

Hilborn,Parrish,Walters peer review of MLPA science advice

Subject: Hilborn,Parrish,Walters peer review of MLPA science advice
From: Diane Pleschner-Steele <dplesch@earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 13 Jun 2006 10:51:00 -0700
To: Mike Burner <mike.burner@noaa.gov>
CC: "Dr. Donald McIsaac" <donald.mcisaac@noaa.gov>, Marija Vojkovich <mvojkovich@dfg.ca.gov>, Sonke Mastrup <smastrup@dfg.ca.gov>, Don Hansen <don@danawharfsportfishing.com>

Hi Mike et al,

The Hilborn, Parrish, Walters peer review of the MLPA science advice is now completed. I'm attaching an e- copy FYI. Kevin Hill, to whom I also sent a copy, suggested that I send this to you and request that it be distributed to the SSC Ecosystem-Based Management Subcommittee either 1) included in the briefing book attached to a specific MPA-related agenda item (say, next Sept?), or 2) be included in the next briefing book as a general informational report (which now may be the Sep. bb?).

I'd appreciate your help to distribute to the appropriate folks whenever you deem most appropriate. It's a powerful document, and I hope it will advance thinking on the issue of fishery management vis a vis "ecosystem" protection. Hilborn and Walters built models to evaluate and quantify the SAT assumptions re: larval and adult fish movement, and they also considered existing fishery management assumptions in their critique.

I want to send this document along with a note to both Hogarth and Lautenbacher, supporting the view that existing fishery management through the Magnuson Act satisfies the "biodiversity" goal of the NMSA. Before I do that, however, I'd appreciate your further thoughts and suggestions -- any new news re: Dr. McIsaac's efforts to insert clarifying language in MSA and NMSA? Where do things stand vis a vis the Channel Islands fed. water reserve issue?

Thanks Mike. I'll look forward to your comments.

Best,

d.

Diane Pleschner-Steele
California Wetfish Producers Association
PO Box 1951
Buellton, CA 93427
(805) 693-5430 fax (805) 686-9312
<dplesch@earthlink.net>

Final_HPW_Review.pdf	Content-Type: application/pdf
	Content-Encoding: base64

From: SwordsTuna@aol.com (Kathy Fosmark)

Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 22:35:21

To: Donald.McIsaac@noaa.gov

Cc: Don@danawharfsportfishing.com

Subject: Ugoretz MPAs

Don:

Thank you for writing this letter to John Ugoretz. Is it too late to specifically request the need to employ models (specifically, the models created by Carl Walters and Ray Hilborn presented in a peer review) to weigh impacts of displaced fishing effort, as well as to evaluate the alleged "benefits" -- if that were done, it would become clear that the MPA network will NOT benefit most of the species managed by the Council, but could very well precipitate into a lower OY on resident stocks, i.e. nearshore species, to avoid environmental impacts. Models visually show change, and in this case, economic impacts. Your advise please? Thank you.

Kathy