Motion 1: Approve the agenda as shown in Agenda Item A.4, Council Meeting Agenda.
Moved by: Rod Moore  Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Motion 1 passed unanimously.

Motion 2: Adopt the proposed changes for the 2008 Halibut Catch Sharing Plan for 2008 as found in Agenda Item E.1.b, WDFW Report and in Agenda Item E.1.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 1.
Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Motion 2 passed unanimously.

Motion 3: Adopt the 2008 salmon preseason management schedule and hearing sites as shown in Agenda Item F.1.a, Attachment 1, and Agenda Item F.1, Situation Summary.
Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Motion 3 passed unanimously.

Motion 4: Endorse the STT recommendation for utilizing the revisions to the coho FRAM.
Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Curt Melcher
Motion 4 passed unanimously.

Motion 5: Approve the inclusion of the additional stocks for the Chinook FRAM as identified in the MEW Report.
Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Curt Melcher
Motion 5 passed unanimously.

Motion 6: Preliminarily approve the Fosmark EFP with full rockfish retention and overfished species surrendered to appropriate authorities.
Moved by: Rod Moore  Seconded by: Frank Warrens
Motion 6 passed. Ms. Fosmark recused.

Motion 7: Preliminarily adopt EFP number 4 (RFA Proposal, Agenda Item D.2.a, Attachment 4) with the restrictions recommended on page 3 of the GMT Report: 1) use fathom lines not depth contours, 2) coordinate with NMFS on data analysis and reporting, 3) full rockfish retention, and 4) reduce the canary cap to 50 lbs.
Moved by: Marija Vojkovich  Seconded by: Kathy Fosmark
Motion 7 was withdrawn and not voted on.

Motion 8: Preliminarly adopt EFP number 4 (RFA Proposal, Agenda Item D.2.a, Attachment 4) with a canary bycatch cap of 50 lbs, a requirement of full retention and surrender of all overfished rockfish, maintain the regular bag limit, require the data analyst and report writer details be developed, and the CRFS observers evaluated and accepted by NMFS.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich  Seconded by: Kathy Fosmark
Motion 8 passed. Mr. Mallet voted no. Mr. Lockhart abstained.

Motion 9: Preliminarily adopt The Nature Conservancy EFP - (Agenda Item D.2.a, Attachment 3) with a canary cap of 50 lbs.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich  Seconded by: Michele Culver
Motion 9 passed. Roll call vote: 10 yes, 3 no. Messrs. Moore, Myer, and Mallet voted no.

Motion 10: Adopt the rebuilding analyses for all the remaining overfished species.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Curt Melcher
Motion 10 passed unanimously.

Motion 11: Adopt the southern black rockfish stock assessment as described and endorsed by the SSC.

Moved by: Curt Melcher  Seconded by: Frank Warrens
Motion 11 passed unanimously.

Motion 12: Adopt the blue rockfish stock assessment as described and endorsed by the SSC.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich  Seconded by: Rod Moore
Motion 12 passed. Mr. Anderson voted no.

Motion 13: Using Supplemental GMT Report, Agenda Item D.4.c, adopt the following 2009-2010 harvest specifications as a preliminary action:

- Pacific ocean perch: the recommended ABCs and OY alternatives 1-4 with alternative 4 (189 mt in 2009 and 200 mt in 2010) as a preferred OY alternative;
- Widow rockfish: the recommended ABCs and OY alternatives 1-3 with alternative 2 (371 mt in 2009 and 362 mt in 2010) as a preferred alternative;
- Canary rockfish: the recommended ABCs and OY alternatives 1, 35 mt, 44, mt, 105 mt, and 155 mt with a preferred rebuilding time (T_{TARGET}) of 2021;
- Cowcod: the recommended ABCs and OY alternatives 1-3 with alternative 2 (2 mt) as a preferred OY alternative and a preferred T_{TARGET} of 2065;
- Dark blotched rockfish: the recommended ABC and OY alternatives 1, 2, 229 mt, 300 mt and a preferred T_{TARGET} of 2030;
Yelloweye rockfish: the recommended ABCs and OY alternatives 1-4 with alternative 3 (harvest rate ramp-down with 17 mt in 2009 and 14 mt in 2010) as a preferred OY alternative;

Northern black rockfish: the recommended ABCs and the OY alternatives recommended by GMT;

Sablefish: the recommended ABCs, the OY alternatives recommended by GMT, and a new coast wide OY alternative using the projections from the low productivity model scenario in the new assessment (7,268 mt in 2009 and 6,718 mt in 2010) split north and south of 36° N latitude using the same methodology as was done for OY alternative 2.

Moved by: Phil Anderson Seconded by: Jerry Mallet
Motion 13 withdrawn, not voted on.

Motion 14: Using Supplemental GMT Report, Agenda Item D.4.c, adopt the following 2009-2010 harvest specifications as a preliminary action:

Pacific ocean perch: the recommended ABCs and OY alternatives 1-4 with alternative 4 (189 mt in 2009 and 200 mt in 2010) as a preferred OY alternative;

Widow rockfish: the recommended ABCs and OY alternatives 1-3 with alternative 2 (371 mt in 2009 and 362 mt in 2010) as a preferred alternative;

Canary rockfish: the recommended ABCs and OY alternatives 1, 35 mt, 44, mt, 105 mt, and 155 mt with a preferred rebuilding time (T_{TARGET}) of 2021;

Cowcod: the recommended ABCs and OY alternatives 1-3 with alternative 2 (2 mt) as a preferred OY alternative and a preferred T_{TARGET} of 2065;

Darkblotched rockfish: the recommended ABC and OY alternatives 1, 2, 229 mt, 300 mt and a preferred T_{TARGET} of 2030;

Yelloweye rockfish: the recommended ABCs and OY alternatives 1-4 with alternative 3 (harvest rate ramp-down with 17 mt in 2009 and 14 mt in 2010) as a preferred OY alternative;

Northern black rockfish: the recommended ABCs and the OY alternatives recommended by GMT;

Sablefish: the recommended ABCs, the OY alternatives recommended by GMT, and a new coast wide OY alternative using the projections from the low productivity model scenario in the new assessment (7,268 mt in 2009 and 6,718 mt in 2010) split north and south of 36° N latitude using the same methodology as was done for OY alternative 2.

Bocaccio: the recommended ABCs and OY alternatives 1-3 with alternative 2 (218 mt in 2009 and 227 mt in 2010) as a preferred OY alternative.

Moved by: Phil Anderson Seconded by: Jerry Mallet

Amdmnt #1: Do not identify a preferred alternative for widow rockfish.

Moved by: Rod Moore Seconded by: Frank Warrens
Amendment #1 to Motion 14 failed. Messrs. Warrens, Ortmann, Moore and Ms. Fosmark voted yes.

Amdmnt #2: For Canary, change Alternative 4 to 85 mt.
Moved by: Frank Lockhart  Seconded by: Marija Vojkovich
Vote on Amendment #3 to Motion 14 passed.
Main Motion 14 passed as amended unanimously.

Motion 15:  Using Supplemental GMT Report, Agenda Item D.4.c, Table 2.1b, adopt the remaining ABC and OY ranges in the GMT tables, except for blue rockfish and southern black rockfish, including the range of OY values provided during the GMT presentation for the minor rockfish complexes north and south. The motion also included the OY alternatives for shortbelly rockfish.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Rod Moore

Amdmnt #1: Include the three OY alternatives for southern black rockfish.

Moved by: Curt Melcher  Seconded by: Rod Moore
Amendment #1 to Motion 15 passed.
Main Motion 15 passed as amended unanimously.

Motion 16:  Adopt the following intersector allocation alternatives for public review: 1) modify alternative 1-3 by removing the non-trawl dominant overfished species from analysis and 2) remove alternatives 4 and 5 from the analysis.

Moved by: David Hanson  Seconded by: Marija Vojkovich

Amdmnt #1: Add Conception area (south of 36° N latitude) sablefish to the analysis.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich  Seconded by: David Hanson
Amendment #1 to Motion 16 passed unanimously.

Amdmnt #2: Add two alternatives for allocating Pacific halibut to the trawl sectors as follows: 1) calculate the percentage of the 2006 Area 2A constant exploitation yield (CEY) of Pacific halibut in trawl fisheries, and 2) use the same methodology, except use the percentage of the 2005 Area 2A CEY of Pacific halibut in trawl fisheries.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Amendment #2 to Motion 16 passed. Messrs. Warrens and Sones voted no.

Amdmnt #3: Remove minor shelf rockfish and the species from the Other Fish complex from the intersector allocation analysis.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich  Seconded by: Kathy Fosmark
Amendment #3 to Motion 16 passed unanimously.
Main Motion 16 passed as amended unanimously.

Motion 17:  Adopt inseason adjustments for 2008 treaty fisheries: for lingcod management beginning in 2008, the tribes will manage all fisheries to stay within an overall harvest guideline of 250 mt. The tribes will manage their fisheries to stay within the current scorecard estimates of canary and yelloweye impacts regardless of any new targeting of lingcod.
Motion 18: Utilizing Agenda Item D.6.b, Supplemental GMT Report 2, November 2007, adopt for preliminary action, the inseason adjustments to 2007 and 2008 groundfish fisheries as shown with the following changes: adjust the open access sablefish limits in the area south of 36° N latitude in 2008 to a DTL of 300 lbs and weekly limit of 800 lbs; further adopt GMT recommendation #4 on page 9 recombining chilipepper with other specified rockfish species limits; have the GMT report back to us on Friday with regard to 2008 trawl limits based on a scorecard value for research of canary rockfish of 5.5 mt.

Moved by: Rod Moore Seconded by: Curt Melcher

Amendment #1: Ask the GMT to come back with an updated scorecard with a canary rockfish value for research be set at 5.0 mt instead of 5.5 mt.

Moved by: Dale Myer Seconded by: Kathy Fosmark

Amendment #1 to Motion 18 failed on a roll call vote. Messrs. Wolford, Mallet, Ortmann, Cedergreen, Lockhart; Ms. Culver and Ms. Vojkovich voted no.

Amendment #2: Include open access sablefish limits in the Conception area set at a bi-monthly limit of 2,400 lbs.

Moved by: Michele Culver Seconded by: Frank Lockhart

Amendment #2 to Motion 18 failed on a roll call vote. Messrs. Cedergreen, Moore, Ortmann, Melcher, Sones; Ms. Vojkovich and Ms. Fosmark voted no.

Main Motion 18 passed unanimously.

Motion 19: Ask the GMT to include in their analysis for the whiting trawl fishery, the two options proposed by the GAP (Agenda Item D.6.d, Supplemental GAP Report) for releasing bycatch during the 2008 season.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich Seconded by: Kathy Fosmark

Motion 19 withdrawn, not voted on.

Motion 20: Adopt Agenda Item D.7.a, Attachment 1 as follows.

Qualifying Eligibility and Allocation Time Periods (Page 2): Use Table 1, as presented but for the IFQ allocation specify a permit with history in both the shoreside whiting and mothership whiting fishery, must drop the same two years of history in both categories.

Initial Allocation to Processors (Page 2): Modify the TIQC recommendation on the percent of the QS allocation that would go to processors to the following. For whiting: zero, 25%, and 50%; and for nonwhiting groundfish 0%, 12.5%, 25%.

Successors in Interest for Shoreside Processors (Page 3) – Use the TIQC recommendation

Identification of the Mothership Entity That Would Qualify for an Allocation (page 3) – TIQC recommendation

Recent Participation (page 3) – Use both TIQC recommendations
Allocation of Overfished Species Using Target Species QS and Applying Bycatch Rates (page 4) – Use the GAC Recommendation (using 2003-2006 logbooks).

Allocation of Overfished Species Using An Auction Approach (page 4) – omit from this motion.

Direction Reallocation After Initial Issuance With Changes in Management Areas (page 4) – Use GAC recommendation

Direction Reallocation After Initial Issuance With Changes in Stock Status (page 5) – Use the GAC recommendation

Vessel QP Minimum Holding Requirements (page 5) – Use the GAC recommendation

Vessel QP Overage Resolution (page 5) – Retain Option 1 and drop the rest of the options.

Temporary Transfer Prohibition (page 6) – Use the TIQC recommendation

Accumulation Limits (page 6) – Use the GAC recommendation

Maximum on Grandfather Clause (page 6) – Use the TIQC recommendation

Tracking and Monitoring (page 8) – Include the GAC guidance

Mandatory Data Collection (page 8) – Use the GAC recommendation. Audits should be both random and directed toward suspect reports.

Allocation of Pacific Halibut IBQ (page 8) – Use the GAC recommendation (using 2003-2006 logbooks)

Trading IFQ with Limited Entry Fixed Gear Vessels (page 8) – not recommended. Consider as a future amendment to the program.

Vessel Size Endorsement (page 9) – Use the TIQC recommendation

Bycatch Species Caps in the Whiting Fishery (page 9) – address in intersector allocation, not here.

Bycatch Management in the Mothership and Shoreside Sector Co-op Program (page 9) – Use the TIQC recommendation

Mothership Sector Co-op Alternative (page 10) –

Use the first TIQC recommendation with the following change: add cannot operate as a mothership and catcher processor at the same time. And, add a sub-option: a vessel can only operate as either mothership or catcher processor in any one month but not both.

Use the second TIQC recommendation move forward with the addition of sub-options specifying that a mothership permits could be transferred zero, one, or two times during the year;

Include the first TIQC guidance; modify to indicate that the level of catch committed under the processor tie provision might be zero, 25, 50, 75, or 100% of the permit’s allocation (set of options).

Include the second TIQC guidance; allow a permit to remain in the co-op fishery if its mothership withdraws

Do not include the third TIQC guidance (not part of motion)

Continuation of the Shoreside Sector Program (page 10) – Use the GAC recommendation

Mandatory Data collection (page 10) – Use the GAC recommendation

Do not include the GAC Guidance – it is just there for information.

These modifications will appear in the alternatives as provided in D.7.b Attachments 2 and 3.

Moved by: Dave Hanson Seconded by: Marija Vojkovich

Amdmnt #1: Change the option for a temporary prohibition on transfers from one to three years (A-2.2.3.c).

Moved by: Frank Lockhart Seconded by: Dave Hanson
Amendment #1 to Motion 20 failed. Lockhart, Culver, Cedergreen voted Yes; the rest of the Council voted no.

Amendment #2: On the last page of Agenda Item D.7.a, Attachment 1, under the mothership sector coop alternative B-1, the first TIQC guidance, add that a qualifying CV that does not have an MS to go to as a result of that MS being gone in the years qualifying would be able to choose a MS to tie to.

Moved by: Rod Moore  Seconded by: Frank Warrens
Amendment #2 to Motion 20 passed unanimously.

Amendment #3: On the last page of Agenda Item D.7.a, Attachment 1, under the mothership sector coop alternative B-1, for the linkages, remove the 0% and the 25% from the motion.

Moved by: Dale Myer  Seconded by: Rod Moore
Amendment #3 to Motion 20 passed unanimously.

Amendment #4: Add to the analysis, recommendation #9 as provided by the GAP in Agenda Item D.7.d, Supplemental GAP Report.

Moved by: Michele Culver  Seconded by: Curt Melcher
Amendment #4 to Motion 20 passed unanimously.

Amendment #5: Include the shoreside co-op program in the alternatives but include options with and without the linkage to processors and shoreside processor licenses.

Moved by: Michele Culver  Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Amendment #5 to Motion 20 passed unanimously.

Amendment #6: Add to the analysis the provision that when a vessel is prohibited from fishing because of a deficit in its QP account, it would still be able to participate in fisheries expected to have little or no encounters of groundfish. These fisheries might include for example: salmon troll, Dungeness crab, coastal pelagic species, highly migratory species fisheries with the exception of small mesh gillnet. Also, analyze as an option a two year time limit on the no-fishing prohibition.

Moved by: Michele Culver (AM2: 56 min)  Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Amendment #6 to Motion 20 passed unanimously.

Amendment #7: When a mothership withdraws without establishing a mutual agreement between the mothership and the catcher vessel ... Option: the catcher vessel remains linked to the mothership when it returns, or Option: the catcher vessel becomes linked to the mothership it delivers to in the absence of the departing mothership.

Moved by: Dale Myer (AM2: 1:03 Hrs)  Seconded by: Dale Moore
Amendment #7 to Motion 20 was withdrawn.

Amendment #8: When a mothership withdraws without establishing a mutual agreement between the mothership and the catcher vessel ... Option: the catcher vessel remains linked to the mothership when it returns, if the mothership returns within two years; OR Option: the
catcher vessel becomes linked to the mothership it delivers to in the absence of the departing mothership.

Moved by: Dale Myer Seconded by: Rod Moore
Amendment #8 to Motion 20 passed unanimously.

Amdmnt #9: Add to the analysis, recommendation #3 from the Agenda Item D.7.d, Supplemental GAP Report.

Moved by: Michele Culver (AM2: 1:11 Hrs) Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Amendment #9 to Motion 20 passed unanimously.

Amdmnt #10: Based on the GMT report recommendation #2, create a sub-option that specifies QS divisions north and south of 40 10 for those species that do not otherwise have a geographic subdivision, with the potential to refine the areas as new information becomes available.

Moved by: Michele Culver (AM2: 1:13 Hrs) Seconded by: Jerry Mallet
Amendment #10 to Motion 20 passed. Ms. Vojkovich and Ms. Fosmark voted no.
Main Motion 20 passed as amended.

Motion 21: Adopt the language provided in the comment from Donna Parker in Agenda Item D.7.h, Supplemental Public Comment 6.

Moved by: Dale Myer Seconded by: Michele Culver
Motion 21 withdrawn.

Motion 22: Adopt item number 2 on page 2 of the comment from Donna Parker in Agenda Item D.7.h, Supplemental Public Comment 6, revised to read as follows: “If fishery-wide or sector allocations of overfished stocks to the whiting fishery were adopted, then the following tools could be used by the Council for the co-op and/or the non-co-op fishery:

a) seasonal allocations
   - specific to species
   - same proportion to all species
b) area closures
   - determined on a species-by species basis
   - applied to all species
c) area closures
   - applied annually
   - applied seasonally
   - triggered by a bycatch amount

In the analysis, look at how these tools apply at the sector, individual or co-op level, if there is an inter-co-op agreement.

Moved by: Michele Culver Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen

Amdmnt #1: For the non-co-op fishery, adopt items 1 through 3 on page 3 of the comment from Donna Parker in Agenda Item D.7.h, Supplemental Public Comment 6
Moved by: Dale Myer  Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Amendment #1 to Motion 22 passed unanimously.
Motion 22 passed as amended unanimously.

Motion 23:  Utilizing Supplemental Attachment 1, Agenda Item D.7.i.; adopt items #6; #7; #10, and #14.
Moved by: Michele Culver  Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Motion 23 passed unanimously.

Motion 24:  Adopt the proposed wording to clarify as contained in Agenda Item D.7.b, Attachment 2, page 23, section A.2.2.3.e accumulation limits.
Moved by: Michele Culver  Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Motion 24 passed unanimously.

Motion 25:  For analysis for the Trawl IFQ program, adopt the following rewording for A-3 in IFQ Program.

Annually, 10% of the available quota pounds (QP) for the Trawl IFQ program will be set aside for use in an adaptive management program that could create incentives for developing gear efficiencies, or community development, or to compensate for unforeseen outcomes from implementing the IFQ program. Examples of unforeseen outcomes include, but are not limited to, unexpected geographic shifts in the distribution of catch or landings; unexpected effects on certain segments of the industry (e.g. processors); or an unexpected barrier to new entry into the fishery. Should the Council adopt initial allocation of fishing QS to processors, those processors receiving an initial allocation would not be eligible to hold QP available through an adaptive management program.

In A 2.1.1. add the following options.
Option 3: 100% of QS to permit owners. Annually 10% of the available quota pounds (QP) will be set aside for use in an adaptive management program.

Option 4: 75% of QS to permit owners and 25% of QS to processors for shoreside non-whiting sector. 50% of QS to permit owners and 50% of QS to processors for whiting sector. Annually 10% of the available quota pounds (QP) will be set aside for use in an adaptive management program.

Add an adaptive management option to the co-op alternative (generally)
Annually, 10% of the available aggregate harvest pounds for each co-op or non-co-op in the Co-Op program will be set aside for use in an adaptive management program that could create incentives for developing gear efficiencies, community development, or to compensate for unforeseen outcomes from implementing the co-op program. Examples of unforeseen outcomes include, but are not limited to, unexpected geographic shifts in the distribution of catch or landings; unexpected effects on certain segments of the industry (e.g. processors); or an unexpected barrier to new entry into the fishery.
Add an Option to Co-Op Program: Annual Allocation to Co-Ops and the Non-Co-Op Fishery in B-2.5; B-2.6; and B-4.4

Add for each sector as appropriate: Annually, 10% of the CV(MS) (CV(SS) and CP) sector’s available aggregate harvest pounds will be set aside for use in an adaptive management program.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich Seconded by: Kathy Fosmark
Motion 25 passed. Mr. Myer voted no.

Motion 26: Add to section 2.1 two new provisions [specific location up to staff]

Provision 1: Option for Fixed Term of Initial Allocation of Quota Shares

Initial allocation of Quota Shares will be valid for a period of 15 or 16 years, intended to end the second year of the closest biennial specs period. Subsequent allocation of QS will be for a period of 15 years.

Provision 2: Option for Auction to Reallocate Quota Shares after 15-year expiration of Initial Issuance

At least 1 year before the expiration of QS, and every subsequent 2 years, an auction of no more than 20% of the quota shares will be held to redistribute QS. The remaining 80% of the QS will be redistributed to those holding the QS at the end of the 15 year period, in proportion to their QS holdings at that time.

The specific form of the auction will be decided by the PFMC in the period between TIQ implementation and the first auction to achieve the goals of the TIQ program, including reducing bycatch, increase operational flexibility, minimizing adverse effects on fishing communities and other fisheries, promoting measurable economic and employment benefits through the seafood catching, processing, distribution elements, and support sectors of the industry.

Moved by: Frank Lockhart Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Motion 26 passed. Kathy Fosmark voted no.

Motion 27: Adopt the following as guidance to staff:

Co-op Agreement Requirements
In order for a co-op to be authorized, it must file with NMF and the Council a “Co-operative Membership Agreement” that includes the following:

1) A list of all permit holders participating in the co-op and their share of allocated catch.
2) A plan to adequately monitor catch and bycatch.
3) Enforcement and penalty provisions for overages.
4) A co-op manager to serve as the contact person with NMFS, the Council and other co-ops and to be responsible for annual distribution of catch and bycatch, oversight of transfers, preparation of annual reports and is authorized to receive or respond to any legal process against the co-op.
5) A provision that prohibits co-op membership by permit holders that have incurred legal sanctions that prevent it from fishing groundfish in the Pacific Fishery Management Region.
6) A provision that requires changes in ownership to comply with member restrictions in the Co-op Agreement.
7) The agreement must be signed by all permit holder owners participating in the co-op.
8) A requirement that at least a majority of the members are required to dissolve a co-op.

**Inter-co-op Agreements**
1) In the case of multi-co-op or multi-sector management of bycatch, an Inter-co-op Agreement is required.
2) In the case of multiple co-ops within a sector, an Inter-co-op Agreement is required to manage directed catch.
3) In the case of two or more cooperatives entering into an Inter-co-op Agreement, provisions must include monitoring, enforcement and penalty provisions.

**Other Requirements**
1) Each fishery cooperative must file a signed copy of a cooperative contract with the Council and NMFS that is available for public review before it is authorized to engage in fishing activities.
2) Any material changes or amendments to the contract must be filed annually with the Council and NMFS by a date certain.
3) Each co-op must prepare and file an annual report with the Council and NMFS by a date certain. The report will document the catch, bycatch and transfer of the co-op’s annual distribution of fish during that year. The annual report will be available to the public and reviewed by the Council.
4) Each co-op must file with the Council and NMFS a copy of a letter from the co-op requesting a business review letter on the fishery cooperative from the Department of Justice and any response to such request.

Moved by: Dale Myer
Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Motion 27 passed without objection.

**Motion 28:** Utilizing Agenda Item G.1.d, Supplemental CPSMT Report, adopt an overall Pacific sardine harvest guideline for 2008 at 89,093 mt with directed fishery seasonal allocations and seasonal incidental set asides as reflected in Table 1. The motion includes the roll-over provisions as an automatic action during the 2008 season.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich
Seconded by: Kathy Fosmark
Motion 28 passed unanimously.

**Motion 29:** Regarding management measures for sardines, adopt the recommendation by the CPSAS to set a maximum incidental landing allowance for Pacific sardine of 20 percent by weight should the directed fishery be closed during the season.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich
Seconded by: Dan Wolford
Motion 29 passed unanimously.

**Motion 30:** Hold the next full assessment of Pacific sardine and the associated CPS STAR Panel in 2009 instead of 2010.
Motion 30: Moved by: Marija Vojkovich
Seconded by: Dan Wolford
Motion 30 passed unanimously.

Motion 31: Adopt, utilizing Agenda Item D.8.b, Supplemental GMT Report, page 6, 2007 season items #1, 2 and 3; and for the 2008 season items #1, 3, 4, and 5.
Moved by: Rod Moore
Seconded by: Frank Warrens
Motion 31 passed unanimously.

Motion 32: Reconsider Agenda Item D.6 motion for inseason adjustments south of 36 N lat.
Moved by: Rod Moore
Seconded by: Curt Melcher
Motion 32 not voted on.

Motion 33: Amend Motion 18 (taken under Agenda Item D.6) to have the limits be set at 300 lbs or one landing per week up to 700 lbs for all of 2008.
Moved by: Rod Moore
Seconded by: Curt Melcher
Motion 33 passed unanimously.

Motion 34: Give final approval to the Fosmark and RFA EFPs that were provisionally adopted, with all stipulations that were adopted preliminarily.
Moved by: Rod Moore
Seconded by: Frank Warrens
Motion 34 passed. Ms. Fosmark recused.

Motion 35: Give final approval to the TNC et al EFP (for 2008) that were provisionally adopted, with all stipulations that were adopted preliminarily.
Moved by: Marija Vojkovich
Seconded by: Frank Lockhart
Amendment #1: Recommend the TNC et al EFP be modified by requiring that between 7/1 and 12/31 of 2008 the sub-cap of sablefish be 30 mt with 20 mt before July 1.
Moved by: Rod Moore
Seconded by: Frank Lockhart
Amendment #1 to Motion 35 passed unanimously.
Main Motion 35 passed. Messrs. Myer, Sones, Ortmann, and Ms. Fosmark voted no.

Motion 36: Adopt the ABCs and range of OY values contained in Agenda Item D.9.e, Supplemental GMT Report. The motion includes a request to analyze the new $T_{TARGET}$ values (estimated rebuilding times) preliminarily decided under agenda item D.4, the management measures and issues recommended for analysis by the GMT, and analysis of sector-specific bycatch caps in the whiting fishery. Initial analyses should assume the same proportional distribution of the yelloweye OY between sectors and states as depicted in the 2007-2008
bycatch scorecard prior to start of 2007. Additionally, include analysis of an option allowing lingcod retention in the salmon troll fishery with a ratio of 1 lingcod per 15 Chinook plus 1 additional lingcod, and include revised specifications recommended for California scorpionfish.

Moved by: Phil Anderson Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen

Amdmnt #1: Establish an alternative OY value in the blue rockfish cell for 2009 of 122 mt; and in 2010 130 mt.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich Seconded by: Kathy Fosmark
Amendment #1 to Motion 36 passed unanimously.

Amdmnt #2: Maintain the same proportional distribution of canary rockfish between sectors as was originally in the 2007-2008 specifications.

Moved by: Rod Moore Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Amendment #2 to Motion 36 passed unanimously.

Amdmnt #3: Include the range of management alternatives in the Supplemental CDFG report.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich Seconded by: Kathy Fosmark
Amendment #3 to Motion 36 passed.
Main Motion 36 passed as amended unanimously.

**Motion 37:** Change the manner in which the whiting fishery closes such that it can close upon projection of attainment of a bycatch cap.

Moved by: Phil Anderson Seconded by: Curt Melcher
Motion 36 passed unanimously.

**Motion 38:** Adopt changes to Council Operating Procedure (COP) 4 and COP 12 as shown in Agenda Item C.3.a, Attachment 1 and Agenda Item C.3.a, Attachment 2, including the additional change to COP-12 as suggested by the SSC (Agenda Item C.3.d, Supplemental SSC Report).

Moved by: Rod Moore Seconded by: Curt Melcher

Amdmnt #1: Make the following changes to COP 4, page 1, in item #1, four lines from the bottom, strike the phrase “annual catch limits”; and on page 4 change the number of at-large positions to 7 instead of 6.

Moved by: Phil Anderson Seconded by: Marija Vojkovich
Amendment #1 to Motion 38 passed unanimously.
Motion 37 passed unanimously.

**Motion 39:** Approve the Budget Committee recommendations as shown in Agenda Item C.4.b, Supplemental Budget Committee Report.
Motion 39 passed unanimously.

Motion 40: Amend COP 1 to have one chairperson and two vice chairs.

Motion 40 passed unanimously.

Motion 41: Amend COP 1 to change the term for chair/vice-chair from a calendar year to an annual term from August 11 thru August 10 of the following year.

Motion 41 passed unanimously.

Motion 42: Suspend COP 1 on the number of terms a chair/vice chair might serve.

Motion 42 passed unanimously.

Motion 43: Appoint Don Hansen as chairman and Dave Ortmann as vice chairman for the term through August 2009; and second vice chair Mr. Mark Cedergreen.

Motion 43 passed unanimously.

Motion 44: Appoint Ms. Carol Henry to replace Mr. Brian Culver as the WDFW representative on the CPSMT.

Motion 44 passed unanimously.

Motion 45: Appoint Ms. Gretchen Arentzen to replace Ms. Becky Renko as one of the two NW Region representatives on the GMT.

Motion 45 passed unanimously.

Motion 46: Appoint Mr. Corey Niles to replace Mr. Brian Culver as one of two WDFW representatives on the GMT.

Motion 46 passed unanimously.
Motion 47: Appoint Mr. Pierre Marchand to serve on Highly Migratory Species Advisory Subpanel (HMSAS) as the Processor North of Cape Mendocino for the remainder of the 2007-2009 term.

Moved by: Rod Moore 
Seconded by: Curt Melcher
Motion 47 passed unanimously.

Motion 48: Appoint the following people to fill the at-large vacancies on the SSC: Mr. Vidar Wespestad, Ph.D., and Ms. Selina Heppell, Ph.D.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich 
Seconded by: Curt Melcher
Motion 48 passed unanimously.