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## 218th Session of the Pacific Fishery Management Council
### April 6 – April 11, 2013
Sheraton Portland Airport Hotel
8235 NE Airport Way
Portland, OR 97220
Telephone: 503-281-2500

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Friday April 5</th>
<th>Saturday April 6</th>
<th>Sunday April 7</th>
<th>Monday April 8</th>
<th>Tuesday April 9</th>
<th>Wednesday April 10</th>
<th>Thursday April 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Body Meetings</td>
<td>8:00 am General Session</td>
<td>Administrative Matters</td>
<td>Groundfish Management</td>
<td>Groundfish Management</td>
<td>Ecosystem-Based Management</td>
<td>Coastal Pelagic Species Management</td>
<td>Administrative Matters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open Comment Period</td>
<td>Council Informational Session (Fishery Ecosystem Plan)</td>
<td>Salmon Management</td>
<td>Salmon Management</td>
<td>Groundfish Management</td>
<td>Enforcement Issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administrative Matters</td>
<td>Groundfish Management</td>
<td>Habitat Issues</td>
<td>Closed Executive Session</td>
<td>Pacific Halibut Management</td>
<td>Coastal Pelagic Species Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Groundfish Management</td>
<td>Salmon Management</td>
<td>Closed Session</td>
<td>Pacific Halibut Management</td>
<td>Salmon Management</td>
<td>Administrative Matters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Schedule of Ancillary Meetings begins on page 7.
Refer to the Schedule of Ancillary Meetings beginning on page 7 for a complete list of technical and administrative committees, advisory bodies, work groups, state delegations, and special sessions scheduled throughout the week of April 5 through April 11, 2013.

### Proposed Detailed Agenda begins on the next page.
The following items are on the Pacific Council agenda; however, the order of the agenda items may change as required to effectively address the issues.
It is the Council’s intent to recognize the importance of forage fish to the marine ecosystem off our coast, and to provide adequate protection for forage fish. We declare that our objective is to prohibit the development of new directed fisheries on forage species that are not currently managed by our Council, or the States, until we have an adequate opportunity to assess the science relating to the fishery and any potential impacts to our existing fisheries and communities.

The Council directs the Essential Fish Habitat Plan Development Team (EPDT) to proceed with Option 2 as detailed in Agenda Item G.1.b, EPDT Report, and schedule a progress report on its work to update and revise the List of Fishery Species (LOF), to be made to the Council as soon as possible after completion of the fishery ecosystem plan (FEP). The Council further directs that:

A. Regarding the LOF, all Council advisory bodies shall be tasked with identifying fisheries and authorized gears for Federal fisheries operating in the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) off each state in the most specific and narrow terms possible, for incorporation into the updated List. This exercise shall be completed by the advisory bodies and provided to the EPDT as soon as possible after completion of the FEP.

B. For state-managed fisheries, the states shall be responsible, through their EPDT representatives, for preparing the list of state-managed fisheries which have a nexus with Federal waters, for inclusion in the updated List.

C. The EPDT’s progress report shall include any analysis on the possible effectiveness of the LOF application process in meeting the goal of preventing development of non-existent fisheries.

D. The report shall also include, to the extent possible, any new information or analysis regarding the application of Section 600.747 of the Federal rules, including whether there is a possibility of amending these regulations for the West Coast such that additional requirements and specifications regarding the Council’s review of applications could be formally incorporated into Federal regulations.

E. Regarding the Council’s standards which would be used in assessing whether a proposed new fishery could compromise conservation and management measures within the West Coast EEZ, the EPDT progress report shall provide full detail of the proposed standards and process, in order to make the procedural and content requirements clear and transparent to both applicants and the public, consistent with the recommendations outlined in Option 2 of the EPDT Report.

F. As soon as possible after completion of the FEP and upon receipt of the Progress Report, the Council shall review and provide guidance so that the standards (for assessing new fisheries) can be finalized for incorporation into the FEP.

After completion of the FEP, the Council will proceed to incorporate any needed protections into our current suite of Fishery Management Plans through an amendment process.
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take into account changes in both federal and state fisheries management programs that have occurred since the list was first set in place in 1996;

• constrain the list of authorized fisheries and gear to those that currently occur within the EEZ, rather than leaving the list as open as possible.
ECOSYSTEM PLAN DEVELOPMENT TEAM REPORT ON CORRECTIONS TO TABLE 2

The EPDT found four errors in Table 2 of our original Supplemental EPDT Report for H.1.b. This second supplemental report corrects those errors. Text to be removed is shown in strikeout. Text to be added is shown in italic. Corrections include:

- Line 8D: correct commercial shrimp and prawn fisheries south of 42° N. lat. to remove pot/trap gear – only trawl gear is permitted
- Line 13: remove “Non-FMP Shark species” and replace with “Salmon shark,” which is the only shark species covered under Oregon’s minor finfish commercial fishery.
- Line 15: remove gear types listed in left-hand column at Line 15, because they are redundant to fishery-specific gears listed in lines 15A, 15B, and 15C.
- Line 17: correct to read “Pacific Bonito Commercial Net Fishery South of 42° N. lat. (Non-FMP)”

Table 2 [Corrected]. Proposed revisions to the Federal List of Authorized West Coast EEZ Fisheries and Gear (at 50 CFR 600.725(v)), June 2013 EPDT draft.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery</th>
<th>Authorized gear types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Pacific Coast Salmon Fisheries (FMP):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Commercial fisheries – coastwide</td>
<td>Hook and line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Recreational Fisheries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pacific Coast Groundfish Fisheries (FMP):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Commercial fisheries</td>
<td>Trawl, hook and line, pot/trap, demersal seine, set net,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>spear, and hand collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Recreational fisheries</td>
<td>Hook and line, spear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Coastal Pelagic Species Fisheries (FMP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Commercial fisheries</td>
<td>Purse seine, lampara net, brail net, dip net, cast net,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hook and line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Highly Migratory Species Fisheries (FMP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Commercial</td>
<td>Hook and line, gillnet, harpoon, purse seine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Recreational</td>
<td>Hook and line, spear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Pacific Halibut Fisheries (Non-FMP):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Commercial</td>
<td>Hook and line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Recreational</td>
<td>Hook and line, spear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Dungeness Crab Fisheries (Non-FMP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Commercial</td>
<td>Pot/trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Recreational North of 46°15’ N. lat.</td>
<td>Pot/trap, dip net, hand harvest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Recreational South of 46°15’ N. lat. and North of 42° N. lat.</td>
<td>Pot/trap, hook and line, dip net, hand harvest, rake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Recreational South of 42° N. lat.</td>
<td>Pot/trap, hand harvest, hoop net, crab loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Crab Fisheries for Species other than Dungeness crab (Non-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Commercial Pot/Trap Fisheries South of 46°15’ N. lat.</td>
<td>Pot/trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Recreational North of 46°15’ N. lat.</td>
<td>Pot/trap, dip net, hand harvest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Recreational South of 46°15’ N. lat. and North of 42° N. lat.</td>
<td>Pot/trap, hook and line, dip net, hand harvest, rake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Recreational South of 42° N. lat.</td>
<td>Pot/trap, hand harvest, hoop net, crab loop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There's no perfect way of doing this.

Corey Niles
WDFW rep. to EPDT

List of Allowable Fisheries and Gear

FMP and Pacific Halibut Fisheries

State Authorized Fisheries that occur in both state and federal waters

State Authorized Fisheries that occur in or gear that is used in only state waters
What fisheries do we want to know about before they occur within the EEZ? What types of unfished species might we want to regulate in the future, should fisheries participants express interest in targeting those species?

The Council's intent is that the updated list identify authorized fisheries and gear in the "most specific and narrow terms possible" (Final Council Action at G.1.d, June 2012)
50 CFR 600.747(c)(2) on requirements for "complete" notification:

(A) Name, address, and telephone number of the person submitting the notification.
(B) Description of the gear.
(C) The fishery or fisheries in which the gear is or will be used.
(D) A diagram and/or photograph of the gear, as well as any specifications and dimensions necessary to define the gear.
(E) The season(s) in which the gear will be fished.
(F) The area(s) in which the gear will be fished.
(G) The anticipated bycatch species associated with the gear, including protected species, such as marine mammals, sea turtles, sea birds, or species listed as endangered or threatened under the ESA.
(H) How the gear will be deployed and fished, including the portions of the marine environment where the gear will be deployed (surface, midwater, and bottom).

Dear PFMC:

I will be fishing for myctophids in three months.

Sincerely Yours,

Joe Schmoe
Is the proposed new fishery “detrimental to [the Council’s] conservation and management efforts”?

(A) Existing tools available to and Gear
Option 1: Recommend that Gear permitted within the (EEZ) off the coasts of W
Option 2: Recommend that Gear permitted within the Washington, Oregon, and (EEP) the standards that the fishery could compromise Coast EEZ

(B) Using FMP amendments:
Option 3: Use FMP amendment species to one of the Court
Option 4: Use FMP amendment to one of the Council’s
court
Option 5: Use FMP amendment those that might result in

(C) Developing a new Ecosystem
Option 6: Shift ongoing I process.

(D) Authorities available to an
Option 7: Consult with the sanctuaries would consider Sanctuary Act authority.
Option 8: Consult with the processes for restrict or prohibit the landings of fish products (e.g., reduction of

Table 2, at the end of this document and regulatory processes needed
“Real knowledge is to know the extent of one’s ignorance.”

-- Confucius
For those images where sources are not shown directly on image, all are courtesy of the U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, except:

Slide 6: jack mackerel, CDFW
Slide 9: spot prawn, ODFW; pink shrimp tow, ODFW
Slide 10: white sturgeon, Oregon State University; slender sole, ODFW; California halibut, CDFW
Slide 11: young Californians at Berkeley Pier, CDFW
Slide 12: anglers and Humboldt squid catch, HuliCat Sportfishing, photo by John C. Field, NOAA SWFSC
Slide 15: Confucius, portrait by Wu Daozi, 685-758, Tang Dynasty.