

VOTING LOG
Pacific Fishery Management Council
209th Meeting
June 2011

Motion 1: Approve the meeting agenda as shown in Agenda Item A.4., June Council Meeting Agenda.

Moved by: Rod Moore
Motion 1 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Dave Ortmann

Motion 2: Adopt the stock classification stock complexes and indicator stocks, and international exceptions from page 1 of Agenda Item C.1.d, Supplemental NMFS motion, except that Puyallup fall, Mid-Hood Canal fall, LCR natural tule, and LCR natural spring Chinook would not be added to the FMP.

Moved by: Peter Dygert

Seconded by Marija Vojkovich

Amdmnt #1: Add ESA-listed Puyallup fall, mid-Hood Canal fall, LCR natural tule, and LCR natural spring Chinook to the list of FMP stocks.

Moved by: Dan Wolford
Amendment #1 to Motion 2 failed (voice vote).
Main Motion 2 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine

Motion 3: Adopt the status determination criteria from pages 4 through 8 of Agenda Item C.1.d, Supplemental NMFS Motions, with a maximum fishing mortality threshold (MFMT) equal to F_{MSY} and an overfishing SDC of a single year $F > F_{MSY}$; a minimum stock size threshold (MSST) equal to $0.5 * S_{MSY}$. And, an overfished SDC of a 3-year geometric mean spawning escapement less than MSST; ESA listed stocks would continue to be managed to the ESA consultation standards. SDC for approaching overfished and rebuilt would also be based on a three-year geometric mean.

Moved by: Peter Dygert

Seconded by: David Crabbe

Amdmnt #1: Adopt S_{MSY} for SRFC equal to 180,000 spawners rather than 122,000 as represented on page 8 of Agenda Item C.1.d, Supplemental NMFS Motions.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich
Amendment #1 to Motion 3 failed (9 no, 4 yes). Mr. Myer, Dr. Dygert, Mr. Sones, and Ms. Vojkovich voted in favor.

Seconded by: David Crabbe

Amdmnt #2: Set MSST for SRFC and KRFC at $0.75 * S_{MSY}$.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich

Seconded by: David Crabbe

Amdnt #2.a: Set MSST for KRFC and SRFC at 0.6 percent $* S_{MSY}$.

Moved by: Dale Myer

Seconded by: Steve Williams

Amendment 2.a to Amendment 2 to Motion 3 failed (7 no, 6 yes). Mr. Sones, Mr. Wolford, Mr. Moore, Mr. Patillo, Mr. Crabbe, Ms. Lowman, and Ms. Vojkovich voted no (Voting Sheet #1).

Amendment 2 to Motion 3 passed (9 yes, 4 no). Mr. Moore, Mr. Williams, Mr. Wolford, and Dr. Dygert voted no (Voting Sheet #2).

Amdmnt #3: Change the MFMT for Quillayute fall coho on page 6 of Agenda Item C.1.d, Supplemental NMFS Motions to $F=0.65$.

Moved by: Pat Pattillo

Seconded by: Dale Myer

Amendment 3 to Motion 3 carried. Dr. Dygert voted no.

Main Motion 3, as twice amended (Amendment 2 and Amendment 3), carried unanimously.

Motion 4: Adopt the Overfishing Limit (OFL), Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC), and Annual Catch Limit (ACL) Alternative 3, identified as the preliminary preferred alternative, from page 9 of Agenda Item C.1.d, Supplemental NMFS Motions, including the statements on scientific uncertainty and specification of ABC, and the process of ABC specification and SSC approval.

Moved by: Peter Dygert

Seconded by: Steve Williams

Motion 4 carried unanimously.

Motion 5: Adopt the Accountability Measures (AMs) Alternative 3 from page 10 of Agenda Item C.1.d, Supplemental NMFS Motions.

Moved by: Peter Dygert

Seconded by: Herb Pollard

Motion 5 carried unanimously.

Motion 6: Adopt the *de minimis* fishing provision from page 11 of Agenda Item C.1.d, Supplemental NMFS Motions.

Moved by: Peter Dygert

Seconded by: Marija Vojkovich

Motion 7 substituted for Motion 6.

Motion 7: [Substitute for Motion 6] Adopt that as the stock size declines, the allowable exploitation rate declines from F_{ABC} in order to achieve S_{MSY} until $F=0.25$; a constant exploitation rate of 0.25 is then allowed until the midpoint between S_{MSY} and MSST, below which F must be reduced to $F=0.15$. At abundance levels less than or equal to half of MSST, the allowable exploitation rate will be reduced to levels approaching zero (see Agenda Item C.1.d, Supplemental Motions for De Minimis Fishing, and Agenda Item C.1.d, Supplemental Motion 7 Graphic).

Moved by: Steve Williams

Seconded by: Rod Moore

Amdmnt #1: Follow the normal control rule until the fishing mortality reaches the $F=0.25$; maintain $F=0.25$ from that point to an abundance level equal to MSST; for abundance below MSST linearly reduce the fishing mortality such that it intersects the origin; when

recommending an allowable *de minimis* exploitation rate in a given year, the Council shall consider the following circumstances in determining a reduction in the allowable maximums stated above:

- The potential for critically low natural spawner abundance, including considerations for sub-stocks that may fall below crucial genetic thresholds;
- Spawner abundance levels in recent years;
- The status of co-mingled stocks;
- Indicators of marine and freshwater environmental conditions;
- Minimal needs for tribal fisheries;
- Other considerations as appropriate. (see Agenda Item C.1.d, Supplemental Motion 7 Graphic 2)

Moved by: Dan Wolford

Seconded by: Rod Moore

Amdnt #1.a: Include these additional bullets:

- Consideration of whether the stock is in an approaching an overfished condition;
- Consideration of whether the stock is in an overfished condition;
- Consideration of California State recommendation on *de minimis* fisheries.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich

Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine

Amendment 1.a to Amendment 1 to Motion 7 failed 7 to 6. Mr. Patillo, Dr. Dygert, Mr. Moore, Mr. Myer, Mr. Williams, Ms. Lowman, and Mr. Cedergreen voted no (Voting Sheet #3).

Amdnt #1.b: Include these additional bullets:

- Consideration of whether the stock is in an approaching an overfished condition;
- Consideration of whether the stock is in an overfished condition.

Moved by: Rod Moore

Seconded by: Dorothy Lowman

Amendment #1.b to Amendment #1 to Motion 7 carried unanimously.

Amendment #1 to Motion 7 failed. Mr. Myer, Mr. Sones, Mr. Patillo, Mr. Crabbe, Mr. Ortmann, Ms. Vojkovich, Dr. Dygert, Mr. Pollard, and Mr. Brizendine voted no (Voting Sheet #4).

Amdmnt #2: Add “gradually be” to the reduction from F=0.25 to F=0.13 (see Agenda Item C.1.d, Supplemental Motions for *De Minimis* Fishing); and accept a friendly amendment by Ms. Vojkovich to include the bullets from Amendment 1.b to Amendment 1 to Motion 7.

Moved by: Rod Moore

Seconded by: Dorothy Lowman

Amdnt #2.a: In Amendment 2, change from “below which F must gradually be reduced to 15 percent” to “the F will be reduced proportional to prefishery abundance to no more than 15 percent” (see Agenda Item C.1.d, Supplemental Motions for *De Minimis* Fishing).

Moved by: Pat Patillo

Seconded by: Dale Myer

Amendment 2.a to Amendment 2 to Motion 7 carried unanimously

Amendment 2 to Motion 7 as amended by Amendment 2.a carried unanimously.

Amdmnt #3: Change F=0.15 to F=0.1, which would also comport with Agenda Item C.1.d, Supplemental Motion 7 Graphic (see Agenda Item C.1.d, Supplemental Motions for *De Minimis* Fishing).

Moved by: Dale Myer

Seconded by: Pat Pattillo

Amendment 3 to Motion 7 carried. Mr. Moore, Mr. Sones, Ms. Vojkovich, and Mr. Williams voted no (Voting Sheet #5).

Motion 7 carried. Ms. Vojkovich and Dr. Dygert voted no.

Motion 8: Adopt the following recommendations for North Pacific albacore to the U.S. Delegations to the IATTC and WCPFC Northern Committee from the Supplemental HMSMT Report (Agenda Item D.2.b):

1. Support management measures that address the relative impacts of all international fisheries participants without disadvantaging the U.S. fleet;
2. Support the Northern Committee's proposed three year stock assessment cycle;
3. Promote research to update the life history parameters such as maturity, and age and growth in the stock assessment, efforts which will require additional sampling and data collection;
4. Define reference points for international management and utilize simulation analyses of potential BRPs, as appropriate;
5. Give weight to management measures for which monitoring, compliance, and enforcement are effective; and
6. If the stock assessment results are similar to the 2006 stock assessment results, the HMSMT recommends that current management measures be maintained and clarified, and compliance with data reporting requirements should be promoted.

And also adopt the additional recommendations to the U.S. Delegation to the IATTC:

1. Support the adoption of biological reference points and effective conservation measures for Pacific bluefin tuna, as identified above;
2. Support the adoption of management measures in the commercial fisheries for Pacific bluefin tuna;
3. Do not support the adoption of management measures in the recreational fisheries for Pacific bluefin tuna;
4. Support reopening the non-binding recommendations for tropical tunas agreed to at last year's IATTC meeting for adoption as binding resolutions at this year's meeting, Recommendation C-10-01 on tropical tuna measures, Recommendation C-10-02 on seabird mitigation measures, and Recommendation C-10-03 on prohibiting fishing around data buoys;
5. Support proposals that would increase compliance with IATTC management measures; and
6. Advocate for more comprehensive data reporting and collection by members of the IATTC and the WCPFC.

And further (friendly amendment), recommend to the IATTC delegation that any conservation measure for Pacific bluefin not include a measure for recreational fisheries, or if that could not be agreed to, that the base period for determining recreational effort be the same as the period proposed for commercial fisheries (1994-2007).

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich

Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine

Motion 8 carried unanimously.

Motion 9: Adopt the following based on the recommendations in the HMSAS Report:

1. Regardless of the results of the July 2011 ISC albacore stock assessment, encourage the WCPFC NC, particularly Japan, to define artisanal fisheries and a method to quantify their harvest capacity;

2. Encourage securing data from the Canadian government on landings by Canadian vessels in Canadian ports of albacore caught in the U.S. west coast EEZ;
3. Encourage the IATTC to require establishment of national observer programs to put observers on longline vessels; and
4. Encourage discussions between the U.S. and Mexico through MEXUS Pacifico concerning albacore fishing opportunities in Mexican Exclusive Economic Zone.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich
Motion 9 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: David Crabbe

Motion 10: Send a letter to Rod McInnis, NMFS Southwest Regional Administrator, urging the Region to take a stronger role in WCPFC delegations relative to temperate tuna management issues, and specifically bluefin and albacore.

Moved by: Michele Culver
Motion 10 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Rod Moore

Motion 11: Adopt the yelloweye and canary rockfish assessments, adopt the cowcod status report, and send the bocaccio assessment to the mop-up panel for further review.

Moved by: Dale Myer
Motion 11 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Michele Culver

Motion 12: Adopt the SSC and GMT recommendations regarding data-limited methods for estimating harvest specifications.

Moved by: Michele Culver
Motion 12 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Dale Myer

Motion 13: Request further review of the darkblotched rockfish update assessment at the mop-up panel to explore the issues relating with the trawl survey and any other appropriate issues as noted by the SSC.

Moved by: Rod Moore
Motion 13 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Gway Kirchner

Motion 14: Allow NMFS to pursue a Secretarial amendment process for Amendment 16-5 with the understanding Amendment 16-5 would be on the Council's September agenda.

Moved by: Michele Culver
Motion 14 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Marija Vojkovich

Motion 15: Follow a process and schedule that meets requirements of the FMP; to follow the process described in Agenda Item E.4.a, Attachment 1 relative to the non-italicized items, specifically the schedule for the September and November Council meetings. At those meetings, review the new rebuilding plans and stock assessments. Relative to specifications and management measures, the intent is to reduce the scope and number of

management considerations to reduce complexity and increase efficiency. So, for the most part, we would retain similar specifications and management measures for 2013-14 as specified for 2012. For the schedule, we would review the additional stock assessments and rebuilding analyses at the September and November meetings. At that point, we would have the ability to consider revisions to proposed harvest specifications for 2013-14.

Moved by: Michele Culver
Motion 15 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Rod Moore

Motion 16: Adopt the modified Council Operating Procedure #19 (Attachment 2), with the following changes: 1) page 3, under paragraph C1, following the GMT add “GAP,” 2) page 6, paragraph E1, following GMT add “and GAP,” and 3) page 6, paragraph E2, following GMT add “and GAP.”

Moved by: Rod Moore
Motion 16 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Gway Kirchner

Motion 17: Have the GMT prepare a list of questions for the SSC for clarification on the conservation performance of the Council’s rebuilding plans. There should be more specificity to the items raised in Agenda Item E.4.b, GMT Report. The intent is for the GMT to develop those in the September and November timeframe such that they could be provided to the SSC and considered for the 2015-16 cycle.

Moved by: Michele Culver
Motion 17 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Dale Myer

Motion 18: Adopt Agenda Item F.1.b, Supplemental LC Report and the recommendations contained therein.

Moved by: Rod Moore
Motion 18 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen

Motion 19: Approve the stock assessment as shown in Agenda Item G.2.b, Attachment 1; and the next formal stock assessment be done in 2013; adopt an OFL of 44,336, mt; a P* of 0.45 with the resulting ABC of 42,375 mt; an ACL of 40,514 mt, a HG of 40,514 mt, an ACT of 30,386 mt which is 75 percent of the HG as recommended by the CPSAS. In the event the directed fishery closes: a 45 percent incidental catch is allowed when Pacific mackerel are landed with other coastal pelagic species, and up to 1 mt of Pacific mackerel could be landed without landing any other CPS.

Moved by: Marci Yaremko

Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine

Amndmnt #1 Add an in-season review of the 2011-2012 Pacific mackerel fishery at the April 2012 Council meeting, if needed, to consider releasing a portion of the incidental set-aside to the directed fishery.

Moved by: David Crabbe
Amendment 1 passed unanimously. Motion 19 as amended passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Rod Moore

- Motion 20:** Adopt the following:
1. Move forward in developing an Ecosystem Plan using the Purpose and Need statement as provided by the Ecosystem Plan Development Team as the basis for the plan.
 2. Develop an Ecosystem Plan that is primarily advisory in nature, as described by Option 2, the “Advisory Fishery Ecosystem Plan (FEP),” in the Ecosystem Plan Development Team report, with the potential for expanding the plan to include regulatory authority in the future.
 3. Continue to manage stocks and fisheries through existing Council-adopted fishery management plans (FMP); additional management measures for forage fish species, if any, would be considered through the Coastal Pelagic Species FMP, as the Council deems appropriate.
 4. In developing the Ecosystem Plan, address the recommendations provided by the Coastal Treaty Tribes; Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC); SSC Ecosystem Subcommittee; Ecosystem Advisory Subpanel; Groundfish Management Team and Advisory Subpanel, Highly Migratory Species Management Team and Advisory Subpanel; Salmon Technical Team and Advisory Subpanel; Coastal Pelagic Species Management Team and Advisory Subpanel; and Habitat Committee, as appropriate.
 5. Given this guidance, request the Ecosystem Plan Development Team and Ecosystem Advisory Subpanel provide a preliminary draft process and schedule at the September Council meeting for development of the Ecosystem Plan..

Moved by: Michele Culver

Seconded by: David Ortmann

Amndmnt #1: Amend Motion 20 by striking items number 2 and 3 from the motion. In their place, move forward with a hybrid of regulatory authority options 2 and 3 in the Supplemental EPDT Report under Agenda Item H.1.b. The amendment would move ahead with an advisory EFMP to bring ecosystem science into the Council process without regulatory authority at this time. The amendment would also initiate the development of possible future regulatory authorities under an EFMP by exploring a list of species that are not currently included in any FMP, that are not under State management, are not listed under the ESA, or are species that could be the target of future fishery exploitation.

Moved by: Marci Yaremko

Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine

Amndmnt #1.a [Substitute for Amendment #1] Leave the five items as originally moved in Motion 20 and add the following sixth item:

6. Develop a list of species that are not currently included in any FMP, that are not under State management, are not listed under the ESA, or are species that could be the target of future fishery exploitation.

Moved by: Frank Lockhart

Seconded by: Michele Culver

The substitute amendment to Motion 20 passed on a roll call vote: Ms. Yaremko, Mr. Moore, and Mr. Brizendine voted no.

Motion 20 as amended passed unanimously.

Motion 21: Forward the letter on levee vegetation to the US Army Corp of Engineers as shown in Agenda Item I.1.a, Attachment 1.

Moved by: Michele Culver
Motion 21 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Gway Kirchner

Motion 22: Modify the trawl Rockfish Conservation Area (RCA) for the area 45°46' N. lat. (Cape Falcon) to 48°10' N. lat. (Cape Alava) starting September 1 through the end of the year (i.e., Periods 5 and 6), as recommended by the GAP and GMT.

Moved by: Rod Moore
Motion 22 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Gway Kirchner

Motion 23: Adopt the final inseason adjustment for 2011 groundfish fisheries as shown in Agenda Item E.5.b, Supplemental GMT Report. Page 20, items 2 and 3:

2. Reduce the bi-monthly trip limits for the LEFG sablefish DTL fishery north of 36° N. lat. from “2,000 lb. per week, not to exceed 6,500 lb. per 2 months” to “2,000 lb. per week, not to exceed 3,500 lb. per 2 months,” beginning on July 1 through the end of the year.
3. Reduce the trip limits for the OA sablefish DTL fishery north of 36° N. lat. from “300 lb. per day, or one landing per week up to 1,200 lb., not to exceed 2,250 lb. per 2 months” to “300 lb. per day, or one landing per week of up to 1,050 lb., not to exceed 2,100 lb. per 2 months,” beginning on July 1 through the end of the year.

Additionally, include recommendations 4, 5, 6, and 7 on page 21:

4. Consider reducing the weekly limits for the LEFG sablefish DTL fishery south of 36° N. lat. from “2,100 lb. per week,” to “1,900 lb. per week,” beginning on July 1 through the end of the year. Any reductions to trip limits would be effective July 1, or as soon as possible thereafter.
5. Increase the open access shelf rockfish trip limit south of 34° 27' N. lat. from “750 lb./2 months” to “1,000 lb./2 months” on July 1, or as soon as possible thereafter.
6. Consider changes to the limited entry and open access trip limits for black rockfish between 42° and 40°10' N. lat. Any increases to trip limits would be effective July 1, or as soon as possible thereafter.
7. Consider increasing the deeper nearshore trip limits south of 40° □10
increases to trip limits would be effective July 1, or as soon as possible thereafter.

Moved by: Rod Moore

Seconded by: Gway Kirchner

Amdmnt #1: Substitute the trip limits specified for item 6 with the GAP recommendation to increase black rockfish trip limits in the limited entry and open access fixed gear fishery between 42° and 40°10' N. lat. from 7,000 lb/2 months, no more than 1,200 lb of which may be species other than black rockfish to 8,500 lb/ 2 months, no more than 1,200 lb of which may be species other than black rockfish on July 1, or as soon as possible thereafter, through the end of the year. Additionally, substitute the GAP recommended trip limits for item 7, which is to increase deeper nearshore rockfish trip limits in the limited entry and open access fixed gear fishery south of 40°10' N. lat. from 700 lb/ 2 months between 40°10' N. lat. and 34°27' N. lat. and 600 lb/2 months south of 34°27' N. lat. to 900 lb/2 months for the entire area south of 40°10' N. lat. starting July 1, or as soon as possible thereafter, through the end of the year.

Moved by: Marci Yaremko
Amendment 1 to Motion 23 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine

changes on pages 12 and 13. Item 33, revise who is required to have a first receivers site license - support NMFS recommendation on page 14. Item 34, revise designation of QS account and vessel account managers - support NMFS recommendation on page 14. Item 35, add a process in case harvest specifications are delayed - support NMFS recommendation on page 15 with the following changes: for Pacific halibut IBQ incorporate the IPHC comment relative to the conversion for legal and non legal size conversion, and also include the GMT recommended change for interim allocations to be based on some portion of the IPHC's preliminary TCEY from their interim meeting, as determined by NMFS; and, also to add a process in case the harvest specifications are delayed for the at-sea whiting fisheries, based on the GAP statement. Item 36, threshold rules for issuance of allocation for shorebased IFQ and mothership co-op programs - support NMFS recommendation on page 16.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich
Motion 26 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Rod Moore

Motion 27: Adopt, for the issue of halibut bycatch mortality allocation and halibut IBQ as contained in Agenda Item E.6.a, Attachment 4: Alternative 1, which is consistent with the preliminary preferred alternative provided on page 6.

Moved by: Michele Culver
Motion 27 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen

Motion 28: Working from Agenda Item E.6.a, Attachment 5 (page 5), Agenda Item E.6.b, Revised Supplemental GAP Report (pages 2-4), and Agenda Item E.6.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 5: adopt as the FPA, Agenda Item E.6.a, Attachment 5, Alternative 1 as part of the PIE rule, including parts a, b, c, and d. Also as part of this motion, adopt as answers to NMFS' questions in E.6.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 5, those given by the Revised Supplemental GAP Report starting on page four and going through to page five and to cover Item 1, the second to the last paragraph of page 2, Items 2-6 on page 3, and also the answers in the next three questions that were asked by NMFS.

Moved by: Dale Myer

Seconded by: Michele Culver

Amdmnt #1: Amend Motion 28 by stating that the reasonable amount of time for taking care of already-combined permits be 90 days at the completion of the final rule.

Moved by: Rod Moore
Amendment 1 to Motion 28 carried unanimously.
Motion 28 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen

Motion 29: Direct the Council to recommend the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) add language to the Program Improvement and Enhancement (PIE) rule allowing a vessel operating under a limited entry trawl permit that has legally processed groundfish (other than Pacific whiting) at sea prior to July 20, 2010 be allowed to process at-sea beginning January 1, 2012. To qualify, a permit holder must verify that the activity occurred prior to July 20, 2010 using fish tickets, dock receiving tickets, landing receipts, or other official documents, and that the vessel is operating under the Shorebased IFQ Program regardless of the type of gear used. Regulatory language should also include an appropriate conversion factor and/or an appropriate process for calculating a conversion factor for glazed groundfish.

Moved by: Steve Williams

Seconded by: Rod Moore

Amdmnt #1: Amend the motion by replacing “be allowed to process at-sea beginning January 1, 2012” with “be allowed to process at-sea after the effective date of the rule; once NMFS has deemed the criteria being met.”

Moved by: Michele Culver

Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen

Amendment 1 to Motion 29 carried unanimously.

Motion 29 carried unanimously.

Motion 30: Move forward the package as it is for CFA special privileges, the qualifying criteria, and other elements that a designated CFA would have to adhere to and then make Council decisions in September.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich

Seconded by: David Crabbe

Motion 30 passed. Mr. Moore voted no.

Motion 31: Adopt as its preliminary preferred alternative, a limited modification alternative for risk pools with the following specifications: (1) retain the language in the No Action Alternative as described in Section 2.2.2 in Agenda Item E.7.a, Attachment 1 (June 2011), page 38 and (2) adopt the language in Agenda Item E.7.b, Supplemental GAP Report. Relative to the limited modification, select Option A with a sub-option to allow renewal of annual contracts across multiple years (as preliminary preferred), but analyze both Options A and B. Adopt the language on qualifying risk pools; risk pool species; eligible members; agents (including the language identified in the paragraph entitled “Option”); the qualifying risk pool holding account Option B; and, for the Application, Oversight, Enforcement, and Monitoring section, Option A with a mechanism to notify NMFS of the risk pool.

Moved by: Michele Culver

Seconded by: Steve Williams

Motion 31 carried unanimously.

Motion 32: Withdrawn. Amendment to Motion 32: Withdrawn.

Motion 33: Adopt the following guidance on the questions and options on cost recovery as described in Agenda Item E.7.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 2. Question 1: Should the cost recovery program be developed for the trawl fishery as a whole, or further subdivided? Option A: 3 separate cost recovery programs developed for each of the 3 sectors: shore-based IFQ, mothership, catcher processor. Question 2: What entity should pay the fee? Catcher processors—Option A: Charge each vessel based on value of whiting harvested by that vessel. Motherships—Option A: Charge each catcher vessel based on value of whiting delivered by that vessel. Shoreside—Option A: Charge each catcher vessel based on value of IFQ species delivered by that vessel. Question 3: How should fee collection be structured? Catcher-processors—Option A: Bill entity chosen under Question 2 in last quarter of the calendar year. Motherships—Option C: Catcher vessel billed at time of delivery by mothership and collected by the Agency in coordination with buyback fee. Shoreside—Option B: Fishermen billed at time of landing by first receiver, and collected monthly by Agency in coordination with buyback fee. Question 4: Linkage to permitting requirements? Clarify that any linkage to permitting requirements would be

to the entity responsible for remitting payment to the Agency (i.e., Catcher processor vessel, mothership, and first receiver). Analyze options A, B, and C, and request NMFS indicate a preferred option and rationale at September meeting. Question 5: How are agency costs identified? Costs would be calculated for each sector and each sector would be assessed a fee based on the sector-specific cost calculation. Option C: Use yearly projection of costs, as calculated and provided by the Agency, to determine fee percentage at the beginning of each fishing year. Question 6: How is the ex-vessel value of the fish harvested under the LAPP calculated to ensure fee does not exceed 3 percent? Catcher processors—Option C: Use mothership pricing and at-sea tonnage caught to calculate value. Motherships—Option C: Use mothership pricing and at-sea tonnage delivered to calculate value. Shoreside—Option C: Calculated from information on buyback form.

Moved by: Dale Myer

Seconded by: Michele Culver

Motion 33 carried unanimously.

Motion 34: Establish a Trawl Rationalization Cost Recovery Committee (CRC) and that the committee's charge is as follows:

1. Review cost information provided by NOAA Fisheries and Office of Law Enforcement, as well as the state agencies and evaluate which costs should be categorized as incremental costs and which are more appropriately considered general program costs.
2. Provide recommendations of incremental costs on a sector by sector basis that are subject to cost recovery.
3. Discuss opportunities for long-term cost efficiencies. The committee membership would include one representative from each of the following industry sectors and agencies. shoreside trawl sector (whiting and non-whiting seats), at-sea whiting mothership sector, at-sea catcher-processor sector, processor, NMFS Northwest Region, NOAA Office of Law Enforcement, Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Washington Dept of Fish and Wildlife, Oregon Dept of Fish and Wildlife, California Department of Fish and Game, and Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission.

Moved by: Dorothy Lowman

Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen

Motion 34 carried unanimously.

Motion 35: Approve the June 2010 and March 2011 minutes as shown in Attachment 1 and Supplemental Attachment 2, respectively.

Moved by: Rod Moore

Seconded by: Michele Culver

Motion 35 carried unanimously

Motion 36: Approve the report of the Budget Committee.

Moved by: Jerry Mallet

Seconded by: Rod Moore

Motion 36 carried unanimously

Motion 37: Amend the guidance in Council Operating Procedure (COP) 1 (reproduced on page 1 of Agenda Item F.4 under "Election of Officers") by modifying the first sentence to read:

“The Chair and up to two Vice Chairs of the Council shall be elected by majority vote of Council members present and voting.”

Moved by: Dan Wolford
Motion 37 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine

Motion 38: Specify that the Council elect only one vice chair beginning with the 2011-2012 term.

Moved by: Dan Wolford
Motion 38 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Rod Moore

Motion 39: Elect Mr. Dan Wolford to Council Chair and Ms. Dorothy Lowman to Council Vice Chair for the 2011-2012 term.

Moved by: Mark Cedergreen
Motion 39 carried unanimously.

Seconded by: Herb Pollard

Motion 40: Appoint Dr. David Sampson to the ODFW position on the SSC and Mr. Jeff Miles to the Northern Open Access Position on the GAP.

Moved by: Steve Williams
Motion 40 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Rod Moore

Motion 41: Appoint Mr. Ricardo Belmontes to the IATTC position on the HMSMT and Ms. Jennifer Simon to the CDFG positions on the STT and the MEW.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich
Motion 41 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine

Motion 42: Appoint Mr. Kirt Hughes to the WDFW position on the HMSMT and Mr. Mark Cedergreen to the Washington charter boat position on the GAP.

Moved by: Michele Culver
Motion 42 passed (Chairman Cedergreen abstained).

Seconded by: Dale Myer

Motion 43: Appoint Ms. Susan Chambers to the processor at-large position on the GAP.

Moved by: Dorothy Lowman
Motion 43 passed (Mr. Moore recused himself).

Seconded by: Michele Culver

Motion 44: Add the position of processor at-large to the Trawl Rationalization Regulatory Evaluation Committee (TRREC).

Moved by: Michele Culver
Motion 44 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Dorothy Lowman

Motion 45: Adjourn the meeting.

Moved by: Rod Moore
Motion 45 passed unanimously.

Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine