

Rebuilding analysis for widow rockfish in 2003

Xi He¹, Andre Punt², Alec D. MacCall¹, and Stephen V. Ralston¹

May 2003

¹National Marine Fisheries Service
Southwest Fisheries Science Center
Santa Cruz Laboratory
110 Shaffer Road
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

²School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences
Box 355020
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195-5020

Introduction

In 1998, the PFMC adopted Amendment 11 of the Groundfish Management Plan, which established a minimum stock size threshold of 25% of unfished spawning potential. In 2001, and based on the stock assessment in 2000 (Williams et al. 2000), widow rockfish was declared formally to be overfished, thereby requiring the development of a Rebuilding Plan. The most recent stock assessment (He et al. 2003) estimated that the spawning output in 2002 was just below 25% of unfished spawning output. This rebuilding analysis provides information needed to develop the Rebuilding Plan for widow rockfish, and is in accord with the SSC Terms of Reference for Groundfish Rebuilding Analyses.

The stock has declined since fishing began in the late 1970's (Table 1). The relative decline in total biomass has been somewhat less than that in spawning output (the best measure of stock reproductive potential). Older fish have a higher fecundity per body weight than do young fish. Widow rockfish bear their offspring live as larvae, and spawning output is measured in million fertilized eggs, at a stage prior to parturition of larvae.

Table 1. Current (2002) population status of widow rockfish relative to pre-fishing years estimated by the base model in the stock assessment (He et al. 2003).

	Age 3+ biomass (mt)	Spawning output (millions of eggs)
Average 1958-79	233,722	39,567
2002	57,480	9,755
Percent	24.59%	24.65%

Data and Parameters

This rebuilding analysis uses the SSC Default Rebuilding Analysis as implemented by Punt (2003) (Version 2.6). Historical estimates of spawning output and recruitment are taken from the assessment by He et al. (2003). Life history parameters and selectivity are based on a simplification of the two-area, two-sex, four-fishery selectivity model used in the assessment (Appendix A). The rebuilding analyses are based on a coastwide population. However, fecundity- and weight-at-age differ between the southern and northern areas. Therefore, spatially-averaged fecundity- and weight-at-age, based on a weighting factor computed from the total catches for two areas from the last five years, are used in the rebuilding analysis. The age-specific selectivity pattern is calculated by averaging selectivity functions for four fisheries, using weighting factors computed from the total catches by each fishery over the last five years. Fecundity-at-age, weight-at-age and selectivity-at-age are presented in Figures 1 and 2. These functions are very similar to those used in the 2002 rebuilding analysis for widow rockfish (MacCall and Punt 2001).

Management Reference Points

B_{MSY}: The rebuilding target is the spawning output that produces MSY, B_{MSY}. B_{MSY} cannot be determined easily, but experience in other fisheries has shown that B_{MSY} is often near 40% of the average initial unfished spawning output (B₀), and this value (B_{40%}) is used here as a proxy for B_{MSY} (see the SSC's Terms of Reference). Values of B₀ are estimated by multiplying mean recruitment by the spawning output-per-recruit at F=0 (1.053 million eggs of spawning output-per-recruit). As in the previous rebuilding analysis, the average recruitment used when computing B₀ was based on the pre-fishery recruitments (the 1958-79 year-classes, Table 2). Figure 3 shows the simulated frequency distribution for B₀ determined by re-sampling recruitments at random from those for 1958-79. The mean of this distribution was used as the estimate of B₀ in the subsequent analyses. There is a highly significant difference between the pre-fishery (pre-1982) recruitments and the post-fishing-down (post-1982) recruitments (one-tailed t-test, P<0.01). This difference is presumably due to the decline in spawning abundance, but may also be associated with a less favorable climate in the most-recent period. For the scenarios in which future recruitment is determined by re-sampling historical recruits-per-spawner ratios, the re-sampling period was set to 1986-2002; the same period on which the previous rebuilding analysis was based (MacCall and Punt 2001).

Table 2. Rebuilding parameters (units: millions of eggs) for the base model in the stock assessment. The estimated B₀ differs from the value in Table 1 because the fecundity function used in the rebuilding program is for the most recent years; one consequence of this is that the current depletion (B₂₀₀₂/B₀) is lower (22.39%) than that in Table 1 (24.65%)

Rebuilding parameter	Value
Estimated B ₀	43,580
Rebuilding target	17,432
Current spawning output (B ₂₀₀₂)	9,756
Percent of B ₂₀₀₂ /B ₀	22.39%

Mean generation time: If the stock cannot be rebuilt within ten years, then the maximum time allowed for rebuilding, T_{max}, is the length of time required to rebuild at F=0 (T_{min}) plus one mean generation time. Mean generation time can be estimated from the net maternity function (product of survivorship and fecundity at age), and for widow rockfish is estimated to be 16.4 years, which is rounded to an integer value of 16 years.

Simulation Model

The simulation model tracks numbers at age, with age 20 being treated as a plus-group. Fecundity-, weight-, and selectivity-at-age are given in Appendix A and plotted in Figures 1 and 2. When computing T_{min}, the population simulations begin with the age-structure at the start of 2001 because 2001 was the year in which widow rockfish was declared to be overfished. The 2002 age-structure was used for estimating the Optimal Yield (OY) for 2004 and beyond. The detailed specifications of the simulation model are given by Punt (2003).

Initial test runs were conducted to determine the number of simulations needed to achieve stable outputs. The test was conducted using the Model 8 (described below) with numbers of simulations of 500, 1,000, 2,000, 3,000, 5,000, and 10,000. The results showed that the outputs did not change much with increasing numbers of simulations once the number of simulations reached 3,000. Therefore, all of the model runs in this rebuilding analysis are based on 3,000 simulations.

Twelve simulation scenarios (Models 1-12) were constructed from three factors: (1) whether the recruitments (age 3) for 2003-5 were pre-specified, (2) whether a stock-recruitment relationship or recruits-per-spawner ratios were used to generate future recruitment, and (3) a range for the power coefficient for the midwater juvenile survey index (Table 3):

(1) The juvenile (age 0 fish) survey conducted by the Santa Cruz Laboratory indicated a strong recruitment of age 0 fish in 2002 (Fig. 8 in He et al. 2003). This 2002 year-class is not included in the stock assessment, but it could have potential impacts on the future population size. To include the information on this year-class in the projections, the sizes of the recruitments for 2003-5 (i.e. the 2000-2 year-classes) were pre-specified rather than being generated. The equation used to compute the pre-specified recruitments for 2003-5 was:

$$R_i = e^p \log\left(\frac{I_i}{q}\right) - a_{\min} M \quad (1.1)$$

where I_i is survey index for year i , q is catchability, p is a power coefficient for the survey index, a_{\min} is minimum age, and M is the instantaneous rate of natural mortality.

(2) The stock assessment incorporated a Beverton-Holt stock-recruitment relationship. However, the stock assessment also indicated that there is great uncertainty about the stock-recruitment relationship and about the relationship between the juvenile survey index and recruitment for widow rockfish. The previous rebuilding analysis for widow rockfish (MacCall and Punt 2001) generated future recruitment by re-sampling historical recruits-per-spawner ratios. Therefore, both methods for generating future recruitment were used in this rebuilding analysis.

(3) The stock assessment also indicated that the power coefficient is an important parameter in determining the level of recent recruitments, and thus the slope of the stock-recruitment relationship, and when translating the juvenile survey indices into future recruitments. Three values for the power coefficient (2.0, 3.0 and 4.0) are used in this rebuilding analysis to bound the value (3.0) used in the base model in the stock assessment.

An estimate of the total catch of widow rockfish during 2003 needs to be specified. The harvest guideline for widow rockfish set by the Council for 2003 was 832mt. However, the most recent estimate of the total catch for 2002 from the PacFIN database is 263mt, much less than the harvest guideline for 2002 set by the Council (856mt). It is therefore very possible that total

catch for 2003 will also be less than the harvest guideline. The most recent estimate of total catch in 2003 by the GMT is 274mt. Therefore, the total catch for 2003 is assumed to be 300mt in this analysis.

Rebuilding Projections

The rebuilding projections used $B_{40\%} = 17,201, 17,432, \text{ and } 17,714$ as the rebuilding targets for the models that have the power coefficients of 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0, respectively. Table 4 lists the Optimum Yield (OY) for 2004, the constant fishing mortality (F) from 2004, the probability that the population will be rebuilt by T_{\max} (P_{\max}), and median time in years from 2001 until the population will be rebuilt with 50% probability (T_{target}) for five rebuild strategies and the 12 simulation scenarios. The first four rebuilding strategies apply constant fishing mortality rates from 2004 that correspond to four probabilities of rebuild by T_{\max} (50%, 60%, 70%, and 80%, $P_{\max} = 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, \text{ and } 0.8$, respectively). The fifth "rebuilding strategy" is the "40:10" control rule.

The simulated frequency distribution of T_{\min} for Model 8 is presented in Figure 4. The distribution has a long tail in the right side, a pattern similar to distributions of other models. Figure 5 shows time series of the probability of the spawning output exceeding the target for four rebuilding strategies and a scenario of no fishing for Model 8. Table 5 shows Optimum Yields for the next 10 years under the five rebuilding strategies for the 12 simulation scenarios. In general, OYs are greater when recruitment is pre-specified, when future recruitment is generated by re-sampling from historical recruits-per-spawner ratios and when the power coefficient is high (Tables 4 and 5). The high power coefficients are associated with higher steepness values, indicating increased compensations in the stock-recruitment relation at low abundance. Also, the high power coefficients raised the mean level of recruitment in recent years, again suggesting increased compensations at low abundance (Table 3). This result is also supported by the fact that the allowable biological catches (ABC) for 2004 increase as the power coefficients increase (Table 3).

Rebuilding cannot occur if the power coefficient is low ($P = 2.0$) and future recruitment is generated using the estimated stock-recruitment relationship (Models 4 and 10). The simulation scenario in which recruitment for 2003-5 is pre-specified, future recruitment is generated by re-sampling recruits-per-spawner ratios and the power coefficient is set to 4 (Model 9) has the shortest rebuilding time in the absence of fishing and the highest OYs (Table 4b).

Fishing mortalities from all models ranged from 0 to 0.017, lower than the value of 0.0268 estimated in the previous rebuilding analysis and used for determining the 2002 and 2003 OYs (MacCall and Punt 2001), and much lower than F_{MSY} estimated for widow rockfish ($= 0.118$). If Model 8 is selected for determining the 2004 OY, the fishing mortality of Model 8 is 0.0093, which is about 1/3 of the fishing mortality estimated from the previous rebuilding analysis and is less than 8% of F_{MSY} .

Four models (Models 2, 5, 8, and 11) are based on the same assumptions as the stock assessment base model. However, even restricting the final decision of an OY for 2004 to these models (because the base model presumably has higher credibility than the other models) still leads to a wide range of possible 2004 OYs. For example, the OYs corresponding to $P_{\max} = 60\%$ range from <1mt (Model 5) to 284mt (Model 8). To assist management decisions, a risk analysis was conducted based on three of these four models (Models 2, 5, and 8); Model 11 was not included in the risk analysis since it is intermediate between Models 2 and 5 (Table 4). Four levels of catch (i.e. OYs from 2004) were examined to each of the three models: 35mt ($P_{\max} = 50\%$, 2004 OY from Model 5), 194mt ($P_{\max} = 60\%$, 2004 OY from Model 2), 284mt ($P_{\max} = 60\%$, 2004 OY from Model 8), and 501mt ($P_{\max} = 60\%$, 2004 OY from Model 9). The highest catch level was included in the risk analysis to assess the implications if the OY is based on a model with a high value for the power coefficient when the "correct" model has $P=3$. Fishing mortality in 2004 estimated from each of the four levels of catch were applied in future years. That is, all simulations in the risk analysis used constant fishing police. Risks are expressed as changes to P_{\max} , T_{target} and P_{100} . P_{100} is an indicator of population sustainability - the probability of the spawning output being above the current spawning output in 100 years.

The analysis shows that the risks are high for catch levels $\geq 194\text{mt}$ if the Model 5 is the "correct" model. The sustainability of the population, as measured by P_{100} , will fall to 27.1% if a catch level of 501mt is applied and Model 5 is "correct" (Table 6). The analysis also shows that the risks are low if Model 8 is "correct"; at a catch level of 284 mt, $P_{\max} = 60\%$, $T_{\text{target}} = 2037$ and $P_{100} = 100\%$. If this model is "correct", the population will be able to sustain with $P_{100} = 100\%$ even if the catch level of 501mt is applied. That is, there is a 100% of probability that spawning outputs will be above the current spawning output in 100 years if the catch is 501mt (Table 6).

Model 8 uses the base model from the stock assessment and generates future recruitment by re-sampling historical recruits-per-spawner ratios - this is how the previous rebuilding analysis (MacCall and Punt 2001) was conducted. Model 8 also pre-specifies the recruitment for 2003-5. As a result, the projections imply that strong 2002 year-class will greatly increase the spawning output once it recruits to the population in 2005. The strong 2002 year-classes of other rockfishes indicates that the current environmental conditions may be favorable for rockfish recruitment, but the assumption that the 2002 widow rockfish year-class will actually be strong when it recruits to the fishery can only be tested in time.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Groundfish Management Team for their insightful comments, and Dr. Richard Methot for his review on the early drafts.

References

- He, X., A. D. MacCall, S. V. Ralston, D. E. Pearson, and Edward J. Dick. 2003. Status of the widow rockfish resource in 2003. Draft April 2003
- MacCall, A. D., and A. Punt. 2001. Revised rebuilding analysis for widow rockfish. PFMC document.
- Punt, A. 2003. SSC default rebuilding analysis. University of Washington, Seattle.
- Williams, E. H., A. D. MacCall, S. V. Ralston, and D. E. Pearson. 2000. Status of the widow rockfish resource in Y2K. In: Appendix to Status of the Pacific coast groundfish fishery through 2000 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2001. Stock assessment and fishery evaluation. Pacific Fishery Management Council. 2130 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 224, Portland, OR, 97201.

Table 3. Specifications of the 12 simulation scenarios (models) based on: whether recruitment is pre-specified, how future recruitment is generated, and the value of the power coefficient for the midwater juvenile survey index. The value of steepness (h) is listed when the projections are based on a stock-recruitment relationship. Abbreviations: NA = not applicable; ABC = allowable biological catch (mt).

Model	Recruitment pre-specified?	Stock recruitment relationship used?	Power coefficient for midwater survey	h	ABC (2004)
1	No	No	2.0	NA	3,076
2	No	No	3.0	NA	3,460
3	No	No	4.0	NA	3,909
4	No	Yes	2.0	0.201	NA
5	No	Yes	3.0	0.217	3,460
6	No	Yes	4.0	0.237	3,909
7	Yes	No	2.0	NA	3,076
8	Yes	No	3.0	NA	3,460
9	Yes	No	4.0	NA	3,909
10	Yes	Yes	2.0	0.201	3,076
11	Yes	Yes	3.0	0.217	3,460
12	Yes	Yes	4.0	0.237	3,909

Table 4. Optimum yield (OY) for 2004 (mt), fishing mortality (F) during rebuild (yr⁻¹), probability of recovery by T_{max} (P_{max}), and the year in which the probability of rebuild is 0.5 (T_{target}) for five rebuild strategies for various simulation scenarios. T_{max} is T_{target} for $P_{max} = 0.5$. Abbreviations: SR = stock-recruitment relationship is used; P = value of the power coefficient of the midwater juvenile index; NA = not applicable.

(a) Recruitment for 2003-5 is not pre-specified

Model	SR	P		Rebuilding strategy				40:10
				$P_{max} = 50\%$	$P_{max} = 60\%$	$P_{max} = 70\%$	$P_{max} = 80\%$	
1	N	2.0	OY	128	72	15	<1	1088
			F	0.0047	0.0026	0.0005	0.0000	NA
			P_{max}	50.0	60.1	70.0	72.6	0.0
			T_{target}	2072	2066	2061	2060	NA
2	N	3.0	OY	259	194	123	41	1439
			F	0.0085	0.0064	0.0040	0.0013	NA
			P_{max}	50.0	60.1	70.0	19.7	0.0
			T_{target}	2057	2053	2049	2045	NA
3	N	4.0	OY	426	354	276	183	1887
			F	0.0124	0.103	0.0080	0.0053	NA
			P_{max}	50.0	60.1	70.0	79.9	0.0
			T_{target}	2045	2042	2039	2036	NA
4	Y	2.0	OY	0	0	0	0	NA
			F	0	0	0	0	NA
			P_{max}	<50%	<50%	<50%	<50%	NA
			T_{target}	>2500	>2500	>2500	>2500	NA
5	Y	3.0	OY	35	<1	<1	<1	1439
			F	0.0012	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	NA
			P_{max}	49.9	55.9	55.9	55.9	0.0
			T_{target}	2123	2111	2111	2111	NA
6	Y	4.0	OY	185	98	2	<1	1888
			F	0.0054	0.0028	0.0001	0.0000	NA
			P_{max}	50.1	60.0	70.0	70.2	0.0
			T_{target}	2063	2055	2049	2049	NA

(Table 4 Continued)

(b) Recruitment for 2003-5 is pre-specified

Model	SR	P		Rebuilding strategy				40:10
				$P_{max} = 50\%$	$P_{max} = 60\%$	$P_{max} = 70\%$	$P_{max} = 80\%$	
7	N	2.0	OY	248	181	111	30	1088
			F	0.0092	0.0067	0.0041	0.0011	NA
			P_{max}	50.1	60.0	70.0	80.1	0.0
			T_{target}	2042	2038	2034	2030	NA
8	N	3.0	OY	354	284	212	123	1439
			F	0.0117	0.0093	0.0070	0.0040	NA
			P_{max}	50.0	60.1	70.0	80.0	0.0
			T_{target}	2041	2037	2034	2031	NA
9	N	4.0	OY	582	501	419	323	1888
			F	0.0170	0.0146	0.0122	0.0094	NA
			P_{max}	49.9	60.0	69.9	79.9	0.0
			T_{target}	2036	2033	2030	2027	NA
10	Y	2.0	OY	<1	<1	<1	<1	1088
			F	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	NA
			P_{max}	49.8	49.8	49.8	49.8	0.0
			T_{target}	2155	2155	2155	2155	NA
11	Y	3.0	OY	67	<1	<1	<1	1439
			F	0.0022	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	NA
			P_{max}	50.5	59.2	59.2	59.2	0.0
			T_{target}	2070	2058	2058	2058	NA
12	Y	4.0	OY	281	172	55	<1	1888
			F	0.0082	0.0050	0.0016	0.0000	NA
			P_{max}	49.9	60.0	69.9	74.9	0.0
			T_{target}	2044	2038	2032	2030	NA

Table 5. Projected Optimal Yields (mt, median annual catches) for 2004-13 for five rebuild strategies and 12 simulation scenarios (models).

(a) For Models 1-3

Year	$P_{\max} = 50\%$			$P_{\max} = 60\%$			$P_{\max} = 70\%$			$P_{\max} = 80\%$			40:10 rule		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
2004	128	259	426	72	194	354	15	123	276	0	41	182	1088	1439	1888
2005	128	260	430	72	195	358	15	124	279	0	41	185	1015	1358	1798
2006	128	263	436	72	197	364	15	125	284	0	42	189	968	1308	1746
2007	130	267	444	73	201	371	15	128	290	0	43	193	943	1287	1721
2008	132	271	448	74	204	374	16	130	293	0	43	195	944	1275	1688
2009	134	272	450	75	205	376	16	131	294	0	44	196	936	1259	1659
2010	135	274	452	76	207	378	16	132	296	0	44	198	936	1250	1636
2011	136	276	455	76	209	381	16	133	299	0	45	200	933	1243	1624
2012	137	278	458	77	210	383	16	134	301	0	45	201	925	1231	1604
2013	138	281	464	78	212	388	16	135	305	0	46	204	918	1218	1589

(b) For Models 4-6

Year	$P_{\max} = 50\%$			$P_{\max} = 60\%$			$P_{\max} = 70\%$			$P_{\max} = 80\%$			40:10 rule		
	4	5	6	4	5	6	4	5	6	4	5	6	4	5	6
2004	0	35	185	0	<1	98	0	<1	2	0	<1	<1	0	1438	1888
2005	0	36	187	0	<1	99	0	<1	2	0	<1	<1	0	1358	1798
2006	0	36	191	0	<1	101	0	<1	2	0	<1	<1	0	1303	1738
2007	0	36	193	0	<1	102	0	<1	2	0	<1	<1	0	1256	1683
2008	0	36	193	0	<1	102	0	<1	2	0	<1	<1	0	1206	1621
2009	0	36	192	0	<1	102	0	<1	2	0	<1	<1	0	1155	1560
2010	0	36	191	0	<1	102	0	<1	2	0	<1	<1	0	1104	1501
2011	0	36	191	0	<1	102	0	<1	2	0	<1	<1	0	1064	1460
2012	0	36	192	0	<1	102	0	<1	2	0	<1	<1	0	1034	1429
2013	0	36	193	0	<1	103	0	<1	2	0	<1	<1	0	995	1385

Table 5 (continued).

(a) For Models 7-9

Year	$P_{\max} = 50\%$			$P_{\max} = 60\%$			$P_{\max} = 70\%$			$P_{\max} = 80\%$			40:10 rule		
	7	8	9	7	8	9	7	8	9	7	8	9	7	8	9
2004	248	354	583	180	284	501	111	212	418	30	123	323	1088	1439	1888
2005	247	355	587	180	285	505	111	213	423	30	124	327	1016	1359	1799
2006	247	359	595	181	289	513	111	216	430	30	126	333	974	1317	1755
2007	256	373	617	187	300	532	116	225	447	31	131	346	994	1347	1790
2008	293	410	666	214	330	575	132	247	483	36	144	374	1246	1558	1991
2009	393	492	761	287	396	657	177	297	552	48	173	428	2023	2082	2441
2010	469	549	823	343	442	712	212	332	598	57	194	464	2886	2570	2816
2011	465	543	813	340	438	703	211	329	592	57	192	460	3064	2624	2808
2012	443	526	791	325	425	685	202	319	578	55	187	449	2810	2451	2641
2013	422	509	772	310	411	670	192	310	565	52	182	440	2470	2230	2438

(b) For Models 10-12


Year	$P_{\max} = 50\%$			$P_{\max} = 60\%$			$P_{\max} = 70\%$			$P_{\max} = 80\%$			40:10 rule		
	10	11	12	10	11	12	10	11	12	10	11	12	10	11	12
2004	<1	67	281	<1	<1	172	<1	<1	55	<1	<1	<1	1088	1439	1888
2005	<1	68	285	<1	<1	175	<1	<1	56	<1	<1	<1	1016	1359	1799
2006	<1	69	290	<1	<1	179	<1	<1	57	<1	<1	<1	974	1317	1755
2007	<1	72	302	<1	<1	186	<1	<1	59	<1	<1	<1	994	1347	1790
2008	<1	79	327	<1	<1	201	<1	<1	64	<1	<1	<1	1246	1557	1990
2009	<1	95	373	<1	<1	230	<1	<1	74	<1	<1	<1	2018	2076	2432
2010	<1	105	402	<1	<1	248	<1	<1	79	<1	<1	<1	2852	2531	2770
2011	<1	103	395	<1	<1	244	<1	<1	78	<1	<1	<1	2957	2532	2727
2012	<1	99	383	<1	<1	237	<1	<1	76	<1	<1	<1	2656	2316	2523
2013	<1	95	371	<1	<1	230	<1	<1	74	<1	<1	<1	2264	2051	2286

Table 6. Risk analysis of four catch levels for three models (Models 5, 2 and 8 – see Table 3 for definitions). These models use the outputs from the base model in the stock assessment but with assumptions about whether recruitment for 2003-5 should be pre-specified and how future recruitment should be generated. The number in bold-italic typeface indicate the basis for the first three catch levels. Model numbers are same as in Tables 3 and 4. Abbreviations are: RP = recruitment is pre-specified; SR = the stock-recruitment relationship is used to generate future recruitment; P = the value of the power coefficient of the midwater juvenile index; and NA = not applicable. Symbols are: OY = Optimum Yield for 2004; F = fishing mortality; P_{max} = probability (%) to rebuild by T_{max}; T_{target} = year in which the probability of recovery is 0.5; and P₁₀₀ = probability (%) of the spawning output being above the current spawning output in 100 years (year 2103).

Catch level (2004 OY (mt))		Model 5 (RP=N, SR=Y, P=3)	Model 2 (RP=N, SR=N, P=3)	Model 8 (RP=Y, SR=N, P=3)
35	OY	35	35	35
	F	0.0012	0.00115	0.00114
	P _{max}	49.9	80.4	87.8
	T _{target}	2123	2045	2028
	P ₁₀₀	91.2	100.0	100.0
194	OY	195	194	194
	F	0.0064	0.0064	0.00634
	P _{max}	26.0	60.1	72.2
	T _{target}	NA	2053	2033
	P ₁₀₀	75.4	100.0	100.0
284	OY	283	282	284
	F	0.0093	0.00925	0.0093
	P _{max}	14.9	46.7	60.1
	T _{target}	NA	2058	2037
	P ₁₀₀	61.8	100.0	100.0
501	OY	502	499	501
	F	0.0165	0.0164	0.0165
	P _{max}	2.6	18.1	30.9
	T _{target}	NA	2081	2052
	P ₁₀₀	27.1	99.6	100.0

Figure 1. Fecundity-at-age and weight-at-age by sex for widow rockfish as used in the rebuilding analyses.

Fecundity vs. age



Weight vs. age




Figure 2. The selectivity pattern for widow rockfish used in the rebuilding analyses.




Figure 3. Simulated frequency distribution of unfished spawning output (B_0) from 3,000 simulations in which the sizes of the cohorts in the unfished population are determined by randomly re-sampling pre-fishing recruitments. The vertical line represents the mean B_0 used in the rebuilding analyses.




Figure 4. Simulated frequency distribution of the time to recover to $0.4 B_0$ from 2001 with fishing (T_{min}), computed from 3,000 simulations based on the specifications of the Model 8. The vertical line represents the value of T_{min} used in the rebuilding analyses.





Figure 5. Time-series of the probability of the spawning output exceeding the target ($0.4B_0$) for four rebuilding strategies and a scenario of no fishing. The results are based on Model 8. The vertical line is T_{target} .



Appendix A. The "rebuild.dat" file used in the rebuilding analysis for Model 8. Model 8 is the stock assessment base model with pre-specified recruitment for 2003-5 and in which future recruitment is generated by re-sampling historical recruits-per-spawner ratios.

```

# Title
Widow (RO=1 R=2 P=3)
# Number of sexes
2
# Age range to consider (minimum age; maximum age)
3 20
# Number of fleets to consider
1
# First year of the projection
2003
# Year declared overfished
2001
# Is the maximum age a plus-group (1=Yes;2=No)
1
# Generate future recruitments using historical recruitments (1), historical
recruits/spawner (2), or a stock-recruitment (3)
2
# Constant fishing mortality (1) or constant Catch (2) projections
1
# Pre-specify the year of recovery (or -1) to ignore
-1
# Fecundity-at-age
# 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
0.0001 0.0002 0.0146 0.0634 0.1605 0.2757 0.3677 0.4399 0.5071 0.5650 0.6171
0.6635 0.7046 0.7410 0.7730 0.8011 0.8257 0.8824
# Age specific information (Females then males), weight and selectivity
# Females
0.2623 0.3841 0.5176 0.6558 0.7932 0.9257 1.0507 1.1665 1.2726 1.3685 1.4547
1.5316 1.5998 1.6601 1.7131 1.7597 1.8005 1.8944
0.0009 0.0097 0.0944 0.5498 0.9806 1.0000 0.9453 0.8830 0.8198 0.7569 0.6948
0.6336 0.5730 0.5128 0.4526 0.3929 0.3345 0.2792
# Males
0.3031 0.4098 0.5155 0.6151 0.7060 0.7869 0.8578 0.9191 0.9715 1.0161 1.0537
1.0854 1.1120 1.1343 1.1529 1.1684 1.1814 1.2086
0.0009 0.0097 0.0944 0.5498 0.9806 1.0000 0.9453 0.8830 0.8198 0.7569 0.6948
0.6336 0.5730 0.5128 0.4526 0.3929 0.3345 0.2792
# Age specific information (Females then males), natural mortality and
numbers at age
# Females
0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500
0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500
6274.02 4219.67 4443.77 2428.76 2128.70 2287.86
2868.73 1529.30 3492.11 1528.23 763.42 666.90
753.59 244.06 434.89 433.69 260.16 1900.81
# Males
0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500
0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500 0.1500
6274.02 4219.67 4443.77 2428.76 2128.70 2287.86
2868.73 1529.30 3492.11 1528.23 763.42 666.90
753.59 244.06 434.89 433.69 260.16 1900.81
# Initial age-structure (for Tmin)

```


	4902.82	5165.99	2838.84	2566.73	2845.16	3569.44
1893.83	4302.17	1873.12	931.09	809.53	910.67	
293.67	521.16	517.66	309.35	244.81	2000.34	
	4902.82	5165.99	2838.84	2566.73	2845.16	3569.44
1893.83	4302.17	1873.12	931.09	809.53	910.67	
293.67	521.16	517.66	309.35	244.81	2000.34	

Year for Tmin Age-structure

2001

Number of simulations

3000

Recruitment and Spanwer biomasses

Number of historical assessment years

45

Historical data: Year, Recruitment, Spawner biomass, Used to compute B0,
Used to project based

on R, Used to project based on R/S

1958	42425	39568	1	0	0
1959	42862	39569	1	0	0
1960	43310	39589	1	0	0
1961	43450	39675	1	0	0
1962	43484	39870	1	0	0
1963	43588	40171	1	0	0
1964	38812	40532	1	0	0
1965	37029	40920	1	0	0
1966	36109	41294	1	0	0
1967	41850	40763	1	0	0
1968	56748	39987	1	0	0
1969	38332	39553	1	0	0
1970	56976	39558	1	0	0
1971	48694	39775	1	0	0
1972	45931	40223	1	0	0
1973	114065	40903	1	0	0
1974	32197	41586	1	0	0
1975	10281	42616	1	0	0
1976	13405	44140	1	0	0
1977	18353	45821	1	0	0
1978	27513	46409	1	0	0
1979	9198	45497	1	0	0
1980	60680	43132	1	0	0
1981	62387	36181	1	0	0
1982	25945	28394	1	0	0
1983	53363	21674	0	0	0
1984	77180	19954	0	0	0
1985	28235	19304	0	0	0
1986	27537	19015	0	1	1
1987	34969	19188	0	1	1
1988	27526	19056	0	1	1
1989	12418	18741	0	1	1
1990	29889	17297	0	1	1
1991	20008	16076	0	1	1
1992	17124	15525	0	1	1
1993	24993	14779	0	1	1
1994	41204	13536	0	1	1
1995	13379	12701	0	1	1
1996	18878	11757	0	1	1

```

1997      11283      11258 0 1 1
1998      8153      10898 0 1 1
1999      7673      10928 0 1 1
2000     12005      10558 0 1 1
2001      9806      10027 0 1 1
2002     12548       9755 0 1 1
# Number of years with pre-specified catches
1
# Catches for years with pre-specified catches
2003 300
# Number of future recruitments to override
3
# Process for overriding (-1 for average otherwise index in data list)
2003 1 13321
2004 1 21225
2005 1 41765
# Which probability to product detailed results for (1=0.5,2=0.6,etc.)
2
# Steepness and sigma-R and auto-correlations
0.217141 0.500000 0.000000
# Target SPR rate (FMSY Proxy)
0.500000
# Target SPR information: Use (1=Yes) and power
0 20
# Discount rate (for cumulative catch)
0.100000
# Truncate the series when 0.4B0 is reached (1=Yes)
0
# Set F to FMSY once 0.4B0 is reached (1=Yes; 2=Apply 40:10 rule after
recovery)
0
# Percentage of FMSY which defines Ftarget
0.900000
# Maximum possible F for projection (-1 to set to FMSY)
2
# Conduct MacCall transition policy (1=Yes)
0
# Defintion of recovery (1=now only;2=now or before)
2
# Results for rec probs by Tmax (1) or 0.5 prob for various Ttargets
1
# Produce the risk-reward plots (1=Yes)
0
# Calculate coefficients of variation (1=Yes)
0
# Number of replicates to use
20
# First Random number seed
-89102
# Conduct projections for multiple starting values (0=No;else yes)
0
# File with multiple parameter vectors
MCMC.PRJ
# Number of parameter vectors
100

```

```
# User-specific projection (1=Yes); Output replaced (1->6)
1 5
# Catches and Fs (Year; 1/2 (F or C); value); Final row is -1
2004 1 0.000000
2005 1 0.000000
2010 1 0.000000
2100 1 0.000000
-1 -1 -1
# Split of Fs
2003 1
-1 1
```