal waters	Preliminary permit accepted for filing and public comment 12/08. Several motions to intervene (NMFS, communities, NGOs). Protest of MMS over jurisdiction. No longer on FERC website, possibly due to technical error.	Waiting for preliminary permit.	Buoys or other technology, 5 mW	3.1 x 7.1 miles. 1-3.5 miles from shore, 90-360 feet deep.
Humboldt WaveConnec P-12779 Preliminary permit issu	Updated: 3/19/2009	Eureka/Samoa  Possibly Federal waters	FERC asserted jurisdiction over outer continental shelf projects, including this one, 10/16/08. Ongoing discussions over whether FERC has jurisdiction over these projects. Filed 6-month progress report 2/27/09. Has been working with MMS on leasing in Federal waters. Many millions \$ spent or committed to project so far. Participating in town halls, etc. Waiting for MMS OCS rules.	Will apply for pilot license.	8-200 buoys or other technology, 40 mW	8-200 buoys. Research area: 136 square miles. 60-600 feet in depth. 2-10 miles from shore.

	Location	Latest news	Next steps	Technology description	Footprint description
Mendocino WaveConnect Project (PGE) P-12781 Updated: 3/19/ Preliminary permit issued 3/13/2008	Fort Bragg (2009 Possibly Federal waters	FERC asserted jurisdiction over outer continental shelf projects, including this one, 10/16/08. Ongoing discussions over whether FERC has jurisdiction over these projects.	Planning for environmental and technology studies. Working with CH2M Hill on work plan.	Buoys or other technology, 40 mW	Research area: 68 square miles. 60-600 feet in depth. 0.5 to 6 miles from shore.
San Francisco Bay Tidal Energy Project (G Gate Energy)* P-12585-001 Updated: 3/19/ Preliminary permit issued 10/11/2005	Bay	Preliminary permit for pilot project found lacking by FERC. FERC dismissed application 1/14/09. FERC may issue subsequent preliminary permit; wants further information from applicant by 2/21/09. Applicant provided information 2/20/09.	Awaiting FERC decision on dismissing application.	60 turbines/square mile, 1000 mW	60 turbines per square mile, located on sea floor. Footprint not specified.
San Francisco Ocean Energy Project (Gray Harbor Ocean Energy)* P-13308 Updated: 3/19/	the coast, west	Permit application filed/accepted 12/5/09. City of San Francisco plans to file competing permit at a nearby site and wants FERC to deny this application; applicant protests.	Plans to use pilot project process.	100 WECs; may also use wind turbines on the WECs. May use oscillating water column technology.	Seafloor is sand, gravel, and mud. Considering using structures as artificial reefs.

		Location	Latest news	Next steps	Technology description	Footprint description
San Francisco Ocea (City and County of S	nside Wave Energy Project S.F.) (NEW)*	Eight miles west San Francisco applied fo of San Francisco Federal permit, in	•	Plans to apply for pilot license. Much	Not yet determined. Looking at several fully	
P-13779	Updated: 3/19/2009	in the "exclusion zone" of the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary (the area excluded from the sanctuary), near the outfall of a waste water treatment plant (therefore minimizing project impacts).	competition with Grays Harbor Energy Project (13308). Grays Harbor is protesting, saying projects are not in competition. Awaiting FERC decision.	preparatory work already completed. First, 3 MW; eventually up to 30 MW.	submerged devices.	
Ventura Ocean Energocean Energy)*	gy Project (Grays Harbor	20-25 miles off the coast, on the	Have applied for preliminary permit.	Plans to use pilot project process.	100 WECs; may also use wind turbines on the	Seafloor is sand, gravel, and mud. Considering using
P-13309	Updated: 3/19/2009	Outer Continental Shelf (but elsewhere in the application it says 5-10 miles offshore). Not visible from shore. Federal waters	Permit application accepted 12/5/09. Several motions to intervene (NMFS, fishing organizations); protest of MMS.	project process.	WECs. May use oscillating water column technology.	structures as artificial reefs.

# Oregon

	Location	Latest news	Next steps	Technology description	Footprint description
Coos Bay OPT Wave Park* P-12749 Updated: 3/19/2009 Preliminary permit issued 3/9/2007 PAD submitted	Coos bay	Submitted PAD 3/7/08. Filed progress report 8/20/08. Conducted agency meeting/site visit in November 2008. Comments of ODFW, others, received. ODFW believes planned project is too large.	OPT will use the traditional licensing process. Submitted progress report 2/27/09. Conducting various studies, meetings, etc.	200-400 buoys, 100 mW	200-400 buoys in 3-6 rows parallel to the beach, 25-40 fm deep. Currently, 1 mile wide by 5 miles long; eventually smaller. 2.5 miles from shore.
P-12743 Updated: 3/19/2009 Preliminary permit issued 4/6/2007 PAD submitted	Winchester Bay	Submitted PAD 5/23/08. Much commenting activity. NMFS recommended not applying for 50-year license, given new technology. Submitted progress report 11/08. Douglas Co. provided a list of studies & information to obtain, 12/5/08.	Will use the traditional licensing process.	One jetty-based structure	Varies from other proposed projects in that it is powered by wave-driven air currents created by infrastructure built into existing jetty; installed capacity of 3MW. Applying for 50-year license.
Oregon Coastal Wave Energy Project (Green Wave) P-13047 Updated: 3/19/2009  Preliminary permit issued 5/23/2008	Nehalem, Rockaway, Garibaldi, Netarts, Nestucca, and Neskowin.	Submitted six-month progress report 10/31/08. No FERC activity since.	Fall 2008: Evaluate Garibaldi and Netarts deployment sites. Spring 2009: Decide which application process to use. Through 2010: Conduct meetings, studies, etc.	Buoys, 20-180 mW (total)	N/A

	Location	Latest news	Next steps	Technology description	Footprint description
Principle Power Offshore Wind Project  Updated: 3/19/2009	Off Tillamook, OR	9/26/08 - Tillamook Intergovernmental Development Agency and Principle Power signed MOU for phased development off offshore wind plant. Have not yet applied for FERC permit. 11/24/08 - signed MOU with Tillamook PUD.		30 wind turbines, 5 MW each	
Reedsport OPT Wave Park* P-12713 Updated: 3/19/2009 Preliminary permit issued PAD submitted	Reedsport	OPT plans to place test buoy this year (2009). Has contracted with consulting firm to determine if wave energy at this site is feasible. Hoping for "green energy" money from stimulus package.	Will use TLP. Plan to submit draft license application October 2008, and to conduct NEPA process after license app is submitted.	10 buoys, up to 4.14 mW per year	Depth: 204-225'. Footprint: 0.25 sq. miles, sandy bottom.
Washington Admiralty Inlet (Snohomish PUD)* P-12690 Updated: 3/19/2009 Preliminary permit issued 3/9/2007 PAD submitted	Admiralty Inlet, Puget Sound	Considered most feasible of Snohomish projects. Studies, consultations ongoing. NOAA submitted comments 3/10/09 with detailed recommendations on studies needed to address fish/mammal/habitat impacts. (Informal consultation conducted with NMFS & USFWS)	Plan to submit draft license application in January 2010. Making plans for draft biological assessment.	1-5 turbines (type unknown), up to 5 mW	Bay/estuary habitat; 60-100 m deep; gravel/sandy bottom; much shipping & commercial traffic

	Location	Latest news	Next steps	Technology description	Footprint description
P-12687 Updated: 3/19/2009 Preliminary permit issued 3/1/2007 PAD submitted	Deception Pass, Puget Sound	Submitted PAD 1/31/08; requested and was granted an extension for filing notice of intent. Provided 6-month progress report 1/29/09; general activity continues.	Plan to submit draft license application in January 2010.	Turbines (type, number unknown), 3 mW	Bay/estuary habitat; 30 m deep; rocky seafloor; mainly recreational use.
Grays Harbor Ocean Energy and Coastal Protection (Washington Wave Co.) P-13058 Updated: 3/19/2009 Preliminary permit issued 7/31/08	Grays Harbor  Possibly Federal waters	Conducting field studies, developing PAD, gathering information. Public comment says that there is broad community opposition to the project. Meant to be "a national demonstration site for offshore wave energy conversion on fixed structures."	Planning to choose licensing path and submit PAD in third quarter 2009; prepare licensing application in 2011.	12 oscillating water column units, 6MW (Eventually, wind turbines & buoys, 168- 418 mW)	Up to 28 square miles. 1-3 miles offshore, 10-70 feet in depth. West of Ocean Shores and Westport.
Guemes Channel (Snohomish PUD)* P-12698 Updated: 3/19/2009 Preliminary permit issued 2/22/2007 PAD submitted	Guemes Channel, Puget Sound	Submitted PAD 1/31/08; requested and was granted an extension for filing notice of intent. Provided 6-month progress report 1/29/09; general activity continues.	Plan to submit draft license application in January 2010.	Turbines (type, number unknown), 3.5 mW	Bay/estuary habitat; 15 m. deep; gravel seafloor; commercial shipping traffic.
San Juan Channel (Snohomish PUD)* P-12692 Updated: 3/19/2009 Preliminary permit issued 2/22/2007 PAD submitted	San Juan Channel, Puget Sound	Submitted PAD 1/31/08; requested and was granted an extension for filing notice of intent. Provided 6-month progress report 1/29/09; general activity continues.	Plan to submit draft license application in January 2010.	Turbines (type, number unknown), 6.8 mW	Bay/estuary habitat; 135+ m. deep; gravel seafloor; commercial fishing use (salmon)

	Location	Latest news	Next steps	Technology description	Footprint description
P-12689 Updated: 3/19/2009  Preliminary permit issued 2/22/2007  PAD submitted	Spieden Channel, Puget Sound	Submitted PAD 1/31/08; requested and was granted an extension for filing notice of intent. Provided 6-month progress report 1/29/09; general activity continues.	Plan to submit draft license application in January 2010.	Turbines (type, number unknown), 8.3 mW	Bay/estuary habitat; 80 m. deep; gravel seafloor; commercial fishing use (salmon)
Willipa Bay Tidal Energy Plant Water Power Project (Natural Currents) P-12729 Updated: 3/19/2009 Preliminary permit issued 3/29/2007	Willapa Bay	Conducting field testing of turbine unit (spring 2008). Meeting with state agencies, NMFS, tribes; gathering environmental, social, economic impact info. Submitted progress report 8/19.	Plan to submit draft application for pilot process 3/29/09. Earliest date for approval would be September 2009. Plan to do consultations in 2009-2010. (No FERC action since 8/19/08).	1-3 turbines (Red Hawk- 2), 1-2 mW	825 meters SSW of State Road 105, 365 m offshore. Footprint unknown. Estuarnine habitat. Aiming for installation in 2010.

		Location	Latest news	Next steps	Technology description	Footprint description
DEFUNCT PROJECTS						
California Fairhaven OPT Wave Port P-12780 Defunct	wer Project Updated: 3/27/2008	Eureka	Competing application in same location chosen over this one.		40-80 buoys, 20+ mW	.5 mile wide by 4 miles long (n/s), 22-26 fm
Humboldt County Wave P-12753 Defunct	Project (PGE)* Updated: 3/19/2009	Trinidad	Preliminary permit surrendered.		Unspecified; 100 mW	Research area: 8 square miles; final size between 2-3 square miles. 20-40 fm (120- 240 feet). 2-4 miles off shore
Mendocino Wave Energy P-12806 Defunct	y Project (Chevron) Updated: 1/15/2008	Fort Bragg	Application withdrawn 8/31/07. Apparently the "lengthy approval process" in California led Chevron to pursue an opportunity in Alaska instead.		Pelamis machines, 2-60 mW	Unknown
Sonoma Coast Hydrokin P-13076 Defunct	etic Energy (Sonoma Co.) Updated:	Sonoma Co.	Rejected - area too large, technology not specified.		?	N/A
Oregon						
Columbia River project ( P-12672 Defunct	Oregon Tidal Energy Co.) Updated: 3/27/2008	Lower Columbia River	Preliminary permit surrendered - "insufficient development potential exists for either a full develoment or tidal project."		TISECs	River bottom.
Coos County Wave Proje P-12752 Defunct	ect (Finavera) Updated: 6/2/2008 4/26/2007	Bandon	Permit cancelled 6/26/08 because no progress report or PAD was filed.		Buoys, 100-300 mW	5.5 square mile water footprint, 1.6 miles e/w by 3.4 miles n/s.

		Location	Latest news	Next steps	Technology description	Footprint description
Florence Wave Park	Project (Energetech)	Florence	Proposal withdrawn - no		Oscillating water column	Each structure's footprint is
P-12793 <b>Defunct</b>	Updated: 3/27/2008		reason given.		technology, 10 mW	35x15 meters, not counting cables. Structures extend 7 meters above water and 15 below.
Lincoln County Wave Demonstration Center	<u> </u>	Lincoln County	Dismissed by FERC		Various.	Unknown
P-12727	Updated: 5/5/2008					
Defunct						
Newport OPT Wave P	Park*	Newport/	Preliminary permit	Licensee must develop	200-400 buoys, 100+	200-400 buoys in 3-6 rows
P-12750	Updated: 3/19/2009	Waldport	deral surrendered in order to focus on Coos Bay and Reedsport projects.	NOI and PAD by 1/29/10	mW	parallel to the beach. 3.5
Defunct	1/29/09	Possibly Federal waters				miles wide (e/w) by 5 miles long (n/s); eventually as little as 0.4 miles by 3.1 miles, 20- 35 fm, 3-6 miles off coast of Lincoln County
Washington						
Agate Passage (Snot	nomish PUD)	Agate Passage,	Surrendered preliminary	Defunct	Turbines (type, number	Bay/estuary habitat; 10 m.
P-12691	Updated: 2/23/2009	Puget Sound	permit 10/07/08		unknown), 0.4 mW	deep; sand/gravel floor; used
Defunct						by pleasure craft
PAD submitted						
Makah Bay Offshore (Finavera)*	Wave Energy Pilot Project	Makah Bay (Neah Bay)	Five-year license issued December 21, 2007.	Finavera withdrew project in order to focus	1 buoy, 1 mW	60x240 feet at ocean surface; 625x450 feet at ocean floor.
DI02-3	Updated: 2/11/2009			on wind energy.		Sandy bottom with rocky
Defunct						outcroppings.
Rich Passage (Snoho	omish PUD)	Rich Passage,	Surrendered preliminary	Defunct.	Turbines (type, number	Bay/estuary habitat; 15-22 m
P-12688	Updated: 3/19/2009			unknown), 1.4 mW	deep; gravel seafloor;	
Defunct						commercial & naval traffic.
PAD submitted						

		Location	Latest news	Next steps	Technology description	Footprint description
Tacoma Narrows (Tac P-12612 Defunct	coma Power) Updated: 2/18/2009	Tacoma Narrows, Puget Sound	Doing feasibility studies. Issued progress report 7/30/08. Found that tidal power in Tacoma Narrows may not be economically or technically feasible at this time. Independent analysis underway, but permit will probably expire with no further action.	Prelim permit issued 2/22/06; expressed intent to use pilot process; but now looks unfeasible.	Up to 64 turbines, 1-20 mW	In estuary (HAPC). Footprint unknown.