

VOTING LOG
Pacific Fishery Management Council
September 2009

Motion 1: Approve the agenda as shown in Agenda Item A.5, September Council Meeting Agenda, with the addition of Agenda Item G.4, Report of National Marine Fisheries Service on Lower Columbia River Tule Fall Chinook Life-Cycle Analysis; and the extension of the Executive Director's report on Thursday. Motion 1 passed unanimously.

Moved by: Rod Moore

Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen

Motion 1 passed unanimously.

Motion 2: Have the Habitat Committee prepare a letter to the Bureau of Forestry citing concerns about relaxation of harvest practices and have it available for the Council to review at their November meeting.

Moved by: Mark Helvey

Seconded by: Jerry Mallet

Motion 2 passed. Ms. Vojkovich and Mr. Dale Myer voted no.

Motion 3: Move that the Council send the list of potential sites provided by the managing agency (NMFS) for public review with the following:

1. Indicate that this is an initial list of 52 sites that were provided to the Council for consideration to add to the federal list of MPA sites.
2. Do not indicate a Council preference relative to whether these sites should be included.
3. Include a description of what these sites represent (i.e., HAPCs or EFH areas adopted by the Council) and maps of these areas.

Also, direct Council staff to develop a white paper that includes the following:

1. Evaluation of whether these sites meet the Federal MPA criteria.
2. Identification of the pros and cons of including these sites into the national system.
3. Answers to the questions within SSC report.
4. Description of what the gap analysis would entail that the MPA Center would conduct.
5. Description of a broad straw procedure within the Council process to consider additions, changes, or removals from the federal list.

This white paper would be provided to the Council when final action is scheduled.

Moved by: Michele Culver

Seconded by: Dale Myer

Substitute: Mr. Williams was not in favor of the motion and offered a substitute motion, which was withdrawn and not voted on.

Amdnt: Amend Motion #3 to direct Council staff to develop a white paper that includes the following:

GMT Recommendation #1: Increase the LEFG sablefish DTL limits north of 36° N.lat. from “1,500 lbs per week, and 6,000 lbs per 2 months” to “2,000 lbs per week, and 7,000 lbs per 2 months” and eliminating the daily limit for the remainder of the year.

GMT Recommendation #2: Increase the LEFG sablefish DTL weekly limit South of 36° N. lat from “400 lb / day, one landing per week up to 1,500 lb” to a “weekly limit of 3,000 lb,” with no daily limit.

GAP Recommendation #5: Increase the open access trip limits for sablefish south of 36° to 2500 lbs. / week with no daily or bimonthly limit for the remainder of the year. The current limits are 400 lbs. / day 1500 lbs. / week and 8000 lbs. / 2 months.

GMT Recommendation #4: Increase the LE and OA deeper nearshore rockfish trip limits south of 40°10' N lat. to “800 lb/2 months” for the remainder of the year.

GMT Recommendation #5: Option 1 for the limited entry non-whiting trawl fishery, which includes adjustments to petrale sole, sablefish, arrowtooth, and slope rockfish cumulative limits and rockfish conservation area boundaries. (Option 1, increase arrowtooth in the north from 150,000 lbs to 180,000 lbs per two months in period 5 and 6 in order to reduce discard).

Moved by: Rod Moore

Seconded by: Steve Williams

Not Voted On, See Substitute Motion:

Substitute: Adopt the following GMT recommendations as described in Agenda Item E.4.b, Supplemental GMT Report (substitutes GMT Recommendation #3 for GAP Recommendation #5):

GMT Recommendation #1: Increase the LEFG sablefish DTL limits north of 36° N.lat. from “1,500 lbs per week, and 6,000 lbs per 2 months” to 2,000 lbs per week, and 7,000 lbs per 2 months and eliminating the daily limit for the remainder of the year.

GMT Recommendation #2: Increase the LEFG sablefish DTL weekly limit South of 36° N. lat from “400 lb / day, one landing per week up to 1,500 lb” to a “weekly limit of 3,000 lb,” with no daily limit.

GMT Recommendation #3: Increase the OA sablefish DTL trip limits South of 36° N. lat from “400 lb per day, one landing per week of up to 1,500 lb, and 8,000 lb per/ 2 months” to “400 lb/day, one landing per week of up to 2,500 lb” and eliminating the bi-monthly limit for the remainder of the year.

GMT Recommendation #4: Increase the LE and OA deeper nearshore rockfish trip limits south of 40°10' N lat. to “800 lb/2 months” for the remainder of the year.

GMT Recommendation #5: Option 1 for the limited entry non-whiting trawl fishery, which includes adjustments to petrale sole, sablefish, arrowtooth, and slope rockfish cumulative limits and rockfish conservation area boundaries. (Option 1, increase arrowtooth in the north from 150,000 lbs to 180,000 lbs per two months in period 5 and 6 in order to reduce discard).

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich

Seconded by: Phil Anderson

Substitute Motion #7 passed unanimously.

Motion 8: Have the Council provide notice to the public of our intent to review 2010 management measures and OY's for petrale sole and canary rockfish and that we may reconsider and revise management measures and OY's for those two species in response to the recent stock assessment results.

Moved by: Phil Anderson
Motion 8 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Dale Myer

Motion 9: Adopt for public review, the proposed changes to season structure and the catch sharing plan for 2010 as shown in Agenda Item H.1.b, WDFW Report (Washington south coast subarea).

Moved by: Michele Culver
Motion 9 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Dale Myer

Motion 10: Adopt for public review, the proposed changes to season structure and the catch sharing plan for 2010 as shown in Agenda Item H.1.b, ODFW Report (Only Central Coast Subarea on page 1 of that report).

Moved by: Steve Williams
Motion 10 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Rod Moore

Motion 11: Approve the reports presented in Agenda Item H.2.b, Supplemental NMFS Reports 1 and 2 to be transmitted to the IPHC with the endorsement of the estimated total halibut mortality of 280,515 lbs. and the estimate of the total halibut bycatch in the fixed gear fishery of about 46.3 mt.

Moved by: Michele Culver
Motion 11 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Dale Myer

Motion 12: Table any further effort on the reconstruction of unidentified fish absent any funding. If the MRIF agrees to fund a program, then we can take it up again; for now, encourage the states to reduce their unidentified catch in the future.

Moved by: Dan Wolford
Motion 12 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen

Motion 13: Approve the report of the BC as shown in Agenda Item J.1.b, Supplemental Budget Committee Report and the recommendations contained within.

Moved by: Rod Moore
Motion 13 passed. (7 yes, 4 no, 1 abstained). Mr. Anderson, Mr. Myer, Mr. Cedergreen, and Ms. Vojkovich voted no. Mr. Lockhart abstained.

Seconded by: Steve Williams

Motion 14: Appoint Mr. Tom Jagielo to the ODFW position on the SSC.

Moved by: Steve Williams
Motion 14 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Rod Moore

Motion 15: Appoint LT Jeff Samuels to the Oregon position on the Enforcement Consultants.

Moved by: Steve Williams
Motion 15 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Rod Moore

Motion 16: Appoint Ms. Lorna Wargo to the WDFW position on the CPSMT

Moved by: Phil Anderson
Motion 16 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen

Motion 17: Appoint Mr. Larrie LaVoy to the NWR positions on the STT and Model Evaluation Workgroup.

Moved by: Frank Lockhart
Motion 17 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Marija Vojkovich

Motion 18: Appoint Mr. Eric Leitzinger to the IDFG position on the Habitat Committee.

Moved by: Jerry Mallet
Motion 18 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Dale Myer

Motion 19: Amend Council Operating Procedure (COP) 3 to establish an Ecosystem Plan Development Team (EPDT) of 11 members with the following composition and direct the staff to solicit nominees for consideration at the November Council meeting:

- 4 members drawn from the NW and SW Fishery Science Centers, at least one of which must have socio-economic expertise.
- 1 NWR member
- 1 SWR member
- 4 members, one to represent each state
- 1 tribal government member
- 1 National Ocean Service member

Moved by: Rod Moore
Motion 19 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Jerry Mallet

Motion 20: Amend COP 2 to establish an Ecosystem Advisory Subpanel (EAS) of 11 members with the following composition and direct the staff to solicit nominees for consideration at the November Council meeting:

- 10 members—composed of 3 at-large members from each coastal state and 1 at large member from Idaho. These members should be selected to the extent practicable to represent a broad spectrum of the views of the commercial and recreational fishing industry, conservation organizations, and coastal community needs.
- 1 tribal member

Moved by: Rod Moore
Motion 20 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Dorothy Lowman

Motion 21: Direct Council staff to solicit nominations for the 2010-2012 advisory body term using the existing advisory body composition for consideration at the November Council meeting.

Moved by: Rod Moore
Motion 21 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Dan Wolford

Motion 22: Adopt the following as contained in Agenda Item J.4.d, Supplemental WDFW Motion:

I move that the Council announce its' intent to consider an amendment to the Amendment 20 motion previously adopted at the June Council meeting relative to the Adaptive Management Program (AMP) at the November Council meeting. The motion to amend would be limited to considering the following:

Starting in year 1 of the trawl rationalization program (TRP), implement a non-pass through Adaptive Management Program (AMP) option for canary rockfish as follows: for the first two years of the TRP, distribute the AMP quota pounds of canary rockfish in a manner that ensures that each initial recipient of shoreside non-whiting quota share receives a minimum of 50 pounds of canary rockfish. (Note: Only AMP quota pounds would be deposited into vessel accounts; AMP quota shares would remain held in reserve by the National Marine Fisheries Service.) The remaining AMP quota pounds for canary, if any, would be distributed to the rest of the fleet, pro rata to the amount of canary they receive through the initial allocation. After the first two years of the TRP, the distribution of canary rockfish AMP quota pounds would be evaluated by the Council and considered along with the other proposals for usage of the AMP quota pounds.

Implementation criteria:

- Initial recipients of non-whiting quota share that receive less than 50 pounds of canary rockfish through the Council-adopted initial allocation methodology for overfished species would receive only the additional quota pounds needed to meet the 50 pound minimum.
- If there are insufficient AMP quota pounds of canary rockfish to bring each recipient of non-whiting initial quota share up to 50 pounds, the minimum poundage will be reduced to an amount that correlates with the AMP poundage available.
- Quota share holders should not assume that the distribution of AMP canary pounds will continue in this manner beyond the first two years of the TRP.

Moved by: Phil Anderson
Motion 22 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Rod Moore