# MODEL EVALUATION WORKGROUP REPORT ON SALMON METHODOLOGY REVIEW: FINAL PRIORITIZATION OF MODELING ISSUES FOR SSC

The Model Evaluation Workgroup (MEW) has meet three times since formed by the Council in June of this year, twice in person and once by conference call. The Council directed the MEW to document the Fisheries Regulation and Assessment Model (FRAM) as a first priority. The MEW is actively working toward completion of this task and has decided on a three-step approach. Step one is to create a FRAM 'Overview' document. The Overview will provide a general description of FRAM. For example, it will define the chinook and coho stocks and fisheries included, time steps included, as well as information on such things as the various types and magnitudes of incidental mortality rates used in different fisheries. It will also include a flow chart of computations within FRAM, a list of the fundamental equations used, and a description of some of the ancillary models used, such as the Terminal Area Management Modules (TAMMs). The Overview's target audience is members of the Council family who would like some general information about what FRAM is and does, but do not need to know the details of FRAM operation. Step two will be to complete what we are referring to as the FRAM 'bible'. This document is envisioned to be both a programmer's guide to FRAM, with detailed listings of all equations and computations in both the chinook and coho FRAMs, as well as containing documentation of model base data and instructions for completing a base period calibration of FRAM. Step three is to produce a FRAM 'Users Guide'. We anticipate this will be somewhat of a 'cookbook' to assist a new FRAM user in making a forward model run given a calibrated model.

Each year, the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) is asked by the Council to conduct a review of any proposed changes to methodologies used by the Council to estimate fishery impacts during the preseason planning process. Last spring, the Council approved a list of six items for potential SSC review. Of these six, the MEW recommends that two be reviewed.

- 1. The MEW anticipates the FRAM 'Overview' document will be in a final draft form by early October. We recommend the Council request the SSC and the Salmon Technical Team (STT) to review the draft document and provide comments back to the MEW.
- 2. The Coho Technical Committee of the Pacific Salmon Commission is modifying the coho FRAM to add fishery and stock strata for Canadian management needs. These modifications should be completed soon and will be reviewed by the SSC.

In addition, last April the Council directed the STT to begin reporting annually the anticipated Individual Stock Based Management (ISBM) indices for selected stocks as defined in the 1999 Revised Annexes to the Pacific Salmon Treaty with Canada. The MEW would like to present the formula for the index to the SSC for its information, comments, and suggestions.

# SCIENTIFIC AND STATISTICAL COMMITTEE REPORT ON SALMON METHODOLOGY REVIEW: FINAL PRIORITIZATION OF MODELING ISSUES FOR SSC

At the April 2003 meeting, the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) identified six methodology issues for possible review during the November 2003 meeting. These were the:

- Chinook and coho Fishery Regulation Assessment Model (FRAM) documentation.
- Chinook FRAM for mark-selective fisheries.
- Coho FRAM fisheries for Canadian stocks.
- Columbia River Fall chinook ocean abundance predictors.
- Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife management plan for Lower Columbia River coho salmon.
- Oregon Coastal Natural (OCN) coho salmon prediction methodology.

The Coho FRAM fisheries for Canadian stocks is the only model with new material to review. The Model Evaluation Workgroup will have a draft of the Chinook and Coho FRAM overview documentation for review. The SSC Salmon Subcommittee will review these two products in October and present its results to the full SSC at the November 2003 meeting.

PFMC 09/10/03

# SALMON TECHNICAL TEAM REPORT ON SALMON METHODOLOGY REVIEW: FINAL PRIORITIZATION OF MODELING ISSUES FOR SSC

The Salmon Technical Team (STT) met recently to develop recommendations to the Council on methodology reviews to be preformed by the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) this fall. Last spring, the Council approved a list of six methodology review items for potential SSC review. Of these six, the STT understands that two are near completion and recommends for review:

- 1. The Model Evaluation Workgroup (MEW) anticipates the Fishery Regulation Assessment Model (FRAM) 'Overview' document will be in a final draft form by early October. We recommend the Council request the SSC and the STT review the draft document and provide comments back to the MEW.
- 2. The Coho Technical Committee of the Pacific Salmon Commission is modifying the coho FRAM to add fishery and stock strata for Canadian management needs. These modifications should be completed soon and reviewed by the SSC for use in 2004.

In addition, last spring the Council directed the STT to estimate, preseason, the Individual Stock Based Management (ISBM) indices for selected stocks as defined in the 1999 revised Annexes to the Pacific Salmon Treaty. The STT understands the MEW wishes to present the formulation of the index to the SSC for their information. Computation of the index, while conceptually relatively simple, can be difficult for a number of reasons. The STT requests the SSC and the MEW provide any guidance they can regarding generation of the index in an accurate and timely manner.

PFMC 09/10/03

					Supple	mental NMF	xhibit F.3.b FS Report 1 ember 2003
FY	3 Mite	hell A Fun	ct Ce ing 7	<del>pe</del> ra able	<u>Ag</u>	reeme	nt
V						-	

						-
Award Number	Project	Agency	Mo d	Amoun t	Date to GMD	Date Signed
NA03NMF436	Ringold Salmon Acclimation	WDFW	0	\$45,049	12/18/02	03/26/03
			1	\$22,356	06/05/03	
NA0 JMF4 6	Irrigation Diversion Screens and	IDG	0	\$2,980,	05/08/03	
NA0 JMF436	Co. P. ar F. Chi zok	Yama		\$170 <mark>,</mark> 92	05/14/03	
NA0 JMF43	Irrightion Diversion Screen and	WoFW	0	\$215,00	05/19/03	6/20/03
NAC IMP 436	Mit well Act Hate ry Oct	V FW	0	\$4,61,	05/21/03	6/13/03
	(Mod for ME&R Projects) <sup>2</sup>		1	\$565,00	8/04/03	
NA03NMF436	Mitchell Act Hatchery O&M	ODFW	0	\$4,030,	06/24/03	
	(Mod for ME&R Projects) <sup>3</sup>		1	\$524,23	8/06/03	
NA03NMF436	Irrigation Diversion Screens and	ODFW	0	\$1,714,	06/23/03	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Does not include the Intergovernmental Agreement covering the US Fish and Wildlife Service's Mitchell Act Hatchery Funding of \$3,492,272 that was signed in WASC on 06/10/03.

 $<sup>^2\,</sup>$  Requested end date for the Cooperative Agreement is already 09/30/04 so a time extension will not be required.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Requested end date for the Cooperative Agreement is already 09/30/04 so a time extension will not be required.

Three issues over the Mitchell Act administration that could come up at the Seattle PFMC Meeting

# FY 2003 Funding:

- \* Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife has already written to the Admiral concerning the timeliness of the provision of Mitchell Act funds for FY 2003. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, and the Yakama Tribe are likely to follow suit citing similar delays in receiving their FY 2003 allocations. Even though the fiscal year began on October 1, 2002, they have had to cover costs incurred to-date with their own funds and are waiting to be reimbursed when a Cooperative Agreement is signed. Currently, only the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and the US Fish and Wildlife Service have received their FY 2003 Mitchell Act funding.
- \* Because the FY 2003 budget was not signed until February 2003 and the final allocation to the NW Region didn't occur until April 2003, Cooperative Agreement applications weren't submitted to Grants Management Division until May-June, 2003.

# FY 2004 Funding:

At a Pacific Fisheries Management Council (PFMC) meeting last year, we were asked why NOAA Fisheries does not ask for enough funding to at least maintain status quo programs. That will come up again this week at the PFMC meeting in Seattle.

	FY 2003 Budg	003 Budget President's FY 2004 Budget Request		House FY 200 Mark	)4	Senate FY 2004 N (not confirmed		
Columbia R. Hatcheries	\$11.457	М	\$11.457	М	\$12.000	M	\$11.085	M
ME&R	\$1.700	М	\$1.700	М	\$1.700	M	\$1.700	М
Columbia R. Facilities	\$3.365	М	\$3.365	М				
Marking	\$1.100	М						
Total	\$17.622	М	\$16.522	M	\$13.700	M	\$12.785	M

- \* Five hatchery programs closed in 1995 and 30 million fewer fish were stocked into the Columbia Basin because funding has not kept up with the cost of living.
- \* For 2004, level funding means more hatchery closures beginning next month.
- \* The House and potentially the Senate marks do not include the facilities line item. This money has funded the irrigation screening and fishway programs. Cutting those programs would have an immediate and significant impact on Endangered Species Act (ESA) listed salmon. If the reductions were taken out of the hatchery program, that would mean the closure of 6-9 of the 18 hatcheries currently operating having a major impact on sports, commercial, and Tribal fisheries.
- \* Funding to mark fish to support selective fisheries and to protect ESA listed fish is not included in the President's budget or in the House and Senate marks. Fewer fish will be stocked to pay for marking.

**Bottom up budgeting:** A process was initiated at Bob Lohn's direction to develop a bottom up budget for the CRFDP. Currently the budget is top down. We are told what is available and the

agencies submit requests based on available funds. At the meetings that have been held, the cooperating agencies have estimated their real needs to support the current CRFDP programs. These estimates include not only current O&M but also needed maintenance and up grades to facilities, monitoring and evaluation activities, and tasks relating to implementation of ESA Hatchery and Genetic Management Plans. The table in draft from is attached. It has not been given to Bob Lohn yet. It is being distributed at the PFMC meeting for final comments from the cooperating agencies and then will be presented to Bob Lohn.

Points of Contact-

R.Z. Smith, CRFDP Director (503) 231-2009 or Rob Jones, Branch Chief (503) 230-5427

Exhibit F.3.f Supplemental Tribal Report September 2003

# Mitchell Act Program Update:

I would like to express to NMFS some concern the Washington Coastal Tribes have with the Mitchell Act budget situation and possible reductions in production for 2004. As you know the Coastal tribes fish on the Columbia River Hatchery fish in the Treaty Troll fishery. A reduction in these hatchery fish could have a significant effect on the Tribes troll fishery.

The Washington Coastal Tribes recognize the Columbia River Tribes are participating in discussions on Mitchell Act funding issues and support their role in the decision making process among the agencies that produce these fish. However, the Washington Coastal tribes are concerned about the potential impact of reductions in this program on their fishery and would like NMFS to consult with them, keep them informed, and to include them in any future meetings on hatchery closures or hatchery reductions.

## RECOMMENDATION:

and a start of the second s

The Coastal Tribes would also encourage NMFS to look at making cuts in non-production activities funded with Mitchell Act monies before making any decisions on shutting down hatcheries or cutting fish production.

Thank-you

TABLE F.1-1. Sequence of events in ocean salmon fishery management through August 15, 2003<sup>a/</sup> (Page 1 of 5)

# GENERAL MANAGEMENT ACTIONS AND INSEASON CONFERENCES

- Mar. 7 National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) provides the Council with a letter outlining the 2003 management guidance for stocks listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA).
- Mar. 14 Council adopts three troll and three recreational ocean salmon fishery management options for public review.
- Mar. 20-21 North of Cape Falcon Salmon Forum meets in Olympia, Washington to initiate consideration of recommendations for treaty Indian and non-Indian salmon management options.
- Mar. 31-Apr. 1 Council holds public hearings on proposed 2003 management options in three locations within the three Pacific Coast states.
- Apr. 2-3 North of Cape Falcon Salmon Forum meets in Seattle, Washington to further consider recommendations for treaty Indian and non-Indian salmon management options.
- Apr. 10 Council adopts final ocean salmon fishery management recommendations for approval and implementation by the U.S. Secretary of Commerce. The proposed measures include selective fisheries and comply with the salmon fishery management plan (FMP) and the current biological opinions for listed species. An emergency rule is not required for implementation.
- May 6 Ocean salmon seasons implemented as recommended by the Council and published in the *Federal Register* on May 6 (68 FR 23913) and May 19 (FR 68 FR 27004).
- June 5 NMFS inseason conference number one results in closure of the U.S./Canada border to Cape Falcon, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery effective midnight, June 6, 2003 as the 40,000 chinook quota was approached, and the preseason intent to reserve at least 800 chinook for the June 26-30 opening was in jeopardy.
- July 18 NMFS inseason conference number two results in opening of the Cape Falcon to Queets River recreational salmon fishery seven days per week, effective July 25.
- Aug. 14 NMFS inseason conference number three results in closure of the Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. selective recreational coho fishery effective August 19, 2003 as the quota of 88,000 adipose fin clipped coho was projected to have been met.

#### NON-INDIAN COMMERCIAL TROLL SEASONS

Mar. 15 Cape Falcon to Florence south jetty, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through July 16. The fishery reopens August 1 through 19 and September 1 through October 31.

Florence south jetty to Humbug Mt., non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through June 30. The fishery reopens July 17 through 31; August 11 through 29; and September 1 through October 31.

Humbug Mt. to Oregon/California border, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through May 31. The fishery is scheduled to reopen June 1 through the earlier of June 30 or a 2,500 chinook quota; reopen again July 1 through the earlier of July 31 or a 1,200 chinook quota, reopen again August 1 through the earlier of August 29 or a 2,500 chinook quota, and reopen again September 1 through the earlier of September 30 or a 3,000 chinook quota.

May 1 U.S./Canada border to Cape Falcon, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through the earlier of June 30 or a 40,000 chinook quota.

Horse Mt. to Pt. Arena, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through May 31. The fishery reopens July 3 through 14; and July 18 through September 30.

Pt. Arena to U.S./Mexico border, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through September 30.

# TABLE F.1-1. Sequence of events in ocean salmon fishery management through August 15, 2003 a/ (Page 2 of 5)

#### NON-INDIAN COMMERCIAL TROLL SEASONS, (continued)

May 31	Humbug Mt. to Oregon/California border, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes. The fishery is scheduled to reopen June 1 through the earlier of June 30 or a 2,500 chinook quota; reopen again July 1 through the earlier of July 31 or a 1,200 chinook quota, reopen again August 1 through the earlier of August 29 or a 2,500 chinook quota, and reopen again September 1 through the earlier of September 30 or a 3,000 chinook quota.
	Horse Mt. to Pt. Arena, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes. The fishery reopens July 3 through 14; and July 18 through September 30.
June 1	Humbug Mt. to Oregon/California border, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery reopens through the earlier of June 30 or a 2,500 chinook quota.
June 20	The U.S./Canada border to Cape Falcon, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes as the 40,000 chinook quota was approached. The fishery is scheduled to reopen June 26-30 if at least 800 chinook remain on the quota.
June 26	U.S./Canada border to Cape Falcon, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through the earlier of June 30 or a 40,000 chinook quota, with a 50 fish per vessel landing limit for the five day open period.
June 30	Florence South Jetty to Humbug Mt., non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes. The fishery reopens July 17 through 31; August 11 through 29; and September 1 through October 31.
	The Humbug Mt. to Oregon/California border, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes as scheduled. The fishery is scheduled to reopen July 1 through the earlier of July 31 or a 1,200 chinook quota, reopen again August 1 through the earlier of August 29 or a 2,500 chinook quota, and reopen again September 1 through the earlier of September 30 or a 3,000 chinook quota.
July 1	Humbug Mt. to Oregon/California border, all-salmon-except-coho fishery reopens through the earlier of July 29 or a 1,200 chinook quota.
July 3	U.S./Canada border to Cape Falcon, non-Indian commercial all-salmon fishery opens through the earlier of September 14 or a 24,400 chinook guideline or a 75,000 coho quota; the fishery is open Thursday through Monday with a 75 chinook landing limit per vessel for July 3 through 7 and a 150 chinook landing limit per vessel for subsequent five-day open periods. All coho must have a healed adipose fin clip.
	Horse Mt. to Pt. Arena, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through July 14 with a 150 fish per day per vessel landing limit, and a requirement that all fish must be landed within the area and within 24 hours of any closure.
July 14	Horse Mt. to Point Arena, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes. The fishery reopens July 18 through September 30.
July 16	Cape Falcon to Florence south jetty, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes. The fishery reopens August 1 through 19 and September 1 through October 31
July 17	Florence south jetty to Humbug Mt., Oregon, all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through July 31.
July 18	Horse Mt. to Point Arena, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through September 30 with no special landing limit or area restrictions.
July 31	Florence south jetty to Humbug Mt., Oregon, all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes. The fishery reopens August 11 through 29; and September 1 through October 31.
	Scheduled closure of the Humbug Mt. to Oregon/California border, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-

except-coho fishery.

# TABLE F.1-1. Sequence of events in ocean salmon fishery management through August 15, 2003<sup>a/</sup> (Page 3 of 5)

#### NON-INDIAN COMMERCIAL TROLL SEASONS, (continued)

Aug. 1	Cape Falcon to Florence south jetty, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through August 19.
	Humbug Mt. to Oregon/California, border non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery reopens through the earlier of August 29 or a 2,500 chinook quota.
Aug. 19	Cape Falcon to Florence south jetty, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes. The fishery reopens September 1 through October 31.
Aug. 29	Florence south jetty to Humbug Mt., non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes for two days. The fishery reopens September 1 through October 31.
	Scheduled closure of the Humbug Mt. to Oregon/California border, non-Indian commercial all-salmon- except-coho fishery. The fishery reopens September 1 through the earlier of September 30 or a 3,000 chinook quota.
Sep. 1	Cape Falcon to Florence south jetty, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery reopens through October 31.
	Florence south jetty to Humbug Mt., non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery reopens through October 31.
	Humbug Mt. to Oregon/California, border non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery reopens through the earlier of September 30 or a 3,000 chinook quota with a 28 inch minimum size limit.
	Oregon/California border to Humboldt south jetty, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through the earlier of September 30 or a quota of 10,000 chinook.
Sep. 14	Scheduled closure of the U.S./Canada border to Cape Falcon, non-Indian commercial salmon fishery.
Sep. 30	Scheduled closure of the Humbug Mt. to Oregon/California border, non-Indian commercial fishery.
	Scheduled closure of the Oregon/California border to Humboldt south jetty, non-Indian commercial fishery.
	Horse Mt. to Pt. Arena, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes.
	Pt. Arena to U.S./Mexico border, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes.
Oct. 1	Pt. Reyes to Pt. San Pedro, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens Monday to Friday through October 17.
Oct. 17	Pt. Reyes to Pt. San Pedro, non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes.
Oct. 31	Cape Falcon to Florence south jetty non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes.

Florence south jetty to Humbug Mt., non-Indian commercial all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes.

3

# TABLE F.1-1. Sequence of events in ocean salmon fishery management through August 15, 2003<sup>a/</sup> (Page 4 of 5)

#### TREATY INDIAN COMMERCIAL TROLL SEASONS

May 1All-salmon-except-coho fisheries open through the earlier of June 30 or a 30,000 chinook quota for<br/>the May through June season (any remainder of the quota is not transferable to the July 1 through<br/>September 15 season).June 30All-salmon-except-coho fisheries close as scheduled.July 1All-salmon fisheries open through the earlier of September 15, a 30,000 chinook quota, or a 90,000<br/>coho quota.Sep. 15All-salmon commercial fisheries close.

#### **RECREATIONAL SEASONS**

- Feb. 15 Horse Mt. to Pt. Arena, all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through November 16.
- Mar. 15 Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt., all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through October 31. The fishery allows retention of adipose fin clipped coho beginning June 21 through the earlier of August 24 or a 88,000 coho quota, then reverts back to all-salmon-except-coho for the remainder of the season.
- Mar. 29 Pigeon Pt. to the U.S./Mexico border, all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through September 28.
- Apr. 12 Point Arena to Pigeon Pt., all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through November 9.
- May 17 Humbug Mt. to Horse Mt., all-salmon-except-coho fishery opens through September 14.
- June 21 Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt., all-salmon selective coho fishery opens seven days per week through the earlier of August 24 or a quota of 88,000 coho; all coho must have a healed adipose fin clip. The fishery reopens for all-salmon-except-coho the earlier of August 25 or the attainment of the coho guota, through October 31.
- June 22 U.S./Canada border to Cape Alava, all-salmon fishery opens seven days per week through the earlier of September 14, a 3,900 chinook guideline, or a 23,400 coho quota. Daily-bag-limit is two fish, only one of which can be a chinook, plus one additional pink salmon; all coho must have a healed adipose fin clip.

Cape Alava to Queets River, all-salmon fishery opens seven days per week though the earlier of September 8, a 2,300 chinook guideline, or a 5,750 coho quota. Daily-bag-limit is two fish, only one of which can be a chinook, plus one additional pink salmon; all coho must have a healed adipose fin clip.

Queets River to Leadbetter Pt., all-salmon fishery opens though the earlier of September 14, a 40,600 chinook guideline, or a 83,250 coho quota. Fishery runs Sunday to Thursday through July 25, then seven days per week thereafter. Daily-bag-limit is two fish, only one of which can be a chinook; all coho must have a healed adipose fin clip.

- June 29 Leadbetter Pt. to Cape Falcon, all-salmon fishery opens though the earlier of September 30, a 12,700 chinook guideline, or a 112,500 coho quota. Fishery runs Sunday to Thursday through July 24, then seven days per week thereafter. Daily-bag-limit is two fish, only one of which can be a chinook; all coho must have a healed adipose fin clip. Closed between Tillamook Head and Cape Falcon beginning August 1.
- Aug. 18 Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt., all-salmon selective coho fishery closes as the 88,000 coho quota is reached.
- Aug. 19 Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt., all-salmon-except-coho fishery reopens through October 31.

# TABLE F.1-1. Sequence of events in ocean salmon fishery management through August 15, 2003 a/ (Page 5 of 5)

	RECREATIONAL SEASONS, (continued)
Sep. 8	Scheduled closure of the U.S./Canada border to Cape Alava, all-salmon selective coho fishery.
	Scheduled closure of the Cape Alava to Queets River, all-salmon selective coho fishery.
	Scheduled closure of the Queets River to Leadbetter Point, all-salmon selective coho fishery.
Sep. 14	Humbug Mt. to Horse Mt., all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes.
Sep. 20	La Push area (Teahwhit Head to "Q" buoy to Cake Rock east to the shoreline), all-salmon selective coho fishery reopens through the earlier of October 5, a 100 chinook guideline, or a 100 coho quota.
Sep. 28	Pigeon Pt. to U.S./Mexico border, all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes.
Sep. 30	Scheduled closure of the Leadbetter Pt. to Cape Falcon, all-salmon selective coho fishery
Oct. 5	Scheduled closure of the La Push area, all-salmon selective coho fishery.
Oct. 31	Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt., all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes.
Nov. 9	Pt. Arena to Pigeon Pt., all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes.
Nov. 16	Horse Mt. to Pt. Arena, all-salmon-except-coho fishery closes.

a/ Unless stated otherwise, season openings or modifications of restrictions are effective at 0001 hours of the listed date. Closures are effective at midnight.

# SALMON FISHERY UPDATE

<u>Situation</u>: A summary of the management events for the 2003 salmon season (updated through August 15) is contained in Attachment 1. Through August 15, there have been three inseason management conferences to adjust fisheries. The first conference closed the commercial troll fishery north of Cape Falcon effective June 6. The second conference allowed fishing seven days per week in the recreational fishery between Cape Falcon and the Queets River effective July 25. The third conference call ended retention of coho in the Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. recreational fishery effective August 19.

Mr. Dell Simmons, Chair of the Salmon Technical Team (STT), will provide detailed effort and harvest data for salmon fisheries through the end of August in his report to the Council (Exhibit F.1.a, Supplemental STT Report).

## Council Task:

## 1. Discuss issues relevant to inseason management of salmon fisheries.

#### Reference Materials:

- 1. Table F.1-1. Sequence of Events in Ocean Salmon Fishery Management through August 15, 2003 (Exhibit F.1, Attachment 1).
- 2. Status Report of the 2003 Ocean Salmon Fisheries off Washington, Oregon, and California (Exhibit F.1.a, Supplemental STT Report).

#### Agenda Order:

a. Salmon Technical Team Report

Dell Simmons

- b. Reports and Comments of Advisory Bodies
- c. Public Comment
- d. Council Discussion

PFMC 08/26/03

#### STATUS REPORT OF THE 2003 OCEAN SALMON FISHERIES OFF WASHINGTON, OREGON, and CALIFORNIA. Preliminary Data Through August 31, 2003.

Season Effort CHINOOK				СОНО					
Fishery and Area	Dates	Days fished	Catch	Quota	Percent	Catch	Quota	Percent	
TROLL									
Treaty Indian	5/1-6/30	143	15,657	30,000	52%		Non-Retention		
	7/1-9/15	138	17,585	30,000	59%	8,392	90,000	9%	
Non-Indian North of Falcon	5/1-6/30	762	35,920	40,000	90%				
	6/26/-6/30	96	1,024	800		Non-Retention			
	7/3-9/14	1,179	30,644	32,400	95%	11,236	75,000	15%	
Cape Falcon-Florence S. Jetty	3/15-7/16	3,210	82,377	None	NA		Non-Retention		
	8/1-8/19	793	24,433	None	NA	Non-Retention			
	9/1-10/31			None	NA				
Florence S. Jetty - Humbug Mt.	3/15-6/30	3,279	95,553	None	NA		Non-Retention		
	7/17-7/31	199	4,785	None	NA		Non-Retention		
	8/11-8/29	128	2,643	None	NA		Non-Retention		
	9/1-10/31			None	NA		Non-Retention		
Humbug Mtn-OR/CA Border	3/15-5/31	53	649	None	NA		Non-Retention		
	6/1-6/30	71	515	2,500	21%		Non-Retention		
	7/1-7/31	99	1,061	1,200	88%		Non-Retention		
	8/1-8/29	84	821	2,500	33%		Non-Retention		
	9/1-9/30			3,000	0%		Non-Retention		
OR/CA Border -Humboldt S. Jetty	9/1-9/30			10,000	0%		Non-Retention		
Horse Mtn-Pt. Arena	5/1-5/31	620	31,750	None	NA		Non-Retention		
	7/3-7/14	540	35,640	None	NA		Non-Retention		
	7/18-9/30	1,543	106,220	None	NA	Non-Retention			
Pt.Arena - Pigeon Pt.	5/1-9/30	3,360	182,410	None	NA	Non-Retention			
	10/1-3,6-					Non-Retention			
Pt. Reyes - Pt. San Pedro	10,13-17						Non Potentian		
Pigeon PtUS/Mexico Border	5/1-9/30	1,900	33,200	None	NA		Non-Retention		
		Effort		CHINOOK			00110		
Fishery and Area	Season Dates	Effort Angler Days	Catch	Quota			COHO Catch Quota		
RECREATIONAL	Dates	Anglei Duyo	outon	Quota	1 croom	outon	quota	Percent	
US/Canada Border-Cape Alava	6/22-9/14	19,701	4,772	3,900	122%	18,976	23,400	81%	
Cape Alava-Queets River	6/22-9/14	3,650	1,734	2,300	75%	3,259	5,750	57%	
Cape Alava Queels Nivel	9/20-10/5	3,030	1,734	100	0%	3,233	100	0%	
Queets River-Leadbetter Pt.	6/22-9/14	43,405	20,032	40,600	49%	34,949	83,250	42%	
Leadbetter PtCape Falcon	6/29-9/30	59,973	7,024	12,700	55%	93,636	112,500	83%	
Cape Falcon-Humbug Mtn	3/15-10/31	8,051	3,150	None	NA	,	Non-Retention	007	
Cape Falcon Humbug Mith	6/21-8/24	90,190	24,400	None	NA			95%	
Humbug Mtn-Horse Mtn	5/17-9/14	24,979	11,592	None	NA	83,760 88,000 95°			
Horse Mtn-Pt. Arena	2/15-11/16	22,470	15,480	None	NA	Non-Retention			
Pt. Arena-Pigeon Pt.	4/12-11/9	57,490	52,050	None	NA	Non-Retention Non-Retention			
Pigeon PtUS/Mexico Border	3/29-9/28	26,380	11,820	None	NA		Non-Retention		
	0,20 0,20	20,000	,020						
		Effort		(	Chinook Catch			Coho Catch	
TOTALS TO DATE	2003	2002	2001	2003	2002	2001	2003	2002	
TROLL									
Treaty Indian	281	286	540	33.242	35,997	25,406	8.392	8 14	

TOTALS TO DATE	2003	2002	2001	2003	2002	2001	2003	2002	2001
TROLL									
Treaty Indian	281	286	540	33,242	35,997	25,406	8,392	8,145	36,769
Washington Non-Treaty	1,103	1,338	714	54,914	53,819	20,852	7,261	180	4,984
Oregon	8,276	7,700	8,700	222,719	157,800	225,900	3,975	1,500	7,300
California	7,963	14,800	10,900	389,220	362,400	161,800	0	0	0
Total Troll	17,623	24,124	20,854	700,095	610,016	433,958	19,628	9,825	49,053
RECREATIONAL									
Washington	110,662	85,300	107,800	31,594	57,576	21,409	125,707	61,957	143,089
Oregon	124,559	76,500	106,700	32,799	36,200	23,200	108,332	35,300	90,500
California	120,620	195,100	142,400	87,710	173,900	86,100	0	0	0
Total Recreational	355,841	356,900	356,900	152,103	267,676	130,709	234,039	97,257	233,589
PFMC Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	852,198	877,692	564,667	253,667	107,082	282,642

a/ All non-Treaty coho fisheries are mark selective

b/ Treaty troll effort is reported as landings.

c/ Numbers shown as chinook quotas for non-Indian troll and recreational fisheries North of Falcon are guidelines rather than quotas.

Only the total chinook allowable catch is a quota.

Exhibit F.2 Attachment 1 September 2003

#### Subject: Salmon Meth. Review

Date: Tue, 12 Aug 2003 11:55:40 -0700

From: Chuck Tracy < Chuck. Tracy@mercury.akctr.noaa.gov>

To: Henry Yuen <henry\_yuen@fws.gov>, Doug Milward <Milwadam@dfw.wa.gov>, Dell Simmons <Dell.Simmons@noaa.gov>, Robert Kope <Robert.Kope@noaa.gov>, Gary Morishima <Morikog@aol.com>, Michael Mohr <Michael.Mohr@noaa.gov>, Chuck Tracy <Chuck.Tracy@noaa.gov>, Curt Melcher <Curt.Melcher@state.or.us>, Wendy Beeghley <BEEGHWLB@dfw.wa.gov>, Melodie Palmer-Zwahlen <mpalmer@dfg.ca.gov>, Allen Grover <agrover@dfg.ca.gov>, Craig Foster <Craig.A.Foster@state.or.us>, Henry Yuen <henry\_yuen@fws.gov>, Dell Simmons <Dell.Simmons@noaa.gov>, Curt Melcher <Curt.Melcher@state.or.us>, "Robert H. Conrad" <bconrad@nwifc.wa.gov>, Larrie LaVoy <LaVoyLWL@dfw.wa.gov>, Jim Packer <PackeJFP@dfw.wa.gov>, Allen Grover <agrover@dfg.ca.gov>, Andy Rankis <ARankis@nwifc.org>, Rishi Sharma <shar@critfc.org>, Alan Byrne <abyrne@idfg.state.id.us>, Kevin Hill <khill@ucsd.edu>, Peter Lawson <Peter.W.Lawson@noaa.gov>, "Robert H. Conrad" <bconrad@nwifc.wa.gov>, Shijie Zhou <shijie.zhou@state.or.us>, Phil Anderson <anderpma@dfw.wa.gov>, Neal Coenen <neal.coenen@state.or.us>, Eric Larson <elarson@dfg.ca.gov></a>

Greetings all:

This is just a reminder that the Council will be establishing priorities

for salmon methodology review by the SSC at the September Council meeting. The review itself usually occurs in mid- to late October.

A prioritized list of potential subjects was considered at the April Council Meeting (see below), and it will be useful to have updates

on the priorities and whether some of the projects are suitably complete

for review.

It is unlikely that the SSC will have time to review all the subjects this year, or that all will be ready for review. Please discuss

these projects with appropriate parties and have recommendations ready for the September meeting.

1. Chinook and coho FRAM documentation: documentation of the chinook and coho FRAMs will be one of the first tasks of the new Model Evaluation Workgroup (MEW).

2. Coho FRAM fisheries for Canadian stocks: the Coho Technical Committee of the Pacific Salmon Commission (PSC) is modifying the coho FRAM to add fishery and stock strata for Canadian management.

3. Chinook FRAM for mark-selective fisheries: the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife modified the chinook FRAM to accommodate mark-selective fisheries.

4. Columbia River Fall chinook ocean abundance predictors: there has been some preliminary work on producing ocean run-size predictors for these stocks.

5. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife management plan for Lower Columbia River coho salmon: the draft plan needs data cleanup and method improvements.

6. Oregon Coastal Natural (OCN) coho salmon prediction methodology: new predictors are under development.

Thanks.

--Chuck Tracy Pacific Fishery Management Council 7700 NE Ambassador Avenue, Suite 200 Portland, Oregon 97220 Phone: 503-820-2280 or toll free 866-806-7204 Fax: 503-820-2299 e-mail: Chuck.Tracy@noaa.gov url: www.pcouncil.org <.)}}}>< <.)}}>>< <.)}}}>><</pre>

# SALMON METHODOLOGY REVIEW: FINAL PRIORITIZATION OF MODELING ISSUES FOR SCIENTIFIC AND STATISTICAL COMMITTEE

<u>Situation</u>: Each year, the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) completes a methodology review to help assure new or significantly modified methodologies employed to estimate impacts of the Council's salmon management use the best available science. This review is preparatory to the Council's adoption, at the November meeting, of all proposed changes to be implemented in the coming season, or, in certain limited cases, of providing directions for handling any unresolved methodology problems prior to the formulation of salmon management options the following March. Because there is insufficient time to review new or modified methods at the March meeting, the Council may reject their use if they have not been approved the preceding November.

At its April 2003 meeting, the Council identified a list of potential subjects for the methodology review. These subjects are identified in a reminder letter sent out to the responsible agencies in August 2003, which requests agencies be prepared to speak to the status of the subjects in terms of completeness and priority (Exhibit F.2, Attachment 1). All materials for review are to be received at the Council office at least three weeks prior to the scheduled review meeting of the SSC Salmon Subcommittee, which is likely to be in mid- to late-October.

# **Council Action:**

- 1. Determine whether or not it is likely methodologies identified for review will be ready for the SSC salmon subcommittee meeting in October.
- 2. Set priorities for SSC review of methodologies.

#### Reference Materials:

1. Email to the agencies from Chuck Tracy dated August 12, 2003 (Exhibit F.2, Attachment 1).

#### Agenda Order:

- a. Agendum Overview
- b. Agency and Tribal Reports and Comments
- c. Model Evaluation Workgroup Report
- d. Reports and Comments of Advisory Bodies
- e. Public Comment
- f. **Council Action:** Establish Final Prioritization and Schedule for Review of Salmon Methodology Changes for the 2004 Season

PFMC 08/19/03

Chuck Tracy

**Dell Simmons** 

Exhibit F.3 Attachment 1 September 2003

# PACIFIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 200 Portland, Oregon 97220-1384

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Donald O. McIsaac

CHAIRMAN Hans Radtke

Telephone: 503-820-2280 Fax: 503-820-2299 www.pcouncil.org

July 3, 2003

Mr. Randy Fisher, Executive Director Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission 45 SE 82nd Drive, Suite 100 Gladstone, OR 97027-2522

Dear Randy:

At its June meeting, the Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) received a report from National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) on the status of Mitchell Act funding. The President's Fiscal Year (FY) 2004 budget once again maintains level funding for Mitchell Act programs, which will necessitate closing of facilities or termination of programs. The Council discussed the apparency that a budget supplement for FY 2004 will be necessary to maintain Mitchell Act programs in the short-term, and the problem of chronic level funding must be addressed in order to preserve these programs in the long-term.

The Council discussed how a two-step process, occurring in the near future, might best address Mitchell Act funding problems. The first step would be to facilitate a meeting of agency technical personnel to develop a bottom up budget for Mitchell Act facilities and programs that would support full program needs. The second step would be to facilitate a meeting of state and tribal policy representatives and stakeholders to develop a consensus strategy for communicating the needs and benefits of Mitchell Act programs to Congress, Presidential advisors, and the upper level Administrators within NOAA and the Department of Commerce.

The Council also felt that the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (Commission) would be the agency with the best chance of successfully executing this strategy. Therefore, we ask that the Commission consider sponsoring these two meetings and acting as the primary facilitator in this effort. It would be advantageous to schedule the two meetings this summer so that the message conceivably might affect the FY 2004 budget. Mr. RZ Smith is coordinating budget development for NMFS and is the appropriate contact for recommending participants in the first meeting. He is aware of the possibility of such a meeting this summer and is prepared to provide the necessary materials for this meeting. The Council also recommends the Commission coordinate the participants in the second meeting, as well as provide guidance on various approaches toward achieving the goal of effectively communicating consensus recommendations. Council members from Oregon and Washington or their designees have expressed strong intent to meaningfully participate in this second meeting and the process that may emanate from it. Dr. Randy Fisher July 3, 2003 Page 2

Thank you for your consideration of this important issue. Please contact me or Chuck Tracy of my staff if you would like to discuss the matter further or if you have questions.

Sincerely

An fac

D. O. McIsaac, Ph.D. Executive Director

CAT:rdd

c: Council Members Salmon Advisory Subpanel Salmon Technical Team Mr. Rob Jones, National Marine Fisheries Service Mr. RZ Smith, National Marine Fisheries Service Mr. Olney Patt Jr., Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission Ms. Liz Hamilton, Northwest Sportfishing Industry Association Mr. Bruce Buckmaster, Salmon for All Dr. John Coon Mr. Chuck Tracy Mr. Dan Waldeck Mr. Jim Seger Mr. Ed Waters



# September 2003 PACIFIC STATES MARINE FISHERIES COMMISSION

45 S.E. 82ND DRIVE, SUITE 100, GLADSTONE, OREGON 97027-2522 PHONE (503) 650-5400 FAX (503) 650-5426

FOR YOUR INFORMATION

RECEIVED

Exhibit F.3 Attachment 2

July 17, 2003

JUL 1 8 2003

PFMC

Dr. Don O. McIsaac, Ph.D Executive Director Pacific Fishery Management Council 7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 200 Portland, Oregon 97220

Dear Don:

Shortly after receiving your letter of July 3, 2003, I contacted RZ Smith and had a number of discussions concerning a Technical and Strategy Meeting concerning Mitchell Act Hatcheries.

Based on these discussions we are proposing to have a Technical "bottom-up" Funding Meeting the last week of July or the first week of August. RZ Smith will be taking the lead to put this meeting together. I will take the lead in putting together a strategy meeting. We will be looking at the later part of August.

I realize this may be short notice, but if we are to have any input into 2004 appropriations we must move quickly.

As soon as we have firmed up a date and time for these meetings, we ill provide you with that information.

Sincerel

Randy Fisher Executive Director Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission

cc:Pacific Fishery Management Council MembersRob Jones, NOAAPFMC Salmon Advisory SubpanelRZ Smith NOAAPFMC Salmon Technical TeamOlney Patt, Jr. CRITFCLiz Hamilton, NWSIABruce Buckmaster, Salmon for AllDr. John Coon, Chuck Tracy, Dan Waldeck, Jim Seger, Ed Waters - PFMC

"To promote the conservation, development and management of Pacific coast fishery resources through coordinated regional research, monitoring and utilization"

Exhibit F.3 Attachment 3 September 2003

JUL-28-2003 11:16



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE 525 NE Oregon Street PORTLAND, OREGON 97232-2737

July 25, 2003

Dan Diggs Fishery Resources U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 911 NE 11<sup>th</sup> Ave Portland, OR 97232

RECEIVED

Dear Mr. Diggs:

I have scheduled a meeting on August 13, 2003 to identify and develop a final annual operating financial plan for all aspects of the Mitchell Act program. The meeting will be held in the NOAA Fisheries first floor conference room in Portland and is set to run from 10 AM to 2PM. This will be an open meeting but, I am especially interested in having representatives from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), the state fisheries agencies, and the Tribes that have programmatic knowledge and budgetary background relating to Mitchell Act hatchery, screen, and fish passage programs in attendance.

The primary purpose of this meeting is to arrive at a consensus on what the Mitchell Act budget needs are to address all current programs, increased Tribal involvement, and requirements for hatchery reform that may come out of ongoing Endangered Species Act consultations and the Hatchery and Genetic Management Plan review process.

This information will also be provided to the Pacific Fisheries Management Council (Council) and the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (PSMFC) as was requested at the June Council meeting in California. The Council set a letter (copy attached) to Mr. Randy Fisher, Executive Director of the PSMFC requesting that the PSMFC facilitate a meeting of state and tribal policy representatives and stakeholders to develop a consensus strategy for communicating the needs and benefits of Mitchell Act programs. The date for this meeting has not been set yet but should occur in late August or early September. An announcement will be forthcoming from PSMFC. The work product from the August 13<sup>th</sup> meeting will be the foundation for the discussion at this second meeting.

When meetings on the Mitchell Act budget needs were held last year, an initial estimate of funding needs (copy attached) identified was developed that divided the program into eight categories of expenditures. At this year's meeting, I am asking the parties to review last year's work product for accuracy and to suggest needed changes. I am also looking for more detailed input, especially from the Tribes, on each of the categories. We also need to define the individual Tribal projects rather than just summarizing Tribal needs as a single entry as occurred last year.

As an example of how to handle maintenance needs on a yearly basis, I have attached copies of

the tables I used last year to estimate maintenance needs for Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife Hatcheries (ODFW). ODFW prepared a maintenance needs list last year for the hatchery programs and I took a first shot at reorganizing the list into a priority order and spread out over a 5-year time frame. I am asking ODFW to review what I did and for the USFWS, the other state agencies, and Tribes to develop similar information. We need to develop similar estimates for the other Mitchell Act Program categories, based on the same 5-year time frame.

In order to have a work product ready for the PSMFC meeting, it is essential that the USFWS, state, and Tribal representatives review and update the attached tables and come to the meeting prepared to discuss, define, and reach consensus on program needs. I am available to answer questions that might come up prior to the meeting at 503-231-2009 or by e-mail at rz.smith@noaa.gov.

Thank you in advance for your participation.

Sincerely, Difector, Columbia River Fisheries R.Z. Smith Development Program

Attachments

cc: R. Johnson, L. Hillwig

# PACIFIC FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 200 Portland, Oregon 97220-1384

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Donald O. Melsaac

CHAIRMAN Hans Radtke

Telephone: 503-820-2280 Fax: 503-820-2299 www.pcouncil.org

July 3, 2003

Mr. Randy Fisher, Executive Director Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission 45 SE 82nd Drive, Suite 100 Gladstone, OR 97027-2522

Dear Randy:

At its June meeting, the Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) received a report from National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) on the status of Mitchell Act funding. The President's Fiscal Year (FY) 2004 budget once again maintains level funding for Mitchell Act programs, which will necessitate closing of facilities or termination of programs. The Council discussed the apparency that a budget supplement for FY 2004 will be necessary to maintain Mitchell Act programs in the short-term, and the problem of chronic level funding must be addressed in order to preserve these programs in the long-term.

The Council discussed how a two-step process, occurring in the near future, might best address Mitchell Act funding problems. The first step would be to facilitate a meeting of agency technical personnel to develop a bottom up budget for Mitchell Act facilities and programs that would support full program needs. The second step would be to facilitate a meeting of state and tribal policy representatives and stakeholders to develop a consensus strategy for communicating the needs and benefits of Mitchell Act programs to Congress, Presidential advisors, and the upper level Administrators within NOAA and the Department of Commerce.

The Council also felt that the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (Commission) would be the agency with the best chance of successfully executing this strategy. Therefore, we ask that the Commission consider sponsoring these two meetings and acting as the primary facilitator in this effort. It would be advantageous to schedule the two meetings this summer so that the message conceivably might affect the FY 2004 budget. Mr. RZ Smith is coordinating budget development for NMFS and is the appropriate contact for recommending participants in the first meeting. He is aware of the possibility of such a meeting this summer and is prepared to provide the necessary materials for this meeting. The Council also recommends the Commission coordinate the participants in the second meeting, as well as provide guidance on various approaches toward achieving the goal of effectively communicating consensus recommendations. Council members from Oregon and Washington or their designees have expressed strong intent to meaningfully participate in this second meeting and the process that may emanate from it.

# **NOAA** Fisheries

JUL 7 2003

Salmon Recovery Division Dr. Randy Fisher July 3, 2003 Page 2

Thank you for your consideration of this important issue. Please contact me or Chuck Tracy of my staff if you would like to discuss the matter further or if you have questions.

Sincerely

Som poe

D. O. McIsaac, Ph.D. Executive Director

CAT:rdd

c: Council Members Salmon Advisory Subpanel Salmon Technical Team Mr. Rob Jones, National Marine Fisheries Service Mr. RZ Smith, National Marine Fisheries Service Mr. Olney Patt Jr., Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission Ms. Liz Hamilton, Northwest Sportfishing Industry Association Mr. Bruce Buckmaster, Salmon for All Dr. John Coon Mr. Chuck Tracy Mr. Dan Waldeck Mr. Jim Seger Mr. Ed Waters

<b>, _ ,</b>
r-7
Щ
BLE
$\sim$
E
11
$\mathbf{X}$
$\sim$
OR
$\odot$
$\geq$
-
Ę
ודז
H
BUD
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$
ш
~
$\mathbf{X}$
$\mathbf{\Xi}$
$\sim$
>
$\succ$
بت
r .
Ľ
[T_
~
$\sim$
DR

Activity	WDFW	ODFW	IDFG	USFWS	Tribal	Total
Broodstock Reform	\$150,000	\$150,000		\$150,000	\$150,000	\$600,000
Marking <sup>2</sup>	\$424,702	\$161,383		\$405,119		\$991,204
Species Reintroduction		\$100,000			\$400,000	\$500,000
Release Reprogramming	\$200,000	\$200,000		\$200,000	\$200,000	\$800,000
Facilities/Capital Construction	\$1,000,000	\$1,043,300	\$150,000	\$750,000	\$300,000	\$3,243,300
Monitoring & Evaluation	\$737,720	\$400,000	\$50,000	\$400,000	\$200,000	\$1,787,720
Base Hatchery O&M <sup>3</sup>	\$4,924,729	\$4,436,703		\$3,951,923	\$188,445	\$13,501,800
Screens & Fishways <sup>4</sup>	\$260,150	\$2,074,235	\$1,648,088		\$25,000	\$4,007,473
NMFS Overhead <sup>5</sup>						\$635,787
Total	\$7,697,301	\$8,565,621	\$1,848,088	\$5,857,042	\$1,463,445	\$26,067,284

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Budget needs to maintain status quo hatchery production and the current level of activity in the areas of irrigation diversion screening and fish passage in FY 2005.

<sup>2</sup> Marking estimates based on 2003 needs plus 5% increase for 2004 and for 2005.

<sup>3</sup> Base Hatchery O&M estimates based on 2003 needs plus 5% increase for 2004 and for 2005.

<sup>4</sup> Screen & Fishways estimates based on 2003 needs plus 10% increase for 2004 and for 2005 with \$25,000 added under the Tribal Column for the Yakama Tribe to maintain Lyle Falls and Castile Falls Fishways.

<sup>5</sup>NOAA Fisherics overhead estimated at 2.5% of the total program.

COSTS FOR

JUL-28-2003 11:18

# ODFW Engineering

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS AND MAINTENANCE PROJECTS NMFS-FUNDED HATCHERIES

	PROJECT	CAPITAL/CONSTRUCTION
FACILITY		\$ 150,000
Big Creek Hatchery	Replace Spawning Building (30'x40')	
Big Creek Hatchery	Water treatment for early rearing	· · · · · ·
Big Creek Hatchery	Repair pond bottoms	\$ 80,000
Big Creek Hatchery	Replace 3 Gate Valves	\$ 25,000
Big Creek Hatchery	New equipment storage building	\$ 25,000
Big Creek Hatchery	Resurface roads	\$ 65,000
Big Creek Hatchery	Landscape irrigation system	\$ 21,000 \$ 392,000
Big Creek Hatchery	Remodel intake and passage	\$ 392,000
Big Creek Hatchery	New chemical storage building	\$ 65,000 /
Big Creek Hatchery	Housing (8)	\$
	Total	\$ 2,571,000
		\$ 280,000 15 ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °
Bonneville Hatchery	Repave roads	\$ 280,000 15 4 J
Bonneville Hatchery	Alarms - 62 ponds	\$ 80,000 444
Bonneville Hatchery	Replace egg trays	\$ 154,000 St. 74. C.
Bonneville Hatchery	Replace furnace in office building	5 30,000 / K. CLV
Bonneville Hatchery	Expand break room	\$ <del>26,0</del> 90 \$ <del>17,</del> 000 \$ - <del>60,000</del>
Bonneville Hatchery	Replace office flooring	\$ <del>17,</del> 000
Bonneville Hatchery	Paint trim - all buildings	
Bonneville Hatchery	Install guard rails - Ponds A, C & D	
<b>Bonneville Hatchery</b>	Replace pond screens at Ponds C and D	\$ 21,000 11,554 V \$ 30,000 16,54 V
Bonneville Hatchery	Replace fish pump	
Bonneville Hatchery	Upgrade fish feeders - Ponds C & D	<b>\$</b> 70,000 35,54 Ve
Bonneville Hatchery	Adult pond modifications	\$ <u>2,300.000</u> \$100.000 ~~ ゲレ
Bonneville Hatchery	New equipment storage building	Ψ
Bonneville Hatchery	Captive Brood (BPA) - New office	\$ 20,000
Bonneville Hatchery	Captive Brood (BPA) - remodel drain system	\$ 150,000 \$ 492,000 270600 06 \$ 100,000 \$ 65,000 78 75 06
Bonneville Hatchery	Remodel Intake and passage	\$ 492,000 2700000
Bonneville Hatchery	Housing repairs	\$ <del>100,000</del>
Bonneville Hatchery	New chem, stor. bldg Tanner Cr. Treat.	
Bonneville Hatchery	Restroom drain system	\$ <u>120,600</u>
	Total	\$ 4,127,000
		\$ 50,000 6 <b>8</b> \$ 50,000 0 5
Cascade Hatchery	Repave roads and parking areas	s 50,000 m c
Cascade Hatchery	Replace "Heath" stacks	
Cascade Hatchery	Resurface pond bottoms	/
Cascade Hatchery	Remodel Intake and passage	$\psi$ $= -1 +$
Cascade Hatchery	Housing repairs	
Cascade Hatchery	New chemical storage building	
	Total	\$ 620,000

۰.

JUL-28-2003 11:18

ODFW Engineering

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS AND MAINTENANCE PROJECTS NMFS-FUNDED HATCHERIES

503 872 2737 P.08/12

COSTS FOR

		CAPITA	L/CONSTRUCTION	
FACILITY	PROJECT		COST	
Clackamas Hatchery	Intake modifications	\$	2,000,000	c.40 08 c
Clackamas Hatchery	Bird netting - asphalt ponds (3)	\$	500,000	
Clackamas Hatchery	Replace underground fuel tanks	\$	35,000	7.7 07 0
-	Repairs to pond piping	\$	250,000	550 06
Clackamas Hatchery	Housing repairs	\$	50,000	····
Clackamas Hatchery	Fire suppression system - Chem Stor. Bldg.	\$	13,000	2.86 071
Clackamas Hatchery Clackamas Hatchery	Back-up Recirc. Pump - Holding Pond	\$	6,000	(132 070
Clackamas Hatchery	Total	\$	2,854,000	·
	TOLA	4	2,001,000	
Oxbow Hatchery	Electrical repairs	\$	12,000	05
Oxbow Hatchery	Replace 7 underground fuel storage tanks		140,000	05 V
Oxbow Hatchery	Intake modifications - Lower Herman Cr.	\$	100,000	
Oxbow Hatchery	Revise pond drain, comply w/ DEQ permit	\$	100,000	061
Oxbow Hatchery	Revise treatment pipe - hatchery bldg	\$ \$ \$ \$	65,000	07.
Oxbow Hatchery	New equipment storage bldg	\$	25,000	c7
Oxbow Hatchery	Add 3-phase power to Lower Herman ponds	\$	14,000	05
Oxbow Hatchery	Asphalt ramp to Handicap fishing platform	\$	<del>10,000</del>	
Oxbow Hatchery	New vinyl siding - three buildings	\$	20,000	<i>.</i>
Oxbow Hatchery	New bird netting over ponds	\$	50,000	08
Oxbow Hatchery	Replace pressure water tank	\$	5,000	09
Oxbow Hatchery	New irrigation system	\$	<del>-20,0</del> 00	~
Oxbow Hatchery	Resurface 2 asphalt ponds	\$	35,000	08
Oxbow Hatchery	Study for new well	\$	10,000	05
Oxbow Hatchery	Fishway/passage mods - Upper Herman Cr	\$	100,000	06 17
Oxbow Hatchery	Housing	\$	_50,000	
Oxbow Hatchery	New chemical storage building	<u>s</u>	65,000	09 :(
Oxbow hatchery	Total	\$	821,000	1
		•	•	()
Sandy Hatchery	Remodel Intake and passage	\$	383,000	09
Sandy Hatchery	Renovate 10 ponds - Phase 1	\$	100,000	05
Sandy Hatchery	Repave roadways	\$	50,000	07
Sandy Hatchery	Raise dam/spillway	\$	50,000	٥ς
Sandy Hatchery	Replace heating/lighting - hatchery bldg	\$	50,000	04
Sandy Hatchery	Holding pond repairs, new pens	\$	100,000	08
Sandy Hatchery	New truck storage building	\$	50,000	06
Sandy Hatchery	Renovate 10 ponds - Phase 2	\$	100,000	06
Sandy Hatchery	Housing repairs	\$	4 <del>5,0</del> 00	_
Sandy Hatchery	Replace 4 underground fuel storage tanks	\$	125,000	د <b>لا</b>
Sandy Hatchery	New chemical storage building	\$	65,000	07
cancy matching	Total	\$	1,118,000	
		Ŧ	·,···,	

#### TOTAL ALL HATCHERIES

12,111,000

10/14 32 41 56

\$

Page 2 of 2

Printed 10/22/2002

<sup>1</sup>Bonneville funding split 55%-45% between Mitchell Act and the Corps. Numbers reflect NMFS portion.

1

Facility	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Big Creek					
Hatchery Intake (to criteria)	\$392,000				
Chemical Storage Building		000,29\$			
Repair Pond Bottoms		\$80,000			
Spawning Building			\$150,000		-
Replace 3 Gate Valves				\$25,000	
Resurface Roads					\$65,000
Equipment Storage Building					\$25,000
Big Creek Totals	\$392,000	\$145,000	\$150,000	\$25,000	\$90,000
Bonneville <sup>1</sup>					
Alarms- 62 ponds	\$44,000				
Replace egg trays	\$84,700				
Install Guard Rails-Ponds 1, C, & D	\$6,600				
Remodel Intake and Passage		\$270,600			
Repave roads			\$154,000		
Upgrade fish feeders- Ponds C&D				\$35,500	

-

5
NMFS
S is 1
respo
nsibl
e for
28%
of C
lacka
mas
s funding.
ng. I
Numt
)ers r
eflec
INM
FS po
<sup>a</sup> NMFS is responsible for 28% of Clackamas funding. Numbers reflect NMFS portion.
-

			\$70,000		Repairs to pond piping
				\$140,000	Bird Netting - asphalt ponds (3)
					Clackamas <sup>2</sup>
\$50,000	0\$	\$383,000	\$95,000	\$50,000	Total Cascade
\$50,000					Repave Roads and Parking Areas
		\$383,000			Remodel Intake and Passage
			\$65,000		Chemical Storage Building
			\$30,000		Resurface Pond Bottoms
				\$50,000	Replace Heath Stacks
					Cascade
\$99,550	\$559,900	\$154,000	\$270,600	\$135,300	Total Bonneville
\$55,000					Equipment Storage Building
\$16,500					Fish Pump
\$11,550					Replace Pond Screens- Ponds C & D
\$16,500					Furnace in Office Building
	\$35,750				Chemical Storage Building

.

-

\$65,000					Chemical Storage Building
\$5,000					Replace Pressure Water Tank
\$35,000					Resurface 2 Asphalt Ponds
\$50,000					Bird Netting
		\$25,000			Equipment Storage Building
		\$100,000			Revise Pond Drain
		\$65,000			Revise Treatment Pipe- Hatchery Bldg
			\$100,000		Fishway/Passage Mods U Herman Cr
			\$100,000		Intake Modifications- L Hermann Cr
				\$10,000	Well Study
				\$14,000	Add 3-phase Power To L. Herman Cr.
				\$140,000	Replace 7 Underground Fuel Tanks
				\$12,000	Electrical Repairs
					OxBow
\$0	\$560,000	\$15,120	\$70,000	\$140,000	Total Clackamas
	\$560,000				Intake Modifications
		\$1,680			Back-up Recirc. Pump
		\$3,640			Fire Suppression System- Chem Bldg
		008,6\$			Replace Underground Fuel Tanks

JUL-28-2003	11:19
-------------	-------

,

,120 \$1,144,900	,120	\$1,007,120	\$930,600	\$1,043,300	
08 000,	,000	\$115,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	Sandy Total
					Remodel Intake and Passage
					Replace 4 Underground Fuel Tanks
					Holding Pond Repairs/New Pens
					Replace Heating/Lighting-Hatchery Bld
55,000	,000	\$65			Chemical Storage Building
0,000	,000	\$50			Repave roadways
			\$100,000		Renovate 10 Ponds- Phase 2
			\$50,000		Truck Storage Building
				\$50,000	Raise Dam/Spillway
	ļ			\$100,000	Renovate 10 ponds- Phase 1
					Sandy
3,000 \$0	000	\$190,	\$200,000	\$176,000	Oxbow Total

тт , **5** 

# MITCHELL ACT UPDATE

<u>Situation</u>: At its June meeting, the Council directed staff to prepare a letter to NMFS and Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (PSMFC) recommending a two-step approach to developing adequate funding for Mitchell Act implementation in FY04 and beyond (Exhibit F.3, Attachment 1). The approach consists of (1) a meeting of technical personnel from all affected agencies to develop appropriate funding levels, and (2) a meeting of agency and tribal policy representatives and stakeholders to develop a strategy for communicating with appropriate administration and congressional representatives regarding needs and benefits of the Mitchell Act Program. Mr. Randy Fisher (PSMFC) responded affirmatively to the suggestion that PSMFC take the lead in the strategic meeting (Exhibit F.3 Attachment 2).

Mr. RZ Smith (NMFS) will report on the outcome of the technical meeting, including development of a base funding level budget necessary to fully fund current Mitchell Act programs and facilities (Exhibit F.3, Attachment 3). A report from the PSMFC Policy Group on the strategic meeting will follow.

## **Council Action**:

## 1. Receive Information and provide guidance on Mitchell Act issues.

## Reference Materials:

- 1. Exhibit F.3, Attachment 1: Letter from Dr. Don McIsaac to Mr. Randy Fisher (PSMFC).
- 2. Exhibit F.3, Attachment 2: Letter from Mr. Randy Fisher (PSMFC) to Dr. Don McIsaac.
- 3. Exhibit F.3, Attachment 3: Letter from Mr. RZ Smith (NMFS) to Mr. Dan Diggs (USFWS).

## Agenda Order:

- a. Agendum Overview
- b. NMFS Report
- c. PSMFC Policy Group Report
- d. Reports and Comments of Advisory Bodies
- e. Public Comment
- f. Council Action: Provide Guidance on Mitchell Act Issues

PFMC 08/21/03

Chuck Tracy RZ Smith

Total Monitoring & F Chin Marking- Eqp.<sup>2</sup> NMFS Overhead <sup>3</sup> Screens & Fishways Construction Facilities/Capital Species Reintroduction Fall Chinook Marking Marking Activity Base Hatchery O&M<sup>4</sup> Evaluation Release Reprogramming Broodstock Reform \$9,624,587 \$4,605,945 \$1,287,250 \$1,877,000 \$112,500 \$150,000 WDFW \$260,150 \$200,000 \$811,333 \$320,409 \$10,522,000 \$2,540,000 \$4,582,000 \$1,100,000 ODFW \$525,000 \$100,000 \$160,000 \$340,000 \$255,000 \$920,000 \$1,648,088 \$1,848,088 \$150,000 IDFG \$50,000 \$7,102,698 \$3,828,985 \$1,122,660 USFWS \$150,000 \$513,153 \$911,250 \$376,650 \$200,000 Yakama-\$220,000 \$220,000 Yakama Basin \$2,331,500 \$1,506,500 Yakama-Klickitat \$600,000 \$200,000 Basin \$25,000 Nez Perce \$338,368 \$171,830 \$154,089 \$816,077 \$140,790 \$11,000 Umatilla \$225,000 \$225,000 Overhead \$653,799 \$653,799 NOAA \$33,343,749 \$14,175,298 \$4,473,238 \$1,028,889 \$5,544,750 \$2,853,993 \$2,246,193 Total \$794,089 \$653,799 \$936,000 \$637,500 0\$

<sup>2</sup> Funding for equipment for fall chinook marking is being sought from other sources. Estimates include an additional \$2,065,500 for USFWS <sup>1</sup> Budget needs to maintain status quo hatchery production and the current level of activity in the areas of irrigation diversion screening and fish passage in FY 2005

NEPA/ or Construction Management costs <sup>3</sup> \$220,254 for construction of 3 acclimation sites will come out of the facilities/capital construction line item in, split between FY 2007,7,9. Includes no

<sup>4</sup> Base Hatchery O&M estimates based on 2003 needs plus 5% increase for 2004 and for 2005.

<sup>5</sup> NOAA Fisheries overhead estimated at 2.0% of the total program.

R Z. Smith Exhibit F.3.b

DRAFT FY 2005 BUDGET WORKTABLE <sup>1</sup>

September 2003 (9-11-03)