

## HIGHLY MIGRATORY SPECIES FMP IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

National Marine Fisheries Service-Southwest Region (NMFS) will provide information about funding necessities for implementation of the Highly Migratory Species (HMS) Fishery Management Plan (FMP). Notably, because of funding shortfalls, work is pending on implementing the FMP including development of an FMP amendment related to sea turtle protection measures in the high seas longline fishery (targeting swordfish) and inter-Council coordination issues (see Western Pacific Fishery Management Council letter, Agenda Item F.1.a, WPFMC Letter). At the September meeting, the Council expressed frustration and concern about not being able to address HMS fishery issues. NMFS was asked to investigate securing necessary funds and resources for fully implementing the HMS FMP.

Based on information provided by NMFS, the Council will consider when to move forward with implementation of the HMS FMP.

### **Council Task:**

### **Discussion.**

### **Reference Materials:**

1. Agenda Item F.1.a, WPFMC Letter.

### **Agenda Order:**

- a. Agenda Item Overview
- b. NMFS Report
- c. Reports and Comments of Advisory Bodies
- d. Public Comment
- e. Council Guidance on Implementation Issues

Dan Waldeck  
Svein Fougner

PFMC  
10/14/04




**Western  
Pacific  
Regional  
Fishery  
Management  
Council**

Agenda Item F.1.a  
WPFMC Letter  
November 2004

September 27, 2004

Mr Don McIsaac  
Pacific Fishery Management Council  
7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 200  
Portland  
OR 97220-1384



Dear Don:

The Western Pacific Council would like to propose that this Council and the Pacific Council convene a joint meeting next year to focus on highly migratory pelagic species management. We believe that such a meeting is timely, since the final rule for your Pelagics Fishery Management Plan (FMP) was published this year, and because of the advent of the new fishery management commission for HMS in the Western and Central Pacific. Moreover, 2004 saw the first catch limitation for HMS to affect our fisheries, with the proposed rule for longline catch limits of bigeye tuna in the Eastern Pacific Ocean, stemming from an IATTC resolution.

There are a range of issues of mutual concern to both Councils about pelagic fisheries. Resource limitation is a very real prospect for bigeye and yellowfin tuna, given the prognosis from recent stock assessments conducted by IATTC and the Standing Committee on Tuna and Billfish (SCTB). This may ultimately affect other pelagic fisheries apart from the longlining, particularly fisheries catching significant volumes of juvenile bigeye and yellowfin tunas. Meanwhile, protected species interactions continue to be a major issue, and requires us to constantly lift our game in terms of minimizing interactions. Other current hot-button issues include, fish aggregating devices (FADs), retention and landing of marlins, emerging US fisheries for high seas squid, and the continuity of US pelagic fisheries in the Pacific.

If you agree that it would be useful to convene a joint Council meeting focused on pelagics, we should establish a small steering committee to develop an agenda for the meeting and to discuss meeting logistics. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Kitty M. Simonds  
Executive Director