

**FWS COLUMBIA RIVER 2007 CHINOOK MASS MARKING**

1/26/2007

Species: Chinook  
 Area: Columbia River  
 Brood: 2006  
 Release Year: 2007 and 2008

Program Levels: Actual releases and marking levels may be somewhat higher or lower depending on actual rearing cycle.

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Spring Creek NFH	Spring Creek - Tule Falls	450,000	450,000	14,200,000	0	15,100,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Little White Salmon NFH	Little White Salmon - URB Falls	200,000	200,000	1,600,000	0	2,000,000	Y	Y	Decision pending, funding uncertain
FWS	Little White Salmon NFH	Little White Salmon - URB Falls	200,000	0	0	1,500,000	1,700,000	N	N	YN-Prosser release
WDFW	Priest Rapids	Priest Rapids - URB Falls	0	0	1,700,000	0	1,700,000	N	Y	Marking not planned in 2007
<b>Total Fall Chinook</b>			<b>850,000</b>	<b>650,000</b>	<b>17,500,000</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	<b>20,500,000</b>			
FWS	Carson NFH	Carson - Springs 1+	75,000	0	1,095,000	0	1,170,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Willard NFH	Little White Salmon - Springs 1+	50,000	0	200,000	0	250,000	Y	Y	Drano Lake release
FWS	Little White Salmon NFH	Little White Salmon - Springs 1+	75,000	0	675,000	0	750,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Little White Salmon NFH	Little White Salmon - Springs 1+	50,000	0	200,000	0	250,000	Y	Y	Walla Walla R. release
FWS	Warm Spings NFH	Warm Springs - Springs 1+	750,000	0	0	0	750,000	Y	Y	Fish loss, production will be lower
FWS	Leavenworth NFH	Leavenworth - Springs 1+	400,000	0	1,225,000	0	1,625,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Entiat NFH	Entiat - Springs 1+	100,000	0	300,000	0	400,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Winthrop NFH	Methow - Springs 1+	0	600,000	0	0	600,000	N	N	Restoration program
FWS	Kooskia NFH	Kooskia NFH - Springs 1+	100,000	0	500,000	0	600,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Dworshak NFH	Dworshak - Springs 1+	120,000	0	930,000	0	1,050,000	Y	Y	
<b>Total Spring Chinook</b>			<b>1,720,000</b>	<b>600,000</b>	<b>5,125,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7,445,000</b>			
<b>Total Chinook</b>			<b>2,570,000</b>	<b>1,250,000</b>	<b>22,625,000</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	<b>27,945,000</b>			
<b>Total Chinook Production</b>			<b>27,945,000</b>							
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>			<b>90%</b>							

# FWS COLUMBIA RIVER 2006 CHINOOK MASS MARKING

2/6/2006

Species: Chinook  
 Area: Columbia River  
 Brood: 2005  
 Release Year: 2006 and 2007

Program Levels: Actual releases and marking levels may be somewhat higher or lower depending on actual rearing cycle.

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Spring Creek NFH	Spring Creek - Tule Falls	450,000	450,000	14,100,000	0	15,000,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Little White Salmon NFH	Little White Salmon - URB Falls	200,000	200,000	1,600,000	0	2,000,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Little White Salmon NFH	Little White Salmon - URB Falls	200,000	0	0	1,500,000	1,700,000	N	N	YN-Prosser release
WDFW	Priest Rapids	Priest Rapids - URB Falls	0	0	1,700,000	0	1,700,000	Y	N	Marked by FWS
<b>Total Fall Chinook</b>			<b>850,000</b>	<b>650,000</b>	<b>17,400,000</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	<b>20,400,000</b>			
FWS	Carson NFH	Carson - Springs 1+	75,000	0	1,095,000	0	1,170,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Willard NFH	Little White Salmon - Springs 1+	50,000	0	200,000	0	250,000	Y	Y	Drano L. rel. (from Carson in '05)
FWS	Little White Salmon NFH	Little White Salmon - Springs 1+	75,000	0	675,000	0	750,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Little White Salmon NFH	Little White Salmon - Springs 1+	50,000	0	200,000	0	250,000	Y	Y	Walla Walla R. release
FWS	Little White Salmon NFH	Little White Salmon - Springs 1+	40,000	0	170,000	0	210,000	Y	Y	Umatilla R. release
FWS	Warm Spings NFH	Warm Springs - Springs 1+	750,000	0	0	0	750,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Leavenworth NFH	Leavenworth - Springs 1+	800,000	0	825,000	0	1,625,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Entiat NFH	Entiat - Springs 1+	400,000	0	0	0	400,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Winthrop NFH	Methow - Springs 1+	0	600,000	0	0	600,000	N	N	Restoration program
FWS	Kooskia NFH	Kooskia NFH - Springs 1+	100,000	0	500,000	0	600,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Dworshak NFH	Dworshak - Springs 1+	120,000	0	930,000	0	1,050,000	Y	Y	
<b>Total Spring Chinook</b>			<b>2,460,000</b>	<b>600,000</b>	<b>4,595,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7,655,000</b>			
<b>Total Chinook</b>			<b>3,310,000</b>	<b>1,250,000</b>	<b>21,995,000</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	<b>28,055,000</b>			
<b>Total Chinook Production</b>			<b>28,055,000</b>							
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>			<b>90%</b>							

# FWS COLUMBIA RIVER 2005 CHINOOK MASS MARKING

4/27/2005

Species: Chinook  
 Area: Columbia River  
 Brood: 2004  
 Release Year: 2005 and 2006

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Spring Creek NFH	Spring Creek - Tule Falls	450,000	450,000	14,100,000	0	15,000,000	Y	N	
FWS	Little White Salmon NFH	Little White Salmon - URB Falls	200,000	200,000	1,600,000	0	2,000,000	Y	N	
FWS	Little White Salmon NFH	Little White Salmon - URB Falls	200,000	0	0	1,500,000	1,700,000	N	N	YN-Prosser Release
WDFW	Priest Rapids	Priest Rapids - URB Falls	0	0	0	1,700,000	1,700,000	N	N	Marked by FWS
<b>Total Fall Chinook</b>			<b>850,000</b>	<b>650,000</b>	<b>15,700,000</b>	<b>3,200,000</b>	<b>20,400,000</b>			
FWS	Carson NFH	Carson - Springs 1+	75,000	0	1,095,000	0	1,170,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Carson NFH	Carson - Springs 1+	50,000	0	200,000	0	250,000	Y	Y	Drano Lake Release
FWS	Little White Salmon NFH	Little White Salmon - Springs 1+	75,000	0	675,000	0	750,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Little White Salmon NFH	Little White Salmon - Springs 1+	50,000	0	200,000	0	250,000	Y	Y	Walla Walla R. Release
FWS	Little White Salmon NFH	Little White Salmon - Springs 1+	40,000	0	170,000	0	210,000	Y	Y	Umatilla R. Release
FWS	Warm Spings NFH	Warm Springs - Springs 1+	750,000	0	0	0	750,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Leavenworth NFH	Leavenworth - Springs 1+	800,000	0	825,000	0	1,625,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Entiat NFH	Entiat - Springs 1+	400,000	0	0	0	400,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Winthrop NFH	Methow - Springs 1+	0	600,000	0	0	600,000	N	N	
FWS	Kooskia NFH	Kooskia NFH - Springs 1+	100,000	0	500,000	0	600,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Dworshak NFH	Dworshak - Springs 1+	120,000	0	930,000	0	1,050,000	Y	Y	
<b>Total Spring Chinook</b>			<b>2,460,000</b>	<b>600,000</b>	<b>4,595,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7,655,000</b>			
<b>Total Chinook</b>			<b>3,310,000</b>	<b>1,250,000</b>	<b>20,295,000</b>	<b>3,200,000</b>	<b>28,055,000</b>			
<b>Total Chinook Production</b>			<b>28,055,000</b>							
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>			<b>84%</b>							

# FWS NORTH COAST AND PUGET SOUND 2007 CHINOOK MASS MARKING

1/26/2007

Species: Chinook  
 Area: North Coast and Puget Sound  
 Brood: 2006  
 Release Year: 2007

Program Levels: Actual releases and marking levels may be somewhat higher or lower depending on actual rearing cycle.

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah Falls	260,000	0	1,940,000	0	2,200,000	Y	Y	Decision pending, funding uncertain
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah Falls	0	0	100,000	0	100,000	Y	Y	Educket Cr. release, funding uncertain
FWS	Quinault NFH	Quinault Falls	200,000	0	400,000	0	600,000	Y	Y	Decision pending, funding uncertain
<b>Total Chinook</b>			<b>460,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,440,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,900,000</b>			
<b>Total Chinook Production</b>			<b>2,900,000</b>							
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>			<b>100%</b>							

# FWS NORTH COAST AND PUGET SOUND 2006 CHINOOK MASS MARKING

2/6/2006

Species: Chinook  
 Area: North Coast and Puget Sound  
 Brood: 2005  
 Release Year: 2006

Program Levels: Actual releases and marking levels may be somewhat higher or lower depending on actual rearing cycle.

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah Falls	260,000	0	1,940,000	0	2,200,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah Falls	0	0	100,000	0	100,000	Y	Y	Educket Cr. Release
FWS	Quinault NFH	Quinault Falls	200,000	0	400,000	0	600,000	Y	Y	
<b>Total Chinook</b>			<b>460,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,440,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,900,000</b>			
<b>Total Chinook Production</b>			<b>2,900,000</b>							
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>			<b>100%</b>							

# FWS NORTH COAST AND PUGET SOUND 2005 CHINOOK MASS MARKING

4/27/2005

Species: Chinook  
 Area: North Coast and Puget Sound  
 Brood: 2004  
 Release Year: 2005

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah	260,000	0	1,940,000	0	2,200,000	Y	N	
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah	0	0	100,000	0	100,000	Y	N	Educket Cr. Release
FWS	Quinault NFH	Quinault	200,000	0	400,000	0	600,000	Y	N	
<b>Total Chinook</b>			<b>460,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,440,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,900,000</b>			
<b>Total Chinook Production</b>			<b>2,900,000</b>							
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>			<b>100%</b>							

# FWS COLUMBIA RIVER 2007 COHO MASS MARKING

1/26/2007

Species: Coho  
 Area: Columbia River  
 Brood: 2006  
 Release Year: 2008

Program Levels: Actual releases and marking levels may be somewhat higher or lower depending on actual rearing cycle.

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek - 1+	25,000	25,000	450,000	0	500,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek - 1+	60,000	60,000	0	155,000	275,000	N	N	Potlatch R. Release - NPT
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek - 1+	60,000	60,000	0	155,000	275,000	N	N	Lapwai Cr. Release - NPT
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek - 1+	0	0	500,000	0	500,000	Y	Y	Yakima R. Release - YN
FWS	Willard NFH	Wenatchee - 1+	0	550,000	0	0	550,000	N	N	Wenatchee R. Release - YN
ODFW	Cascade Hatchery	Wenatchee - 1+	0	700,000	0	0	700,000	N	N	Wen. R. Rel. - YN (Tagged by FWS)
YN	Prosser Hatchery	Yakima - 1+	0	0	0	500,000	500,000	N	N	LV Clip - YN (Marked by FWS)
FWS	Winthrop NFH	Wenatchee - 1+	0	250,000	0	0	250,000	N	N	YN restoration program
FWS	Kooskia NFH	Dworshak - 1+	0	120,000	0	160,000	280,000	N	N	NPT restoration program
<b>Total Coho</b>			<b>145,000</b>	<b>1,765,000</b>	<b>950,000</b>	<b>970,000</b>	<b>3,830,000</b>			
<b>Total Coho Production</b>			<b>3,830,000</b>							
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>			<b>29%</b>							

# FWS COLUMBIA RIVER 2006 COHO MASS MARKING

2/6/2006

Species: Coho  
 Area: Columbia River  
 Brood: 2005  
 Release Year: 2007

Program Levels: Actual releases and marking levels may be somewhat higher or lower depending on actual rearing cycle.

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek - 1+	25,000	25,000	450,000	0	500,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek - 1+	50,000	50,000	0	175,000	275,000	N	N	Potlatch R. Release - NPT
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek - 1+	50,000	50,000	0	175,000	275,000	N	N	Lapwai Cr. Release - NPT
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek - 1+	0	0	600,000	0	600,000	Y	Y	Yakima R. Release - YN
FWS	Willard NFH	Wenatchee - 1+	0	550,000	0	0	550,000	N	N	Wenatchee R. Release - YN
ODFW	Cascade Hatchery	Wenatchee - 1+	0	700,000	0	0	700,000	N	N	Wen. R. Rel. - YN (Tagged by FWS)
YN	Prosser Hatchery	Yakima - 1+	0	0	0	500,000	500,000	N	N	LV Clip - YN (Marked by FWS)
FWS	Winthrop NFH	Wenatchee - 1+	0	250,000	0	0	250,000	N	N	YN restoration program
FWS	Kooskia NFH	Dworshak - 1+	0	120,000	0	160,000	280,000	N	N	NPT restoration program
<b>Total Coho</b>			<b>125,000</b>	<b>1,745,000</b>	<b>1,050,000</b>	<b>1,010,000</b>	<b>3,930,000</b>			
<b>Total Coho Production</b>			<b>3,930,000</b>							
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>			<b>30%</b>							



**FWS COLUMBIA RIVER 2005 COHO MASS MARKING**

4/27/2005

Species: Coho  
 Area: Columbia River  
 Brood: 2004  
 Release Year: 2006

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek - 1+	25,000	25,000	450,000	0	500,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek - 1+	50,000	50,000	0	175,000	275,000	N	N	Potlatch R. Release - NPT
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek - 1+	50,000	50,000	0	175,000	275,000	N	N	Lapwai Cr. Release - NPT
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek - 1+	0	0	600,000	0	600,000	Y	Y	Yakima R. Release - YN
FWS	Willard NFH	Wenatchee - 1+	300,000	300,000	0	0	600,000	N	N	Wenatchee R. Release - YN
ODFW	Cascade Hatchery	Wenatchee - 1+	0	700,000	0	0	700,000	N	N	Wen. R. Rel. - YN (Tagged by FWS)
YN	Prosser Hatchery	Yakima - 1+	0	0	0	500,000	500,000	N	N	LV Clip - YN (Marked by FWS)
FWS	Winthrop NFH	Wenatchee - 1+	0	200,000	0	0	200,000	N	N	YN
FWS	Kooskia NFH	Dworshak - 1+	0	120,000	0	160,000	280,000	N	N	NPT
<b>Total Coho</b>			<b>425,000</b>	<b>1,445,000</b>	<b>1,050,000</b>	<b>1,010,000</b>	<b>3,930,000</b>			
<b>Total Coho Production</b>			<b>3,930,000</b>							
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>			<b>38%</b>							

# FWS NORTH COAST AND PUGET SOUND 2007 COHO MASS MARKING

1/26/2007

Species: Coho  
 Area: North Coast and Puget Sound  
 Brood: 2005  
 Release Year: 2007

Program Levels: Actual releases and marking levels may be somewhat higher or lower depending on actual rearing cycle.

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah	40,000	40,000	120,000	0	200,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah	0	0	40,000	0	40,000	Y	Y	Educket Cr. release
FWS	Quinault NFH	Quinault	80,000	80,000	500,000	0	660,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Quicene NFH	Quilcene	48,000	48,000	304,000	0	400,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Quicene NFH	Quilcene	45,000	0	155,000	0	200,000	Y	Y	Quilcene Bay release
<b>Total Coho</b>			<b>213,000</b>	<b>168,000</b>	<b>1,119,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>			
<b>Total Coho Production</b>			<b>1,500,000</b>							
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>			<b>89%</b>							

# FWS NORTH COAST AND PUGET SOUND 2006 COHO MASS MARKING

2/6/2006

Species: Coho  
 Area: North Coast and Puget Sound  
 Brood: 2005  
 Release Year: 2007

Program Levels: Actual releases and marking levels may be somewhat higher or lower depending on actual rearing cycle.

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah	40,000	40,000	120,000	0	200,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah	0	0	40,000	0	40,000	Y	Y	Educket Cr. Release
FWS	Quinalt NFH	Quinalt	80,000	80,000	500,000	0	660,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Quicene NFH	Quilcene	48,000	48,000	304,000	0	400,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Quicene NFH	Quilcene	45,000	0	155,000	0	200,000	Y	Y	Quilcene Bay Release
<b>Total Coho</b>			<b>213,000</b>	<b>168,000</b>	<b>1,119,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>			
<b>Total Coho Production</b>					<b>1,500,000</b>					
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>					<b>89%</b>					

# FWS NORTH COAST AND PUGET SOUND 2005 COHO MASS MARKING

4/27/2005

Species: Coho  
 Area: North Coast and Puget Sound  
 Brood: 2004  
 Release Year: 2006

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah	40,000	40,000	170,000	0	250,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah	0	0	50,000	0	50,000	Y	Y	Educket Cr. Release
FWS	Quinault NFH	Quinault	80,000	80000	440,000	0	600,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Quinault NFH	Quinault	0	0	60,000	0	60,000	Y	Y	Camp 7 Pond Release
FWS	Quicene NFH	Quilcene	48,000	48,000	304,000	0	400,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Quicene NFH	Quilcene	45,000	0	155,000	0	200,000	Y	Y	Quilcene Bay Release
<b>Total Coho</b>			<b>213,000</b>	<b>168,000</b>	<b>1,179,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,560,000</b>			
<b>Total Coho Production</b>					<b>1,560,000</b>					
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>					<b>89%</b>					

# FWS COLUMBIA RIVER 2007 STEELHEAD MASS MARKING

1/26/2007

Species: Steelhead  
 Area: Columbia River  
 Brood: 2007  
 Release Year: 2008

Program Levels: Actual releases and marking levels may be somewhat higher or lower depending on actual rearing cycle.

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek	0	0	150,000	0	150,000	Y	Y	ADRV clip
FWS	Winthrop NFH	Wells	100,000	0	0	0	100,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Abernathy FTC	Abernathy	20,000	0	0	0	20,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Dworshak NFH	Dworshak	120,000	0	1,880,000	0	2,000,000	Y	Y	1.2M Released On-station
FWS	Dworshak NFH	Dworshak	0	200,000	0	0	200,000	N	N	S. Fk. Clearwater Release
FWS	Hagerman NFH	Salmon River	80,000		1,120,000	0	1,200,000	Y	Y	Marked by IDFG
<b>Total steelhead</b>			<b>320,000</b>	<b>200,000</b>	<b>3,150,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,670,000</b>			

**Total Steelhead Production 3,670,000**

**Total Percent Marked 95%**

# FWS COLUMBIA RIVER 2006 STEELHEAD MASS MARKING

2/6/2006

Species: Steelhead  
 Area: Columbia River  
 Brood: 2006  
 Release Year: 2007

Program Levels: Actual releases and marking levels may be somewhat higher or lower depending on actual rearing cycle.

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek	150,000	0	0	0	150,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Dworshak NFH	Dworshak	120,000	0	1,780,000	0	1,900,000	Y	Y	1.2M Released On-station
FWS	Dworshak NFH	Dworshak	0	200,000	0	0	200,000	N	N	S. Fk. Clearwater Release
FWS	Hagerman NFH	Salmon River	80,000		1,120,000	0	1,200,000	Y	Y	
<b>Total steelhead</b>			<b>350,000</b>	<b>200,000</b>	<b>2,900,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,450,000</b>			
<b>Total Steelhead Production</b>			<b>3,450,000</b>							
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>			<b>94%</b>							

# FWS COLUMBIA RIVER 2005 STEELHEAD MASS MARKING

4/27/2005

Species: Steelhead  
 Area: Columbia River  
 Brood: 2005  
 Release Year: 2006

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Eagle Creek NFH	Eagle Creek	150,000	0	0	0	150,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Dworshak NFH	Dworshak	120,000	0	1,780,000	0	1,900,000	Y	Y	1.2M Released On-station
FWS	Dworshak NFH	Dworshak	0	200,000	0	0	200,000	N	N	S. Fk. Clearwater Release
IDFG	Clearwater Anad. Hatchery	Dworshak	0	150,000	0	0	150,000	N	N	Tagged by FWS
<b>Total steelhead</b>			<b>270,000</b>	<b>350,000</b>	<b>1,780,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,400,000</b>			
<b>Total Steelhead Production</b>			<b>2,400,000</b>							
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>			<b>85%</b>							

# FWS NORTH COAST AND PUGET SOUND 2007 STEELHEAD MASS MARKING

1/26/2007

Species: Steelhead  
 Area: North Coast and Puget Sound  
 Brood: 2007  
 Release Year: 2008

Program Levels: Actual releases and marking levels may be somewhat higher or lower depending on actual rearing cycle.

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah	0	0	0	158,000	158,000	N	Y	
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah	0	0	0	22,000	22,000	N	Y	Educket Cr. release
FWS	Quinault NFH	Quinault	20,000	0	0	170,000	190,000	N	Y	
FWS	Quinault NFH	Quinault	10,000	0	40000	0	50,000	Y	Y	Hoh R. direct stream release
FWS	Quinault NFH	Quinault	10,000	0	40000	0	50,000	Y	Y	Chalaat Ck. transfer
<b>Total Steelhead</b>			<b>40,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>80,000</b>	<b>350,000</b>	<b>470,000</b>			
<b>Total Steelhead Production</b>			<b>470,000</b>							
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>			<b>26%</b>							



# FWS NORTH COAST AND PUGET SOUND 2006 STEELHEAD MASS MARKING

2/6/2006

Species: Steelhead  
 Area: North Coast and Puget Sound  
 Brood: 2006  
 Release Year: 2007

Program Levels: Actual releases and marking levels may be somewhat higher or lower depending on actual rearing cycle.

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah	0	0	158,000	0	158,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah	0	0	22,000	0	22,000	Y	Y	Educket Cr. Release
FWS	Quinault NFH	Quinault	20,000	0	170,000	0	190,000	Y	Y	
<b>Total Steelhead</b>			<b>20,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>350,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>370,000</b>			
<b>Total Steelhead Production</b>			<b>370,000</b>							
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>			<b>100%</b>							

# FWS NORTH COAST AND PUGET SOUND 2005 STEELHEAD MASS MARKING

4/27/2005

Species: Steelhead  
 Area: North Coast and Puget Sound  
 Brood: 2005  
 Release Year: 2006

Agency	Hatchery	Stock	Number of fish to be released with a CWT		Number of fish to be released without a CWT		Total Production	Proposed to be marked this year (Y/N)	Marked in previous year (Y/N)	Comments
			Ad Clipped	Unclipped	Ad Clipped	Unclipped				
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah	0	0	175,000	0	175,000	Y	Y	
FWS	Makah NFH	Makah	0	0	25,000	0	25,000	Y	Y	Educket Cr. Release
FWS	Quinault NFH	Quinault	20,000	0	170,000	0	190,000	Y	Y	
<b>Total Steelhead</b>			<b>20,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>370,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>390,000</b>			
<b>Total Steelhead Production</b>					<b>390,000</b>					
<b>Total Percent Marked</b>					<b>100%</b>					

**Pacific Salmon Commission  
Genetic Stock Identification Workshops:**

**“Current and Future Applications of Genetic Stock Identification to Ocean Salmon Management”**

Recognizing the need for comprehensive exploration of the potential for incorporating modern genetic stock identification (GSI) into management of ocean salmon fisheries, the Committee for Scientific Cooperation of the Pacific Salmon Commission is sponsoring a set of two workshops. The workshops will bring fishery managers, geneticists, and representatives of other salmon management disciplines together for the following overall objective:

*To develop recommendations for integration of GSI information into a coordinated coast-wide management system to improve the ability of ocean fisheries to access abundant stocks within impact constraints established for other specific stocks and, to the extent possible, to identify and quantify the costs, implementation steps and timeframes to incorporate these recommendations.*

The workshops have been established under the guidance of a Steering Committee, and this committee will continue to provide oversight of activities and the compilation of results into a final, peer reviewed report. The Steering Committee members are:

David Hankin, PSC Committee on Scientific Cooperation (Chair)  
Craig Busack, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife  
Al Cass, Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans  
John Clark, Alaska Dept. of Fish and Game  
Robert Kope, National Marine Fisheries Service  
Paul MacGillivray, PSC Commissioner, Canada  
Gary Morishima, Quinault Indian Nation  
Dave Peacock, Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans  
Larry Rutter, PSC Commissioner, US

The four categories for which workgroups have been established are:

- Genetics
- Modeling/Sampling
- Logistics
- Management

Each workgroup, comprised of 12 to 15 members, will be facilitated by a coordinator with experience relative to the responsibility of the group.

The first workshop will be held May 15 – 17 at the Benson Hotel in Portland, Oregon. The opening day will be devoted to presentations providing information on management of ocean salmon fisheries, on the current state of the science for GSI, and on various recent applications of GSI in ocean salmon fishery management. On a space available basis, limited opportunity will be available on day 1 for the public to listen to the presentations if they are pre-registered and pay a nominal attendance fee. The following two days of the May workshop will be limited to Workgroup participants, assisted by the workgroup coordinators, to address a set of issues and questions posed to each group by the Steering Committee.

The second workshop will be held September 11 – 13 in Vancouver, B.C. It will be limited to Steering Committee and Workgroup participation, with the goal of producing draft workgroup reports. Following this meeting, the workgroup coordinators will submit draft reports to the Steering Committee, and final drafts will be sent out for peer review. A final report will be submitted to the Pacific Salmon Commission in January 2008.

A draft outline of the format and content of the Workshops is attached.

For more information on the GSI Workshops or inquiries on attendance at the first day of the May session, contact Kim Bartlett at the Pacific Salmon Commission Office at: 604-684-8081 or [bartlett@psc.org](mailto:bartlett@psc.org)

*Final Steering Committee Proposal -- March 20, 2007*

## **Pacific Salmon Commission Invited Workshops:**

### **Current and Future Applications of Genetic Stock Identification (GSI) to Ocean Salmon Management**

#### **Focus and Content:**

Workshops will be tightly focused on the current and future capabilities, limitations, and uses of GSI methods in ocean salmon management. Alternative technologies will be considered to the extent that they may be used as a direct complement to GSI data collections or analyses.

#### **Overall Objective:**

To develop recommendations for integration of GSI information into a coordinated coast-wide management system to improve the ability of ocean fisheries to access abundant stocks within impact constraints established for other specific stocks and, to the extent possible, to identify and quantify the costs, implementation steps and timeframes to incorporate these recommendations.

#### **Workshop Structure and Format:**

We propose a two workshop format, with each workshop lasting three days. A notice announcing the proposed workshops would be posted on the PSC website. Formal invitations would be sent to management agencies, proposed members of workgroups, and workgroup coordinators. The first day of workshop 1 would be open to individuals from management agencies and the general public, but attendance would be limited (150-200) and a modest pre-registration fee (\$25) would be charged (no fee would be charged to presenters, or members of workgroups, the Steering Committee or the CSC). Attendance on days 2 and 3 of workshop 1 and on all days of workshop 2 would be limited to workgroup members, Steering Committee members, and members of the CSC. A detailed outline of the proposed schedule for Workshop 1 is included as Attachment A.

At the first workshop, a first day's session would consist of essential background presentations on "What manager's want" (AM) and "GSI: State of the Science" (PM). Several case studies will illustrate use of GSI in ocean salmon management. Although the primary audience is intended to be the members of invited workgroups, a limited opportunity will be available for the public to listen to the presentations by pre-registering and paying a nominal fee to defray costs. On

the second and third days of the first workshop, invited participants would break into four pre-assigned workgroups (Genetics, Logistics, Management, and Modeling/Sampling), each charged with addressing a set of issues and questions and, working with a coordinator, begin to develop a set of proposed actions or recommendations designed to contribute to achievement of the overall objective specified above. Members of all four workgroups would reconvene at the end of the second day to exchange preliminary findings and recommended products. Workgroup deliberations would continue on the third day. Workgroup *Coordinators* (see below) would meet with members of the *Steering Committee* (see below) at the end of the third day to agree upon direction for preparation of workgroup reports.

Following Workshop 1, *Workgroup Coordinators* (compensated) would be responsible for interacting with workgroup members to develop written reports/proposals which would be formally presented at a second workshop. Participants from all workgroups would be expected to participate at the second workshop. Deliberations would be focused on the contributions of workgroup recommendations to the overall objective, identification and resolution of incompatibilities, and development of specific implementation plans. *Workgroup Coordinators* would meet with workgroup members on days 2 and 3 of the second workshop, revising the draft report and addressing newly identified issues, and would thereafter be responsible for delivering a final revised workgroup report to the GSI Workshop *Steering Committee*. The *Steering Committee* would discuss the merits of the four final reports, resolve, if possible, any incompatibilities across reports, compile them, submit the reports to a group of three peer reviewers, and subsequently provide the reports to the PSC

Compensated peer reviews would be solicited from each of three fishery scientists, selected by the CSC, who are qualified to assess the merits of the combined reports but did not participate in the GSI Workshops. Peer reviews would be provided to members of the *Steering Committee* who would then attach the peer reviews, along with *Steering Committee* response, to the PSC.

We anticipate that the product delivered through this process would consist of (a) explicit recommendations for field protocols and sample sizes regarding collection of GSI data, (b) explicit recommendations for how GSI data might best be incorporated into ocean salmon management models and regimes, and (c) explicit proposals for further research that is needed to ensure effective incorporation of GSI data in management of ocean salmon fisheries.

#### **Steering Committee:**

Although the original idea for the workshops originated as a proposal from the bilateral *Committee for Scientific Cooperation (CSC)* to the Northern and Southern Restoration and Enhancement Funds, detailed development of

workshop format and objectives has been tasked to a Steering Committee. Steering Committee members are as follows:

Craig Busack, WDFW  
Al Cass, DFO  
John Clark, ADFG  
David Hankin, CSC (Chair)  
Robert Kope, NMFS  
Paul MacGillivray, PSC Commissioner, Canada  
Gary Morishima, QIN  
Dave Peacock, DFO  
Larry Rutter, PSC Commissioner, US

**Workshop Dates and Schedule for Deliverables:**

Workshop #1: Portland, OR      15-17 May 2007  
Workshop #2: Vancouver, BC    11-13 September 2007  
Final Workgroup Reports to Steering Committee: 15 October 2007  
Reports sent out for Peer Review: 15 November 2007  
Workshop Reports & Peer Reviews Submitted to PSC: 15 January 2008

**Workgroups:**

The Steering Committee has recommended the formation of four workgroups: Genetics, Logistics, Management, and Modeling/Sampling. Although these workgroups are structured around traditional disciplinary areas in Fisheries, proposed workgroup membership is designed to ensure cross-fertilization so that managers, geneticists and modelers communicate with one another. Each workgroup will be expected to contribute to the overall objective: to develop recommendations for integration of GSI information into a coordinated coast-wide management system. Steering Committee members would be assigned to specific workgroups to provide clarification, guidance and direction during deliberations.

The Steering Committee has developed a list of recommended participants for each of the four proposed workgroups and has identified candidate individuals who might serve as coordinators of the workgroups. Workgroup members would be expected to be present and working at both workshops (3 days duration each) and would be expected to make themselves available on a limited basis between workshops, as requested by workgroup coordinators. Workgroup coordinators, serving as compensated consultants, would be expected to prepare written workgroup products and to orally present the draft workgroup product on the first day of the second workshop. Initial lists of workgroup participants range in size from 11-14 per group plus 2 or 3 additional members from the Steering Committee. We anticipate that final workgroup sizes will be approximately 10 individuals, including Steering Committee members and workgroup Coordinators.

For the Genetics, Management, and Modeling/Sampling workgroups, the expected content of the final deliverable product to be produced by individual workgroups is not explicitly stated. Instead, workgroup products are intended to be guided by their response to a series of sample questions that have been posed by the Steering Committee to each workgroup. If workgroups address these questions, we expect that their reports will contribute to the overall thematic objective of the workshops. Note that some workgroups may wish to address questions that have been posed for other workgroups and that workgroups are expected to pose additional questions of their own construction.

For the Logistics work group, however, members of the Steering Committee have crafted a very explicit deliverable product:

To develop a proposal for development and implementation of a GSI infrastructure for coast-wide application of GSI for Chinook (and coho?) salmon for management of ocean fisheries which operates within a multi-jurisdictional environment and is capable of supporting (a) the level of resolution of stock identification required by harvest managers, and (b) an adequate turnaround time for sample analysis. (Included in this proposal would be a recommended GSI baseline, standardized protocols for data collection, analysis and reporting, database design and access, including costs and timelines for implementation).

### **Sample Questions to Focus and Guide Workgroup Discussions and Analyses**

#### **Genetics:**

- What level of stock resolution can be reasonably expected from genetic methods? What are the *intrinsic* possibilities and how do these possibilities depend on the number of loci examined. For example, without full parental genotyping (FPG) it appears impossible to use GSI to identify hatchery release groups and the "standard" microsatellite loci may not allow separation of closely related wild and hatchery stocks, closely related races, or fish that have the same genetic heritage but different rearing strategies (e.g., yearling vs fingerling releases). What is the level of classification (e.g., population?, "reporting groups"?) that can be expected from the current GAPS baseline. Will this level be sufficient for harvest management needs? If not, could level of classification be improved by modest augmentation of the GAPS baseline?
- What types of genetic markers are best suited to advance the overall workshop objective, and what are the states of development of techniques used for each marker type?



- What are the species-specific states of GSI baseline development and how standardized are collection and analysis protocols? What are the collection dates for data used for the baselines? What further effort is required to develop baselines that can be expected to support coastwide ocean salmon management at the level of resolution desired by managers? Do protocols provide for assessment of temporal stability of population markers, particularly for small populations?
- What are the significant differences between the use of mixture and individual fish assignment models to assign fish to their correct parent populations? How are assignment errors influenced by sample sizes, true stock proportions, # of loci examined? What are the magnitudes of errors assignment using the current 13 microsatellite standardized baseline for Chinook salmon? What methods can be employed to correct for assignment error?
- How might the potential performance, management value, and cost of the FPG concept best be explored?
- What are the advantages and disadvantages of SNPs versus microsatellites? Is it true that SNP analysis may reveal genes important for traits subject to natural selection? Do those have any value for management of ocean fisheries?

## **Logistics**

- What standardized protocols should be developed for collection of field samples? What are the logistics and costs of making field GSI collections?
- What are the sample processing issues that would be raised by widespread application of GSI methods to ocean salmon management? What turnaround times would be feasible (collection to reporting of GSI results)? What lab facilities are available or would be needed? What kind of throughput is feasible now and what are current lab costs? How might throughput and lab costs change over the next ten years? Should agencies plan on expanding the sizes of their own labs, or would it be more cost-effective to send samples to commercial labs for processing?
- What kind of data management system would be needed to maintain a coast wide GSI database of fishery management? What procedures would need to be established for data reporting, for access to archived samples, "voucher" samples, and setting up a standardized database system (as has been developed for the CWT system)?

## **Management**

- What are the basic management data that must be collected/estimated to support current management regimes? What more do we need than estimates of age- and fishery-specific impacts on specific stocks ?
- What are acceptable levels of error for management? What would be needed to develop standards for bilateral acceptance of GSI data?
- What additional information could be collected by GSI methods that is not currently available but could improve fishery management? For example, how could GSI-based information on sublegal stock composition in size-selective fisheries and stock composition in non-retention fisheries (e.g., Chinook retention only with coho release) be incorporated into management planning processes?
- What other potential uses might GSI-based information have? For example, parentage analysis could be used to assess reproductive performance of wild as compared to hatchery fish spawning in natural spawning streams; hatchery breeding programs might benefit from an ability to separate hatchery from wild fish, or spring from fall races; estimates of stock composition might be combined with estimates of exploitation rates derived from CWT experiments to estimate spawning escapements.
- What are the specific stocks that constrain ocean salmon management today, and what specific stocks are likely to do so in the future? What are the perceived sizes of these stocks? Are there closely-related hatchery stocks mixed with wild stocks that are constraints to ocean fisheries? On what basis will the new Canadian Conservation Units be managed?
- 
- Are in-season GSI-based adjustments to fisheries compatible with pre-season agreements and with achieving overall management objectives? How could GSI-based in-season adjustments to fisheries be designed to generate desired fishery impacts under the Pacific Salmon Treaty?

## **Modeling/Sampling**

- How might GSI data best be integrated with CWT data? For example, could the Methot (groundfish) stock synthesis model/mindset/approach somehow be applied to salmon management?
- Is it realistic to expect that GSI methods would be capable of generating the data required for cohort analysis (analogous to data that have been provided by the CWT system)? If so, what would be the required scale

and design of a coast-wide sampling program (as for CWTs) that might be needed for implementation of GSI;

- What are the likely sample size requirements to generate estimates of acceptable reliability for populations of interest assuming (a) perfect classification (no classification errors) or (b) imperfect classification (with stock-specific "mis-assignment probabilities" to be provided by genetics workgroup)
- How could GSI data improve our current CWT-based understanding of ocean distribution patterns of individual stocks? Based on CWT data, how much do these distributions change across years? How could new GSI ocean distribution data be easily incorporated into existing management models?

## **PROJECTED COSTS**

The Steering Committee anticipates that the direct cost of the two workshops will range between \$87,000 and \$102,000. Uncertainty in total cost in large part reflects uncertainty in projecting travel costs which will, in part, depend on the identity of individuals who agree to serve on workgroups. Agency (US, CA, tribal) participants will be expected to cover their travel costs, but travel costs will need to be reimbursed for non-agency (academic, retired) participants and possibly for a few agency participants unable to secure funding for attendance.

## **COORDINATOR DUTIES**

Workgroup Coordinators would not be primarily responsible for drafting the detailed proposals that we expect to come from workgroup efforts. Rather, the responsibility for preparing the substance of proposals for the workgroups would be placed squarely on the participants. Coordinators should have the following principal main functions:

- (1) maintain workgroup focus;
- (2) synthesize workgroup deliberations sufficiently to organize workgroup efforts and clarify assignments;
- (3) monitor progress on assignments, reminding/prodding authors as needed to get their parts done;
- (4) ensure that workgroup participants have a reasonable opportunity to review draft proposals and that authors make revisions as appropriate;
- (5) integrate drafting assignments into a cohesive workgroup report for presentation at the 2nd workshop;
- (6) deliver an oral presentation on workgroup progress at the 2nd workshop;
- (7) revise the workgroup report as appropriate in response to feedback received during the 2nd workshop.

## **Appendix A. Tentative Agenda for Workshop 1: 15-17 May, Portland, OR**

### **Workshop 1:**

#### **DAY 1:**

##### **Introduction to Two Workshop Structure, Approach (Steve Pennoyer, CSC):**

CSC involvement in GSI workshop proposal. First day overviews of management regimes, genetic methods. Why four workgroups? Tasks on Days 2 and 3; breaks, meals (20 min)

##### **Charge to Workshop Participants: What managers need to know (TBA).**

Issues that prompted the workshop and what we hope to achieve from it. Expert Panel Findings and Recommendations with regard to GSI and ocean salmon management. Overall objective of workshops: To develop recommendations for integration of GSI information into a coordinated coast-wide management system. Clear and immediate desire for approaches whereby GSI data might be used to complement CWT data, but also expecting/hoping for thinking "outside the box", e.g. hypothetical management systems that might rely almost exclusively on GSI and landings data, without CWT data. End product: a realistic, objective appraisal of how GSI can be used, now, and in the future, to improve ocean salmon management (30 min)

##### **Overview of Current Fishery Management Regimes and Management**

**Models (Gary Morishima, CTC):** ABM vs ISBM fisheries; Ocean vs terminal area fisheries management needs; CWT system; existing ocean Chinook salmon management models. Reliance on exploitation rates and connection with CWT program. Questions that cannot be answered with CWTs (e.g., stock composition of sublegal contacts/mortalities), but which might be answered with GSI techniques. Recognized GSI Issues (previously identified by EP report): accuracy of GSI for racial separation (e.g., Klamath fall vs spring); sample sizes required to estimate contributions from small natural stocks; GSI separation of hatchery & wild when derived from same source (1 hr)

**Break – 20 min**

##### **Review of ocean Chinook fisheries management. (Brian Riddell, DFO)**

Expectations for potential use of GSI; review of applications thus far. Constraints: ESU/individual populations vs Canadian CUs. "Weak natural stocks". (1 hr)

##### **Use of GSI in Near-Terminal Fisheries: Northern Boundary and**

**Transboundary Mgt under the PS Treaty (Sandy Johnston (DFO), Dave Peacock, Scott Kelly)** Need for and use of genetic methods and GSI for

management of Chinook and sockeye stocks. Importance of stock composition estimates. (30 min)

**Lunch – 1 hr**

**PM session:**

**Genetics - State of the Science (Paul Moran, NMFS).** Survey of genetic tools and approaches. What genetic markers are available and what are their relative merits? What kinds of information and analyses are managers getting from GSI now and what can they realistically expect in the future? Review of GAPS process, including current projects and baseline development. Discussion of GSI issues identified in Expert Panel report. (1 hr)

**Case Studies**

**Experiences with use of GSI in management of British Columbia Ocean Salmon Fisheries (TBA) 30 minutes.**

**CROOS:** (Michael Banks, OSU). Study design, estimated costs, concerns, what will be delivered, preliminary results. (30 min)

**Puget Sound and Columbia River Chinook Stocks:** (Ken Warheit, WDFW) - Desired level of resolution for GSI. What is the power of the GAPS baseline to identify fish from populations of interest? What would be required for adequate discrimination among stocks? (30 min)

**SEAK Chinook GSI (Lisa Seeb, ADFG) (30 min)**

**GSI applications for Fraser Sockeye: (Mike Lapointe, PSC) (30 min)**

\*\*\*\*\*

*Note: All listed durations for talks include 10 minutes for questions.*

**DAY 2:**

8 AM - Noon: Workgroup Breakouts

1 PM – 3 PM: Workgroup Breakouts

3 PM – 5 PM: Joint Workgroup Sessions – Coordinators make Preliminary Presentations & Lead Discussion of Issues

**DAY 3:**

8 AM – Noon: Workgroup Breakouts

1 PM – 3 PM: Workgroup Breakouts

3 PM – 5 PM: Workgroup Coordinators Meet with Steering Committee Members