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Agreement No. P0470007 Subject: CA SCORPIONFISH STOCK ASSESSMENT

## B. Executive Summary

Stock: This stock assessment pertains to the population of California scorpionfish (Scorpaena guttata) off southern California (Point Conception to the Mexico border). A single stock was assumed for the whole of southern California due to the consistency of results from initial sub-stock analysis and paucity of data for some regions.

Catches: The fisheries for California scorpionfish were divided into one recreational fishery and four commercial fisheries (hook and line, trawl, gillnet, and fish pot). Catches were obtained from published information for the period 1916-2004. Recreational catch in numbers was calculated based on the CPFV logbooks and scaling up based on a $80 \%$ assumed reporting rate and the ratio of CPFV catch to the total recreational catch estimated from the RecFIN data base. The catch in 1935 is assumed equal to the average of the catch for the years 1936-1940 and a linear trend is assumed to a catch of zero in 1916. Commercial catch in weight by method for 1969 to 2004 was taken from CFIS. Catch for 1928 to 1968 was taken from PFEL and catch from 1916 to 1927 was taken from CDFG Fish Bulletins. All catch before 1969 was assumed to be taken by hook and line. Catch from Mexican waters landed in Californian ports was excluded from the analysis. It was assumed that there is no discard mortality for this species.

| Recent California Scorpionfish Landings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| year | Hook and line <br> $(\mathrm{mt})$ | Fish pot <br> $(\mathrm{mt})$ |  | Gill net <br> $(\mathrm{mt})$ | Trawl <br> $(\mathrm{mt})$ | recreational <br> (thousands of fish) |
| 1990 | 31.1 | 1.0 | 6.2 | 0.8 | 341.1 |  |
| 1991 | 19.4 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 339.9 |  |
| 1992 | 21.2 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 141.1 |  |
| 1993 | 12.6 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 7.8 | 124.3 |  |
| 1994 | 27.6 | 7.1 | 1.9 | 13.3 | 170.0 |  |
| 1995 | 20.8 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 16.2 | 186.9 |  |
| 1996 | 18.6 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 13.0 | 252.9 |  |
| 1997 | 18.6 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 13.4 | 303.7 |  |
| 1998 | 29.5 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 16.7 | 244.8 |  |
| 1999 | 30.0 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 6.6 | 471.6 |  |
| 2000 | 11.0 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 349.6 |  |
| 2001 | 13.4 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 328.8 |  |
| 2002 | 9.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 180.2 |  |
| 2003 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 165.4 |  |
| 2004 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 140.1 |  |



Data and assessment: This is the first fishery evaluation for California scorpionfish. The statistical assessment model (SS2 version 1.18) was configured to estimate population characteristics for the period 1916-2004, with the initial state determined in an unexploited equilibrium. Data used in the model included commercial landings by method in weight, recreational landings in numbers of fish, a fishery dependent CPUE statistic determined from analysis of CPFV logbook trip data from 1980-1999, a fishery
independent index of abundance determined from trawl surveys carried out by the sanitation districts, and length-frequency data from the hook and line and trawl commercial fisheries, the recreational fishery, and the sanitation district trawl surveys. The model was sex-structured, used a Beverton-Holt stock-recruitment relationship with a steepness fixed at 0.7, estimated recruitment deviates for years 1966-2001, fixed M at 0.25 for both sexes, fixed the recruitment deviate standard deviation at 1.0 , fixed the length at age coefficient of variation at 0.05 for both sexes, used sex specific growth curves and length-weight relationships from the literature, used maturity information from the literature, used fecundity information from available data, estimated logistic selectivity curves for the recreation and the hook and line and trawl commercial methods. The gillnet and fish pot selectivities were set equal to the hook and line selectivity. Two time blocks of selectivities were estimated to accommodate changes in management regulations: recreational 1916-1999 and 2000-2004; commercial 1916-1998 and 19992004. Iterative reweighting was used to determine the length-frequency sample size and the standard deviations of the two indices of abundance.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discards | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Landings (mt) | 133 | 154 | 178 | 163 | 261 | 209 | 198 | 110 | 94 | 81 |
| ABC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84.9 | 84.9 |


| With sanitation survey |  | 0.482 | 0.455 | 0.435 | 0.471 | 0.383 | 0.418 | 0.420 | 0.530 | 0.587 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SPR | 0.129 | 0.155 | 0.180 | 0.153 | 0.254 | 0.185 | 0.175 | 0.098 | 0.085 | 0.071 |
| Exploitation rate | 530 | 580 | 629 | 663 | 691 | 636 | 612 | 623 | 704 | 774 |
| Summary (age 2+) biomass | 1444 | 1611 | 1687 | 1703 | 1688 | 1635 | 1743 | 1803 | 1848 | 1864 |
| Spawning stock biomass | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.10 |
| (cv) | 3025 | 2652 | 2223 | 3261 | 4660 | 3474 | 2103 | 1930 | 1968 | 1996 |
| Recruitment | 0.518 | 0.567 | 0.615 | 0.648 | 0.675 | 0.622 | 0.598 | 0.608 | 0.688 | 0.756 |
| Depletion level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.798 |  |
| (cv) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.10 |


| Without sanitation survey |  | 0.510 | 0.489 | 0.470 | 0.506 | 0.410 | 0.457 | 0.456 | 0.561 | 0.590 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SPR | 0.114 | 0.138 | 0.163 | 0.144 | 0.256 | 0.204 | 0.207 | 0.124 | 0.111 | 0.096 |
| Exploitation rate | 609 | 1801 | 1933 | 1894 | 1830 | 1646 | 1522 | 1405 | 1376 | 1358 |
| Summary (age 2+) biomass | 1676 | 1352 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spawning stock biomass | 609 | 738 | 771 | 788 | 700 | 631 | 564 | 557 | 557 | 563 |
| (cv) | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.09 |
| Recruitment | 3997 | 1984 | 1905 | 1915 | 1924 | 1893 | 1865 | 1831 | 1827 | 1827 |
| Depletion level | 0.623 | 0.695 | 0.755 | 0.788 | 0.805 | 0.715 | 0.645 | 0.577 | 0.569 | 0.569 |
| (cv) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.576 |  |

Unresolved problems and major uncertainties: The current status is sensitive to the inclusion of the sanitation index in the stock assessment; removing the sanitation index reduces the current biomass level. To match information content in the data, annual recruitment deviates were not estimated after 1996 when the sanitation district trawl survey was excluded from the analysis. The STAR Panel and STAT Team gave relative
probabilities to models including and excluding the sanitation index of $74 \%$ and $26 \%$, respectively. There is a large amount of variation in recruitment levels and recent recruitments are estimated to be substantially higher than average. Predictions of future biomass will be dependent on what recruitment level is assumed in the future. Projections presented in this report use average recruitment based on the Beverton-Holt stockrecruitment relationship.

Reference points: The following reference points were obtained from the two models considered.

Biological Reference Points

| Quantity | Include sanitation index | Exclude sanitation index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unfished spawning biomass ( $\mathrm{SB}_{0}$ ) | 1024 | 978 |
| Unfished summary (age 2+) biomass ( $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ ) | 2007 | 1918 |
| Unfished recruitment ( $\mathrm{R}_{0}$; age 0) | 2067 | 1975 |
| $\mathrm{SB}_{40 \%}$ (MSY proxy stock size $=0.4 \times \mathrm{SB}_{0}$ ) | 409 | 391 |
| Exploitation rate at $\mathrm{F}_{50 \%}$ proxy | 0.098 | 0.098 |
| $\mathrm{SB}_{\text {MSY }} / \mathrm{SB}_{0}$ | 0.253 | 0.257 |
| MSY | 127 | 121 |
| Exploitation rate at MSY | 0.161 | 0.160 |



Stock biomass: Biomass time series (summary biomass (age 2+), recruitment, and spawning depletion) for the two models are shown below.




Recruitment: In the assessment, recruitment was modeled using a Beverton-Holt stockrecruitment relationship, with steepness ( $h$ ) fixed at a value of 0.7 and recruitment variability $\left(\sigma_{r}\right)$ fixed at 1.0. Recruitment deviations were estimated for the period 19662001. The virgin recruitment parameter $\left(\mathrm{R}_{0}\right)$ was the key estimated parameter. The assessments showed evidence of several strong recruitments starting in 1984.



Exploitation status: Both assessments estimate the stock to be above the MSY proxy. In addition, recent exploitation rates have been below or near the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ proxy (see phaseplots under Reference Points above). Recent landings have been less than the calculated ABC , based on harvesting at a $\mathrm{F}_{50 \%}$ rate.

Management performance: This is the first stock assessment of California scorpionfish off southern California.

Prior to the adoption of the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan (FMP) in 1982, California scorpionfish (Scorpaena guttata) was managed through a regulatory process that included the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) along with either the California State Legislature or the Fish and Game Commission (FGC) depending on the sector (recreation or commercial) and fishery. With implementation of the Pacific Coast Groundfish FMP, California scorpionfish came under the management authority of the Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC), being incorporated, along with all genera and species of the family Scorpaenidae, into a federal rockfish classification and managed as part of "Remaining Rockfish" under the larger heading of "Other Rockfish" (PFMC 2004; PFMC 2002, Tables 31-39). California scorpionfish continued to be managed through federal regulations for "Remaining Rockfish" from 1983-1996, although the larger heading "Other Rockfish" was discontinued in 1992 and replaced with "Sebastes complex" (PFMC 2002, Tables 40-47; March 1, 1999, 64 FR 9936).

Under the Pacific Coast Groundfish FMP, groundfish species and species groups were managed using estimates of Allowable Biological Catch (ABC) (early documentation refers to Maximum Sustainable Yield, but now referenced as ABC). The ABCs provided by the PFMC's Groundfish Management Team (GMT) in the 1980's were based on an analysis of commercial landings from the 1960's and 1970’s. For this analysis, most of the rockfishes were lumped into one large group. This analysis indicated that the landings for rockfish in the Monterey-Conception area were at or near ABC levels (PFMC 1993). In the 1990's, as bocaccio and other rockfish were assessed, the ABCs associated with these species were removed from this larger "Sebastes complex" group. Starting in 1992, some of the rockfish species and species groups began to be managed using harvest guidelines (in addition to ABCs) followed in 1999 by the use of Optimum Yields (OY).

To keep landings within these adopted harvest targets, the Pacific Coast Groundfish FMP provided the Council with a variety of management tools including area closures, season closures, gear restrictions, and, for the commercial sector, cumulative limits (generally for two-month periods). With the implementation of a federal groundfish restricted access program in 1994, allocations of total catch and cumulative limits began to be specifically set for open access (including most of California's commercial fisheries that target California scorpionfish in Southern California) and limited entry fisheries (PFMC 2002; 2004).

During most of this time frame, management also centered on the commercial groundfish sector primarily because harvest from the recreational sector was considerably smaller than that from the commercial sector. This approach began to change in the later 1990’s as commercial landings decreased and recreational harvest became a greater proportion of the available harvest. For the "Sebastes complex", an estimate of the recreational harvest began to be included in the ABC tables starting in 1997 (PFMC 2002, Tables 48).

Also beginning in 1997, the "Remaining Rockfish" group was separated into two groups: "Other Rockfish" which contained those species, like California scorpionfish, with no quantifiable assessment (and whose OY was calculated as 0.5 of the ABC); and "Remaining Rockfish" which contained species that had been assessed with less rigorous methods than a stock assessment (and whose OY was calculated as 0.75 of the ABC) (PFMC 2002, Tables 48-53; March 1,1999, 64 FR 9935-9936). Therefore, beginning in 1997, California scorpionfish was managed as part of the Sebastes complex-south, "Other Rockfish" category. (Sebastes complex-south included the Eureka, Monterey, and Conception areas while Sebastes complex-north included the Vancouver and Columbia areas.)

The PFMC's rockfish management structure changed significantly in 2000 with the replacement of the Sebastes complex -north and -south areas with Minor Rockfish North (now covering the Vancouver, Columbia, and Eureka areas) and Minor Rockfish South (now Monterey and Conception areas only). The OY for these two groups (which continued to be calculated as 0.50 of the ABC) was further divided (between north and south of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lat.) into nearshore, shelf, and slope rockfish categories with allocations set for Limited Entry and Open Access fisheries within each of these three categories (January 4, 2000, 65 FR 221; PFMC 2002, Tables 54-55). Species were parceled into these new categories depending on primary catch depths and geographical distribution. Because of its depth range and southern distribution, California scorpionfish was included within the Minor Rockfish South, "Other Rockfish" ABC and managed under the south of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N. Lat. nearshore rockfish OY and trip limits (PFMC 2002, Table 29).

Along with the above changes, a North/South management line at $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lat. was established in 2000 with separate management specifications adopted for the areas north and south of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lat. and with the southern area divided into two separate management areas at Point Lopez, $36^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ N. Lat. This was followed in 2001 with the implementation of two distinct rockfish and lingcod management areas south of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lat. (along with separate management specifications): the northern rockfish and lingcod management area between $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N. Lat. and Point Conception ( $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ N. Lat.); and the southern rockfish and lingcod management area between Point Conception and the U.S.Mexico border. These were later revised starting in 2004 with the northern rockfish and lingcod management area redefined as ocean waters from the Oregon - California border ( $42^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lat.) to $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lat., the central rockfish and lingcod management area defined as ocean waters from $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N. Lat. to Point Conception, and the southern rockfish and management area continuing to be defined as ocean waters from Point Conception to the U.S.-Mexico border.

Cowcod Conservation Areas (CCAs) also were established in 2001 to reduce fishing effort for cowcod rockfish (PFMC 2002, Table 29). These areas were closed to all recreational and commercial fishing for groundfish except for recreational and
commercial fishing for minor nearshore rockfish ${ }^{1}$ (including California scorpionfish) within waters less than 20 fathoms. In addition, Rockfish Conservation Areas (RCAs) were established in 2003 to allow for the closure of specific area and depth ranges along the West Coast for the purpose of reducing fishing effort for shelf and slope rockfish. The California Rockfish Conservation Area (CRCA) was defined as those ocean waters south $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lat. to the U.S.-Mexico border with different depth zones specified for the areas north and south of Pt. Reyes ( $37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ 'N. Lat.).

During the late 1990's and early 2000's, major changes also occurred in the way that California managed its nearshore fishery. The Marine Life Management Act (MLMA), which was passed in 1998 by the California Legislature and enacted in 1999, required that the FGC adopt an FMP for nearshore finfish. It also gave authority to the FGC to regulate commercial and recreational nearshore fisheries through FMPs and provided broad authority to adopt regulations for the nearshore fishery during the time prior to adoption of the nearshore finfish FMP. Within this legislation, the Legislature also included commercial size limits for nine nearshore species including California scorpionfish ( 10 -inch minimum size) and a requirement that commercial fishermen landing these nine nearshore species possess a nearshore permit.

Following adoption of the Nearshore FMP and accompanying regulations by the FGC in fall of 2002, the FGC adopted regulations in November 2002 which established of a set of marine reserves around the Channel Islands in Southern California (which became effective April 2003) and adopted a nearshore restricted access program in December 2002 (which included the establishment of a Deeper Nearshore Permit) to be effective starting in the 2003 fishing year.

Although the Nearshore FMP provided for the management of the nearshore rockfish and California scorpionfish, management authority for these species continued to reside with the Council. Even so, for the 2003 and subsequent fishery seasons, the State provided recommendations to the Council specific to the nearshore species that followed the directives set out in the Nearshore FMP. These recommendations, which the Council incorporated into the 2003 management specifications, included a recalculated OY for Minor Rockfish South - Nearshore, division of the Minor Rockfish South - Nearshore into three groups (shallow nearshore rockfish; deeper nearshore rockfish; and California scorpionfish), and specific harvest targets and recreational and commercial allocations for each of these groups. This was followed in 2004 with the adoption of specific management measures for each of the three management areas: the California-Oregon border to $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N. Lat.; $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N. Lat. to Point Conception ( $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ N. Lat.); and Point Conception to the U.S.-Mexico border.

Also, since the enactment of the MLMA, the Council and State in a coordinated effort developed and adopted various management specifications in 1999-2004 to keep harvest within the harvest targets, including seasonal and area closures (e.g. the CCAs; a closure of Cordell Banks to specific fishing), depth restrictions, minimum size limits, and bag

[^0]limits to regulate the recreational fishery and license and permit regulations, finfish trap permits, gear restrictions, seasonal and area closures (e.g. the RCAs and CCAs; a closure of Cordell Banks to specific fishing), depth restrictions, trip limits, and minimum size limits to regulate the commercial fishery.

Summary of Federal and California Regulations for California Scorpionfish for the Area South of Point Conception ( $34^{\circ} 27$ N. lat.) from 1999-2004.

| Recreational |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1999 | Fishing open January - December at all depths. |
| 2000 | 10 " minimum size limit. <br> January-February closure for rockfishes including California scorpionfish. |
| 2001 | January - February, November - December fishing in waters < 20 fathoms; <br> March-October fishing open at all depths. |
| 2002 | January - February, November - December closure for rockfishes including <br> California scorpionfish; March-June fishing open at all depths; July - October <br> fishing in waters <20 fathoms. |
| 2003 | Bag limit changed from 10 fish to 5 fish. <br> January - February fishing only in waters < 20 fathoms; March - June fishing <br> open at all depths; July - August fishing in waters < 20 fathoms; September - <br> November fishing in waters < 30 fathoms; December closure for rockfishes <br> including California scorpionfish. |
| 2004 | January - February, May - October closure for rockfishes including California <br> scorpionfish; March - April, November - December open in waters < 60 <br> fathoms. |
| Commercial | 10" minimum size limit with exemption for fish taken in trawl nets and landed <br> dead. <br> Fishermen landing California scorpionfish required to possess a Nearshore Permit. <br> Sebastes Complex -South (which includes California scorpionfish) open January - <br> December. <br> Limits under Sebastes Complex -South provided in Federal Register (FR) for all <br> open access gear (revised at 64 FR 54786, October 8, 1999). <br> A limit of 300 pounds of groundfish per trip also set for open access exempted <br> trawl gear engaged in fishing for pink shrimp, spot and ridgeback prawns, <br> California halibut, and sea cucumbers; all limits and closures adopted for open <br> access gear also apply and are counted toward the groundfish limit (PFMC <br> 2002). |
| 2000 | For area south of 36º N. Lat., closed January - February; in area between 40º N. <br> Lat. and 36 N. Lat., closed March - April. <br> Limits under Minor Rockfish South - Nearshore provided in Table 5 for all open <br> access gear (revised at 65 FR 66655, November 7, 2000) (also provided in <br> PFMC 2002, Table 29.d). <br> A limit of 300 pounds of groundfish per trip also set for open access exempted |


|  | trawl gear engaged in fishing for spot and ridgeback prawns, California halibut, and sea cucumbers; all limits and closures adopted for open access gear (Table 29.d) also apply and are counted toward the groundfish limit; more specific limits set for exempted trawl gear engaged in fishing for pink shrimp (PFMC 2002). |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | For area south of $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$, January - February fishing in waters < 20 fathoms; March - December open at all depths. <br> Limits under Minor Rockfish South - Nearshore provided in Table 5 for all open access gear (revised at 66 FR 54721, October 5, 2001) (also provided in PFMC 2002, Table 29.h). <br> A limit of 300 pounds of groundfish per trip also set for open access exempted trawl gear engaged in fishing for spot and ridgeback prawns, California halibut, and sea cucumbers; all limits and closures adopted for open access gear (Table 29.h) also apply and are counted toward the groundfish limit; more specific limits set for exempted trawl gear engaged in fishing for pink shrimp (PFMC 2002). |
| 2002 | For area south of $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$, closed January - February; March - June open at all depths; July - August open in waters < 20 fathoms; closed September December. <br> Limits under Minor Rockfish South - Nearshore provided in Table 5 for all open access gear (revised at 67 FR 70018, November 20, 2002). <br> A limit of 300 pounds of groundfish per trip also set for open access exempted trawl gear engaged in fishing for spot and ridgeback prawns, California halibut, and sea cucumbers; all limits and closures adopted for open access gear (Table 5) also apply and are counted toward the groundfish limit; more specific limits set for exempted trawl gear engaged in fishing for pink shrimp (revised at 67 FR 10490, March 7, 2002). |
| 2003 | For California scorpionfish, closed January - April, September - December; open at all depths May - August. <br> Limits under Minor Rockfish South - Nearshore, California scorpionfish provided in Table 5 (South) for all open access gears (revised at 68 FR 40187, July 7, 2003). <br> Trip limits and RCAs for groundfish retained in the pink shrimp, ridgeback prawns, California halibut, and sea cucumber fisheries also provided in Table 5 (South). <br> Fishermen using open access exempted trawl gear and taking nearshore species covered by the Nearshore Permit (including California scorpionfish) now required to have a Nearshore Fishery Bycatch Permit and now limited to 50 pounds per day of these select nearshore species. All limits and closures adopted for open access gear in Table (5) also apply. |
| 2004 | For California scorpionfish, closed January - February; open at all depths March December. <br> Limits under Minor Rockfish South - Nearshore, California scorpionfish provided in Table 5 (South) for all open access gears (revised at 69 FR 58916, October 6, 2004). <br> Trip limits and RCAs for groundfish retained in the pink shrimp, ridgeback prawns, California halibut, and sea cucumber fisheries also provided in Table 5 |


|  | (South). <br> Fishermen taking nearshore species covered by the Nearshore Permit (including <br> California scorpionfish) under the Nearshore Fishery Bycatch Permit limited to <br> 50 pounds per day of these select nearshore species. All limits and closures <br> adopted for open access gear in Table (5) also apply. |
| :--- | :--- |

ABCs, OYS, and Harvest Targets in Metric Tons for Nearshore Minor Rockfish South and California Scorpionfish for 1999-2006.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species Group | ABC | OY | Recreational $^{1}$ | Commercial $^{1}$ |
| Sebastes complex - south |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{4 , 7 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 5}$ | ----- |
| Other Rockfish | $\mathbf{3 , 6 0 3}$ | ----- | ---- | ----- |


|  | 2000 |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species Group | ABC | OY | Recreational ${ }^{1}$ | Commercial ${ }^{1}$ | ABC | OY | Rec. ${ }^{1}$ | Comm. ${ }^{1}$ | ABC | OY | Rec. ${ }^{1}$ | Comm. ${ }^{1}$ |
| Minor Rockfish South ${ }^{3}$ | 3,457 | 1,899 | 571 | 1,328 | 3,556 | 2,040 | 950 | 1,090 | 3,506 | 2,015 | 732 | 1,283 |
| Other Rockfish | 2,702 | ---- | ----- | ----- | 2,702 | -- | ----- | ----- | 2,652 | --- | ----- | - |
| Nearshore |  | 680 | 379 | 301 |  | 662 | 550 | 112 |  | 662 | 532 | 130 |


|  |  | 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  |  | 2005/2006 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species Group | ABC | OY | Recreational ${ }^{1}$ | Commercial ${ }^{1}$ | ABC | $\mathrm{OY}^{4}$ | Rec. ${ }^{1}$ | Comm. ${ }^{1}$ | ABC | $\mathrm{OY}^{4}$ | Rec. ${ }^{1}$ | Comm. ${ }^{1}$ |
| Minor Rockfish South ${ }^{3}$ | 3,506 | 1,894 | 493 | 1,401 | 3,412 | 1,968 | 435 | 1,390 | 3,412 | 1,968 | 443 | 1,390 |
| Other Rockfish | 2,652 | ----- | ----- | ----- | 2,558 | --- | ----- | ----- | 2,558 | --- | --- | -- |
| Nearshore ${ }^{4,5,6}$ |  | 541 | 433 | 108 |  | 615 | 375 | 97 |  | 615 | 383 | 97 |
| Shallow Nearshore |  | 104.8 | 66 | 38.8 |  | 104.8 | 66 | 38.8 |  | ---- | ----- | ---- |
| Deeper Nearshore ${ }^{6}$ |  | 351.1 | 303.1 | 48 |  | 282.3 | 245.1 | 37.2 |  | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| California Scorpionfish |  | 84.9 | 63.9 | 21 |  | 84.9 | 63.9 | 21 |  | ----- | ----- | ----- |

Note:

1. Unbolded recreational values are either recreational estimates or harvest targets; unbolded commercial values are harvest targets while bolded values are OYs.
2. Sebastes complex -south covers the Eureka, Monterey, and Conception areas.
3. Minor Rockfish South covers only the Monterey and Conception areas with the boundary between Minor Rockfish North and Minor Rockfish South at $40^{\circ} 30$ N. lat.
4. The Nearshore Minor Rockfish South OY of 615 mt for 2004-2006 is currently under review.
5. The Nearshore Minor Rockfish South northern boundary is $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N. lat.
6. Starting in 2004, Nearshore and Deeper Nearshore Rockfish OYs and harvest targets do not include black rockfish.

## Commercial

Limits on set line length established Gill nets not allowed within 30 fm

## Recreational

Bag limit 10 fish, within the 15 fish bag limit No gear restrictions

Marine Resources Protection Act (MRPA) established four small reserves
Sebastes complex split coastwide into limited entry (LE) and open access (OA)
LE trip limits $=80,000$ pounds per month OA trip limits $=40,000$ pounds per month Gill nets not allowed within 3 miles of shore

Additional limitations for set lines established No set line fishing on weekends north of Santa Cruz
Fishing restricted in Districts 12 \& 13

Finfish trap permit required Limits on number of traps established Hook and line limited to 150 hooks within 1 mile of shore

19 | Bag limit 10 fish, within the 15 fish bag limit |
| :--- |
| 1994 |

Marine Resources Protect
Sebastes complex split coastwide into limited
entry (LE) and open access (OA)
LE trip limits $=80,000$ pounds per month
OA trip limits $=40,000$ pounds per month
Gill nets not allowed within 3 miles of shore


Nearshore Fishery Permit (NFP) required NFP holders $=1,110$
10-inch size limit established
OA trip limits $=2,000$ pounds per month south of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Latitude

2000
Sebastes complex split into nearshore, shelf, and slope
CA scorvionfish included in nearshore


Cowcod Conservation Area (CCA) established Depth restrictions adopted

NFP moratorium established
NFP holders $=750$
2002
Nearshore Fishery Management Plan adopted


Forecasts: The population assessments were projected forward under the default PFMC and California harvest policies (i.e. F50\% with 40:10 and 60:20 reductions, respectively). All scenarios assume that catch in 2005 and 2006 is equal to the catch in 2004. Projections for the commercial fishery are based on landed weights, while recreational projections are based on catch in numbers of fish converted to weight, resulting in slightly different trajectories for the two sectors due to variations in recent recruitment. Different allocation of catch among fisheries than presented below will produce somewhat different biomass trajectories because of different selectivities for the two sectors. Note: exploitation rates are in terms of the oldest aged fish in the model (Age 25 plus group) and not summary biomass.

With Sanitation Survey

| Year | 40:10 | Biomass <br> Age 2+ | Spawning <br> Biomass | Depletion |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | | Commercial |
| :--- |
| catch $(\mathrm{mt})$ | | Commercial |
| :--- |
| harvest rate | | Sport Catch |
| :--- |
| $(\mathrm{mt})$ |

Without Sanitation Survey

| Year | 40:10 | Biomass <br> Age 2+ | Spawning <br> Biomass | Depletion | Commercial <br> catch $(\mathrm{mt})$ | Commercial <br> harvest rate | Sport Catch <br> $(\mathrm{mt})$ | Sport Harvest <br> Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| 2005 | NA | 1352 | 563 | 0.58 | 5.2 | 0.007 | 82.0 | 0.151 |  |



Decision table: Uncertainty in the analysis is represented by including and excluding the sanitation districts trawl survey. Management action alternatives considered were: (1) harvesting using the $40: 20$ rule based on the assessment including the sanitation districts trawl survey; (2) harvesting using the 60:20 rule based on the assessment including the sanitation districts trawl survey; and (3) harvesting using catch in 2004. All scenarios assume that catch in 2005 and 2006 is equal to the catch in 2004. Projections for the commercial fishery are based on landed weights, while recreational projections are based on catch in numbers of fish. Results are presented below.

|  |  | Recreational catch (thousands of fish) | Commercial catch (mt) | State of nature |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | With Sanitation More likely ( $p=0.74$ ) |  | Without Sanitation less likely ( $p=0.26$ ) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management Action | Year |  |  | Spawning <br> Biomass | Depletion | Spawning <br> Biomass | Depletion |
| 40-10 | 2005 | 140 | 5 | 816 | 0.80 | 563 | 0.58 |
|  | 2006 | 140 | 5 | 827 | 0.81 | 566 | 0.58 |
|  | 2007 | 361 | 13 | 818 | 0.80 | 566 | 0.58 |
|  | 2008 | 308 | 11 | 703 | 0.69 | 478 | 0.49 |
|  | 2009 | 267 | 10 | 623 | 0.61 | 425 | 0.43 |
|  | 2010 | 240 | 9 | 572 | 0.56 | 397 | 0.41 |
|  | 2011 | 222 | 8 | 541 | 0.53 | 385 | 0.39 |
|  | 2012 | 211 | 8 | 520 | 0.51 | 380 | 0.39 |


|  | 2013 | 203 | 8 | 505 | 0.49 | 379 | 0.39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 198 | 7 | 494 | 0.48 | 378 | 0.39 |
|  | 2015 | 194 | 7 | 485 | 0.47 | 378 | 0.39 |
|  | 2016 | 191 | 7 | 478 | 0.47 | 379 | 0.39 |
|  | 2017 |  |  | 472 | 0.46 | 380 | 0.39 |
| 60-20 | 2005 | 140 | 5 | 816 | 0.80 | 563 | 0.58 |
|  | 2006 | 140 | 5 | 827 | 0.81 | 566 | 0.58 |
|  | 2007 | 361 | 13 | 818 | 0.80 | 566 | 0.58 |
|  | 2008 | 308 | 11 | 703 | 0.69 | 478 | 0.49 |
|  | 2009 | 267 | 10 | 623 | 0.61 | 425 | 0.43 |
|  | 2010 | 231 | 9 | 572 | 0.56 | 397 | 0.41 |
|  | 2011 | 209 | 8 | 544 | 0.53 | 388 | 0.40 |
|  | 2012 | 197 | 7 | 528 | 0.52 | 387 | 0.40 |
|  | 2013 | 189 | 7 | 517 | 0.51 | 389 | 0.40 |
|  | 2014 | 184 | 7 | 510 | 0.50 | 392 | 0.40 |
|  | 2015 | 180 | 7 | 504 | 0.49 | 395 | 0.40 |
|  | 2016 | 177 | 7 | 499 | 0.49 | 398 | 0.41 |
|  | 2017 |  |  | 495 | 0.48 | 401 | 0.41 |
| Current catch | 2005 | 140 | 5 | 816 | 0.80 | 563 | 0.58 |
|  | 2006 | 140 | 5 | 827 | 0.81 | 566 | 0.58 |
|  | 2007 | 140 | 5 | 818 | 0.80 | 566 | 0.58 |
|  | 2008 | 140 | 5 | 785 | 0.78 | 565 | 0.58 |
|  | 2009 | 140 | 5 | 762 | 0.76 | 563 | 0.58 |
|  | 2010 | 140 | 5 | 739 | 0.74 | 561 | 0.57 |
|  | 2011 | 140 | 5 | 717 | 0.71 | 559 | 0.57 |
|  | 2012 | 140 | 5 | 697 | 0.69 | 557 | 0.57 |
|  | 2013 | 140 | 5 | 679 | 0.68 | 555 | 0.57 |
|  | 2014 | 140 | 5 | 663 | 0.66 | 553 | 0.57 |
|  | 2015 | 140 | 5 | 649 | 0.65 | 551 | 0.56 |
|  | 2016 | 140 | 5 | 637 | 0.63 | 549 | 0.56 |
|  | 2017 |  |  | 626 | 0.62 | 548 | 0.56 |

Regional management: The range of California scorpionfish is restricted to southern California. A substantial, but unknown, proportion of the stock is in Mexican waters. Initial analysis conducted on individual sub-stocks showed that similar results are obtained for the nearshore stocks along the U.S. mainland coast. This is collaborated by the similarity in the CPUE and sanitation abundances indices for the nearshore stocks. The sum of the individual sub-stock assessments was similar to the single southern California assessment. However, the individual sub-stock assessments indicated that the exploitation rates are lower in the offshore areas. Unfortunately, there is limited data for the offshore areas. Regional management would require obtaining length-frequency data by CDFG block to allow stock assessments by region. In addition, more information on the site fidelity of California scorpionfish from tagging data would be needed to determine the appropriateness of regional management.

Research and data needs: Differences in growth rates between the sexes may imply that the fisheries have different impacts on the sexes. Sex-specific sampling of the data (e.g. lengthfrequencies) would help refine the model and identify the different impacts on the sexes. There is only limited information on the stock structure and a large proportion of the stock resides in Mexican waters. Extensive tagging studies would help define the stock structure, evaluate the need for local scale assessments, and determine the impact of the Mexican component of the
stock. Catches from the Mexican fisheries would also be beneficial. The growth data are based on limited sampling and an updated aging study may improve the assessment.

## C. Introduction

## 1. Description

California scorpionfish (Scorpaena guttata), also known locally as sculpin, is a generally benthic species found from central California to the Gulf of California between the inter-tidal and about 170 m (Eschmeyer et al., 1983; Love et al., 1987). It generally inhabits rocky reefs, but in certain areas and seasons it aggregates over sandy or muddy substrate (Frey, 1971; Love et al., 1987). Catch rate analysis and tagging studies show that most, but not all, California scorpionfish migrate to deeper water to spawn during May-September (Love et al., 1987). Tagging data suggest that they return to the same spawning site (Love et al. 1987), but information is not available on non-spawning season site fidelity. California scorpionfish are quite mobile and may not be permanently tied to a particular reef (Love et al. 1987). The species feeds on a wide variety of foods, including crabs, fishes, octopi, isopods and shrimp, but juvenile Cancer crabs are the most important prey (Limbaugh, 1955; Love et al., 1987).

## 2. Important life history characteristics

Love et al. (1987) provide a summary of the biology of California scorpionfish. California scorpionfish spawn from May through August, peaking in July (Love et al. 1987). The species is oviparous, producing floating, gelatinous egg masses in which the eggs are embedded in a single layer (Orton 1955). California scorpionfish utilize the "explosive breeding assemblage" reproductive mode in which fish migrate to, and aggregate at traditional spawning sites for brief periods (Love et al. 1987) and it is believed that spawning takes place just before, and perhaps after dawn, in the water column (Love et al. 1987). These spawning aggregations have been targeted by fishermen. Little is known about California scorpionfish larvae. Few larvae have been taken in ichthyoplankton surveys off southern California (Moser et al. 1993). Larvae are more abundant in surveys conducted off northern Baja California, Mexico (Moser et al. 1993). Few California scorpionfish are mature at 1 year of age, but over $50 \%$ are mature by age two and most are mature by age three (Love et al. 1987).

Males and females show different growth rates, with females growing to a larger size than males, and the sexes exhibit different length-weight relationships (Love et al. 1987).

Scorpionfish are very resistant to hooking mortality and have shown survival under extreme conditions. Therefore, for the purpose of this assessment, discard mortality is assumed to be negligible.

Like other species in the genus Scorpaena, California scorpionfish produce a toxin in their dorsal, anal, and pelvic spines, which produces intense, painful wounds (Love et al. 1987).

## 3. Fishery

California scorpionfish comprise a minor part of the Californian sport and commercial fisheries (Love et al., 1987). Some commercial passenger fishing vessels (CPFV) operators reportedly target California scorpionfish spawning aggregations during spring and summer (Love et al. 1987), and also target California scorpionfish in the winter when other species are not available. Historically, California scorpionfish were taken commercially by hook and line and, occasionally, by round haul nets (Daugherty, 1949). More recently, commercial bottom longlines have been used to target spawning aggregations offshore of Long Beach (Love et al. 1987). Since the early 1990s, trawl catch has been a substantial component of the commercial catch. Commercial landings have fluctuated substantially over time, which could, in part, be due to changes in targeting and El Niño events (Love et al. 1987). A high proportion of the catch landed in California during the 1960s and 1970s was taken from Mexican waters. In recent years, most of the catch has come from around the Los Angeles region. In general, the majority of the commercial catch has come from the Los Angeles region, except in the 1960s and 1970s when the majority of the catch came from the San Diego region and Mexican waters.

The CPFV effort has remained relatively constant over a long period (1959-1998; Dotson and Charter, 2003). However, there appears to be a shift in effort towards less utilized species, such as California scorpionfish, over the past decade (Dotson and Charter, 2003).

## 4. Management (and assessment)

No previous assessments have been carried out for California scorpionfish off southern California. Most previous work has focused on the biology and behavior of scorpionfish or the description and analysis of catch and catch rates (e.g. Love et al, 1987 and references therein).

Prior to the adoption of the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan (FMP) in 1982, California scorpionfish (Scorpaena guttata) was managed through a regulatory process that included the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) along with either the California State Legislature or the Fish and Game Commission (FGC) depending on the sector (recreation or commercial) and fishery. With implementation of the Pacific Coast Groundfish FMP, California scorpionfish came under the management authority of the Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC), being incorporated, along with all genera and species of the family Scorpaenidae, into a federal rockfish classification and managed as part of "Remaining Rockfish" under the larger heading of "Other Rockfish" (PFMC 2004; PFMC 2002, Tables 3139). California scorpionfish continued to be managed through federal regulations for "Remaining Rockfish" from 1983-1996, although the larger heading "Other Rockfish" was discontinued in 1992 and replaced with "Sebastes complex" (PFMC 2002, Tables 40-47; March 1, 1999, 64 FR 9936).

Under the Pacific Coast Groundfish FMP, groundfish species and species groups were managed using estimates of Allowable Biological Catch (ABC) (early documentation refers to Maximum Sustainable Yield, but now referenced as ABC). The ABCs provided by the PFMC’s Groundfish Management Team (GMT) in the 1980's were based on an analysis of commercial landings from the 1960's and 1970's. For this analysis, most of the rockfishes were lumped into one large group. This analysis indicated that the landings for rockfish in the Monterey-Conception area were at or near ABC levels (PFMC 1993). In the 1990's, as bocaccio and other rockfish were assessed, the ABCs associated with these species were removed from this larger "Sebastes complex" group. Starting in 1992, some of the rockfish species and species groups began to be
managed using harvest guidelines (in addition to ABCs) followed in 1999 by the use of Optimum Yields (OY).

To keep landings within these adopted harvest targets, the Pacific Coast Groundfish FMP provided the Council with a variety of management tools including area closures, season closures, gear restrictions, and, for the commercial sector, cumulative limits (generally for two-month periods). With the implementation of a federal groundfish restricted access program in 1994, allocations of total catch and cumulative limits began to be specifically set for open access (including most of California's commercial fisheries that target California scorpionfish in Southern California) and limited entry fisheries (PFMC 2002; 2004).

During most of this time frame, management also centered on the commercial groundfish sector primarily because harvest from the recreational sector was considerably smaller than that from the commercial sector. This approach began to change in the later 1990's as commercial landings decreased and recreational harvest became a greater proportion of the available harvest. For the "Sebastes complex", an estimate of the recreational harvest began to be included in the ABC tables starting in 1997 (PFMC 2002, Tables 48).

Also beginning in 1997, the "Remaining Rockfish" group was separated into two groups: "Other Rockfish" which contained those species, like California scorpionfish, with no quantifiable assessment (and whose OY was calculated as 0.5 of the ABC); and "Remaining Rockfish" which contained species that had been assessed with less rigorous methods than a stock assessment (and whose OY was calculated as 0.75 of the ABC) (PFMC 2002, Tables 48-53; March 1,1999, 64 FR 9935-9936). Therefore, beginning in 1997, California scorpionfish was managed as part of the Sebastes complex-south, "Other Rockfish" category. (Sebastes complex-south included the Eureka, Monterey, and Conception areas while Sebastes complex-north included the Vancouver and Columbia areas.)

The PFMC's rockfish management structure changed significantly in 2000 with the replacement of the Sebastes complex -north and -south areas with Minor Rockfish North (now covering the Vancouver, Columbia, and Eureka areas) and Minor Rockfish South (now Monterey and Conception areas only). The OY for these two groups (which continued to be calculated as 0.50 of the ABC) was further divided (between north and south of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lat.) into nearshore, shelf, and slope rockfish categories with allocations set for Limited Entry and Open Access fisheries within each of these three categories (January 4, 2000, 65 FR 221; PFMC 2002, Tables 54-55). Species were parceled into these new categories depending on primary catch depths and geographical distribution. Because of its depth range and southern distribution, California scorpionfish was included within the Minor Rockfish South, "Other Rockfish" ABC and managed under the south of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N. Lat. nearshore rockfish OY and trip limits (PFMC 2002, Table 29).

Along with the above changes, a North/South management line at $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lat. was established in 2000 with separate management specifications adopted for the areas north and south of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N . Lat. and with the southern area divided into two separate management areas at Point Lopez, $36^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ N. Lat. This was followed in 2001 with the implementation of two distinct rockfish and lingcod management areas south of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lat. (along with separate management specifications): the northern rockfish and lingcod management area between $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lat. and Point Conception ( $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ N. Lat.); and the southern rockfish and lingcod management area between Point Conception and the U.S.-Mexico border. These were later revised starting in 2004 with the northern rockfish and lingcod management area redefined as ocean waters from the

Oregon - California border ( $42^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lat.) to $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lat., the central rockfish and lingcod management area defined as ocean waters from $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N. Lat. to Point Conception, and the southern rockfish and management area continuing to be defined as ocean waters from Point Conception to the U.S.-Mexico border.

Cowcod Conservation Areas (CCAs) also were established in 2001 to reduce fishing effort for cowcod rockfish (PFMC 2002, Table 29). These areas were closed to all recreational and commercial fishing for groundfish except for recreational and commercial fishing for minor nearshore rockfish ${ }^{2}$ (including California scorpionfish) within waters less than 20 fathoms. In addition, Rockfish Conservation Areas (RCAs) were established in 2003 to allow for the closure of specific area and depth ranges along the West Coast for the purpose of reducing fishing effort for shelf and slope rockfish. The California Rockfish Conservation Area (CRCA) was defined as those ocean waters south $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N. Lat. to the U.S.-Mexico border with different depth zones specified for the areas north and south of Pt. Reyes ( $37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. Lat.).

During the late 1990’s and early 2000's, major changes also occurred in the way that California managed its nearshore fishery. The Marine Life Management Act (MLMA), which was passed in 1998 by the California Legislature and enacted in 1999, required that the FGC adopt an FMP for nearshore finfish. It also gave authority to the FGC to regulate commercial and recreational nearshore fisheries through FMPs and provided broad authority to adopt regulations for the nearshore fishery during the time prior to adoption of the nearshore finfish FMP. Within this legislation, the Legislature also included commercial size limits for nine nearshore species including California scorpionfish (10-inch minimum size) and a requirement that commercial fishermen landing these nine nearshore species possess a nearshore permit.

Following adoption of the Nearshore FMP and accompanying regulations by the FGC in fall of 2002, the FGC adopted regulations in November 2002 which established of a set of marine reserves around the Channel Islands in Southern California (which became effective April 2003) and adopted a nearshore restricted access program in December 2002 (which included the establishment of a Deeper Nearshore Permit) to be effective starting in the 2003 fishing year.

Although the Nearshore FMP provided for the management of the nearshore rockfish and California scorpionfish, management authority for these species continued to reside with the Council. Even so, for the 2003 and subsequent fishery seasons, the State provided recommendations to the Council specific to the nearshore species that followed the directives set out in the Nearshore FMP. These recommendations, which the Council incorporated into the 2003 management specifications, included a recalculated OY for Minor Rockfish South Nearshore, division of the Minor Rockfish South - Nearshore into three groups (shallow nearshore rockfish; deeper nearshore rockfish; and California scorpionfish), and specific harvest targets and recreational and commercial allocations for each of these groups. This was followed in 2004 with the adoption of specific management measures for each of the three management areas: the California-Oregon border to $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N. Lat.; $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ N. Lat. to Point Conception ( $34^{\circ}$ $27^{\prime}$ N. Lat.); and Point Conception to the U.S.-Mexico border.

Also, since the enactment of the MLMA, the Council and State in a coordinated effort developed and adopted various management specifications in 1999-2004 to keep harvest within the harvest targets, including seasonal and area closures (e.g. the CCAs; a closure of Cordell Banks to specific fishing), depth restrictions, minimum size limits, and bag limits to regulate the

[^1]recreational fishery and license and permit regulations, finfish trap permits, gear restrictions, seasonal and area closures (e.g. the RCAs and CCAs; a closure of Cordell Banks to specific fishing), depth restrictions, trip limits, and minimum size limits to regulate the commercial fishery.

A summary of the above regulations for 1999-2004, as they relate to California scorpionfish, is supplied in Table C4.1 and a regulation timeline for 1990-2004 is provided in Figure C4.1. In addition, OYs and harvest targets for the Minor Rockfish South - Nearshore and California scorpionfish for 1999-2006 are provided in Table C4.2.

Table C4.1. Summary of Federal and California Regulations for California Scorpionfish for the Area South of Point Conception ( $34^{\circ} 27$ ' N. lat.) from 1999-2004.

| Recreational |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | Fishing open January - December at all depths. |
| 2000 | 10 " minimum size limit. January-February closure for rockfishes including California scorpionfish. |
| 2001 | January - February, November - December fishing in waters < 20 fathoms; MarchOctober fishing open at all depths. |
| 2002 | January - February, November - December closure for rockfishes including California scorpionfish; March-June fishing open at all depths; July - October fishing in waters $<20$ fathoms. |
| 2003 | Bag limit changed from 10 fish to 5 fish. <br> January - February fishing only in waters $<20$ fathoms; March - June fishing open at all depths; July - August fishing in waters < 20 fathoms; September - November fishing in waters < 30 fathoms; December closure for rockfishes including California scorpionfish. |
| 2004 | January - February, May - October closure for rockfishes including California scorpionfish; March - April, November - December open in waters < 60 fathoms. |
| Commercial |  |
| 1999 | 10 " minimum size limit with exemption for fish taken in trawl nets and landed dead. Fishermen landing California scorpionfish required to possess a Nearshore Permit. Sebastes Complex -South (which includes California scorpionfish) open January December. <br> Limits under Sebastes Complex -South provided in Federal Register (FR) for all open access gear (revised at 64 FR 54786, October 8, 1999). <br> A limit of 300 pounds of groundfish per trip also set for open access exempted trawl gear engaged in fishing for pink shrimp, spot and ridgeback prawns, California halibut, and sea cucumbers; all limits and closures adopted for open access gear also apply and are counted toward the groundfish limit (PFMC 2002). |
| 2000 | For area south of $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Lat., closed January - February; in area between $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Lat. and $36^{\circ}$ N. Lat., closed March - April. <br> Limits under Minor Rockfish South - Nearshore provided in Table 5 for all open access gear (revised at 65 FR 66655, November 7, 2000) (also provided in PFMC 2002, Table 29.d). <br> A limit of 300 pounds of groundfish per trip also set for open access exempted trawl gear engaged in fishing for spot and ridgeback prawns, California halibut, and sea cucumbers; all limits and closures adopted for open access gear (Table 29.d) also apply |


|  | and are counted toward the groundfish limit; more specific limits set for exempted trawl gear engaged in fishing for pink shrimp (PFMC 2002). |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | For area south of $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$, January - February fishing in waters $<20$ fathoms; March - <br> December open at all depths. <br> Limits under Minor Rockfish South - Nearshore provided in Table 5 for all open access gear (revised at 66 FR 54721, October 5, 2001) (also provided in PFMC 2002, Table 29.h). <br> A limit of 300 pounds of groundfish per trip also set for open access exempted trawl gear engaged in fishing for spot and ridgeback prawns, California halibut, and sea cucumbers; all limits and closures adopted for open access gear (Table 29.h) also apply and are counted toward the groundfish limit; more specific limits set for exempted trawl gear engaged in fishing for pink shrimp (PFMC 2002). |
| 2002 | For area south of $34^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$, closed January - February; March - June open at all depths; July - August open in waters < 20 fathoms; closed September - December. <br> Limits under Minor Rockfish South - Nearshore provided in Table 5 for all open access gear (revised at 67 FR 70018, November 20, 2002). <br> A limit of 300 pounds of groundfish per trip also set for open access exempted trawl gear engaged in fishing for spot and ridgeback prawns, California halibut, and sea cucumbers; all limits and closures adopted for open access gear (Table 5) also apply and are counted toward the groundfish limit; more specific limits set for exempted trawl gear engaged in fishing for pink shrimp (revised at 67 FR 10490, March 7, 2002). |
| 2003 | For California scorpionfish, closed January - April, September - December; open at all depths May - August. <br> Limits under Minor Rockfish South - Nearshore, California scorpionfish provided in Table 5 (South) for all open access gears (revised at 68 FR 40187, July 7, 2003). Trip limits and RCAs for groundfish retained in the pink shrimp, ridgeback prawns, California halibut, and sea cucumber fisheries also provided in Table 5 (South). <br> Fishermen using open access exempted trawl gear and taking nearshore species covered by the Nearshore Permit (including California scorpionfish) now required to have a Nearshore Fishery Bycatch Permit and now limited to 50 pounds per day of these select nearshore species. All limits and closures adopted for open access gear in Table (5) also apply. |
| 2004 | For California scorpionfish, closed January - February; open at all depths March December. <br> Limits under Minor Rockfish South - Nearshore, California scorpionfish provided in Table 5 (South) for all open access gears (revised at 69 FR 58916, October 6, 2004). Trip limits and RCAs for groundfish retained in the pink shrimp, ridgeback prawns, California halibut, and sea cucumber fisheries also provided in Table 5 (South). Fishermen taking nearshore species covered by the Nearshore Permit (including California scorpionfish) under the Nearshore Fishery Bycatch Permit limited to 50 pounds per day of these select nearshore species. All limits and closures adopted for open access gear in Table (5) also apply. |

Table C4.2. ABCs, OYS, and Harvest Targets in Metric Tons for Nearshore Minor Rockfish South and California Scorpionfish for 1999-2006. Table 2. ABCs, OYS, and Harvest Targets in Metric Tons for Nearshore Minor Rockfish South and California Scorpionfish for 1999-2006.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species Group $^{\|c\|}$ ABC | OY | Recreational $^{1}$ | Commercial $^{1}$ |  |
| Sebastes complex - south |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{4 , 7 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 5}$ | ----- |
| Other Rockfish | $\mathbf{3 , 6 0 3}$ | ----- | ---- | $\mathbf{1 , 3 9 6}$ |


|  | 2000 |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species Group | ABC | OY | Recreational ${ }^{1}$ | Commercial ${ }^{1}$ | ABC | OY | Rec. ${ }^{1}$ | Comm. ${ }^{1}$ | ABC | OY | Rec. ${ }^{1}$ | Comm. ${ }^{1}$ |
| Minor Rockfish South ${ }^{3}$ | 3,457 | 1,899 | 571 | 1,328 | 3,556 | 2,040 | 950 | 1,090 | 3,506 | 2,015 | 732 | 1,283 |
| Other Rockfish | 2,702 | -- | ----- | ----- | 2,702 | ----- | ----- | ----- | 2,652 | ----- | --- | ----- |
| Nearshore |  | 680 | 379 | 301 |  | 662 | 550 | 112 |  | 662 | 532 | 130 |


|  |  | 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  |  | 2005/2006 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species Group | ABC | OY | Recreational ${ }^{1}$ | Commercial ${ }^{1}$ | ABC | $\mathrm{OY}^{4}$ | Rec. ${ }^{1}$ | Comm. ${ }^{1}$ | ABC | $\mathrm{OY}^{4}$ | Rec. ${ }^{1}$ | Comm. ${ }^{1}$ |
| Minor Rockfish South ${ }^{3}$ | 3,506 | 1,894 | 493 | 1,401 | 3,412 | 1,968 | 435 | 1,390 | 3,412 | 1,968 | 443 | 1,390 |
| Other Rockfish | 2,652 | --- | ----- | ----- | 2,558 | ----- | ---- | ----- | 2,558 | ---- | ---- | ----- |
| Nearshore ${ }^{4,5,6}$ |  | 541 | 433 | 108 |  | 615 | 375 | 97 |  | 615 | 383 | 97 |
| Shallow Nearshore |  | 104.8 | 66 | 38.8 |  | 104.8 | 66 | 38.8 |  | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Deeper Nearshore ${ }^{6}$ |  | 351.1 | 303.1 | 48 |  | 282.3 | 245.1 | 37.2 |  | ----- | --- | -- |
| California Scorpionfish |  | 84.9 | 63.9 | 21 |  | 84.9 | 63.9 | 21 |  | ----- | ----- | ----- |

Note:

1. Unbolded recreational values are either recreational estimates or harvest targets; unbolded commercial values are harvest targets while bolded values are OYs.
2. Sebastes complex -south covers the Eureka, Monterey, and Conception areas.
3. Minor Rockfish South covers only the Monterey and Conception areas with the boundary between Minor Rockfish North and Minor Rockfish South at $40^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.
4. The Nearshore Minor Rockfish South OY of 615 mt for 2004-2006 is currently under review.
5. The Nearshore Minor Rockfish South northern boundary is $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.
6. Starting in 2004, Nearshore and Deeper Nearshore Rockfish OYs and harvest targets do not include black rockfish.

Figure C4.1. Commercial and recreational regulations for CA Scorpionfish, 1990-2004.

[^2]
## D. Assessment

## 1. Data

The data sources and dates are given in the acknowledgements section.

## a. Distribution and stock structure

California scorpionfish is a generally benthic species found from central California to the Gulf of California between the intertidal and about 170 m (Eschmeyer et al., 1983; Love et al., 1987). A substantial, but unknown, portion of the stock resides in Mexican waters. It generally inhabits rocky reefs, but in certain areas and seasons it aggregates over sandy or muddy substrate (Frey, 1971; Love et al., 1987). Substantial numbers are found over soft substrate in the vicinity of Palos Verdes (Love et al. 1987). It is believed that its presence in this area is due to the large populations of ridgeback prawn (Sicyonia ingentis) that are linked to Whites Point sewer outfall (love et al. 1987). Catch rate analysis and tagging studies show that most, but not all, California scorpionfish migrate to deeper water to spawn during May-September (Love et al., 1987). Tagging studies on spawning aggregations over Dago Bank showed that individuals tend to return to the same spawning area (Love et al. 1987), but information is not available on non-spawning season site fidelity. Tags retuned from the non-spawning period ranged from El Segundo in the north to Long Beach in the south (Love et al. 1987). California scorpionfish are quite mobile and may not be permanently tied to a particular reef (Love et al. 1987). For example, several tagged California scorpionfish have been recorded to move from Santa Monica Bay to the Coronado Islands (Hartmann 1987).

Data from California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) creel census showed lowest catch rates near Santa Barbara and generally increased to the south with greatest catches off Sand Diego around Catalina, San Clemente, and the Coronado Islands (Love et al. 1987). Catch rates were higher in 61-90 m and 121-150 m depth strata during the spawning season (May-September) and higher in 0-30 m and 31-60 m depth strata during the non-spawning season (October-April) (Love et al. 1987). However, not all fish migrate to deeper water at the same time during spawning season as mature and ripe individuals were also caught inshore (Love et al. 1987).

SCCWRP and Orange County Sanitation trawl data indicate large variations in population size (Love et al. 1987). Some of this variation may be due to El Niño events (Love et al. 1987). It is possible that the variation is due to movement of individuals rather than changes in population size because there is also an absence of other species from their normal areas at the same time (Love et al. 1987).

For stock assessment purposes the southern California population is assumed to be a single stock. This assumption is based on 1) similarities among CPUE indices and sanitation trawl surveys among sub-regions, 2) initial analyses using individual substocks showed similar results among sub-stocks and the combined results were similar to a single stock analysis, and 3) paucity of data for some regions. The stock is truncated in
the south at the international boarder. Catch from Mexican waters landed in Californian ports are excluded from the analysis.

## b. Biological parameters

## Age and growth

Love et al. (1987) used annuli on pterygiophore separately for each sex to estimate the parameters of the von Bertalanffy growth curve. The annuli were validated by observing seasonal development of the opaque zone on the sections' edges in fishes with 2-5 opaque zones. The von Bertalanffy parameters were estimated based on 182 females and 222 Males (Table D1.1). The parameters are in terms of total length.
$L_{t}=L_{\infty}\left(1-\exp \left[-k\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right]\right)$
Table D1.1. Parameters of the von Bertalanffy growth curve for California scorpionfish off southern California estimated by Love et al. (1987).

| Sex | $L_{\infty}$ | SE | $k$ | SE | $t_{0}$ | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 44.33 | 1.57 | 0.13 | 0.02 | -1.90 | 0.42 |
| Male | 36.31 | 1.60 | 0.12 | 0.02 | -3.86 | 0.68 |

No estimates of variation of length-at-age are available. The coefficient of variation of the length-at-age is assumed to be 0.05 for the assessment.

Length weight
Love et al. (1987) developed a length-weight relationship for California scorpionfish from 656 males and 371 females from southern California. They found a significant difference between males and females. The parameters are in terms of total length.
$W=a L^{b}$
where W is weight in grams, L is total length in centimeters.
$a=0.0196$ and 0.0205 , and $b=3.0102$ and 3.0045 for females and males, respectively.

## Conversion factors

California scorpionfish do not have a forked tail, therefore total length and fork length are equal. Love et al. (1987) provide conversion factors between standard length (SL) and total length (TL).

$$
\mathrm{TL}=1.21 \mathrm{SL}+1.02
$$

$\mathrm{SL}=0.82 \mathrm{TL}-0.69$

Maturity and fecundity
Few California scorpionfish are mature at age 1, but over 50\% are mature at age 2 and most are mature at age 3 (Love at al. 1987). Gonad data collected from the bimonthly trawl survey conducted off Ventura and Santa Barbara from 1994 to 1995 (Steve Wertz, CDFG), shows a peak in May for females (Figure D1.1) indicating spawning occurs sometime between May and June. The proportion mature at length from the survey does not give a good indication of the size at maturity (Figure D1.2), but the GSI calculated as the gonad weight divided by the total weight suggests a linear function with length up to about 30 cm TL (Figure D1.3). For non-hydrated females assuming a maximum GSI of 0.02 at 30 cm TL, the GSI relationship with TL is

GSI $=0.0012 \mathrm{TL}-0.0155$
which can be used to generate a fecundity at size relationship

$$
f_{T L}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & T L \leq 13 \\
(0.0012 T L-0.0155) W_{T L} & 13<T L<30 \\
0.2 W_{T L} & T L \geq 30
\end{array}\right.
$$

where TL is the total length and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{TL}}$ is the weight at that total length. This relationship is developed from females sampled throughout the year and therefore does not represent total eggs, but should provide a reasonable relative measure of fecundity if the size of individuals that were sampled does not change during the year and that the relative differences in GSI among lengths is consistent throughout the year.


Figure D1.1. Seasonal Pattern in GSI index from the bimonthly trawl survey conducted off Ventura and Santa Barbara from 1994 to 1995 (Steve Wertz, CDFG).


Figure D1.2. Proportion mature by length from the bimonthly trawl survey conducted off Ventura and Santa Barbara from 1994 to 1995 (Steve Wertz, CDFG).


Figure D1.3. GSI (gonad weight/total weight) by total length for non-hydrated females assuming an asymptotic GSI at 0.02 at 30 cm TL using data from bimonthly trawl survey conducted off Ventura and Santa Barbara from 1994 to 1995 (Steve Wertz, CDFG). The data points marked with an X are not used in the regression.

## Natural mortality

The maximum age observed by Love et al. (1987) was 21 and 15 years old for females and males, respectively. Approximately $20 \%$ of the fish were older than 11 years old (Table D1.2, Figure D1.4). Fish were sampled monthly from May 1981 to June 1982 and sporadically thereafter through May 1983. They used a 7.6 m or 4.9 m head rope otter trawl in 7-90 m of water, between Ventura and San Onofre. Thirty-four percent of the fish were not age-able due to malformed or poorly delineated annuli. The higher maximum age for females suggests that females have a lower total mortality rate than males. However, percent female decreases for the intermediate ages and then returns to about $50 \%$ for the older ages (Figure D1.5). The rapid decline in age-frequency and large age 12 plus group is inconsistent with standard catch-curve analysis.

Table D1.2. Frequency at age by sex from Love et al. (1987) Table 4. The numbers in the $12+$ group represent all individuals aged 12 years and older and were calculated by subtracting the totals in Table 4 from Love et al. (1987) from the total number aged.

|  | count |  | Proportion of total |  | Proportion <br> age |  | Male |  | Female | Male | Female | female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 7 | 3 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 31 | 31 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 62 | 43 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 40 | 31 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 15 | 24 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 4 | 11 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 9 | 3 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 5 | 1 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 7 | 2 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 4 | 1 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12+$ | 38 | 32 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 222 | 182 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure D1.4. Relative age frequency by sex from Love et al. (1987). The data at age 12 is for all ages 12 and older.


Figure D1.5. Proportion female from Love et al. (1987). The data at age 12 is for all ages 12 and older.

The age data collected by Love et al. (1987) contains about $20 \%$ of the individuals older than 11 years old. Females of this age would be greater than 35 cm TL. There are relatively few California scorpionfish caught greater than 35 cm in the recreational, commercial, or sanitation surveys, except for the gillnet fishery. Therefore, the age data are inconsistent with the catch-at-length data unless the selectivity curves are dome shaped rather than asymptotic. In addition, the sex ratio from the age data shows a bias towards males for intermediate ages. A closer examination of the data shows that fish caught recreationally in the areas where the age data were collected tend to be larger than in other areas. This may indicate a bias in the sampling of the age data.

Due to unsuitability of catch-curve analyses and the violated assumptions of Hoenig's (1983) method, there is large uncertainty in the value of natural mortality for California scorpionfish. Cope et al. (2003) used a value of 0.25 for cabezon based on the maximum ages of 15 and 17 years for California and Washington, respectively. Cabezon is the most closely associated species with California scorpionfish in the recreational catch. A value of 0.25 was assumed for both sexes in the assessment.

## Stock-recruitment relationship

There is no information about the stock-recruitment relationship for California scorpionfish. The species aggregates from multiple areas to spawn, uses "explosive" breeding assemblages, produces floating egg masses, and its larvae are found widely distributed off the coast of Baja California (Love et al. 1987, Moser 1993), indicating that recruitment is probably not locally driven and may be less related to stock size than for other species.

In a meta-analysis of stock-recruitment data for rockfish, Dorn (2002) estimated the mean steepness of the Beverton-Holt stock-recruitment relationship at approximately 0.65.

Steepness is the proportion of recruitment from an unexploited stock obtained when the population is at $20 \%$ of the unexploited stock size (Francis 1992). The estimate of mean steepness was lower when a Ricker stock recruitment model was used. These estimates were lower than those estimated by Myers et al. (2002) for the families Clupeidae ( $\sim 0.7$ ) and Gadidae ( $\sim 0.8$ ). Myers et al. (1999) provide estimates of steepness for three species in the family Scorpaenidae, which California scorpionfish is a member: chilipepper (Sebastes goodei), 0.35; Pacific ocean perch (Sebastes alutus) 0.43; and deepwater redfish (Sebastes mentella), 0.47. The estimate of steepness for the family was 0.48 . Unfortunately, the data available for chilipepper is uninformative for steepness and Pacific Ocean perch covers many stocks much further north than the extent of California scorpionfish (Dorn 2002). The estimate of steepness for Pacific Ocean perch on the west coast of the United States from a highly informative data set is low, approximately 0.35 (Dorn 2002).

Unfortunately, information for steepness is not available for California scorpionfish and there is little information from related species that could be considered as a good proxy. A value of 0.7 was assumed for the assessment.

## c. Landings

Scorpionfish are very hardy and have shown survival under extreme conditions. Therefore, for the purpose of this assessment, discard mortality is assumed to be negligible.

## d. Historical catch

## Recreational Landings

The recreational catch data comes from two sources, the RecFIN program and the Commercial Passenger Fishing Vessel (partyboat; CPFV) logbooks. RecFIN data are based on the Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey (MRFSS) catch estimates. The MRFSS was conducted from mid-1979 through 2003, with a hiatus from 1990 through 1992. MRFSS consists of an angler field survey paired with a randomized telephone survey. Total catch and effort are estimated for the whole southern California region in the MRFSS database, and the catch from smaller sub-areas is not provided. Since 1936, CPFV operators have been required to submit logbooks to CDFG for each fishing trip. Information is provided on the number of anglers, the number of hours fished, location of catch, and the type and quantity of fish caught. CPFV logbook data by trip is available since 1980; earlier data are only available in summarized form. Comparison of catch estimated by MRFSS for the CPFVs does not correspond well with the catch reported by the CPFV logbooks (Figure D1.6). The catches are similar in several years, but MRFSS estimates some substantial spikes in catch 1982, 1989, and 1996. These years have catch that is two to four times higher than for other years and for that reported in the logbooks. This variation is outside the $95 \%$ confidence intervals. Comparisons of the different modes of fishing from the MRFSS survey shows that CFPV (57\%) and private/rental boats (42\%) comprise most of the recreational catch (Figure D1.7).

However, the estimated proportion varies substantially from year to year. There does not appear to be any trend in the proportion taken by the two main modes (D1.8). There was no fishing during World War II. The LA Times data
(http://swfscdata.nmfs.noaa.gov/LaTimes/) provides a poor representation of the catch for years before 1987, but has higher catch compared to the logbook data in the late 1980s early 1990s (Figure D1.9).

## Catch for the stock assessment

The recreational catch for the assessment is calculated under the assumption that the CPFV logbook data are the most reliable estimate of annual recreational catches. It is assumed that approximately $80 \%$ of the CPFV trips were recorded on logbooks submitted to CDFG. For 1936 to 2004 the CPFV logbook catch data are first increased to account for the reporting level. The catch data are then expanded to include all modes of fishing based on the fraction of the total RecFIN catch taken by the CPFV fleet (0.5767). The catch in 1935 is assumed equal to the average of the catch for the 5 years before Would War II interrupted the fishery (1936-1940) and a linear trend is assumed to a catch of zero in 1916. The catch is given in Figure D1.10.


Figure D1.6. Comparison of catch estimated by MRFSS for the CPFVs with the catch reported on the CPFV logbooks. The dashed lines are the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the MRFSS estimates


Figure D1.7. Recreational catch by modes of fishing from MRFSS


Figure D1.8. Proportion of the recreational catch taken by party boats calculated from MRFSS data.


Figure D1.9. Comparison of catch from all ports from the logbook program and the LA Times data.



Figure D1.10. Catch used in the assessment.

## Commercial Landings

There are several sources of commercial catch data available for California scorpionfish (often reported as "sculpin" in historical landing records). The earliest data starts in 1916 and data are available up to 2004. The data sets differ substantially in some years.

## CalCom

The California Commercial Cooperative Groundfish Program (CalCom) landings database contains, among other information, catch in pounds by gear and port for 1978 to 2004.

## CFIS

The Commercial Fisheries Information System (CFIS) also has catch in pounds by gear and port for 1969 to 2004 (Figures D1.11, D1.12). It also separates the data from that caught in California waters and that caught in Mexican waters. These data come from landing receipts or "fish tickets" filled out by the markets or fish buyers as required by the state for all commercial landings.

## PFEL

The Pacific Fisheries Environmental Laboratory (PFEL) data (http://las.pfeg.noaa.gov:8080/las fish1/servlets/dataset) includes California commercial landings data digitized from original tables published by the CDFG (D1.13). These data come from receipts or "fish-tickets" filled out by the markets and packing facilities as required by the state for all commercial landings. The landings for 1928 to 1976 were published by CDFG in their Fish Bulletin series. Landings from 1977 to 1980 were obtained from the CDFG Statistical Division and landings from 1981 to 2002 were obtained from Pacific Fisheries Information Network (PacFIN). The data only includes catches taken in California waters. A substantial amount of California scorpionfish landed in California were from Mexican waters and these are not included in the PFEL data set. We extracted data from PFEL using the scorpionfish market category.

California Explores the Ocean
California Explores the Ocean (CEO) provides several types of data taken from the CDFG Fish Bulletins in electronic form. One data set includes yearly landings in pounds for 1916 to 1947. Two other data sets include catch by area and month from 1926 to 1935 and from 1931 to 1976. Another data set provides the amount of catch caught north and south of the state borders from 1940 to 1976.
(http://ceo.ucsd.edu/fishcatchtables/fish-catch-download.html)

## CDFG Fish Bulletin

Landings from 1916 to 1935 are presented in CDFG Fish Bulletin No. 49 and bulletin No. 149 provides tabulated data from 1916 to 1968. Data by area and month are given in a series of bulletins, each bulletin usually providing information for a single year. Data by area and month is available for 1926 to 1986. The bulletins also provide information of the amount of catch landed in California caught north and south of the state boundaries. Electronic copies of the bulletin can be found at (http://ceo.ucsd.edu/fishbull/).

Comparison of Data Sets
The data sets generally rely on the CDFG Fish Bulletins for their data or similar sources used to generate the bulletins. However, the PFEL data set does not include data for fish
caught outside the state boundaries and therefore differs substantially from the other data sets for the mid 1960s to the mid 1980s. The CEO data set duplicates the data from the bulletins for the data set that includes yearly landings in pounds for 1916 to 1947. However, the CEO data sets that include catch by area and month differ from the bulletins, particularly the data set for 1931 to 1976. The CEO data that gives the origin of the catch south of the state border for 1940 to 1976 are equal to the difference between the PFEL data and the bulletin data. For 1953 to 1960, the catch within the state is not equal to the PFEL data. The CalCOM data are within a few percent of the bulletin data. The CFIS data set is within a few percent of the CalCOM data except for the years 1992 and 1997 where the differences are larger. The CalCOM and CFIS data sets have catch by gear, the other data sources do not. All the data sets have catch by month, but this is not used in the analysis.

## Data Sets Used in the Analysis

The assessment is of the southern California population of scorpionfish. In most years $99 \%$ or more of the landings occur in the southern California ports. Therefore, only landings in the Santa Barbara, Los Angeles, and San Diego areas are included. We also exclude catch taken in Mexican waters, but landed in California ports.

Data by gear (from CFIS) are only available starting in 1969. All catch before 1969 is assumed to be taken by hook and line. It is assumed that before 1928 there was no catch taken from Mexican waters landed in California. The catch is divided into four gear types: hook and line, fish pot, trawl, and gill net. Catch taken by other gears is added to the hook and line catch. Catch by gear for 1969 to 2004 is taken from CFIS. Catch for 1928 to 1968 is taken from PFEL. Catch for 1926 and 1927 is taken from the CDFG Fish Bulletins. Catch for 1916 to 1925 is taken from the CDFG Fish Bulletins. Commercial catch used in the assessment is given in Figure D1.10.


Figure D1.11. Commercial catch by region from the CFIS database.


Figure D1.12. Commercial catch by fishing method from the CFIS database.


Figure D1.13. Commercial catch by region from the PFEL database.

## e. Age and length compositions

RecFIN Length Frequency Data.
Size of fish (total length) in the recreational fishery is available from the RecFIN program (Table D1.4). Figure D1.14 shows the relative frequency of fish in 1 centimeter categories converted from total/fork length to standard length. The data represents measurements taken at interviews when the fish were landed, during the years 1980-2003 and includes all modes of recreational fishing.

Table D1.4. Sample sizes for length-frequency data.

| Year | RecFIN | Observer | Trawl | Hook and line | Gillnet | Sanitation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |
| 1973 |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |
| 1974 |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |
| 1975 |  | 935 |  |  |  | 21 |
| 1976 |  | 941 |  |  |  | 36 |
| 1977 |  | 1373 |  |  |  | 57 |
| 1978 |  | 1729 |  |  |  | 25 |
| 1979 |  |  |  |  |  | 262 |
| 1980 | 415 |  |  |  |  | 271 |
| 1981 | 387 |  |  |  |  | 324 |
| 1982 | 507 |  |  |  |  | 502 |
| 1983 | 422 |  |  |  |  | 106 |
| 1984 | 435 |  |  |  |  | 47 |
| 1985 | 365 |  |  |  |  | 83 |
| 1986 | 362 | 650 |  |  |  | 144 |
| 1987 | 133 | 1145 |  |  |  | 177 |
| 1988 | 652 | 2872 |  |  |  | 141 |
| 1989 | 653 | 3262 |  |  |  | 124 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 203 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 182 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 183 |
| 1993 | 362 |  |  |  |  | 306 |
| 1994 | 362 |  |  |  |  | 322 |
| 1995 | 323 |  |  |  |  | 351 |
| 1996 | 808 |  | 237 | 25 | 27 | 463 |
| 1997 | 468 |  | 758 | 85 | 310 | 444 |
| 1998 | 802 |  | 352 | 197 | 13 | 358 |
| 1999 | 2444 |  | 591 | 202 | 21 | 456 |
| 2000 | 1048 |  | 110 | 24 | 11 | 476 |
| 2001 | 590 |  | 224 | 139 | 194 | 537 |
| 2002 | 1022 |  | 0 | 71 | 59 | 1573 |
| 2003 | 1207 |  | 70 | 6 | 51 | 409 |
| 2004 |  |  | 22 | 0 | 33 | 720 |



Figure D1.14. Length frequency distribution by year in standard length (cm) from the RecFIN database.


Figure D1.14 continued. Length frequency distribution by year in standard length (cm) from the RecFIN database.

## CPFV Length Data

## CPFV Observer Programs

The data were obtained from two CDFG partyboat observer programs, one in the late 1970s and another in the late 1980s, and represent measurements of total length taken on board the vessels by the observer (Table D1.4). Figure D1.15 shows the relative frequency of fish in 1 centimeter categories converted from total/fork length to standard length.


Figure D1.15. Length frequency distribution from the observer data by year in standard length (cm).

## Commercial Length Data

## Commercial Length Frequency Data

Commercial length data are available form CalCOM, PacFIN , and the CDFG sampling program. Only 79 fish were available from the PacFIN database and they were all from 1999. These contained 60 trawl caught length measurements from Ventura County and 19 hook and line caught length measurements from Santa Barbara County. Few fish were available from the CalCOM data base and all for 1999 and for the Santa Barbara County (some data for Los Angeles had borrowed length-frequencies from Santa Barbara). The CalCOM data contained both hook and line and trawl length frequencies, but the lengths were much greater than from PacFIN. More years of data are available from the CDFG sampling program (Table D1.4) and it appears that the PacFIN data are included.

Figure D1.16 shows the relative frequency of fish in 1 centimeter categories by gear converted from total/fork length to standard length. The data were obtained from the CDFG port sampling program data base and represent measurements taken when the fish were landed.


Figure D1.16a. Length frequency distribution from the hook and line commercial fisheries by year in standard length (cm).


Figure D1.16b. Length frequency distribution from the gillnet commercial fisheries by year in standard length (cm).


Figure D1.16c. Length frequency distribution from the trawl commercial fisheries by year in standard length (cm).

## f. Indices of abundance

## CPFV Trip Data

Trip level catch and effort data are available from the CPFV logbook data from 1980 to 2003. The analysis was conducted for 8 areas and restricted to CDFG blocks that were considered important California scorpionfish areas (Table D1.5, Figure D1.17). Of the 236 CFG blocks south of Pt. Conception, we considered only the CFG blocks with cumulative historical removals of 10,000 or more scorpionfish between 1980 and 2004. These 36 blocks accounted for $93 \%$ of the total California catch reported in the logbooks. These blocks were then grouped into eight relatively homogeneous geographic areas for purposes of calculating GLMs. A delta-gamma model is used to regress catch in numbers per angler hour versus the explanatory variables year, month and CDFG block. A binomial model is used to model the proportion positive and a gamma model is used to model the distribution of positive observations. The same explanatory variables are used in both the binomial and gamma components of the model. A combined year effect from the binomial and gamma models is used to represent the relative abundance (Table D1.6). A jackknife procedure is used to calculate standard errors for the year effects. The regression was run separately for each of the sub-areas. The analysis was carried out
using the R code provided by E.J. Dick. Based on the AIC criteria, all the explanatory variables were included in the analyses for all sub-areas.

A combined index for the southern California stock was created by summing the indices for all areas, excluding the Mexican area, weighted by the number of blocks used in each area.


Figure D1.17. Definitions of sub-areas used in the CPUE analysis.

Table D1.5. Blocks used in the CPFV CPUE analysis (see Figure D1.17 for area definitions).

| NN | NO | nCN | CO | sCN | SN | SO | MEX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 652 | 689 | 678 | 762 | 737 | 842 | 829 | 901 |
| 653 | 690 | 679 | 765 | 756 | 843 | 849 | 902 |
| 665 | 706 | 680 | 807 | 757 | 860 | 850 | 916 |
| 666 | 707 | 701 | 808 | 801 | 861 | 867 |  |
| 667 | 708 | 702 | 813 | 802 | 864 | 897 |  |
| 681 | 709 | 703 | 814 | 803 | 877 |  |  |
| 682 | 711 | 718 |  | 821 | 878 |  |  |
| 683 | 729 | 719 |  | 822 | 879 |  |  |
| 684 | 730 | 720 |  | 823 |  |  |  |
| 685 | 734 | 721 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 686 |  | 738 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 687 |  | 739 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 740 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 741 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 742 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 759 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 760 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 761 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 806 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table D1.6. Index and coefficient of variation for the trip level CPFV delta-gamma CPUE standardization.

| year | NoNear |  | NoOff |  | NoCenNear |  | CenOff |  | SoCenNear |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index | cv | Index | cv | Index | cv | Index | cv | Index | cv |
| 1980 | 0.0072 | 0.22 | 0.0139 | 0.75 | 0.0773 | 0.18 | 0.0121 | 0.2 | 0.028 | 0.24 |
| 1981 | 0.0059 | 0.15 | 0.0167 | 0.63 | 0.0596 | 0.15 | 0.0144 | 0.19 | 0.0176 | 0.13 |
| 1982 | 0.0074 | 0.15 | 0.0378 | 0.76 | 0.049 | 0.13 | 0.0347 | 0.5 | 0.0184 | 0.19 |
| 1983 | 0.0115 | 0.45 | 0.0068 | 0.48 | 0.0326 | 0.12 | 0.018 | 0.19 | 0.011 | 0.12 |
| 1984 | 0.0052 | 0.24 | 0.0017 | 0.33 | 0.0427 | 0.14 | 0.0497 | 0.43 | 0.0154 | 0.15 |
| 1985 | 0.0077 | 0.25 | 0.0075 | 0.49 | 0.0789 | 0.21 | 0.0224 | 0.27 | 0.032 | 0.21 |
| 1986 | 0.0136 | 0.22 | 0.0041 | 0.45 | 0.0677 | 0.14 | 0.0514 | 0.58 | 0.0222 | 0.12 |
| 1987 | 0.0114 | 0.16 | 0.0109 | 0.4 | 0.0598 | 0.2 | 0.0129 | 0.22 | 0.0401 | 0.43 |
| 1988 | 0.0176 | 0.1 | 0.0189 | 0.24 | 0.1168 | 0.11 | 0.0189 | 0.2 | 0.0544 | 0.11 |
| 1989 | 0.0247 | 0.27 | 0.0316 | 0.23 | 0.1737 | 0.11 | 0.0361 | 0.18 | 0.0408 | 0.17 |
| 1990 | 0.0206 | 0.15 | 0.0252 | 0.2 | 0.1104 | 0.1 | 0.042 | 0.27 | 0.0628 | 0.16 |
| 1991 | 0.0181 | 0.13 | 0.0438 | 0.41 | 0.1394 | 0.12 | 0.0578 | 0.16 | 0.0809 | 0.16 |
| 1992 | 0.0141 | 0.16 | 0.0311 | 0.24 | 0.0464 | 0.12 | 0.0334 | 0.18 | 0.0423 | 0.18 |
| 1993 | 0.0176 | 0.14 | 0.0263 | 0.25 | 0.0618 | 0.12 | 0.0435 | 0.22 | 0.0257 | 0.15 |
| 1994 | 0.0257 | 0.24 | 0.049 | 0.36 | 0.0788 | 0.09 | 0.0477 | 0.17 | 0.0543 | 0.2 |
| 1995 | 0.0218 | 0.18 | 0.0349 | 0.31 | 0.0874 | 0.13 | 0.0312 | 0.2 | 0.0656 | 0.16 |
| 1996 | 0.0316 | 0.17 | 0.0263 | 0.24 | 0.1126 | 0.11 | 0.0296 | 0.15 | 0.0755 | 0.15 |
| 1997 | 0.0268 | 0.09 | 0.0239 | 0.15 | 0.0739 | 0.1 | 0.0235 | 0.18 | 0.0611 | 0.15 |
| 1998 | 0.0296 | 0.13 | 0.0365 | 0.16 | 0.0913 | 0.14 | 0.0252 | 0.15 | 0.0379 | 0.15 |
| 1999 | 0.0345 | 0.14 | 0.0257 | 0.18 | 0.2058 | 0.13 | 0.0373 | 0.2 | 0.0612 | 0.17 |
| 2000 | 0.0356 | 0.39 | 0.0135 | 0.28 | 0.156 | 0.12 | 0.024 | 0.18 | 0.0691 | 0.21 |
| 2001 | 0.027 | 0.12 | 0.0385 | 0.27 | 0.1326 | 0.11 | 0.0398 | 0.26 | 0.0629 | 0.12 |
| 2002 | 0.0132 | 0.22 | 0.0294 | 0.34 | 0.069 | 0.18 | 0.0094 | 0.33 | 0.0373 | 0.18 |
| 2003 | 0.0075 | 0.27 | 0.0105 | 0.42 | 0.0631 | 0.2 | 0.0022 | 0.46 | 0.0315 | 0.27 |


| SoNear |  | SoOff |  | Mex |  | Total |  |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Index | CV | Index | CV | Index | CV | Index | CV |
| 0.0205 | 0.2 | 0.0404 | 0.22 | 0.0616 | 0.31 | 2.38 | 0.12 |
| 0.0198 | 0.12 | 0.0429 | 0.19 | 0.0271 | 0.33 | 1.99 | 0.10 |
| 0.0243 | 0.12 | 0.0502 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.37 | 2.22 | 0.15 |
| 0.0151 | 0.18 | 0.0344 | 0.14 | 0.0321 | 0.32 | 1.33 | 0.08 |
| 0.0162 | 0.15 | 0.0377 | 0.21 | 0.0198 | 0.46 | 1.65 | 0.11 |
| 0.0435 | 0.23 | 0.0355 | 0.16 | 0.0171 | 0.33 | 2.61 | 0.13 |
| 0.0292 | 0.1 | 0.029 | 0.36 | 0.071 | 0.37 | 2.38 | 0.11 |
| 0.0218 | 0.12 | 0.0424 | 0.22 | 0.0253 | 0.34 | 2.21 | 0.13 |
| 0.0329 | 0.16 | 0.0459 | 0.24 | 0.0203 | 0.27 | 3.72 | 0.07 |
| 0.0414 | 0.19 | 0.0317 | 0.21 | 0.0701 | 0.31 | 4.99 | 0.08 |
| 0.0556 | 0.12 | 0.0418 | 0.17 | 0.0638 | 0.36 | 4.07 | 0.06 |
| 0.1025 | 0.28 | 0.0522 | 0.15 | 0.1013 | 0.31 | 5.46 | 0.08 |
| 0.0276 | 0.1 | 0.0326 | 0.2 | 0.0574 | 0.36 | 2.33 | 0.07 |
| 0.0278 | 0.14 | 0.0381 | 0.13 | 0.0707 | 0.36 | 2.55 | 0.07 |
| 0.026 | 0.15 | 0.0383 | 0.29 | 0.0913 | 0.33 | 3.47 | 0.08 |
| 0.0398 | 0.14 | 0.0292 | 0.3 | 0.0363 | 0.6 | 3.51 | 0.08 |
| 0.0387 | 0.13 | 0.0169 | 0.19 | 0.0443 | 0.27 | 4.03 | 0.07 |


| 0.0679 | 0.24 | 0.0148 | 0.31 | 0.0414 | 0.3 | 3.27 | 0.07 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.0404 | 0.15 | 0.0095 | 0.23 | 0.041 | 0.36 | 3.32 | 0.08 |
| 0.0779 | 0.15 | 0.0109 | 0.27 | 0.053 | 0.29 | 6.03 | 0.09 |
| 0.0955 | 0.13 | 0.009 | 0.19 | 0.0319 | 0.33 | 5.10 | 0.08 |
| 0.1043 | 0.15 | 0.0221 | 0.2 | 0.0867 | 0.23 | 4.98 | 0.07 |
| 0.0466 | 0.22 | 0.0073 | 0.18 | 0.0571 | 0.29 | 2.57 | 0.11 |
| 0.0274 | 0.3 | 0.0058 | 0.29 | 0.0679 | 0.24 | 1.94 | 0.14 |

CPFV month and block summarized data
Month and CDFG block summarized catch and effort data are available from the CPFV logbook data from 1936 to 2004, with a hiatus from 1941 to 1946 due to WWII. Month information is not available for 1979 so this year is left out of the analysis. Data for 2004 is only preliminary. Only data from the same CDFG blocks identified in the analysis of the trip CPUE data as important California scorpionfish areas are used in the analysis. The data from 1980 to 2003 include catch and effort data that were also contained in the trip level CPUE analysis. A delta-gamma model is used to regress catch in numbers per angler hour versus the explanatory variables year, month and CDFG block. A binomial model is used to model the proportion positive and a gamma model is used to model the distribution of positive observations. The same explanatory variables are used in both the binomial and gamma components of the model. A combined year effect from the binomial and gamma models is used to represent the relative abundance (Table D1.7). The regression was run separately for each of the sub-areas. The analysis was carried out using the R code provided by E.J. Dick. The data were obtained from Dr Kevin Hill, NMFS SWFSC. Based on the AIC criteria, all the explanatory variables were included in the analyses for all sub-areas.

Table D1.7. Index for the month and CDFG block aggregated CPFV delta-gamma CPUE standardization (see Figure D1.17 for area definitions).

| Year | nn | no | ncn | co | scn | sn | so | mex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1936 | 0.0080 |  | 0.0135 |  | 0.0280 |  |  |  |
| 1937 | 0.0089 |  | 0.0072 |  | 0.0122 |  |  |  |
| 1938 | 0.0632 |  | 0.0097 |  | 0.0081 | 0.0009 |  |  |
| 1939 | 0.0410 |  | 0.0137 |  | 0.0157 |  |  |  |
| 1940 | 0.1214 |  | 0.0083 |  | 0.0123 | 0.0024 |  |  |
| 1941 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1942 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1943 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1944 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1945 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1946 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1947 | 0.0103 |  | 0.0318 | 0.0021 | 0.0047 | 0.0022 | 0.0021 | 0.0212 |
| 1948 | 0.0175 | 0.0043 | 0.0389 | 0.0128 | 0.0200 | 0.0034 | 0.0081 | 0.0363 |
| 1949 | 0.0156 | 0.0117 | 0.0254 | 0.0101 | 0.0138 | 0.0057 | 0.0064 | 0.0639 |
| 1950 | 0.0237 | 0.0206 | 0.0401 | 0.0178 | 0.0178 | 0.0021 | 0.0071 | 0.0472 |
| 1951 | 0.0178 | 0.0014 | 0.0230 | 0.0138 | 0.0313 | 0.0053 | 0.0140 | 0.0134 |
| 1952 | 0.0236 | 0.0677 | 0.0290 | 0.0219 | 0.0236 | 0.0047 | 0.0141 | 0.0166 |
| 1953 | 0.0239 | 0.0325 | 0.0332 | 0.0055 | 0.0282 | 0.0022 | 0.0118 | 0.0058 |
| 1954 | 0.0194 | 0.0231 | 0.0375 | 0.0146 | 0.0211 | 0.0023 | 0.0094 | 0.0063 |
| 1955 | 0.0047 | 0.0008 | 0.0330 | 0.0102 | 0.0615 | 0.0024 | 0.0556 | 0.0199 |
| 1956 | 0.0067 | 0.0023 | 0.0221 | 0.0296 | 0.0146 | 0.0010 | 0.0224 | 0.0168 |
| 1957 | 0.0040 | 0.0040 | 0.0154 | 0.0093 | 0.0068 | 0.0017 | 0.0081 | 0.0047 |
| 1958 | 0.0012 | 0.0010 | 0.0112 | 0.0017 | 0.0063 | 0.0012 | 0.0070 | 0.0005 |
| 1959 | 0.0010 | 0.0144 | 0.0101 | 0.0036 | 0.0049 | 0.0011 | 0.0066 | 0.0028 |
| 1960 | 0.0093 | 0.0077 | 0.0139 | 0.0051 | 0.0134 | 0.0010 | 0.0091 | 0.0050 |
| 1961 | 0.0040 | 0.0010 | 0.0609 | 0.0103 | 0.0213 | 0.0026 | 0.0126 | 0.0173 |
| 1962 | 0.0036 | 0.0021 | 0.0343 | 0.0076 | 0.0263 | 0.0074 | 0.0072 | 0.0123 |
| 1963 | 0.0042 | 0.0005 | 0.0443 | 0.0060 | 0.0405 | 0.0095 | 0.0088 | 0.0626 |
| 1964 | 0.0150 | 0.0052 | 0.0519 | 0.0125 | 0.0750 | 0.0141 | 0.0272 | 0.0391 |
| 1965 | 0.0152 | 0.0075 | 0.0490 | 0.0078 | 0.0560 | 0.0118 | 0.0240 | 0.0466 |
| 1966 | 0.0060 | 0.0070 | 0.0480 | 0.0089 | 0.0802 | 0.0083 | 0.0143 | 0.0448 |
| 1967 | 0.0118 | 0.0251 | 0.0410 | 0.0084 | 0.0650 | 0.0095 | 0.0108 | 0.0915 |
| 1968 | 0.0140 | 0.0078 | 0.0362 | 0.0074 | 0.0690 | 0.0137 | 0.0126 | 0.0613 |
| 1969 | 0.0078 | 0.0035 | 0.0338 | 0.0064 | 0.0597 | 0.0110 | 0.0083 | 0.1009 |
| 1970 | 0.0048 | 0.0030 | 0.0545 | 0.0043 | 0.0740 | 0.0268 | 0.0134 | 0.0479 |
| 1971 | 0.0058 | 0.0038 | 0.0783 | 0.0086 | 0.1174 | 0.0156 | 0.0149 | 0.0570 |
| 1972 | 0.0055 | 0.0039 | 0.0398 | 0.0099 | 0.1102 | 0.0080 | 0.0089 | 0.0224 |
| 1973 | 0.0076 | 0.0016 | 0.0730 | 0.0275 | 0.0859 | 0.0091 | 0.0125 | 0.0241 |
| 1974 | 0.0030 | 0.0013 | 0.0690 | 0.0248 | 0.1560 | 0.0040 | 0.0210 | 0.0677 |
| 1975 | 0.0025 | 0.0077 | 0.0625 | 0.0405 | 0.1091 | 0.0042 | 0.0357 | 0.0987 |
| 1976 | 0.0029 | 0.0002 | 0.0319 | 0.0646 | 0.0505 | 0.0049 | 0.0221 | 0.0403 |
| 1977 | 0.0020 | 0.0137 | 0.0849 | 0.0176 | 0.0379 | 0.0069 | 0.0243 | 0.0427 |
| 1978 | 0.0054 | 0.0039 | 0.0374 | 0.0075 | 0.0359 | 0.0147 | 0.0226 | 0.0434 |
| 1979 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 0.0081 | 0.0139 | 0.0643 | 0.0143 | 0.0235 | 0.0191 | 0.0463 | 0.0580 |
| 1981 | 0.0069 | 0.0196 | 0.0535 | 0.0151 | 0.0157 | 0.0198 | 0.0472 | 0.0306 |


| 1982 | 0.0080 | 0.0346 | 0.0418 | 0.0413 | 0.0188 | 0.0248 | 0.0556 | 0.0400 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1983 | 0.0119 | 0.0074 | 0.0312 | 0.0192 | 0.0106 | 0.0153 | 0.0363 | 0.0357 |
| 1984 | 0.0051 | 0.0018 | 0.0403 | 0.0612 | 0.0152 | 0.0175 | 0.0435 | 0.0200 |
| 1985 | 0.0074 | 0.0077 | 0.0685 | 0.0257 | 0.0283 | 0.0456 | 0.0371 | 0.0164 |
| 1986 | 0.0155 | 0.0041 | 0.0686 | 0.0572 | 0.0212 | 0.0293 | 0.0306 | 0.0672 |
| 1987 | 0.0130 | 0.0110 | 0.0608 | 0.0143 | 0.0460 | 0.0236 | 0.0446 | 0.0300 |
| 1988 | 0.0195 | 0.0191 | 0.1204 | 0.0199 | 0.0607 | 0.0326 | 0.0469 | 0.0215 |
| 1989 | 0.0274 | 0.0333 | 0.1829 | 0.0420 | 0.0442 | 0.0430 | 0.0308 | 0.0616 |
| 1990 | 0.0217 | 0.0269 | 0.1271 | 0.0468 | 0.0630 | 0.0591 | 0.0429 | 0.0662 |
| 1991 | 0.0195 | 0.0398 | 0.1375 | 0.0649 | 0.0781 | 0.1039 | 0.0568 | 0.1221 |
| 1992 | 0.0148 | 0.0306 | 0.0472 | 0.0390 | 0.0448 | 0.0289 | 0.0370 | 0.0643 |
| 1993 | 0.0185 | 0.0265 | 0.0600 | 0.0479 | 0.0277 | 0.0283 | 0.0415 | 0.0865 |
| 1994 | 0.0303 | 0.0544 | 0.0834 | 0.0512 | 0.0612 | 0.0287 | 0.0403 | 0.1125 |
| 1995 | 0.0249 | 0.0352 | 0.0834 | 0.0319 | 0.0766 | 0.0418 | 0.0292 | 0.0870 |
| 1996 | 0.0332 | 0.0263 | 0.1143 | 0.0320 | 0.0844 | 0.0414 | 0.0167 | 0.0521 |
| 1997 | 0.0285 | 0.0254 | 0.0730 | 0.0263 | 0.0633 | 0.0755 | 0.0149 | 0.0478 |
| 1998 | 0.0299 | 0.0374 | 0.1082 | 0.0268 | 0.0421 | 0.0426 | 0.0094 | 0.0430 |
| 1999 | 0.0341 | 0.0270 | 0.2152 | 0.0382 | 0.0697 | 0.0840 | 0.0108 | 0.0658 |
| 2000 | 0.0366 | 0.0143 | 0.1734 | 0.0259 | 0.0699 | 0.0960 | 0.0103 | 0.0376 |
| 2001 | 0.0263 | 0.0367 | 0.1364 | 0.0461 | 0.0681 | 0.1045 | 0.0237 | 0.1012 |
| 2002 | 0.0145 | 0.0315 | 0.0720 | 0.0110 | 0.0433 | 0.0499 | 0.0082 | 0.0669 |
| 2003 | 0.0093 | 0.0148 | 0.0743 | 0.0027 | 0.0362 | 0.0346 | 0.0067 | 0.0851 |
| 2004 | 0.0035 | 0.0021 | 0.0427 | 0.0023 | 0.0304 | 0.0227 | 0.0028 | 0.0350 |

## RecFIN CPFV species association

A major problem with CPUE analysis is determining if a data point should be included in the analysis. If a unit of effort has no chance of catching the species of interest, it should not be included in the analysis. For example, trips that focus solely on tuna will not catch California scorpionfish. However, it is often difficult to determine targeting of a trip. If the targeting changes over time this will bias the index of abundance derived from the CPUE analysis. We used a logistic regression method of Stephens and MacCall (2004) to determine the probability of catching California scorpionfish based on the presence of other species of fish in the catch. The association of other species is given in Figure D1.18.


Figure D1.18. The association of species of fish with California scorpionfish in the CPFV catch from the RecFIN database.

We applied a delta-gamma regression to the data records that were determined to have at least a $31 \%$ probability of capturing a California scorpionfish. The cutoff was based on the logistic regression recommended threshold probability. Initial analyses suggested the results were not sensitive to this cutoff. Data are available from 1980-2003 with a hiatus in 1990-1992. The catch in numbers per angler hour fished was regressed against year, month, and a dummy variable indicating if the fishing was inside or outside the 3 mile line. Separate regressions were carried on for San Diego, Orange, and Los Angeles counties and for Santa Barbara and Ventura counties combined. Based on the AIC criteria, Los Angeles and San Diego counties included all explanatory variables; Orange County
and Santa Barbara-Ventura counties did not include month. The indices are given in table D1.8.

Table D1.8. Index and coefficient of variation for the RecFIN CPFV species association delta-gamma CPUE standardization.

|  | SD |  | ORG |  |  | LA |  | SB-VEN |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Year | Index | CV | Index | CV | Index | CV | Index | CV |  |
| 1980 | 0.0079 | 0.37 | 0.0246 | 0.73 | 0.0892 | 0.80 | 0.0028 | 0.62 |  |
| 1981 | 0.0082 | 0.38 | 0.0127 | 0.34 | 0.0111 | 0.42 | 0.0063 | 0.63 |  |
| 1982 | 0.0174 | 0.28 | 0.0072 | 0.37 | 0.0387 | 0.54 | 0.0048 | 1.07 |  |
| 1983 | 0.0127 | 0.19 | 0.0110 | 0.64 | 0.0124 | 0.37 |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 0.0142 | 0.19 | 0.0109 | 0.35 | 0.0168 | 0.34 | 0.0017 | 0.57 |  |
| 1985 | 0.0182 | 0.27 | 0.0329 | 0.40 | 0.0306 | 0.35 | 0.0019 | 0.66 |  |
| 1986 | 0.0186 | 0.32 | 0.0367 | 0.38 | 0.0177 | 0.31 | 0.0037 | 0.63 |  |
| 1987 | 0.0678 | 0.87 | 0.0145 | 0.62 | 0.0304 | 0.54 |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 0.0302 | 0.33 | 0.1276 | 0.54 | 0.0497 | 0.48 | 0.0054 | 0.69 |  |
| 1989 | 0.0256 | 0.41 | 0.0208 | 0.87 | 0.1821 | 0.54 | 0.0267 | 0.42 |  |
| 1993 |  |  | 0.0185 | 0.84 | 0.0082 | 0.75 |  |  |  |
| 1994 |  |  | 0.0082 | 0.60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 0.0344 | 0.27 | 0.0611 | 0.78 | 0.0247 | 0.31 | 0.0067 | 0.62 |  |
| 1996 | 0.0523 | 0.43 | 0.1098 | 0.49 | 0.0346 | 0.34 | 0.0076 | 0.47 |  |
| 1997 | 0.0955 | 0.49 | 0.0585 | 0.46 | 0.0508 | 0.71 | 0.0154 | 0.67 |  |
| 1998 | 0.0995 | 0.32 | 0.0124 | 0.48 | 0.0198 | 0.40 | 0.0171 | 0.61 |  |
| 1999 | 0.1380 | 0.49 | 0.1141 | 0.54 | 0.0674 | 0.31 | 0.0087 | 0.29 |  |
| 2000 | 0.0804 | 0.22 | 0.0514 | 0.34 | 0.0952 | 0.46 | 0.0200 | 0.45 |  |
| 2001 | 0.0748 | 0.25 | 0.0174 | 0.48 | 0.0714 | 0.33 | 0.0139 | 0.45 |  |
| 2002 | 0.0946 | 0.32 | 0.0670 | 0.47 | 0.0662 | 0.41 | 0.0107 | 0.39 |  |
| 2003 | 0.1091 | 0.37 | 0.0796 | 0.36 | 0.1199 | 0.33 | 0.0082 | 0.32 |  |

## Trawl CPUE

Trip records are available from trawl logbooks for the Northern Nearshore and the north Central Nearshore sub-areas. We used a delta-gamma model to regress California scorpionfish catch against the explanatory variables year, month, CDFG block, vessel id, and tow hours as explanatory variables. For both sub-stocks all variables except vessel id were selected using the AIC criteria. The indices and CVs are given in table D1.9.

Table D1.9. Indices of abundance and CVs for the trawl CPUE data.

|  | Northern |  | north Central <br> Nearshore |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Index | CV | Index | CV |
| 1985 | 0.1171 | 0.73 |  |  |
| 1993 | 0.5812 | 0.77 |  |  |
| 1994 | 0.3920 | 0.35 |  |  |
| 1995 | 2.1694 | 0.34 |  |  |
| 1996 | 1.5926 | 0.35 |  |  |
| 1997 | 1.4878 | 0.34 | 18.6150 | 0.18 |
| 1998 | 0.7517 | 0.33 | 11.6147 | 0.24 |
| 1999 | 1.6335 | 0.29 | 5.3210 | 0.29 |
| 2000 | 1.0703 | 0.31 | 6.4487 | 0.40 |
| 2001 | 0.2100 | 0.32 | 4.3816 | 0.37 |
| 2002 | 0.0920 | 0.41 | 6.7141 | 0.73 |
| 2003 | 0.0140 | 0.52 | 5.0424 | 0.63 |

## Impingement data

We were unable to obtain the impingement data in time for the assessment.

## Sanitation surveys

The sanitation districts of the counties in southern California carry out trawl surveys to monitor the effects of the sewer outfalls. These surveys record the number of California scorpionfish captured and, usually, measure the length of the fish. The lengths are measured in standard length. Data are available from Palos Verdes, Hyperion, Orange County, and San Diego. An index for the southern California stock was created by scaling the indices to have the same average for the overlapping period and then taking the average weighted by the inverse of the variance. Indices of abundance and CVs are given in Table D1.10.

Table D1.10. Index value and CV for the Sanitation District surveys.

| Year | ORG <br> Index | Hyperion |  |  | Palos Verdes |  | San Diego |  | Combined |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CV | Index | CV | Index | CV | Index | CV | Index | CV |
| 1970 | 0.89 | 0.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.30 | 0.82 |
| 1971 | 0.6 | 0.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.20 | 0.52 |
| 1972 | 1.35 | 0.55 |  |  | 0.13 | 0.73 |  |  | 0.33 | 0.46 |
| 1973 | 2.49 | 0.54 |  |  | 0.22 | 0.61 |  |  | 0.54 | 0.42 |
| 1974 | 0.95 | 0.56 |  |  | 0.14 | 0.48 |  |  | 0.30 | 0.37 |
| 1975 | 1.15 | 0.36 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.77 |  |  | 0.31 | 0.34 |
| 1976 | 0.9 | 0.43 |  |  | 0.15 | 0.61 |  |  | 0.31 | 0.35 |
| 1977 | 1.21 | 0.32 |  |  | 0.35 | 0.36 |  |  | 0.47 | 0.24 |
| 1978 | 2.17 | 0.21 |  |  | 0.07 | 0.7 |  |  | 0.33 | 0.26 |
| 1979 | 2.73 | 0.28 |  |  | 0.85 | 0.22 |  |  | 1.19 | 0.18 |
| 1980 | 2.74 | 0.27 |  |  | 0.99 | 0.28 |  |  | 1.12 | 0.21 |
| 1981 | 2.14 | 0.3 |  |  | 1.64 | 0.26 |  |  | 0.88 | 0.24 |
| 1982 | 3.66 | 0.71 |  |  | 2.01 | 0.36 |  |  | 2.00 | 0.38 |
| 1983 | 0.42 | 0.4 |  |  | 0.71 | 0.34 |  |  | 0.16 | 0.35 |
| 1984 | 0.87 | 0.52 |  |  | 0.14 | 0.48 |  |  | 0.29 | 0.35 |
| 1985 | 1.07 | 0.33 |  |  | 0.4 | 0.32 | 0.13 | 0.86 | 0.19 | 0.31 |
| 1986 | 1.47 | 0.48 |  |  | 0.59 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.59 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| 1987 | 3.48 | 0.39 | 2.86 | 0.77 | 0.73 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.90 | 0.64 | 0.26 |
| 1988 | 5.84 | 0.32 | 1.06 | 0.53 | 0.42 | 0.34 | 2.20 | 0.42 | 0.96 | 0.21 |
| 1989 | 2.51 | 0.41 | 1.32 | 0.39 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 2.26 | 0.31 | 0.65 | 0.20 |
| 1990 | 2.53 | 0.44 | 1.77 | 0.44 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 1.48 | 0.30 | 0.88 | 0.18 |
| 1991 | 2.38 | 0.47 | 0.39 | 0.53 | 0.16 | 0.39 | 0.73 | 0.52 | 0.33 | 0.25 |
| 1992 | 1.26 | 0.45 | 0.13 | 1.07 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.81 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.21 |
| 1993 | 1.6 | 0.31 | 1.37 | 0.41 | 0.18 | 0.38 | 1.22 | 0.20 | 0.56 | 0.15 |
| 1994 | 2.48 | 0.47 | 1.55 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.83 | 0.30 | 0.58 | 0.19 |
| 1995 | 1.21 | 0.52 | 1.79 | 0.3 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 1.45 | 0.21 | 0.78 | 0.15 |
| 1996 | 1.84 | 0.53 | 2.34 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.24 | 1.96 | 0.20 | 1.05 | 0.13 |
| 1997 | 4.55 | 0.46 | 2.01 | 0.29 | 0.76 | 0.25 | 1.21 | 0.24 | 0.97 | 0.15 |
| 1998 | 3.11 | 0.54 | 1.19 | 0.33 | 0.52 | 0.29 | 1.58 | 0.21 | 0.92 | 0.15 |
| 1999 | 2.54 | 0.38 | 2.08 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 3.13 | 0.16 | 1.07 | 0.13 |
| 2000 | 4.02 | 0.39 | 1.9 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 2.29 | 0.19 | 1.05 | 0.15 |
| 2001 | 3.15 | 0.33 | 2.14 | 0.24 | 0.67 | 0.42 | 2.20 | 0.17 | 1.29 | 0.12 |
| 2002 | 3.45 | 0.52 | 1.37 | 0.38 | 1.77 | 0.91 | 1.14 | 0.23 | 0.76 | 0.19 |
| 2003 | 4.05 | 0.82 | 1.78 | 0.36 | 0.5 | 0.48 | 2.88 | 0.31 | 1.25 | 0.21 |
| 2004 |  |  | 2.55 | 0.28 | 0.86 | 0.49 | 2.09 | 0.39 | 1.50 | 0.21 |

## Hyperion

Only the stations with a reasonable time series and catches of scorpionfish were used in the analysis (stations A1, A3, C1-C6, C9, C9A, D1, D1T, HT10-HT11, Z2-Z3). A deltagamma model is used to regress catch in numbers per tow versus the explanatory variables year, month and station. A binomial model is used to model the proportion positive and a gamma model is used to model the distribution of positive observations. The same explanatory variables are used in both the binomial and gamma components of the model. A combined year effect from the binomial and gamma models is used to
represent the relative abundance. A jackknife procedure is used to calculate standard errors for the year effects. The analysis was carried out using the R code provided by E.J. Dick. The best model based on the AIC criterion included all explanatory variables. The index values and CVs are given in table D1.10.

## Orange County

Only the stations with a long time series of years were used in the analysis (stations T0T6 and T10-T11). A delta-gamma model is used to regress catch in numbers per tow versus the explanatory variables year, month and station. A binomial model is used to model the proportion positive and a gamma model is used to model the distribution of positive observations. The same explanatory variables are used in both the binomial and gamma components of the model. A combined year effect from the binomial and gamma models is used to represent the relative abundance. A jackknife procedure is used to calculate standard errors for the year effects. The analysis was carried out using the R code provided by E.J. Dick. The best model based on the AIC criterion included all explanatory variables. The index and CVs are given in table D1.10.

## Palos Verdes

Most of the stations were sampled every year so all the stations were used in the analysis. A delta-gamma model is used to regress catch in numbers per tow versus the explanatory variables year, month and station. A binomial model is used to model the proportion positive and a gamma model is used to model the distribution of positive observations. The same explanatory variables are used in both the binomial and gamma components of the model. A combined year effect from the binomial and gamma models is used to represent the relative abundance. A jackknife procedure is used to calculate standard errors for the year effects. The analysis was carried out using the R code provided by E.J. Dick. The best model based on the AIC criterion included all explanatory variables. The index values and CVs are given in table D1.10.

## San Diego

A delta-gamma model is used to regress catch in numbers per tow versus the explanatory variables year, quarter and station. A binomial model is used to model the proportion positive and a gamma model is used to model the distribution of positive observations. The same explanatory variables are used in both the binomial and gamma components of the model. A combined year effect from the binomial and gamma models is used to represent the relative abundance. A jackknife procedure is used to calculate standard errors for the year effects. The analysis was carried out using the R code provided by E.J. Dick. The best model based on the AIC criterion included all explanatory variables. The index values and CVs are given in table D1.10.

## CalCOFI Surveys

UCSD Scripps Institution of Oceanography, CDFG, and the National Marine Fisheries Service have carried out a plankton survey on a regular basis since 1951 (Moser et al. 1993). Unfortunately, larvae for Scorpaena have not been identified to the species level. Dr William Watson of NMFS SWFSC looked at Scorpaena larvae from Mexican waters
on CalCOFI cruises 5707, 6608, and 8108. Based on these samples, S. guttata larvae occur at least as far south as Punta San Juanico (line 133), and other Scorpaena larvae occur as far north as Punta Abreojos (line 130). Adult S. guttata are reported to occur as far south as Punta Abreojos; other species in the south are S. sonorae that has been reported to occur as far north as the Bahia Magdalena/Punta Marquis vicinity on the outer coast (about CalCOFI line 147); S. histrio as far north as Cabo San Lucas vicinity; and S. mystes, which ranges north either to the Cabo San Lucas vicinity, or else to California, depending on the source (pers. com Dr William Watson of NMFS SWFSC). It appears that Scorpaena spp. larvae south to at least line 133 are mostly (> 95\%) S. guttata or are consistent with S. guttata (pers. com Dr William Watson of NMFS SWFSC).

The CalCOFI bongo tows have 308 tows positive for Scorpaena, 8 of which were identified as S. guttata. Two hundred eighty-eight of these occur at line 133 or further north. The standardized count per tow has a large variation with the highest being 3416 . This occurred at line 127 in August 1956. The CalCOFI manta tows have 10 tows positive for Scorpaena all of which were identified as S. guttata (1981 = 5, $1984=1$, $1990=1,1992=1,1994=1$ ). Only data from the bongo tows are used in this analysis. The CalCOFI cruses stopped covering Mexican waters in 1985 and we were unable to obtain the equivalent data from the Mexican survey in time for the assessment. Scorpaena were not identified in the survey before 1956. Therefore, we use data from 1956 to 1984 in this analysis. We use data including and north of line 133 and assume that this indicates the abundance of California scorpionfish.

The explanatory variables included year, month, latitude, latitude squared, station, and station squared. A binomial error was used for the proportion positive and a lognormal error structure was used to model the positive tows. Based on the AIC criteria, the best model included all the explanatory variables. The year 1968 only had one positive and this could not be used to generate jackknife estimates of uncertainty. The jackknife procedure was too computationally intensive to run, so confidence intervals were not calculated. The index is given in table D1.11.

This index is mainly for biomass that spawns off Mexico. It is possible that either adults from off the US coast migrate to Mexican waters to spawn or that the larvae are moved by the currents from off the coast of the US into Mexican waters.

Table D1.11. Abundance index from the CalCOFI survey.

| Year | Index |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1956 | 0.1337 |
| 1957 | 0.1750 |
| 1958 | 0.0133 |
| 1959 | 0.0351 |
| 1960 | 0.0628 |
| 1961 | 0.0955 |
| 1962 | 0.0948 |
| 1963 | 0.0786 |
| 1964 | 0.0412 |
| 1965 | 0.0552 |
| 1966 | 0.1325 |
| 1967 | 0.1122 |
| 1968 | 0.2806 |
| 1969 | 0.0821 |
| 1972 | 0.1192 |
| 1975 | 0.2001 |
| 1978 | 0.0415 |
| 1981 | 0.0898 |
| 1984 | 0.0000 |

## Comparison of abundance indexes

The abundance indices from the CPFV trip data and the data summarized by month and CDFG bock are essentially the same for the years that overlap. The indices from the RecFIN species association data show the same trends (Figure D1.19), but have much more uncertainty than the other two indices. Therefore, they are not considered for the assessment. There is substantial variation in the targeting of the CPFV fleet (Figure D1.20). In the late 1950s the proportion of trips that caught highly migratory species greatly increased and then decline to the mid 1970s.

The CalCOFI index shows a similar trend to the CPFV CPUE index for the Mexican area except for the first two data points (Figure D1.21).

Due to the short period of the trawl CPUE indices and the management changes that occurred in the late 1990s and early 2000s, comparisons with the CPFV are not appropriate.

The inter-annual variation of the Hyperion sanitation index and the north Central Nearshore CPFV CPUE index has some similarities, but differs in more recent years where management regulations may have influenced the CPUE (Figure D1.22).

The Orange County Sanitation District survey index shows the same general upward trend as the CPFV CPUE index for the south Central Nearshore area over the period 1980 to 1999 (Figure D1.22). However, the inter-annual variations are different. The
differences after 1999 could be due to management measures introduced at this time. There are large differences between the two indices before 1980.

The Palos Verdes sanitation index differs substantially from the north Central Nearshore CPFV CPUE index (Figure D1.22).

The San Diego sanitation index is similar to the Southern Nearshore CPFV index (Figure D1.22).

The sanitation indices all show similar trends, with an increase since the early 1990s (Figure D1.23).


Figure D1.19. Comparison of species composition RecFIN CFPV CPUE indices with CPFV logbook CPUE indices.


Figure D1.20. Proportion of trips that had positive catches of rockfish (rfish) and highly migratory species (hms).


Figure D1.21. Comparison of the CPFV CPUE index from the Mexican area with the CalCOFI survey index.


Figure D1.22. Comparison of the CPFV CPUE indices with the sanitation survey indices.


Figure D1.23. Comparison of the sanitation survey indices.

## 2. History of modeling approaches

This is the first time that California scorpionfish has been assessed. No previous stock assessment models are available for this species.

## 3. Model Description

The stock assessment is carried out using Stock Synthesis II version 1.18 (SS2; Methot 2005 [SS2 version 1.19 was used to update the MSY quantities]). SS2 is an agestructured statistical stock assessment model programmed in AD Model Builder (http://otter-rsch.com/admodel.htm). SS2 is general, fits to multiple data types, and allows for a range of assumptions about the dynamics of the population and the fisheries. We chose to use a sex-structured model to allow for the differences in the growth rates between males and females (love et al. 1987). Age 25 is used as a plus group to accumulate all older fish and the biological characteristics for all fish in this group are assumed to be the same. In general, the model is fit to catch-at-length data and abundance indices. The stock is modeled from a virgin (unexploited) population in 1916. There is no catch-at-length data for the fish pot fishery and we assume that the selectivity for this gear is the same as the hook and line fishery. The gillnet fishery catches larger fish than all the other fisheries, but comes from a limited spatial area. Therefore, we set the selectivity of the gillnet fishery to that of the hook and line fishery and excluded the gillnet length-frequency data from the analysis. All the selectivities are length-based and it is assumed that this length based selectivity is the same for males and females. However, because males and females have different mean lengths at age, males and females will have different age-specific selectivities. Due to changes in the minimum legal size, two time blocks are used for the commercial and recreational fisheries. For the recreational fisheries they are 1916-1999 and 2000-2004. For the commercial fisheries they are 1916-1998 and 1999-2004. Length data are recorded as total length (TL, or equivalently fork length) to the nearest millimeter for all the fisheries. The sanitation survey data are measured in standard length (SL) and often to the nearest centimeter. Conversion from centimeter SL bins to TL causes problems with clumping of data, therefore we convert all data and parameters into SL and use SL as the basis for the analysis. One centimeter bins from 1 to 59 (lower bounds of bins) are used to represent the catch-at-length data. Selectivity curves for all fisheries are assumed to be asymptotic and modeled using the SS2 double logistic. The selectivity for the lowest length bin is set close to zero, the peak is set to the largest length bin, the selectivity for the oldest length bin is set close to 1 , and the slope and inflection point of the left hand limb are the only parameters estimated. Catch and catch-at-length data from the CPFV and private recreational boats are combined into a single fishery. The commercial catch is included in the model in tons and the recreational catch is included in the model in thousands of fish. Mean length at age is taken from the growth equation estimated by Love et al. (1987) converted into standard length and the coefficient of variation for length at age is assumed as 0.05 .

The biological parameters used in the SS2 assessments were converted to SL from TL (Table D3.1). SS2 uses a logistic function to represent maturity at length and a linear regression for eggs per kilogram. This does not correspond to the maturity and fecundity data for scorpionfish (see above). Therefore, we combine the GSI (grams of eggs/grams
of weight) and the maturity schedule into a single maturity schedule by fitting the logistic function (Figure D3.1).

Table D3.1. Biological parameters used in SS2 based on SL.

| Parameter | Female | Male |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| SL(1) | 10.727 | 12.467 |
| SL(25) | 34.560 | 28.151 |
| K | 0.130 | 0.120 |
| SL_a | 0.053 | 0.056 |
| SL_b | 2.911 | 2.902 |
| Mat_slope | -0.466 |  |
| Mat_int | 17.188 |  |



Figure D3.1. The maturity schedule used in SS2 developed by fitting the logistic function to the GSI*maturity at length.

There are six candidate indices of abundance, but only the trip based CPFV CPUE and the sanitation indices are used.

1) Trawl CPUE. Due to management restrictions on the commercial fishery that were initiated in 1999, the trawl survey CPUE index is not considered a reliable index of abundance and is not use in the analysis.
2) CPFV CPUE based on trip records, 1980-2003. This index is considered a reasonable representation of the abundance for years prior to the implementation of management restrictions in 2000, and these years are used in the analysis. The index was included in the model as an index of number of fish.
3) CPFV CPUE based on month and CDFG block summarized data 1936-2004. The years 1980 - 2003 are duplicates of the CPFV CPUE based on trip records and it is thought that earlier data may be influenced by changes in technology and targeting. Therefore, this index is not included in the analysis.
4) CPFV CPUE based on RecFIN data and species association selection of records. These data were not used because it shows similar trends to the trip based CPUE, but has much larger CVs.
5) The sanitation surveys. These fishery independent surveys are thought to be a reasonable representation of the abundance and used in the analysis. The four indices were combined to form a single index. The index was included in the model as a an index of number of fish.

Catch-at-length data are available from the commercial, recreational, and sanitation surveys.

Catch-at-age data are available from the biological studies of Love et al. (1987). These data are from trawls around the Palos Verdes area. Due to the limited spatial coverage and the opportunistic sampling, the data are assumed to be inappropriate to include in the analysis.

The steepness of the Beverton-Holt stock-recruitment relationship is assumed equal to 0.7, the natural mortality is assumed equal to 0.25 for both sexes, and the coefficient of variation of length at age is assumed equal to 0.05 . The model estimates the virgin recruitment, the catchability coefficients for the CPFV trip CPUE index and the sanitation survey indices, annual recruitment deviates for 1966 to 2001, and the slope and inflection point of the logistic selectivity curve for the hook and line, gillnet, trawl, recreational, and sanitation survey logistic selectivity curves (two time periods of selectivities for the fisheries).

## 4. Model selection and evaluation

## 5. Base run results

The model provides a reasonable fit to both the indices of abundance used in the model (Figure D5.1). The model generally fits the length-frequency data well, except for the
gillnet data which is not included in the objective function and the selectivity for this gear is assumed to be the same as the hook and line fishery (Figure D5.2). There are some outliers in the length-frequency data.

The spawning biomass is estimated to be $80 \%$ of the average unexploited population level (Figure D5.3, Table D5.1). Recruitment has been generally higher than average since the mid 1980s. The estimated selectivity curves are given in Figure D5.4 and show that the increase in minimum legal size has reduced the selectivity of small fish as expected.

The spawning biomass is reasonably well estimated (Figure D5.5) and there is no clear relationship between recruitment and the spawning stock size (Figure D5.6).

Table D5.1. Estimated quantities from the assessment.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Discards | 133 | 154 | 178 | 163 | 261 | 209 | 198 | 110 | 94 | 81 |
| Landings (mt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84.9 | 84.9 |
| OY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| With sanitation survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SPR | 0.482 | 0.455 | 0.435 | 0.471 | 0.383 | 0.418 | 0.420 | 0.530 | 0.587 | 0.656 |
| Exploitation rate | 0.129 | 0.155 | 0.180 | 0.153 | 0.254 | 0.185 | 0.175 | 0.098 | 0.085 | 0.071 |
| Summary (age 2+) biomass | 1444 | 1611 | 1687 | 1703 | 1688 | 1635 | 1743 | 1803 | 1848 | 1864 |
| Spawning stock biomass | 530 | 580 | 629 | 663 | 691 | 636 | 612 | 623 | 704 | 774 |
| (cv) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.10 |
| Recruitment | 3025 | 2652 | 2223 | 3261 | 4660 | 3474 | 2103 | 1930 | 1968 | 1996 |
| Depletion level | 0.518 | 0.567 | 0.615 | 0.648 | 0.675 | 0.622 | 0.598 | 0.608 | 0.688 | 0.756 |
| (cv) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.798 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.10 | 0.10 |


| Without sanitation survey |  |  | 0.510 | 0.489 | 0.470 | 0.506 | 0.410 | 0.457 | 0.456 | 0.561 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.590 | 0.622 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPR | 0.114 | 0.138 | 0.163 | 0.144 | 0.256 | 0.204 | 0.207 | 0.124 | 0.111 | 0.096 |
| Exploitation rate | 609 | 680 | 738 | 771 | 788 | 700 | 631 | 564 | 557 | 557 |
| Summary (age 2+) biomass | 1676 | 1801 | 1933 | 1894 | 1830 | 1646 | 1522 | 1405 | 1376 | 1358 |
| Spawning stock biomass | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.09 |
| (cv) | 3997 | 1984 | 1905 | 1915 | 1924 | 1893 | 1865 | 1831 | 1827 | 1827 |
| Recruitment | 0.623 | 0.695 | 0.755 | 0.788 | 0.805 | 0.715 | 0.645 | 0.577 | 0.569 | 0.569 |
| Depletion level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.576 |  |
| (cv) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.08 | 0.07 |



Figure D5.1a. Fit of the model to the indices of abundance.


Figure D5.1b. Bias adjusted standardized residuals from the fit of the model to the indices of abundance.


Figure D5.2a. Fit to the Hook and Line commercial length frequency data.


Figure D5.2a continued. Fit to the Hook and Line commercial length frequency data.




Figure D5.2b. Fit to the set net commercial length frequency data. Note that these data were not included in the objective function.


Figure D5.2c. Fit to the trawl commercial length frequency data.









Figure D5.2c continued. Fit to the trawl commercial length frequency data.




Figure D5.2d. Fit to the recreational length frequency data.


Figure D5.2d continued. Fit to the recreational length frequency data.


Figure D5.2d continued. Fit to the recreational length frequency data.




Figure D5.2e. Fit to the sanitation survey length frequency.


Figure D5.2e continued. Fit to the sanitation survey length frequency.


Figure D5.2e continued. Fit to the sanitation survey length frequency.


Figure D5.2e continued. Fit to the sanitation survey length frequency.




Figure D5.2f. Standardized residuals from the fit to the recreational length frequency.
Top - by year, Middle - by length. The large numbers of zeros is due to the method of choosing the length-frequency bins to use in the likelihood. This method includes many bins with zero observed individuals, which are also predicted to have zero individuals.




Figure D5.2g. Standardized residuals from the fit to the sanitation survey length frequency. Top - by year, Middle - by length.


Figure D5.3. Spawning biomass ratio, exploitation rate, recruitment, and total catch estimated for by the model.


Figure D5.4. Estimated size specific selectivity curves for fisheries and sanitation survey.


Figure D5.5. Spawning biomass and approximate 95\% confidence intervals.



Figure D5.6 Spawner-recruit plots for the basecase and the sensitivity that excludes the sanitation survey.

## 6. Uncertainty and sensitivity analyses

The current status is sensitive to the inclusion of the sanitation index in the stock assessment; removing the sanitation index reduces the current biomass level. To match information content in the data, annual recruitment deviates were not estimated after 1996 when the sanitation district trawl survey was excluded from the analysis. The STAR Panel and STAT Team gave relative probabilities to models including and excluding the
sanitation index of $74 \%$ and $26 \%$, respectively. The results of the sensitivity analysis are shown in Figure D6.1.

Other sensitivity analyses were carried out to investigate natural mortality (M) steepness of the Beverton-Holt stock-recruitment relationship (h) and the coefficient of variation of the length-at-age (Lcv). The overall management implications of the results were not very sensitive to the values investigated (Table D6.1). The data supported higher values of all three parameters. When Lcv was estimated, it became unrealistically high and the exploitation rates become higher than 1 , which is not plausible. This is the basis for $a$ priori choosing a reasonable value for Lcv.

The model results were slightly (a few percent) sensitive to the initial parameter starting values due to local minima, including 2005 and 2006 catch based on the 2004 catch in the estimation period, and including the forward projections in the estimation model. However, this does not change the conclusions of the analysis.

There is a large amount of variation in recruitment levels and recent recruitments are estimated to be substantially higher than average. Predictions of future biomass will be dependent on what recruitment level is assumed in the future. Projections presented in this report use average recruitment based on the Beverton-Holt stock-recruitment relationship.


Figure D6.1. Comparison of age 2+ biomass, recruitment and depletion level from the sensitivity analysis excluding the sanitation survey and the base model that includes the sanitation survey.

Table D6.1. Results from the sensitivity analyses. Lcv is the coefficient of variation for the length-at-age.

|  | basecase | No sanitation | $\mathrm{M}=0.2$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.3$ | $h=0.5$ | $\mathrm{h}=1.0$ | $L c v=0.0 .25$ | Lcv $=0.075$ | Lcv $=0.1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unfished recruitment (R0; age 0) | 2067 | 1975 | 1175 | 3339 | 2035 | 1944 | 2262 | 1841 | 1712 |
| Unfished spawning biomass (SBO) | 1024 | 978 | 973 | 1056 | 1008 | 963 | 1112 | 922 | 872 |
| Depletion 2005 | 0.80 | 0.58 | 0.75 | 0.87 | 0.79 | 0.86 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 0.63 |
| -LN(Likelihood) | 836 | NA | 862 | 819 | 853 | 820 | 1014 | 761 | 723 |

## E. Rebuilding parameters

The status of the stock does not require rebuilding.

## F. Reference points (biomass and exploitation rate)

Table F1. Reference points estimated from the basecase analysis and the sensitivity excluding the sanitation survey index.

Biological Reference Points

| Quantity | Include sanitation index | Exclude sanitation index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unfished spawning biomass ( $\mathrm{SB}_{0}$ ) | 1024 | 978 |
| Unfished summary (age $2+$ ) biomass ( $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ ) | 2007 | 1918 |
| Unfished recruitment ( $\mathrm{R}_{0}$; age 0) | 2067 | 1975 |
| $\mathrm{SB}_{40 \%}$ (MSY proxy stock size $=0.4 \times \mathrm{SB}_{0}$ ) | 409 | 391 |
| Exploitation rate at $\mathrm{F}_{50 \%}$ proxy | 0.098 | 0.098 |
| $\mathrm{SB}_{\text {MSY }} / \mathrm{SB}_{0}$ | 0.253 | 0.257 |
| MSY | 127 | 121 |
| Exploitation rate at MSY | 0.161 | 0.160 |



Figure F1. Exploitation rates versus spawning biomass plots from the basecase analysis and the sensitivity excluding the sanitation survey index.

## G. Harvest projections and decision tables

The population assessments were projected forward under the default PFMC and California NFMP harvest policies (i.e. F50\% with 40:10 and 60:20 reduction, respectively). All scenarios assume that catch in 2005 and 2006 is equal to the catch in 2004. Results are presented in Table G1.

A decision table was created with two states of nature and three management options. Uncertainty in the analysis (the states of nature) is represented by including and excluding the sanitation districts trawl survey. Management action alternatives considered were: (1) harvesting using the 40:20 rule based on the assessment including the sanitation districts trawl survey; (2) harvesting using the 60:20 rule based on the assessment including the sanitation districts trawl survey; and (3) harvesting using catch in 2004. All scenarios assume that catch in 2005 and 2006 is equal to the catch in 2004. Results are presented in Table G2.

Table G1. Forward projections under the default PFMC and California NFMP harvest policies (i.e. F50\% with 40:10 and 60:20 reduction, respectively). All scenarios assume that catch in 2005 and 2006 is equal to the catch in 2004. Exploitation rates are in terms of the oldest aged fish in the model (Age 25 plus group) and not summary biomass.

With Sanitation Survey

| Year | 40:10 | Biomass Age 2+ | Spawning Biomass | Depletion | Commercial catch (mt) | Commercial harvest rate | Sport Catch (mt) | Sport Harvest Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | NA | 1866 | 816 | 0.80 | 5.2 | 0.005 | 78.9 | 0.121 |
| 2006 | NA | 1846 | 827 | 0.81 | 5.2 | 0.005 | 82.6 | 0.113 |
| 2007 | 1 | 1811 | 818 | 0.80 | 13.4 | 0.013 | 222.2 | 0.291 |
| 2008 | 1 | 1633 | 703 | 0.69 | 11.5 | 0.013 | 191.0 | 0.291 |
| 2009 | 1 | 1503 | 623 | 0.61 | 10.0 | 0.013 | 164.9 | 0.291 |
| 2010 | 1 | 1412 | 572 | 0.56 | 9.0 | 0.013 | 145.7 | 0.291 |
| 2011 | 1 | 1348 | 541 | 0.53 | 8.3 | 0.013 | 132.6 | 0.291 |
| 2012 | 1 | 1303 | 520 | 0.51 | 7.9 | 0.013 | 124.0 | 0.291 |
| 2013 | 1 | 1271 | 505 | 0.49 | 7.6 | 0.013 | 118.4 | 0.291 |
| 2014 | 1 | 1246 | 494 | 0.48 | 7.4 | 0.013 | 114.6 | 0.291 |
| 2015 | 1 | 1226 | 485 | 0.47 | 7.2 | 0.013 | 111.8 | 0.291 |
| 2016 | 1 | 1210 | 478 | 0.47 | 7.1 | 0.013 | 109.5 | 0.291 |
| 2017 |  | 1198 | 472 | 0.46 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 60:20 | Biomass Age 2+ | Spawning Biomass | Depletion | Commercial catch (mt) | Commercial harvest rate | Sport Catch (mt) | Sport Harvest Rate |
| 2005 | NA | 1866 | 816 | 0.80 | 5.2 | 0.005 | 78.9 | 0.121 |
| 2006 | NA | 1846 | 827 | 0.81 | 5.2 | 0.005 | 82.6 | 0.113 |
| 2007 | 1.00 | 1811 | 818 | 0.80 | 13.4 | 0.013 | 222.2 | 0.291 |
| 2008 | 1.00 | 1633 | 703 | 0.69 | 11.5 | 0.013 | 191.0 | 0.291 |
| 2009 | 1.00 | 1503 | 623 | 0.61 | 10.0 | 0.013 | 164.9 | 0.291 |
| 2010 | 0.96 | 1412 | 572 | 0.56 | 8.7 | 0.013 | 140.4 | 0.280 |
| 2011 | 0.94 | 1354 | 544 | 0.53 | 7.8 | 0.012 | 125.2 | 0.272 |
| 2012 | 0.92 | 1315 | 528 | 0.52 | 7.3 | 0.012 | 116.4 | 0.267 |
| 2013 | 0.91 | 1289 | 517 | 0.51 | 7.1 | 0.012 | 111.1 | 0.264 |
| 2014 | 0.90 | 1269 | 510 | 0.50 | 6.9 | 0.012 | 107.6 | 0.261 |
| 2015 | 0.89 | 1254 | 504 | 0.49 | 6.7 | 0.012 | 105.0 | 0.259 |
| 2016 | 0.88 | 1243 | 499 | 0.49 | 6.6 | 0.012 | 103.0 | 0.257 |
| 2017 |  | 1234 | 495 | 0.48 |  |  |  |  |

Without Sanitation Survey

| Year | 40:10 | Biomass <br> Age 2+ | Spawning <br> Biomass | Depletion | Commercial <br> catch $(\mathrm{mt})$ | Commercial <br> harvest rate | Sport Catch <br> $(\mathrm{mt})$ | Sport Harvest <br> Rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 2005 | NA | 1352 | 563 | 0.58 | 5.2 | 0.007 | 82.0 | 0.151 |  |
| 2006 | NA | 1343 | 566 | 0.58 | 5.2 | 0.007 | 82.8 | 0.152 |  |
| 2007 | 1 | 1335 | 566 | 0.58 | 8.8 | 0.013 | 141.7 | 0.261 |  |
| 2008 | 1 | 1268 | 526 | 0.54 | 8.1 | 0.013 | 128.4 | 0.261 |  |
| 2009 | 1 | 1223 | 499 | 0.51 | 7.5 | 0.013 | 118.8 | 0.261 |  |
| 2010 | 1 | 1192 | 481 | 0.49 | 7.2 | 0.013 | 112.4 | 0.261 |  |
| 2011 | 1 | 1170 | 470 | 0.48 | 7.0 | 0.013 | 108.1 | 0.261 |  |
| 2012 | 1 | 1154 | 462 | 0.47 | 6.8 | 0.013 | 105.5 | 0.261 |  |


| 2013 | 1 | 1142 | 456 | 0.47 | 6.7 | 0.013 | 103.7 | 0.261 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2014 | 1 | 1132 | 451 | 0.46 | 6.7 | 0.013 | 102.3 | 0.261 |
| 2015 | 1 | 1123 | 447 | 0.46 | 6.6 | 0.013 | 101.3 | 0.261 |
| 2016 | 1 | 1117 | 443 | 0.45 | 6.5 | 0.013 | 100.4 | 0.261 |
| 2017 |  | 1111 | 441 | 0.45 |  |  |  |  |


| Year | $60: 20$ | Biomass <br> Age 2+ | Spawning <br> Biomass | Commercial <br> Depletion | Commercial <br> catch $(\mathrm{mt})$ | Sport Catch <br> harvest rate <br> $(\mathrm{mt})$ | Sport Harvest <br> Rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | NA | 1352 | 563 | 0.58 | 5.2 | 0.007 | 82.0 | 0.151 |
| 2006 | NA | 1343 | 566 | 0.58 | 5.2 | 0.007 | 82.8 | 0.152 |
| 2007 | 0.98 | 1335 | 566 | 0.58 | 8.6 | 0.013 | 139.1 | 0.256 |
| 2008 | 0.94 | 1271 | 528 | 0.54 | 7.6 | 0.012 | 121.8 | 0.246 |
| 2009 | 0.92 | 1232 | 505 | 0.52 | 7.0 | 0.012 | 110.9 | 0.240 |
| 2010 | 0.90 | 1208 | 492 | 0.50 | 6.7 | 0.012 | 104.5 | 0.236 |
| 2011 | 0.89 | 1192 | 484 | 0.50 | 6.5 | 0.011 | 100.7 | 0.233 |
| 2012 | 0.89 | 1181 | 479 | 0.49 | 6.3 | 0.011 | 98.6 | 0.232 |
| 2013 | 0.88 | 1173 | 476 | 0.49 | 6.3 | 0.011 | 97.2 | 0.231 |
| 2014 | 0.88 | 1167 | 473 | 0.48 | 6.2 | 0.011 | 96.1 | 0.230 |
| 2015 | 0.88 | 1162 | 471 | 0.48 | 6.1 | 0.011 | 95.3 | 0.229 |
| 2016 | 0.87 | 1158 | 469 | 0.48 | 6.1 | 0.011 | 94.7 | 0.228 |
| 2017 |  | 1155 | 468 | 0.48 |  |  |  |  |

Table G2. Decision table.

| Management Action | Year | Recreational catch (thousands of fish) | Commercial catch ( mt ) | State of nature |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | With Sanitation <br> More likely ( $p=0.74$ ) <br> Spawning <br> Biomass Depletion |  | Without Sanitation less likely ( $p=0.26$ ) <br> Spawning <br> Biomass Depletion |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-10 | 2005 | 140 | 5 | 816 | 0.80 | 563 | 0.58 |
|  | 2006 | 140 | 5 | 827 | 0.81 | 566 | 0.58 |
|  | 2007 | 361 | 13 | 818 | 0.80 | 566 | 0.58 |
|  | 2008 | 308 | 11 | 703 | 0.69 | 478 | 0.49 |
|  | 2009 | 267 | 10 | 623 | 0.61 | 425 | 0.43 |
|  | 2010 | 240 | 9 | 572 | 0.56 | 397 | 0.41 |
|  | 2011 | 222 | 8 | 541 | 0.53 | 385 | 0.39 |
|  | 2012 | 211 | 8 | 520 | 0.51 | 380 | 0.39 |
|  | 2013 | 203 | 8 | 505 | 0.49 | 379 | 0.39 |
|  | 2014 | 198 | 7 | 494 | 0.48 | 378 | 0.39 |
|  | 2015 | 194 | 7 | 485 | 0.47 | 378 | 0.39 |
|  | 2016 | 191 | 7 | 478 | 0.47 | 379 | 0.39 |
|  | 2017 |  |  | 472 | 0.46 | 380 | 0.39 |
| 60-20 | 2005 | 140 | 5 | 816 | 0.80 | 563 | 0.58 |
|  | 2006 | 140 | 5 | 827 | 0.81 | 566 | 0.58 |
|  | 2007 | 361 | 13 | 818 | 0.80 | 566 | 0.58 |
|  | 2008 | 308 | 11 | 703 | 0.69 | 478 | 0.49 |
|  | 2009 | 267 | 10 | 623 | 0.61 | 425 | 0.43 |
|  | 2010 | 231 | 9 | 572 | 0.56 | 397 | 0.41 |
|  | 2011 | 209 | 8 | 544 | 0.53 | 388 | 0.40 |
|  | 2012 | 197 | 7 | 528 | 0.52 | 387 | 0.40 |
|  | 2013 | 189 | 7 | 517 | 0.51 | 389 | 0.40 |
|  | 2014 | 184 | 7 | 510 | 0.50 | 392 | 0.40 |
|  | 2015 | 180 | 7 | 504 | 0.49 | 395 | 0.40 |
|  | 2016 | 177 | 7 | 499 | 0.49 | 398 | 0.41 |
|  | 2017 |  |  | 495 | 0.48 | 401 | 0.41 |
| Current catch | 2005 | 140 | 5 | 816 | 0.80 | 563 | 0.58 |
|  | 2006 | 140 | 5 | 827 | 0.81 | 566 | 0.58 |
|  | 2007 | 140 | 5 | 818 | 0.80 | 566 | 0.58 |
|  | 2008 | 140 | 5 | 785 | 0.78 | 565 | 0.58 |
|  | 2009 | 140 | 5 | 762 | 0.76 | 563 | 0.58 |
|  | 2010 | 140 | 5 | 739 | 0.74 | 561 | 0.57 |
|  | 2011 | 140 | 5 | 717 | 0.71 | 559 | 0.57 |
|  | 2012 | 140 | 5 | 697 | 0.69 | 557 | 0.57 |
|  | 2013 | 140 | 5 | 679 | 0.68 | 555 | 0.57 |
|  | 2014 | 140 | 5 | 663 | 0.66 | 553 | 0.57 |
|  | 2015 | 140 | 5 | 649 | 0.65 | 551 | 0.56 |
|  | 2016 | 140 | 5 | 637 | 0.63 | 549 | 0.56 |
|  | 2017 |  |  | 626 | 0.62 | 548 | 0.56 |

## H. Regional management

The range of California scorpionfish is restricted to southern California. A substantial, but unknown, proportion of the stock is in Mexican waters. Initial analysis conducted on individual sub-stocks showed that similar results are obtained for the nearshore stocks. This is collaborated by the similarity in the CPUE and sanitation abundances indices for the nearshore stocks. The sum of the individual sub-stock assessments was similar to the single southern California assessment. However, the individual sub-stock assessments indicated that the exploitation rates are lower in the offshore areas. Unfortunately, there are limited data for the offshore areas. Regional management would require obtaining length-frequency data by CDFG block to allow stock assessments by region. In addition, more information on the site fidelity of California scorpionfish from tagging data would be needed to determine the appropriateness of regional management.

## I. Research needs

Differences in growth rates between the sexes may imply that the fisheries have different impacts on the sexes. Sex-specific sampling of the data (e.g. length-frequencies) would help refine the model and identify the different impacts on the sexes. There is only limited information on the stock structure and a large proportion of the stock resides in Mexican waters. Extensive tagging studies would help define the stock structure, evaluate the need for local scale assessments, and determine the impact of the Mexican component of the stock. Catches from the Mexican fisheries would also be beneficial. The growth data are based on limited sampling and an updated aging study may improve the assessment.
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## Data Sources

The CPFV southern California observer data from two independent surveys (1975-1978 and 1985-1989) conduct by the CDFG were provided by Paulo Serpa, Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (PSMFC), and received on 1/11/2005.

The trip level CPFV data were provided by from Wade Van Buskirk (PSMFC), and received on 11/24/2004

Landings data from CALCOM and CFIS, and trawl logs were provided by Gerry Kobylinsky, California PacFIN Coordinator (CDFG/PSMFC) and received on 3/03/2005

Commercial length-frequency data from the California port sampling program was provided by Valerie Taylor, CDFG, and received on 1/27/05

RecFIN data were extracted on 2/18/05
The month and block aggregated CPFV logbook data were provided by Kevin Hill, NMFS, and received on 2/25/05

The CalCOFI survey data were provided by Susie Jacobson, NMFS, and was received on 3/04/05.

Gonad index data from bimonthly trawl survey conducted off Ventura and Santa Barbara Counties from 1994 to 1995 were provided by Stephen Wertz, CDFG, and received on 2/25/05.

Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts (Palos Verdes) were provided by Dave Montagne and Shelly Walther, Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts, and received on 3/17/05

Hyperion Sanitation survey data were provided Curtis Cash, City of Los Angeles, Dept. of Public Works, Bureau of Sanitation, and received on 03/21/05.

Orange County Sanitation District survey data were provided Hai Nguyen, Orange County Sanitation District, and received on 03/24/05.

San Diego County Sanitation District survey data were provided Timothy Stebbins, City of San Diego Ocean Monitoring Program Environmental Monitoring \& Technical Services Division, Metropolitan Wastewater Department, and received on 04/26/05.
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## L. Complete parameter and data in the native code of the stock assessment

## SS2NAMES.NAM

```
#### Scorpionfish base names file ####
#This file must be named: SS2NAMES.NAM
#Filename of data file
US.DAT
#Filename of control file
US.CTL
#Run number: simply an identifier used in ss2-report.txt cumulative output file
1
#Read SS2.PAR (0=no, 1=yes)
0
#Verbosity of console display during run(0/1/2)
1
#Produce_detailed_.rep_file_(0/1)
1
#Number of bootstrap datafiles to create in nudata.dat
0
#Phases greater than this value are set to -1; good for debugging input by letting you can view rep file after just phase 1
100
#String containing prefix for output of version number e.g. Code_version_:_
Code_version_:_
#Burn in for mcmc chain
10
#Thinning interval for mcmc
```

\#Jitter initial parm values; be careful, jitter is scaled by parameter's max-min, so could be very large \#. 0001
0
\#Push initial parm values away from max-min bounds
0.01
\#Min year for spbio sd report (negative value sets to styr-2; the virgin level)
-1
\#Max year for spbio sd_report (negative value sets to endyr+1)
-1

## forecast.ss2

\#\#\#\# Scorpionfish base forecast file
\#This file must be named forecast.ss2
\# Summary Age; compute biomass for this age and older
2
\# 0=skip forecast; 1=normal; 2=force
1
\# target SPR
0.50
\# number of forecast years
12
\# number of forecast years with stddev
12
\# emphasis for the forecast recrutment devs that occur prior to endyyr+1 (NEW)
1000
\# fraction of bias adjustment to use with forecast_recruitment_devs before endyr+1
0
\# fraction of bias adjustment to use with forecast_recruitment_devs after endyr
0
\# top end of 40:10 option; set to 0.0 for no $40: 10$
. 6
\# bottom end of 40:10 option
. 2
\# OY scalar relative to ABC (NEW)
1.0
\# for forecast: 1=set relative F from endyr; 2=use relative F read below
1
\# relative F used for Fspr, Fmsy, and forecast if option=2;
\#rows are seasons; columns are fleets;
\#If forecast option $=0$ or 1 , then only one value is read and then ignored.
. 2. 2. 2 . 2
\#
\# verification read for end of the correct number of relative F reads
999
\#
\# time series of future landed catch beginning in endyr+1; negative values indicate that catch will be calculated from Fspr
-500 \# year 1 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years (NEW)
-500 \# year 2 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 3 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 4 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 5 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 6 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 7 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 8 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 9 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 10 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 11 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 12 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 1 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years (NEW)
-500 \# year 2 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 3 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 4 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 5 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 6 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 7 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years
-500 \# year 8 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years

| -500 | \# year 9 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| :---: | :---: |
| -500 | \# year 10 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 11 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 12 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 1 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years (NEW) |
| -500 | \# year 2 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 3 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 4 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 5 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 6 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 7 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 8 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 9 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 10 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 11 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 12 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 1 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years (NEW) |
| -500 | \# year 2 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 3 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 4 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 5 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 6 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 7 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 8 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 9 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 10 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 11 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 12 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 1 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years (NEW) |
| -500 | \# year 2 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 3 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 4 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 5 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 6 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 7 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 8 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 9 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 10 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 11 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |
| -500 | \# year 12 season 1 fleet 1 for forecast years |

## US.DAT

```
#### Scorpionfish base data file ####
#
#
## Model Dimensions ##
#
1916 # start year
2006 # end year
1 # N seasons per year
12 # vector with N months in each season
1 # spawning season; spawning occus at beginning of this season
5 # N fishing fleets
# # N surveys; data type ID below is sequential with the fisheries
#string containing names for each fishery and survey, delimited by the "%" character
Hook&line%Pot%Net%Trawl%Rec%Sanitation
0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 # vector containing the timing of each fishery CPUE and each survey. A value must exist for each
type, starting with the fisheries and then the surveys. Values are the fraction of the season elapsed before the CPUE is measured or the
survey conducted. Values have no impact on the fishery timing, which removes the catch after e-M*0.5*seasdur has occurred.
Beware: if there is a multiple season setup and a CPUE or survey occurs in more than one season, then this timing fraction is the same
in each season.
# # number of genders (1/2); females are gender 1
25 # accumulator age; model always starts with age 0. Make this a reasonably large value so that fish at this age will be
a very large (say 99%) of Linfinity. Make this larger than the largest bin age so that the misaging of old fish can be handled well. SS2
does not have the SS1 factor called "old fish discount" that was applied to try to account for dynamics within the accumulator age
#
#
```

| \#\# Catch Data \#\# |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# catch (units are mt for commercial and thousands of fish for recreational) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.001 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | \# initial equil catch for each fishery (Enter annual init. eq. values |
| even if model has >1 season) |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# fishery-1 fishery-2.. fishery-N for year season |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# catch for each fishery in first year/season, catch is the RETAINED catch, not the total catch |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.6352 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |  |
| 7.9040 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.7252 |  |
| 12.8083 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.4504 |  |
| 11.5360 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2.1756 |  |
| 16.1817 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2.9007 |  |
| 26.4812 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 3.6259 |  |
| 19.1061 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4.3511 |  |
| 27.4274 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 5.0763 |  |
| 49.4742 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 5.8015 |  |
| 101.2000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 6.5267 |  |
| 49.0196 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 7.2519 |  |
| 51.4641 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 7.9770 |  |
| 44.0368 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 8.7022 |  |
| 48.8967 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 9.4274 |  |
| 40.1935 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10.1526 |  |
| 41.5375 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10.8778 |  |
| 38.7842 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 11.6030 |  |
| 29.1030 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 12.3281 |  |
| 29.9099 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 13.0533 |  |
| 30.7577 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 13.7785 |  |
| 49.7468 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 14.9694 |  |
| 62.1949 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10.4153 |  |
| 70.4350 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 17.5033 |  |
| 58.2876 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 13.4954 |  |
| 55.3714 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 12.5092 |  |
| 43.0739 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |  |
| 20.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |  |
| 16.3219 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |  |
| 24.0326 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |  |
| 42.1263 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |  |
| 65.6318 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |  |
| 56.7925 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 41.8974 |  |
| 70.1660 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 92.5280 |  |
| 66.7168 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 70.2625 |  |
| 63.1629 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 98.8812 |  |
| 45.8531 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 61.0286 |  |
| 37.9255 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 67.1390 |  |
| 54.1680 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 55.8480 |  |
| 60.9171 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 64.8739 |  |
| 47.7069 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 55.2563 |  |
| 45.4652 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 46.6769 |  |
| 33.2344 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 27.3550 |  |
| 29.4259 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 28.7401 |  |
| 16.9383 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 24.3139 |  |
| 13.2465 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 30.2661 |  |
| 12.1193 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 52.9044 |  |
| 26.1759 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 66.8225 |  |
| 34.1148 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 103.3660 |  |
| 35.1926 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 151.8529 |  |
| 34.7811 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 146.6246 |  |
| 38.3133 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 136.7079 |  |
| 25.4220 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 119.3542 |  |
| 40.6026 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 115.5392 |  |
| 32.1875 | 0.1837 | 1.0129 | 0.2758 | 112.8557 |  |
| 34.2264 | 0.1415 | 0.2477 | 0.0000 | 150.7279 |  |
| 16.3577 | 0.0027 | 3.2500 | 0.0000 | 163.6684 |  |
| 14.1718 | 0.0186 | 13.7826 | 0.1030 | 119.0637 |  |
| 16.4013 | 0.2204 | 0.5851 | 0.0000 | 138.3293 |  |
| 18.8530 | 0.0549 | 19.0929 | 0.0000 | 154.9417 |  |
| 30.5853 | 0.1048 | 14.3102 | 0.0082 | 132.2990 |  |
| 13.6910 | 0.0780 | 1.6806 | 0.0635 | 85.2384 |  |
| 5.7916 | 0.0381 | 0.2576 | 0.0000 | 124.3266 |  |
| 8.9618 | 0.0880 | 1.2601 | 0.0027 | 75.8397 |  |


| 9.0330 | 0.0231 | 1.73550 .0027 | 109.8168 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20.3004 | 0.0290 | 0.38650 .0000 | 163.1070 |
| 15.9731 | 0.0435 | 5.98570 .0000 | 143.8913 |
| 20.4447 | 0.4214 | 1.27870 .0000 | 121.3397 |
| 12.0036 | 0.1338 | 0.84410 .0000 | 81.8548 |
| 9.8123 | 0.2436 | 1.07190 .0739 | 93.4016 |
| 12.2681 | 0.4672 | 2.49660 .0240 | 142.8400 |
| 4.5342 | 0.2359 | 1.86570 .0236 | 120.3708 |
| 7.1882 | 1.6135 | 4.03790 .1125 | 111.1520 |
| 7.2277 | 2.6096 | 3.68010 .0027 | 268.7945 |
| 16.0847 | 0.0422 | 2.81370 .0213 | 337.0282 |
| 31.1147 | 1.0233 | 6.18300 .7816 | 341.1488 |
| 19.3964 | 0.7552 | 3.32814 .8172 | 339.8655 |
| 21.2049 | 1.5273 | 3.35123 .9427 | 141.1233 |
| 12.6137 | 1.3653 | $2.0417 \quad 7.7715$ | 124.2854 |
| 27.6261 | 7.0993 | 1.888813 .3059 | 170.0195 |
| 20.7517 | 3.1276 | 0.897716 .1727 | 186.9375 |
| 18.5536 | 1.7690 | 1.199312 .9793 | 252.8584 |
| 18.5876 | 1.7559 | 3.828413 .4080 | 303.7426 |
| 29.5416 | 2.9148 | 1.604416 .7433 | 244.8188 |
| 30.0134 | 0.9081 | 1.79816 .5926 | 471.5750 |
| 11.0198 | 0.8428 | 1.99634 .8431 | 349.5568 |
| 13.4216 | 0.8011 | 2.6563 3.0963 | 328.8477 |
| 9.3355 | 0.7847 | 1.19122 .1818 | 180.1811 |
| 1.9863 | 0.1624 | 0.35702 .7511 | 165.3678 |
| 1.6488 | 0.3620 | 0.61102 .5370 | 140.1024 |
| 1.6488 | 0.3620 | 0.61102 .5370 | 140.1024 |
| 1.6488 | 0.3620 | 0.61102 .5370 | 140.1024 |
| \# |  |  |  |
| \# |  |  |  |
| \#\# Abundance Indices\#\# |  |  |  |
| 59 |  |  |  |
| \#Year | Seas Type | Value |  |
| \#standard error is after reweighting has been applied |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 15 | 2.384081718 | 0.361933756 |
| 1981 | 15 | 1.987982504 | 0.301202814 |
| 1982 | 15 | 2.217100239 | 0.443988738 |
| 1983 | 15 | 1.325277927 | 0.248233548 |
| 1984 | 15 | 1.64569334 | 0.320519947 |
| 1985 | 15 | 2.61367413 | 0.374883685 |
| 1986 | 15 | 2.377306134 | 0.325759844 |
| 1987 | 15 | 2.206209217 | 0.377802043 |
| 1988 | 15 | 3.716148994 | 0.218116907 |
| 1989 | 15 | 4.985871137 | 0.226182473 |
| 1990 | 15 | 4.067075461 | 0.18905006 |
| 1991 | 15 | 5.461579732 | 0.250508029 |
| 1992 | 15 | 2.325831824 | 0.203102078 |
| 1993 | 15 | 2.553496459 | 0.209397623 |
| 1994 | 15 | 3.470267676 | 0.225965874 |
| 1995 | 15 | 3.513004892 | 0.228919572 |
| 1996 | 15 | 4.031785529 | 0.199582696 |
| 1997 | 15 | 3.273476189 | 0.204847554 |
| 1998 | 15 | 3.317663841 | 0.230221409 |
| 1999 | 15 | 6.033173175 | 0.269103378 |
| 2000 | 15 | -5.100000779 | 0.246257458 |
| 2001 | 15 | -4.978672179 | 0.208902555 |
| 2002 | 15 | -2.565449881 | 0.328821906 |
| 2003 | 15 | -1.938007014 | 0.409262943 |
| 1970 | 16 | 0.303369703 | 1.533826735 |
| 1971 | 16 | 0.202435817 | 0.985666516 |
| 1972 | 16 | 0.326386567 | 0.857701341 |
| 1973 | 16 | 0.543221074 | 0.795237241 |
| 1974 | 16 | 0.298642584 | 0.687513837 |
| 1975 | 16 | 0.308516301 | 0.645269649 |
| 1976 | 16 | 0.308040277 | 0.65714423 |
| 1977 | 16 | 0.474692866 | 0.459861977 |
| 1978 | 16 | 0.333199357 | 0.491968232 |
| 1979 | 16 | 1.186993144 | 0.336749901 |
| 1980 | 16 | 1.119839503 | 0.389968714 |
| 1981 | 16 | 0.878555802 | 0.451760521 |


| 1982 | 1 | 6 | 2.00222562 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 1 | 6 | 0.159677421 | 0.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 1 | 6 | 0.291769627 | 0.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 1 | 6 | 0.190254182 | 0.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 1 | 6 | 0.32855107 | 0.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 1 | 6 | 0.637265163 | 0.49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 1 | 6 | 0.958550523 | 0.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 1 | 6 | 0.650448894 | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 1 | 6 | 0.878033612 | 0.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 1 | 6 | 0.329528487 | 0.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 1 | 6 | 0.279356042 | 0.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 1 | 6 | 0.562247205 | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 1 | 6 | 0.583664767 | 0.35 | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 1 | 6 | 0.783308701 | 0.27 | 152 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 1 | 6 | 1.052378894 | 0.24 | 969 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 1 | 6 | 0.974807046 | 0.28 | 833 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 1 | 6 | 0.922783366 | 0.27 | 605 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 1 | 6 | 1.072249199 | 0.24 | 244 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 1 | 6 | 1.04925516 | 0.27 | 776 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | 6 | 1.293797805 | 0.23 | 573 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 1 | 6 | 0.758475193 | 0.35 | 543 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 1 | 6 | 1.25389160 .3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 1 | 6 | 1.500154948 | 0.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#\# Discard Biomass \#\# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#\# Mean BodyWt \#\# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 \# N observations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#\# Composition conditioners \#\# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#\# Length Composition \#\# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 \# N length bins |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# vector containing lower edge of length bins. Example bins: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#this is mid of bin so probably need to change it |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 45 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  |  | 14 | $15 \quad 16$ | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
|  |  | 25 | 26 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 |
|  |  | 36 | $37 \quad 38$ | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 |
|  |  | 47 | 48 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 |
|  |  | 58 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#N Length comp observations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#Yr Seas Flt/Svy Gender Part Nsamp datavector(female-male) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#note, even if using a combined sex, you need to have a second dummy set of proportions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#sample size is after reweighting has been applied |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 17.07588785 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.2 |
| 0.2 | 0.32 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | . 023529412 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 152941176 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 011764706 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 70588235 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |
|  |  | 105882353 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | 040609137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 131979695 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 015228426 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 07106599 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 065989848 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 035532995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.009900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 178217822 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 00990099 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 9 |  |  |
|  |  | 099009901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 059405941 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 |  | 667 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 83333333 |  | 333 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | .083333333 |  | 333 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 2001 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 |  | 489 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 107913669 |  | 914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | . 028776978 |  | 712 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


\#Net

| 1996 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 4.672054566 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.037037037 | 0.185185185 | 0.074074074 | 0.185185185 | 0 | 0.074074074 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.074074074 | 0.037037037 | 0.074074074 | 0.074074074 | 0.037037037 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.037037037 | 0 | 0.037037037 | 0 | 0.074074074 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.037037037 | 0.185185185 |  |
|  | 0.074074074 | 0.185185185 | 0 | 0.074074074 | 0.074074074 | 0.037037037 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.074074074 | 0.074074074 | 0.037037037 | 0.037037037 | 0 | 0.037037037 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.074074074 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 53.64210797 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



|  | 00 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.033898305 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.118644068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.016949153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.152542373 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.084745763 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 13 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.058823529 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.098039216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 0.019607843 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.019607843 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.039215686 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.254901961 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 13 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.03030303 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.03030303 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.121212121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 0.03030303 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | 00 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.03030303 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.060606061 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\#trawl

| 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.057 .1450356 |  |  |  |
| 0.033755274 | 0.050632911 | 0 | 0.075949367 |
| 0.101265823 | 0.126582278 | 0.075949367 |  |
| 0.05907173 | 0.025316456 | 0.025316456 |  |


| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.008438819 |  |
| 0.126582278 | 0.147679325 |  |  |  |
| 0.071729958 | 0.033755274 |  |  |  |
| 0.016877637 | 0.008438819 | 0 |  |  |



| 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 004464286 | 0 |  |  |  | 857 |  | 0.196428571 |  |  |
|  | 227678571 |  |  |  | 0.058035714 |  | 0.013392857 |  | 0.017857143 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0.004464286 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0.017857143 |  | 0.075892857 |  |
|  | 15625 | 0.196428571 |  | 0.227678571 |  |  | 0.071428571 |  | 0.058035714 |  |
|  | 013392857 | 0.017857143 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.004464286 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 46.41414552 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0.071428571 |  | 0.185714286 |  | 0.128571429 |  |
|  | 271428571 | 0.142857143 |  | 0.085714286 |  | 0.028571429 |  | 0.042857143 |  | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 143 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 128571429 | 0.271428571 |  | 0.142857143 |  | 0.085714286 |  | 0.028571429 |  |  |
|  | . 042857143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.272727273 |  | 0.318181818 |  | 0.136363636 |  |  |
|  | 181818182 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.090909 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\#Observer

| 15 | $0 \quad 2$ | 203.9236491 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 |
| 0.006417112 | 0.018181818 | 0.045989305 |
| 0.135828877 | 0.13368984 | 0.148663102 |
| 0.043850267 | 0.032085561 | 0.031016043 |
| 0.002139037 | 0.002139037 | 00 |
| 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 |
| 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 0.003208556 | 0.001069519 | 0.006417112 |
| 0.085561497 | 0.098395722 | 0.135828877 |
| 0.109090909 | 0.093048128 | 0.043850267 |
| 0.007486631 | 0.002139037 | 0.002139037 |
| 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
| 00 | 0 |  |
| 15 | $0 \quad 2$ | 205.2322501 |
| 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 |
| 0.001076426 | 0.005382131 | 0.013993541 |


| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0.003208556 | 0.001069519 |  |  |
| 0.085561497 | 0.098395722 |  |  |  |
| 0.109090909 | 0.093048128 |  |  |  |
| 0.007486631 | 0.002139037 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.018181818 | 0.045989305 |  |  |  |
| 0.13368984 | 0.148663102 |  |  |  |
| 0.032085561 | 0.031016043 |  |  |  |
| 0.002139037 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.002152853 | 0.001076426 |  |  |  |
| 0.037674919 | 0.062432723 |  |  |  |


|  | 0.075349839 | 0.121636168 | 0.129171152 | 0.118406889 | 0.138858988 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.114101184 | 0.065662002 | 0.050592034 | 0.03444564 | 0.015069968 |  |  |
|  | 0.006458558 | 0.004305705 | 0.001076426 | 00 | 0.001076426 |  | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0.002152853 | 0.001076426 | 0.001076426 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.013993541 | 0.037674919 | 0.062432723 | 0.075349839 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.129171152 | 0.118406889 | 0.138858988 | 0.114101184 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.050592034 | 0.03444564 | 0.015069968 | 0.006458558 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.001076426 | 00 | 0.001076426 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 1977 | 15 | $0 \quad 2$ | 299.451519 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0.000728332 | 0.000728332 | 0.003641661 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.009468318 | 0.03787327 | 0.045884924 | 0.056081573 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.030589949 | 0.036416606 | 0.04806992 | 0.076474873 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.135469774 | 0.112163146 | 0.08594319 | 0.08594319 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.023306628 | 0.016023307 | 0.002913328 | 0.004369993 | 0 |  |  |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0.000728332 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0.000728332 | 0.000728332 | 0.003641661 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.009468318 | 0.03787327 | 0.045884924 | 0.056081573 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.030589949 | 0.036416606 | 0.04806992 | 0.076474873 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.135469774 | 0.112163146 | 0.08594319 | 0.08594319 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.023306628 | 0.016023307 | 0.002913328 | 0.004369993 | 0 |  |  |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0.000728332 |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 15 | 02 | 377.0951758 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0.000694444 | 0.004861111 | 0.010416667 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.009722222 | 0.014583333 | 0.029166667 | 0.059722222 |  |  | 0.075 |
|  | 0.080555556 | 0.063194444 | 0.077083333 | 0.064583333 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.084027778 | 0.083333333 | 0.059027778 | 0.047222222 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.013194444 | 0.011111111 | 0.004861111 | 0.002083333 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.001388889 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0.000694444 | 0.004861111 | 0.010416667 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.009722222 | 0.014583333 | 0.029166667 | 0.059722222 |  |  | 0.075 |
|  | 0.080555556 | 0.063194444 | 0.077083333 | 0.064583333 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.084027778 | 0.083333333 | 0.059027778 | 0.047222222 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.013194444 | 0.011111111 | 0.004861111 | 0.002083333 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.001388889 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| \#RecFIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 15 | $0 \quad 2$ | 90.51156616 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$. | 330 | $0 \quad 0$. |  |  |  |
|  | 0.014457831 | 0.019277108 | 0.019277108 | 0.038554217 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.060240964 | 0.081927711 | 0.077108434 | 0.178313253 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.101204819 | 0.091566265 | 0.069879518 | 0.024096386 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.009638554 | 0.009638554 | 0.014457831 | 0.002409639 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.002409639 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0.002409639 | 0.002409639 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.019277108 | 0.019277108 | 0.038554217 | 0.074698795 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.081927711 | 0.077108434 | 0.178313253 | 0.091566265 |  |  |  |



| 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 79.60655819 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.002739726 | 0.002739726 | 0 |  |
| 0.019178082 | 0.016438356 | 0.032876712 | 0.035616438 | 0.054794521 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.060273973 | 0.073972603 | 0.104109589 | 0.079452055 | 0.106849315 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.095890411 | 0.150684932 | 0.052054795 | 0.063013699 | 0.032876712 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.01369863 | 0 | 0.002739726 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.002739726 | 0.002739726 | 0 | 0.019178082 | 0.016438356 | 0.032876712 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.035616438 | 0.054794521 | 0.060273973 | 0.073972603 | 0.104109589 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.079452055 | 0.106849315 | 0.095890411 | 0.150684932 | 0.052054795 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.063013699 | 0.032876712 | 0.01369863 | 0 | 0.002739726 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\#Observer

\#RecFIN

| 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 78.95225772 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.013812155 | 0.016574586 | 0 |  |  |
| 0.066298343 | 0.102209945 | 0.022099448 |  |  |
| 0.082872928 | 0.074585635 | 0.099447514 |  |  |
| 0.013812155 | 0.013812155 | 0.080110497 |  |  |
| 0 | 0.002762431 | 0 | 0.013812155 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.002762431 |
| 0.016574586 | 0.022099448 | 0 |  |  |
| 0.102209945 | 0.099447514 | 0.033149171 |  |  |
| 0.074585635 | 0.080110497 | 0.138121547 |  |  |
| 0.013812155 | 0.013812155 | 0.052486188 |  |  |
| 0.002762431 | 0 | 0 | 0.002762431 |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.002762431 | 0.002762431 |
| 0.033149171 | 0.044198895 |
| 0.138121547 | 0.091160221 |
| 0.052486188 | 0.022099448 |
| 0.002762431 | 0.008287293 |
| 00 | 00 |
| $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
| $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ |
| 0.002762431 | 0.013812155 |
| 0.044198895 | 0.066298343 |
| 0.091160221 | 0.082872928 |
| 0.022099448 | 0.013812155 |
| 0.008287293 | 00 |
| 00 | 00 |

0
0.002762431
0.033149171
0.138121547
0.052486188
$0 \quad 0$

0

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 0 \\
& 0 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
$$

0

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\#Observer

1987

| 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 249.7246826 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.004366812 | 0.004366812 | 0.0069869 |  |  |
| 0.016593886 | 0.020960699 | 0.048034934 | 0.04628821 | 0.067248908 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.090829694 | 0.122270742 | 0.115283843 | 0.130131004 | 0.097816594 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.092576419 | 0.048908297 | 0.031441048 | 0.027947598 | 0.015720524 | 0.0069869 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.00349345 | 0.001746725 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.004366812 | 0.0069869 | 0.016593886 | 0.020960699 | 0.048034934 | 0.00436288212 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.067248908 | 0.090829694 | 0.122270742 | 0.115283843 | 0.130131004 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.097816594 | 0.092576419 | 0.048908297 | 0.031441048 | 0.027947598 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.015720524 | 0.0069869 | 0.00349345 | 0 | 0.001746725 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | \#RecFIN

1987

1988

| 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 626.3836581 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000696379 |
| 0.010097493 | 0.027855153 | 0.056058496 |  |  |


| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | 0


| 0.106545961 | 0.133356546 | 0.097493036 | 0.10132312 | 0.064763231 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.05362117 | 0.038997214 | 0.036211699 | 0.024721448 | 0.019498607 |  |
| 0.015668524 | 0.010445682 | 0.002089136 | 0.000696379 | 0.003481894 |  |
| 0.005571031 | 0.003133705 | 0.005222841 | 0.000348189 | 00 | 0 |
| 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 |
| 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 0 | 00 | 0 |
| 00 | 0 0 | 00 | 0.000696379 | 00 |  |
| 0.001044568 | 0.010097493 | 0.027855153 | 0.056058496 | 0.078690808 |  |
| 0.102367688 | 0.106545961 | 0.133356546 | 0.097493036 | 0.10132312 |  |
| 0.064763231 | 0.05362117 | 0.038997214 | 0.036211699 | 0.024721448 |  |
| 0.019498607 | 0.015668524 | 0.010445682 | 0.002089136 | 0.000696379 |  |
| 0.003481894 | 0.005571031 | 0.003133705 | 0.005222841 | 0.000348189 | 0 |
| 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 |
| 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |  |


| 15 | $0 \quad 2$ | 142.2013039 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 |
| 0.003067485 | 0.003067485 | 0.009202454 |
| 0.04601227 | 0.053680982 | 0.093558282 |
| 0.090490798 | 0.105828221 | 0.210122699 |
| 0.030674847 | 0.018404908 | 0.015337423 |
| 0.003067485 | 00 | 00 |
| 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0.001533742 |
| 0.003067485 | 0.009202454 | 0.033742331 |
| 0.053680982 | 0.093558282 | 0.06595092 |
| 0.105828221 | 0.210122699 | 0.062883436 |
| 0.018404908 | 0.015337423 | 0.004601227 |
| 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 00 | 00 | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |


| 00 | 00 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.001533742 | 0.001533742 |  |
| 0.033742331 | 0.029141104 |  |
| 0.06595092 | 0.064417178 |  |
| 0.062883436 | 0.053680982 |  |
| 0.004601227 | 00 |  |
| 00 | 00 | 0 |
| $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 0 |
| $0 \quad 0$ | 0 0 | 0 |
| 0.001533742 | 0.003067485 |  |
| 0.029141104 | 0.04601227 |  |
| 0.064417178 | 0.090490798 |  |
| 0.053680982 | 0.030674847 |  |
| 00 | 0.003067485 | 0 |
| 00 | 00 | 0 |
| $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 0 |

\#Observer

| 15 | 02 | 711.4427202 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 0.00030656 | 0.000919681 |
| 0.000613121 | 0.00030656 | 0.001226242 |
| 0.111894543 | 0.143776824 | 0.150214592 |
| 0.080931944 | 0.055180871 | 0.037093807 |
| 0.010423053 | 0.003372164 | 0.002145923 |
| 0.00030656 | 0.000613121 | 0 |
| 00 | 00 | 0 |
| 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
| 00 | $0 \quad 0.0$ |  |
| 0.000613121 | 0.00030656 | 0.001226242 |
| 0.111894543 | 0.143776824 | 0.150214592 |
| 0.080931944 | 0.055180871 | 0.037093807 |
| 0.010423053 | 0.003372164 | 0.002145923 |
| 0.00030656 | 0.000613121 | 0 |
| 00 | 00 | 0 |
| 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |

\#RecFIN

1989


| 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0.110260337 |  |
| 0.081163859 |  |
| 0.007656968 |  |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0.065849923 |  |
| 0.091883614 |  |
| 0.010719755 |  |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |


| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.003062787 |  |
| 0.151607963 |  |  |
| 0.04287902 |  |  |
| 0.001531394 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.110260337 |  |  |
| 0.081163859 |  |  |
| 0.007656968 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |  |


| 1993 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 |  | 8.95225772 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.002762431 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 002762431 |  |  |  | . 033149171 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 046961326 |  |  |  | 0.05801105 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 124309392 |  |  |  | 0.124309392 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
|  |  | 027624309 |  |  |  | 0.033149171 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0. | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.00276 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
|  |  | 008287293 |  |  |  | 0.044198895 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
|  |  | 060773481 |  |  |  | 0.074585635 | 0.0 |  | 0.12 |  |  |
|  |  | 09116022 |  |  |  | 0.055248619 |  |  | 0.02 |  |  |
|  |  | 013812155 |  |  |  | 0.002762431 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.002762431 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 278 | 8.95225772 | 0 |  | 31 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 005524862 |  |  |  | . 016574586 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 049723757 |  |  |  | 0.046961326 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 060773481 |  |  |  | 0.124309392 | 0.12 |  | 0.0 |  |  |


|  | 0.0441988950 | 0.019337017 | 0.016574586 | 0.01 |  | 0.002762431 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 0 0 | 00 | 00 | 0.00 | 4310 | 0 |
|  | 00.00276243 | 310 | 00 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | 000 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0.002762431 | 0 |
|  | 000 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 0.0055248620 | 0.002762431 | 0.016574586 | 0.02 |  |  |
|  | 0.049723757 | 0.085635359 | 0.046961326 | 0.07 |  |  |
|  | 0.060773481 | 0.091160221 | 0.124309392 | 0.12 |  |  |
|  | 0.0441988950 | 0.019337017 | 0.016574586 | 0.01 |  |  |
|  | 000 | 00 | 00 | 0.00 | 4310 | 0 |
|  | 00.002762431 | 310 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | 000 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 150 | $0 \quad 2$ | 70.44635152 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | 000 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0.012383901 |  |
|  | 0.0123839010. | 0.027863777 | 0.043343653 | 0.04 |  |  |
|  | 0.0897832820 .0 | 0.086687307 | 0.102167183 | 0.10 |  |  |
|  | 0.0773993810 | 0.068111455 | 0.052631579 | 0.03 |  |  |
|  | 0.006191950 | 0.009287926 | 0.003095975 | 0.00 | 975 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | $0 \quad 0$ | 0.012383901 | 0.018575851 | 0.01 |  |  |
|  | 0.043343653 | 0.046439628 | 0.058823529 | 0.08 |  |  |
|  | 0.102167183 | 0.102167183 | 0.117647059 | 0.07 |  |  |
|  | 0.052631579 | 0.037151703 | 0.024767802 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 0.003095975 | 0.003095975 | 00 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | 00 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1996 | 150 | $0 \quad 2$ | 176.2249289 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0.002475248 | 0 | $0 \quad 0.0$ |  |
|  | 0.013613861 | 0.037128713 | 0.077970297 | 0.07 |  |  |
|  | 0.070544554 | 0.096534653 | 0.097772277 | 0.09 |  |  |
|  | 0.084158416 | 0.066831683 | 0.054455446 | 0.03 |  |  |
|  | 0.012376238 | 0.004950495 | 0.004950495 | 0.00 | 624 0 | 0 |
|  | 000 | 00 | 00 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ |  |
|  | 000 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | 00.002475248 | 480 | $0 \quad 0.0$ |  | 0.013613861 |  |
|  | 0.077970297 | 0.071782178 | 0.090346535 | 0.0 | 5540.0 |  |
|  | 0.097772277 | 0.090346535 | 0.066831683 | 0.08 | 4160.0 |  |
|  | 0.054455446 | 0.033415842 | 0.01980198 | 0.01 |  |  |
|  | 0.0049504950 | 0.001237624 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 000 | 00 | $0 \quad 0.0$ |  | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
| 1997 | 150 | $0 \quad 2$ | 102.0708746 | 0.00 | 7520 | 0 |
|  | $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 0 | $0 \quad 0.0$ |  |
|  | 0.012820513 | 0.014957265 | 0.044871795 | 0.10 |  |  |
|  | 0.104700855 | 0.102564103 | 0.104700855 | 0.11 |  |  |
|  | 0.061965812 | 0.053418803 | 0.040598291 | 0.01 | 769 0.008 |  |
|  | 0.017094017 | 0.006410256 | 0.002136752 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 000 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 000 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | 000 | $0 \quad 0.00$ | 520 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0.0$ |  | 0.012820513 |  |
|  | 0.044871795 | 0.102564103 | 0.083333333 | 0.10 | $355 \quad 0.1$ |  |
|  | 0.104700855 | 0.11965812 | 0.091880342 | 0.06 |  |  |
|  | 0.040598291 | 0.019230769 | 0.008547009 | 0.01 |  |  |
|  | 0.002136752 | 0.002136752 | 0.002136752 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 00 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
| 1998 | 15 | $0 \quad 2$ | 174.9163279 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ |  |
|  | 0.00872818 | 0.009975062 | 0.033665835 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.103491272 | 0.119700748 | 0.10723192 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.08478803 | 0.051122195 | 0.023690773 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.006234414 | 0.003740648 | 00 | 0 | 0.002493766 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 00 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 0 | 00 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0.004987531 | 0.00872818 |  |  |  |


|  | 0.044887781 | 0.093516209 | 0.103491272 | 0.119700748 | 0.10723192 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.154613466 | 0.124688279 | 0.08478803 | 0.051122195 | 0.023690773 |  |
|  | 0.013715711 | 0.00872818 | 0.006234414 | 0.003740648 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.002493766 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 15 | 02 | 533.0367898 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00.00040916 | 650 | 00.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.000818331 | 0.003273322 | 0.010638298 | 0.028641571 |  |  |
|  | 0.064648118 | 0.075286416 | 0.095744681 | 0.096563011 |  |  |
|  | 0.099018003 | 0.117430442 | 0.079787234 | 0.077741408 |  |  |
|  | 0.029050736 | 0.012274959 | 0.011047463 | 0.004091653 |  |  |
|  | 0.000818331 | 0.000409165 | 0.001227496 | 0.001227496 |  |  |
|  | 00 | 00.0 | 650 | 00 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0.000409165 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.000409165 | 0.000409165 | 0.000818331 | 0.000818331 |  |  |
|  | 0.010638298 | 0.028641571 | 0.039689034 | 0.064648118 |  |  |
|  | 0.095744681 | 0.096563011 | 0.104746318 | 0.099018003 |  |  |
|  | 0.079787234 | 0.077741408 | 0.04091653 | 0.029050736 |  |  |
|  | 0.011047463 | 0.004091653 | 0.002045827 | 0.000818331 |  |  |
|  | 0.001227496 | 0.001227496 | 0.000409165 | 00 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.000409165 | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ |  |  |
| 2000 | 15 | 02 | 228.5689672 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0.0$ |  |  |
|  | 0.000954198 | 00.0 | 950 | 0.019083969 |  |  |
|  | 0.139312977 | 0.163167939 | 0.153625954 | 0.121183206 |  |  |
|  | 0.08778626 | 0.048664122 | 0.027671756 | 0.023854962 |  |  |
|  | 0.004770992 | 0.002862595 | 0.000954198 | $0 \quad 0.0$ |  | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00.00190839 |  | 98 0.0 | 98 0 |  |  |
|  | 0.019083969 | 0.079198473 | 0.139312977 | 0.163167939 |  |  |
|  | 0.121183206 | 0.111641221 | 0.08778626 | 0.048664122 |  |  |
|  | 0.023854962 | 0.008587786 | 0.004770992 | 0.002862595 |  |  |
|  | 0.000954198 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 15 | 02 | 128.6790942 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ |  |  |
|  | 00.00169491 |  |  | 76 0.0 | 27 |  |
|  | 0.150847458 | 0.103389831 | 0.155932203 | 0.130508475 |  |  |
|  | 0.081355932 | 0.050847458 | 0.037288136 | 0.008474576 |  |  |
|  | 0.003389831 | 0.001694915 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.001694915 | 00 | 0.001694915 | 0.003389831 |  |  |
|  | 0.047457627 | 0.096610169 | 0.150847458 | 0.103389831 |  |  |
|  | 0.130508475 | 0.108474576 | 0.081355932 | 0.050847458 |  |  |
|  | 0.008474576 | 0.008474576 | 0.003389831 | 0.001694915 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 15 | 02 | 222.898363 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0.000978474 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.001956947 | 0.002935421 | 0.001956947 | 0.008806262 |  |  |
|  | 0.119373777 | 0.116438356 | 0.147749511 | 0.160469667 |  |  |
|  | 0.107632094 | 0.050880626 | 0.04109589 | 0.040117417 |  |  |
|  | 0.006849315 | 0.001956947 | 0.000978474 | 00 |  |  |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.000978474 | 0 0 | $0 \quad 0.0$ |  |  |  |
|  | 0.008806262 | 0.055772994 | 0.119373777 | 0.116438356 |  |  |
|  | 0.160469667 | 0.125244618 | 0.107632094 | 0.050880626 |  |  |
|  | 0.040117417 | 0.007827789 | 0.006849315 | 0.001956947 |  |  |
|  | 00.00097847 | 740 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 |


\#
\#Sanitation

| 1972 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 14.12692 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0.03125 | 0 | 0 | 0.03125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.03125 | 0.09375 |
|  | 0.125 |  | 0.25 | 0.15625 | 0.15625 | 0.09375 | 0 | 0 | 0.03125 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.03125 | 0 | 0 | 0.03125 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.03125 | 0.09375 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.15625 | 0.15625 | 0.09375 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0.03125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 12.80252 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 0.137931034 |  | 0.103448276 |  | 0.206896552 |  | 0.206896552 |  | 0.137931034 |  |  |
|  | 0.068965517 |  | 0.068965517 |  | 0.034482759 |  | 0.034482759 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



|  | 00 | $0.04 \quad 0$ | 00. | 0.040 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 16 | $0 \quad 2$ | 211 | 115.6642095 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$. | 0.003816794 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.06870229 | 0.091603053 |  | 0.095419847 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.110687023 | 0.095419847 |  | 0.045801527 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.019083969 | 0.007633588 |  | 0.015267176 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 0.003816794 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 0 | 0 |  | 94 |  |  |
|  | 0.026717557 | 0.034351145 |  | 0.06870229 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.160305344 | 0.106870229 |  | 0.110687023 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.057251908 | 0.041984733 |  | 0.019083969 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.007633588 | 00 | 00. | 0.003816794 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 16 | $0 \quad 2$ | 211 | 119.6374076 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00. | 0.003690037 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.033210332 | 0.084870849 |  | 0.125461255 |  | 808 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.136531365 | 0.073800738 |  | 0.062730627 |  | 517 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.011070111 | 0.007380074 |  | 0.003690037 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0.0$ |  |  | 74 |  |  |
|  | 0.033210332 | 0.084870849 |  | 0.125461255 |  | 808 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.136531365 | 0.073800738 |  | 0.062730627 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.011070111 | 0.007380074 |  | 0.003690037 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 0 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 16 | $0 \quad 2$ | 214 | 143.0351294 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | $0 \quad 0.0$ | 42 |  | 42 |  |  |
|  | 0.040123457 | 0.077160494 |  | 0.151234568 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.12654321 | 0.061728395 |  | 0.089506173 |  | 457 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.027777778 | 0.012345679 |  | 0.00308642 | 0 |  | 42 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.009259259 | 0.040123457 |  | 0.077160494 |  | 568 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.145061728 | 0.12654321 |  | 0.061728395 |  | 173 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.021604938 | 0.027777778 |  | 0.012345679 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 1982 | 16 | $0 \quad 2$ | 222 | 221.6161567 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.043824701 | 0.087649402 |  | 0.14940239 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.135458167 | 0.107569721 |  | 0.071713147 |  | 924 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.021912351 | 0.003984064 |  | 0.005976096 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 |  | 127 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.043824701 | 0.087649402 |  | 0.14940239 |  | 976 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.135458167 | 0.107569721 |  | 0.071713147 |  | 924 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.021912351 | 0.003984064 |  | 0.005976096 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 16 | 02 | 246 | 46.79544349 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 00 | $0 \quad 0$ | 00 | 00 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
|  | 0.047169811 | 0.018867925 |  | 0.169811321 |  | 585 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.103773585 | 0.113207547 |  | 0.08490566 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.075471698 | 00 | 0.009433962 | 620 |  | 962 | 0 | 0 | 0 |







## US.CTL




\#mirror is a bit of a problem for gear 2 using type 7

and not estimated. But options 7 and 18 may allow estimation

| 0 | 0.2 | 0.0001 | 0.05 | 0 | 1000 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

transform $(1 /(1+\exp (-x))$ is used so that the transformed value will be between 0 and 1 . So a p1 value of -1.1 will be transformed to
0.25 and used to set the selectivity equal to 0.5 at a size (age) equal to 0.25 of the way between minL and PEAK. (see SS2-selex.xls).

| 0.0160 | 0.426551 | 10 | 0 | 1000 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.51 | 0 | \#p4-SLOPE1: slope of left side (ascending) selectivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -100 10000 | 1000 | 20 | 0 | 1000 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.50 | 0 | \#p5 - FINAL: logit transform for selectivity at maxL (or maxage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.0160 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1000 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.50 | 0 |  |  | nsform |  |  |  |  |  |

between PEAK+PEAKWIDTH and maxL (or max age)

| 070 | . 3 | 20 | 0 | 1000 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.50 | 0 | \#p7-SLOPE2: slope of right side (descending) selex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.0160 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1000 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.50 | 0 | \#p8 - PEAKWIDTH: in width of flattop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 080 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1000 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.50 | 0 | \#min |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 080 | 59 | 59 | 0 | 1000 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.50 | 0 | \#max |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 080 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1000 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.50 | 0 | \#min |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 080 | 59 | 59 | 0 | 1000 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.50 | 0 | \#max |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1070 | 59.5 | 59.5 | 0 | 1000 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.50 | 0 | \#p1 - PEAK: size (age) for peak. Should be an integer and should be |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

and not estimated. But options 7 and 18 may allow estimation

| 0 | 0.2 | 0.0001 | 0.05 | 0 | 1000 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

transform ( $1 /(1+\exp (-x))$ is used so that the transformed value will be between 0 and 1 . So a p1 value of -1.1 will be transformed to 0.25 and used to set the selectivity equal to 0.5 at a size (age) equal to 0.25 of the way between minL and PEAK. (see SS2-selex.xls).

| 0.0160 | 0.426551 | 10 | 0 | 1000 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.51 | 0 | \#p4 | -SLOPE1: | slope of left side | (ascending) selectivity |  |  |  |  |
| -10010000 | 1000 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

between PEAK+PEAKWIDTH and maxL (or max age)


1
\#Max_lambda_phase: read this number of lambda values for each element below. The last lambda value is used for all higher numbered phases

1 \#sd_offset; value=0 causes $\log$ (like) to omit the $+\log (\mathrm{s})$ term; value $=1$ causes $\log (l i k e)$ to include the $\log (\mathrm{s})$ term for CPUE, discard, meanbodywt, recruitment deviations.
\#_survey_lambdas

| 00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#_discard_lambdas |  |  |  |  |
| 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \# |  |  |  |  |
| \#ss2 -nohess -cbs 100000000 -gbs 1000000000 |  |  |  |  |
| \# |  |  |  |  |
| \#_meanbodywt |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |
| \#_lenfreq_lambdas |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \#_age_freq_lambdas |  |  |  |  |
| 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#_size@age_lambdas |  |  |  |  |
| 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$0 \quad 0 \quad 0$
0
\#_initial_equil_catch
0
\#_recruitment_lambda
1
\#_parm_prior_lambda
0
\#_parm_dev_timeseries_lambda
1
\#
\# crashpen lambda
100
\#max F
0.9
\#
\#\# End of file control \#\#
\#
999 \#_end-of-file


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This exception also included the two state managed groundfish species, cabezon and kelp greenling.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This exception also included the two state managed groundfish species, cabezon and kelp greenling.

[^2]:    ## Commercial

    Limits on set line length established Gill nets not allowed within 30 fm

    Marine Resources Protection Act (MRPA) established four small reserves
    Sebastes complex split coastwide into limited entry (LE) and open access (OA)
    LE trip limits $=80,000$ pounds per month
    OA trip limits $=40,000$ pounds per month Gill nets not allowed within 3 miles of shore

    Additional limitations for set lines established
    No set line fishing on weekends north of Santa Cruz
    Fishing restricted in Districts 12 \& 13

    Finfish trap permit required Limits on number of traps established Hook and line limited to 150 hooks within

    1 mile of shore
    1999
    Nearshore Fishery Permit (NFP) required
    NFP holders $=1,110$
    10-inch size limit established
    OA trip limits $=2,000$ pounds per month south of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Latitude

    ## Recreational

    Bag limit 10 fish, within the 15 fish bag limit No gear restrictions
    
    (A) established four small reserves

    Sebastes complex split into nearshore, shelf, and slope CA scorvionfish included in nearshore

    Seasonal closures (2 months) first adopted for
    10-inch size limit established some areas

    2001
    Cowcod Conservation Area (CCA) established
    Depth restrictions adopted
    NFP moratorium established
    NFP holders $=750$
    2002
    Nearshore Fishery Management Plan adopted

    100 pound renewal requirement for NFP
    NFP holders $=525$
    Commercial nearshore rockfish fishery closed early

    Seasonal closures first adopted for CA scorpionfish south of Pt. Conception

    California Rockfish Conservation Area (RCA) established south of $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. Latitude
    Groundfish fishing restricted by region, season, and depth
    Nearshore rockfish split into shallow, deeper, and California scorpionfish
    $50 \%$ of recent catches used to set harvest targets
    Formal restricted access program adopted
    NFP holders $=200$
    8-month closure as scheduled

    Bag limit reduced to 5 fish
    No closure scheduled
    Recreational fishery closed early

    2-month closures varied by region

