

## **Errata**

The attached table ES-3 was inadvertently left out of the “Preliminary Draft Environmental Assessment for Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan Amendment 22: Conversion of the Open Access Fishery to Federal Permit Management” (Agenda Item F.4.a, Attachment 1).  
Please insert the attached table ES-3 in page xi of the document.

Table ES-3. Summary of registration requirements, fleet size goals, fleet size expectations, and environmental consequences associated with the Council's alternatives

Issue	Stage	Reference	Alternative					
			A-1	A-2	A-3	A-4	A-5	A-6
Registration requirement?		\$ 2.0	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fleet size goal								
Initial	1)	\$ 2.0	None	None	680	65-1103 2/	850	390
Long-term	2)	\$ 2.0	same	same	680	65-1103 2/	a) 450 b) 170	170
Initial fleet size expectation 1/		Tab E-7, E-8, E-9	680-1103	680-1103	468-680	see FS goal	561-850	286-390
Long-term fleet size expectation		Tab E-9	680-1103	680-1103	468-680	see FS goal	128-450	128-170
Environmental impact								
Physical environment		\$ 3.1, and \$ 4.0	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
Biological environment								
Groundfish		\$ 3.2.1	7/	7/	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
Non-groundfish		\$ 3.2.2	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
Prohibited species		\$ 3.2.3	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
Protected species		\$ 3.2.4	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
Socioeconomic environment								
Fishery mgmt 3/		\$ 2.0	N/C	+	+	N/C to >	+ or >	>
Catch comp.								
Groundfish 4/	1)	Tab E-7, E-8, E-9	N/C	N/C	2% to 9%	0% to 64%	0%-5%	9%-20%
	2)				N/A	N/A	a) 7%-17% b) 29%-43%	29%-43%
Non-groundfish 5/	1)	Tab E-7, E-8, E-9	N/C	N/C	1% to 2%	0%-5%	0% to 2%	1%-2%
	2)				N/A	N/A	a) 1%-2% b) 3%-4%	3%-4%
Vessels char. 6/		Tab E-3, E-4, E-5	N/C	N/C	+6 to -8	+13 to -8	+5 to -2	+11 to -12
Processors 6/		Tab E-3, E-4, E-5	N/C	N/C	+6 to -8	+13 to -8	+5 to -2	+11 to -12
Licensing, etc.		\$ 3.3.5, \$ 3.3.6	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
Costs		\$ 2.0	N/C	minor	minor	minor	minor	minor
Communities 6/		Tab E-3, E-4, E-5	N/C	N/C	+6 to -8	+13 to -8	+5 to -2	+11 to -12
Environmental Justice		\$ 1.5 and \$ 3.3.8	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C

1/ A-2 and A-3 ranges show average and total number B species vessels that fished in 2004-2006 window period; A-3, A-5 and A-6 ranges show numbers of qualifying vessels that fished during 2004-2006 window period.

2/ Range shows numbers of vessels that took 50%-100% of B species groundfish during 2004-2006 window period (Appendix E).

3/ + means improved management and > means substantially improved management, the degree to which cannot be quantified.

4/ Impacts are for B species groundfish. Ranges show proportion of B species harvest made by non-qualifying vessels during 2004-2006 window period from model runs 1-3. These fish would be made available for future harvest by qualifying vessels (Appendix E)

5/ Ranges show amount of total fishery revenues comprised of B species groundfish by non-qualifying vessels during 2004-2006 window period from model runs 1-3. These values indicate the amount of increase in revenues that would be need to make up for lost B species groundfish landings by non-qualified vessels (Appendix E)

6/ Proportions indicate potential percentage point shifts between states in vessels that would initially qualify for a permit compared to 2004-2006 vessel distributions. Under all alternatives the pluses are WA vessels and the minuses are CA vessels; OR is about even in all comparisons (Appendix E).

7/ negative groundfish and associated overfished species impacts can be expected in years of salmon vessel effort shift to the sablefish fishery as occurred in 2006.