

SCIENTIFIC AND STATISTICAL COMMITTEE COMMENTS ON
PROCESS FOR TECHNICAL REVIEW AND MONITORING OF REBUILDING PLANS

Ms. Cyreis Schmitt of the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) briefed the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) on a preliminary schedule and process for technical review and monitoring of groundfish stock rebuilding plans. Ms. Schmitt requested the SSC comment on proposed process and asked for further SSC contribution to development and implementation.

In reviewing the proposed schedule, the SSC suggested the timeline be modified to expedite preparation of rebuilding analyses soon after it is apparent a stock is in an overfished condition, rather than waiting for NMFS to declare the stock overfished the following January. It is probably not feasible to devote adequate time to rebuilding analyses during the regular one-week Stock Assessment Review (STAR) Panel review of the stock assessment. In order to maintain the momentum of the modeling process, the Council should direct Stock Assessment Teams (STAT) teams to draft rebuilding analyses immediately following completion of the assessments (i.e., mid to late summer) for review at the September Council meeting. The Council should direct the Terms of Reference be modified to reflect this procedure.

The SSC will take lead responsibility for modifying the STAT/STAR Terms of Reference to include guidance for rebuilding plans. The revised documents will include methodological standards (parameters, analyses, and uncertainties), triggers for future full assessment, an outline for the document, and schedule for completion. The SSC's Groundfish Subcommittee will begin drafting the Terms of Reference after the September 2000 meeting for review at the March 2001 Council meeting.

This year, and potentially next year, the SSC should plan to provide review of draft rebuilding analyses. For the long term, the Council should consider whether to incorporate review of rebuilding analyses into the current STAR process or to develop an alternative review process. One such alternative could include a separate panel dedicated to review of all rebuilding analyses in any given year. This may allow for more standardized treatment of the process, avoiding potential implementation delays due to technical errors or other inadequacies. Phase-in of the chosen review process could potentially begin as early as March 2001, but Council scheduling and staff availability must also be considered. We would anticipate, under any review process, drafting of the full rebuilding plan would follow the overfishing declaration by NMFS in January.

Once a stock is in rebuilding mode, the rebuilding process can be monitored using a combination of annual stock assessment and fishery evaluation (SAFE) document updates on recent catch and biological data, in combination with full stock assessments conducted at three year intervals. The SSC suggests annual SAFE reports include a thorough description of any new data collection efforts, data improvements, and research and data needs.

PFMC
06/29/00