Motion 1: Approve the meeting agenda as shown in Agenda Item A.4. Proposed Council Meeting Agenda.

Moved by: Rod Moore                Seconded by: Steve Williams
Motion 1 carried unanimously.

Motion 2: Adopt for NMFS approval, the EFP as shown in Agenda Item F.1.a, along with the relevant supplemental addendums.

Moved by: Rod Moore                Seconded by: Dale Myer
Motion 2 carried. Mr. Helvey abstained.

Motion 3: Adopt Supplemental HMSMT Report 2 for public review and the HMSMT Report. The HMSMT should address the recommendations contained in the Supplemental SSC Report.

Moved by: Michele Culver           Seconded by: Marci Yaremko
Motion 3 carried unanimously.

Motion 4: Have the HMSMT proceed with the four data collection and analytical tasks listed on page 2 of the HMSMT Report with particular attention to the analysis of management measures applied to North Pacific albacore elsewhere in the world. A fifth item should be added to the list of tasks in the HMSMT Report, which is to update the fishery statistics found in the white paper, Agenda Item G.3.a, Attachment 1. The HMSMT and HMSAS should then evaluate the management measures used elsewhere to support future Council deliberations on the applicability of such measures should any be necessary for the U.S. fishery. The HMSMT and HMSAS should report back to the Council with this information in March or April 2011.

Moved by: Marci Yaremko           Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine
Motion 4 carried unanimously.

Motion 5: Forward comments to NMFS in regard to proposed rule for leatherback sea turtle critical habitat designation (Agenda Item G.4.a, Attachment 1) based on the SSC, HC, and HMSMT Reports, especially noting agreement in all three reports that fisheries do not directly or indirectly affect physical or biological features essential to conservation (Primary Constituent Elements) identified in the proposed critical habitat designation.

Moved by: Rod Moore                Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Motion 5 carried unanimously.
**Motion 6:** Adopt for STT preliminary analysis the Treaty Indian ocean troll fishery management measures as presented in Agenda Item H.1.h, Supplemental Tribal Report.

Moved by: David Sones
Seconded by: Phil Anderson
Motion 6 carried unanimously.

**Motion 7:** Adopt for STT preliminary analysis the non-Indian commercial and recreational fisheries in the area north of Cape Falcon presented in Agenda Item H.1.f, Supplemental SAS Report with changes as reflected in Agenda Item H.2.b, Supplemental STT Report.

Moved by: Phil Anderson
Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Motion 7 carried unanimously.

**Motion 8:** Adopt for STT preliminary analysis the non-Indian commercial and recreational fisheries in the area between Cape Falcon and the Oregon/California border presented in Agenda Item H.1.f, Supplemental SAS Report with changes as reflected in Agenda Item H.2.b, Supplemental STT Report.

Moved by: Steve Williams
Seconded by: Rod Moore
Motion 8 carried unanimously.

**Motion 9:** Adopt for STT preliminary analysis the non-Indian commercial and recreational fisheries in the area south of the Oregon/California border presented in Agenda Item H.1.f, Supplemental SAS Report with changes as reflected in Agenda Item H.2.b, Supplemental STT Report.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich
Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine
Motion 9 carried unanimously.

**Motion 10:** Using Agenda Item I.1.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 2, Page 1, Issue 3; thirty day clock: Adopt Option B, the NMFS preferred option—if an overage shows on the fish ticket at the time of landing or in the vessel account at any time after the landing, the clock would start when any data or documentation from the trip which caused the overage is available or the vessel account shows there is an overage.

Moved by: Michele Culver
Seconded by: Dale Myer
Motion 10 carried unanimously.

**Motion 11:** Using Agenda Item I.1.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 2, page 3, issue 4, the carryover provision: Adopt Option A, the NMFS preferred option with some changes as follows: the carryover provision is 10 percent of the QP in a vessel’s account based on the balance; however, strike “a certain date early in the year” and replace it with “as of September 1 of the year.”

Moved by: Michele Culver
Seconded by: Dale Myer
Motion 11 withdrawn, not voted on.

**Motion 12:** Using Agenda Item I.1.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 2, April 2010; page 7, Issue 7a: Adopt Option A, with changes (in bold):
Option A (NMFS-preferred): September 1-December 31 of the year before the whiting season the MS/CV endorsed permit must declare through the permit renewal process that they are going to participate in the Co-op or non-coop fishery. **And by September 1, of the year before the whiting season, the MS/CV permit must notify the MS permit that the MS/CV will deliver to the following year.**

Moved by: Michele Culver  
Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen  
Motion 12 carried. Mr. Dale Myer recused.

**Motion 13:** Using Agenda Item I.1.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 2, April 2010; page 9, Issue 7B; adopt Option B (NMFS-preferred).

Moved by: Steve Williams  
Seconded by: Dorothy Lowman  
Motion 13 carried. Mr. Dale Myer recused.

**Motion 14:** Using Agenda Item I.1.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 2, April 2010, Page 3, Issue 4; adopt Option D--“The carryover amount for a deficit is determined based on the amount of QP in the vessel account at the end of the 30-day period during which a vessel must cover its overage. The carryover amount for a surplus is determined based on the amount of QP in the vessel account at the end of the year.”

Moved by: Frank Lockhart  
Seconded by: Marija Vojkovich  
Motion 14 carried unanimously.

**Motion 15:** Referencing Agenda Item I.1.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 3, April 2010, First Issue on first page: the Council’s Amendment 21 species allocations supersede any allocations for those species in the groundfish FMP prior to Amendment 21, and to whatever extent the Council draft EIS or FMP language documents appear ambiguous in regard to part one of this motion, the Council staff is directed to alter or add language to make the Council action more clear.

Moved by: Michele Culver  
Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen  
Motion 15 carried. Mr. Frank Lockhart abstained.

**Motion 16:** Referencing Agenda Item I.1.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 3, April 2010, Issue 5, Page 6: for at-sea processing, specify that all processing of groundfish at-sea will be prohibited unless specifically authorized by NMFS regulations.

Moved by: Michele Culver  
Seconded by: Rod Moore  
Motion 16 carried. Ms. Marija Vojkovich voted no.

**Motion 17:** Referencing Agenda Item I.1.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 3, April 2010, Pacific Whiting allocation, page 9: do not remove the “5 percent whiting allocation language.”

Moved by: Rod Moore  
Seconded by: Dale Myer  
Motion 17 carried. 9 yes, 4 no. Ms. Marija Vojkovich, Mr. Dan Wolford, Mr. David Crabbe, and Mr. Buzz Brizardine voted no.
Motion 18: Referencing Agenda Item I.1.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 3, April 2010, Issue 6 on page 7: split deliveries are allowed as long as they are observed.

Moved by: Rod Moore Seconded by: Dorothy Lowman
Motion 18 carried unanimously.

Motion 19: Referencing Agenda Item I.1.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 3 on page 5 and also taking in the regulatory language in Supplemental NMFS Report 6, page 105; Own and Control Issue: use the language on accumulation caps found on page 105, regarding control under the individual and collective rule, that we use the language presented in Agenda Item E.6.b, Revised NMFS Report (March 2010) pages 38 and 39, and indicate our intent to set up a trailing amendment process to deal with issues such as financing safe harbors etc., as suggested in public testimony.

Moved by: Rod Moore Seconded by: Dale Myer
Motion 19 failed. 9 no, 3 yes, 1 abstention. Mr. Dan Wolford, Mr. Jerry Mallet, Ms. Marija Vojkovich, Mr. David Crabbe, Mr. Buzz Brizendine, Mr. Steve Williams, Ms. Michele Culver, Ms. Dorothy Lowman, and Mr. Lockhart voted no. Mr. David Sones abstained.

Motion 20: Using Agenda Item I.1.b, Supplemental NMFS Report 6: deem these draft proposed regulations as being consistent with the Council’s action under Amendment 20 and 21 and that the language in the proposed regulations be revised to reflect the actions that we have taken previously here today and that the document also incorporate proposed recommended changes on Agenda Item I.1.a, Supplemental Attachment 5, the staff report on the draft initial issuance rule.

Moved by: Michele Culver Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Motion 20 carried. Mr. Rod Moore voted no.

Motion 21: Have the Council include the plan described in Agenda Item I.1.b, PSMFC Report as the preferred approach in the Council’s submission of Amendment 20 under the Magnuson-Stevens Act, as well as alert the NMFS that this plan represents the preferred Council approach with regard to any relevance to regulation development and federal funding assistance to states and the fishing industry.

Moved by: Dr. Dave Hanson Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen

Amendment #1: State that NMFS will work with the states to explore mechanisms to allow for the use of state employees as compliance monitors in both the shoreside fishery and on mothership catcher vessels.

Moved by: Michele Culver Seconded by: Dave Hanson
Amendment #1 carried unanimously.
Main motion 21 as amended carried unanimously.
**Motion 22:** Utilizing Agenda Item I.2.a, Supplemental Attachment 3, page 1: adopt the SSC’s recommended OFL levels for 2011-2012 for all groundfish stocks and groundfish stock complexes in Table 2-1a.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Dale Myer


Moved by: Gway Kirchner  Seconded by: Rod Moore

Amendment to Motion 22 failed on a roll call vote (7 no, 6 yes)  Mr. Sones, Mr. Wolford, Ms. Lowman, Mr. Anderson, Mr. Myer, Mr. Mallet, and Mr. Ortmann voted no.

Main Motion 22 carried. Ms. Vojkovich voted no.

**Motion 23:** Adopt 0.45 P* for all species contained in the groundfish FMP (table 2-1c).

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich  Seconded by: David Crabbe

Amdnt #1: Do not include the overfished species, sablefish, and splitnose rockfish in the motion, and set P* values of 0.35 for category 2 species and 0.32 for category 3 species in setting ABCs.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Jerry Mallet

Amendment #1 withdrawn following voting on Amendment 2.

Amdnt #2: Use a preliminary P* of 0.45 for setting the splitnose ABC. (Effectively removing Mr. Anderson’s removal of that species in his amendment which was later withdrawn.)

Moved by: Rod Moore  Seconded by: Gway Kirchner

Amendment 2 to Motion 23 carried. Mr. Crabbe voted no.

Amdnt #3: Remove overfished species and sablefish from the main motion.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Jerry Mallet

Amendment #3 to Motion 23 carried. Mr. Wolford voted no.

Amdnt #4: Remove both category 2 and category 3 species from the main motion.

Moved by: Dorothy Lowman  Seconded by: Dale Myer

Amendment #4 carried. Mr. Crabbe, Ms. Vojkovich, and Mr. Wolford voted no.

Amdnt #5: Adopt the sigma values associated with category 1, 2, and 3 species for P* as recommended by the SSC and shown in table 2-1.e.

Moved by: Rod Moore  Seconded by: Dan Wolford

Amendment #5 withdrawn (see minutes, as there was further discussion).

Amdnt #6: Adopt the sigma values associated with category 1, 2, and 3 species for P* as shown on table 2-1.e of the SSC report.
Motion 24: Adopt a P* value for setting the sablefish ABC at 0.45

Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Rod Moore
Motion 24 carried unanimously.

Motion 25: Adopt a P* value of 0.45 for all the overfished category 1 species (Pacific ocean perch, widow, canary, bocaccio, darkblotched, yelloweye, and petrale).

Moved by: Rod Moore  Seconded by: David Crabbe
Motion 25 carried unanimously.

Motion 26: Adopt a P* value of 0.45 for setting the ABCs for category 2 and 3 stocks, including cowcod.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich  Seconded by: Gway Kirchner
Motion 26 failed on a roll call vote (7 no, 6 yes) Mr. Anderson, Mr. Sones, Mr. Mallet, Ms. Lowman, Mr. Moore, Mr. Myer, and Mr. Lockhart voted no. Motion 26 failed.

Motion 27: Adopt a P* of 0.4 for category 2 and 3 stocks in setting the ABCs for these stocks.

Moved by: Rod Moore  Seconded by: Phil Anderson
Motion 27 carried unanimously.

Motion 28: Assume all complexes are comprised of category 3 species in deciding complex ABCs.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich  Seconded by: Dan Wolford
Motion 28 carried unanimously.

Motion 29: Adopt the following Pacific halibut landing restrictions: Option 2: Beginning May 1, license holders may land no more than one halibut per each three Chinook, except one halibut may be landed without meeting the ratio requirement, and no more than 35 halibut may be landed per trip. Halibut retained must be no less than 32 inches in total length (with head on).

Moved by: Michele Culver  Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Motion 29 carried unanimously.

Motion 30: Reduce the limited entry non-whiting trawl fishery north of 40°10’ N latitude trip limit for minor slope and darkblotched rockfish from 6,000 pounds/2 months to 2,000 pounds/2
months, starting May 1, 2010 through the rest of the year (e.g. Periods 3-6) [as shown in Agenda Item I.5.b, Supplemental GMT Report].

Moved by: Rod Moore  
Seconded by: Gway Kirchner
Motion 30 carried unanimously.

**Motion 31:** Referencing Agenda Item I.2.a, Attachment 2, page 12: adopt as a preliminary preferred alternative a revised canary rockfish rebuilding plan that modifies the target rebuilding year ($T_{TARGET}$) from 2021 to 2027, while maintaining the status quo SPR harvest rate of 88.7 percent.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  
Seconded by: Dale Myer
Motion 31 carried unanimously.

**Motion 32:** Working from Agenda Item I.2.a, Attachment 2; page 12: adopt as a preliminary preferred alternative a revised POP rebuilding plan that modifies $T_{TARGET}$ from 2017 to 2020 and establishes an SPR rate of 86.4 percent.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  
Seconded by: Dale Myer
Motion 32 carried unanimously.

**Motion 33:** Adopt the following 2011 and 2012 canary rockfish ACL alternatives for more detailed analysis: a preferred alternative with a 2011 ACL of 102 mt and a 2012 ACL of 107 mt; and a second alternative with a 2011 ACL of 49 mt and a 2012 ACL of 51 mt.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  
Seconded by: Dale Myer
Motion 33 carried unanimously.

**Motion 34:** Working from Agenda Item I.2.a, Attachment 2, page 12: adopt the following darkblotched rockfish ACL alternatives for more detailed analysis: a preliminary preferred alternative ACL for darkblotched of 332 mt in 2011 and 329 mt in 2012; and an ACL alternative of 222 mt in 2011 and 2012.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  
Seconded by: Dale Myer
Motion 34 carried unanimously.

**Motion 35:** Referring to page 12 in Agenda Item I.2.a, Attachment 2: adopt the following POP ACL alternatives for more detailed analysis: a preliminary preferred ACL alternative of 180 mt and 183 mt for 2011 and 2012, respectively; and a second alternative of 80 mt for 2011 and 2012.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  
Seconded by: Dale Myer
Motion 35 carried unanimously.

**Motion 36:** Referring to page 13 in Agenda Item I.2.a, Attachment 2: adopt the following widow rockfish ACL alternatives for more detailed analysis: a preliminary preferred ACL
alternative of 400 mt for 2011 and 2012; and a second alternative of 200 mt for 2011 and 2012.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Dale Myer

Motion 37: Offered as a substitute motion to Motion 36—adopt a preliminary preferred alternative widow ACL of 600 mt for 2011 and 2012.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich  Seconded by: David Crabbe
Motion 37 carried unanimously.

Motion 38: Referring to page 13 in Agenda Item I.2.a, Attachment 2: adopt the following yelloweye rockfish ACL alternatives for more detailed analysis: a preliminary preferred ACL alternative of 20 mt for 2011 and 2012; and a second alternative of 13 mt for 2011 and 2012.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Dale Myer
Motion 38 carried unanimously.

Motion 39: Adopt the following ACL alternatives for more detailed analysis for bocaccio south of 40°10’ N. latitude: an ACL alternative of 263 mt for 2011 and 274 mt for 2012, and a second alternative of 53 mt in 2011 and 56 mt in 2012. No preferred alternative was provided.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich  Seconded by: David Crabbe
Motion 39 carried unanimously.

Motion 40: Adopt the following ACL alternatives for more detailed analysis for cowcod south of 40°10’ N. latitude: a preliminary preferred ACL alternative of 4 mt in 2011 and 2012; and a second ACL alternative of 2 mt in 2011 and 2012.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich  Seconded by: David Crabbe
Motion 40 carried unanimously.

Motion 41: Adopt the set-asides for overfished species to be used in the 2011-2012 specifications analysis as shown in Table 8 of Agenda Item I.4.b, Supplemental GMT Report.

Amdmt #1: Substitute a research set-aside of 4.5 mt for canary rockfish and adjust the totals accordingly.

Moved by: Rod Moore  Seconded by: Phil Anderson
Amendment to Motion 41 carried. Mr. Anderson, Ms. Vojkovich, Ms. Kirchner voted no. Motion 41 as amended carried unanimously.

Motion 42: Using Agenda Item I.4.b, Supplemental GMT Report 2, page 13: remove from further analysis items 1 thru 12.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich  Seconded by: David Crabbe
Motion 42 carried unanimously.
**Motion 43:** Include the following management measures for detailed analysis: recommendation # 21 in Supplemental GMT Report 2; the additional option on page 2 in Agenda Item I.4.b, Supplemental CDFG Report I.4.b, “elimination of the 10 fathom depth closure around Farallon Islands and Noon Day Rock”; item #24 in Supplemental GMT Report 2 (also recommended by the EC); item #28 in Supplemental GMT Report, which is the contingency plan of analyzing management measures if trawl rationalization is not implemented; item #29 in Supplemental GMT Report 2; and item #31 in Supplemental GMT Report 2.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich
Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine

Motion 43 carried unanimously.

**Motion 44:** Referring to Agenda Item I.4.b, Supplemental GMT Report 2: remove items #13, #14, and #32 from detailed analysis [item #25 will be done under a state of Oregon process]; recommend items #16 and #30 as high priorities; and adopt the range of management measures for the Oregon recreational fishery outlined in ODFW Report 1.

Moved by: Gway Kirchner
Seconded by: Rod Moore

Amndmt: Remove Item #16.

Moved by: Frank Lockhart
Seconded by: Dave Ortmann
Amendment to Motion 44 carried. Mr. Crabbe, Mr. Myer, Mr. Moore, and Ms. Kirchner voted no.
Motion 44 as amended carried unanimously.

**Motion 45:** Analyze the following four items outlined in Supplemental GMT Report 2: high priority items #15, #17, #23, and #26.

Moved by: Rod Moore
Seconded by: Phil Anderson

Motion 45 carried unanimously.

**Motion 46:** Include the items in Agenda Item I.4.b, Supplemental WDFW Report for analysis. The motion includes an investigation of separate harvest guidelines for Oregon and Washington recreational fisheries.

Moved by: Phil Anderson
Seconded by: Dale Myer

Amndmt #1: Include the items recommended for analysis in Agenda Item I.4.b, Supplemental CDFG Report.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich
Seconded by: Phil Anderson
Amendment to motion 46 carried unanimously.

Motion 46 as amended carried unanimously.

**Motion 47:** Include for analysis the items found in Agenda Item I.4.b, Supplemental Tribal Report.
Motion 47: Moved by: David Sones Seconded by: Phil Anderson
Motion 47 carried unanimously.

Motion 48: Adopt the SSC-recommended species categories and treat the complexes as category 3 species when deciding ABCs.

Moved by: Dan Wolford Seconded by: Rod Moore

Amdnt #1: Include the SSC-recommended species categories for all the remaining species.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine
Amendment to Motion 48 carried unanimously.

Motion 48 as amended carried. Mr. Lockhart abstained.

Motion 49: Adopt the non-Indian commercial and recreational salmon management measures for the area north of Cape Falcon as presented in Agenda Item H.5.b, Supplemental STT Report.

Moved by: Phil Anderson Seconded by: Mark Cedergreen
Motion 49 carried unanimously.

Motion 50: Adopt the non-Indian commercial and recreational salmon management measures for the area between Cape Falcon and the Oregon/California border as presented in Agenda Item H.5.b, Supplemental STT Report, with the addition of a September 1-30 experimental non-retention GSI fishery between Cape Falcon and Humbug Mt.

Moved by: Steve Williams Seconded by: Rod Moore
Motion 50 carried unanimously.

Motion 51: Adopt the non-Indian commercial and recreational ocean salmon management measures for the area between the Oregon/California border and the U.S./Mexico border as presented in Agenda Item H.5.b.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich Seconded by: Buzz Brizendine
Motion 51 carried unanimously.

Motion 52: Adopt the treaty Indian commercial ocean salmon management measures as presented in Agenda Item H.5.b, Supplemental STT Report.

Moved by: David Sones Seconded by: Phil Anderson
Motion 52 carried unanimously.

Motion 53: Adopt the Legislative Committee report (Agenda Item K.1.b, Supplemental Legislative Committee Report).

Moved by: Rod Moore Seconded by: Gway Kirchner
Motion 53 carried. Mr. Lockhart abstained.
**Motion 54:** Appoint Ms. Meisha Key to the CDFG position on the SSC (replacing Mr. Tom Barnes).

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich  
Seconded by: David Crabbe  
Motion 54 carried unanimously.

**Motion 55:** Confirm Lt. Steve Arnwine as the 11th Coast Guard District representative on the Enforcement Consultants (replacing Lt Brittany Steward).

Moved by: Brian Chambers  
Seconded by: Frank Lockhart  
Motion 55 carried unanimously.

**Motion 56:** Appoint Ms. Suzanne Kohin to the NMFS Southwest Fishery Science Center position on the Highly Migratory Species Management Team (replacing Dr. Kevin Piner).

Moved by: Frank Lockhart  
Seconded by: Dan Wolford  
Motion 56 carried unanimously.

**Motion 57:** Appoint Mr. Merrick Burden to the conservation position on the Highly Migratory Species Advisory Subpanel.

Moved by: Frank Lockhart  
Seconded by: Dan Wolford  
Motion 57 carried unanimously.

**Motion 58:** Establish the following Ad Hoc Committees as described in Agenda Item K.2.a, Supplemental Attachment 1: Groundfish Regulatory Workgroup and the Tule Chinook Abundance-Based Management Workgroup.

Moved by: Frank Lockhart  
Seconded by: Dan Wolford  
Amendment: Remove the ad hoc Tule Chinook Abundance Based Management Workgroup.

Moved by: Rod Moore  
Seconded by: Steve Williams  
Amendment to Motion 58 carried unanimously.  
Motion 58 as amended unanimously.

**Motion 59:** Eliminate the Idaho at-large position on the Ecosystem Advisory Subpanel.

Moved by: Jerry Mallet  
Seconded by: Rod Moore  
Motion 59 carried unanimously.

**Motion 60:** Adopt preliminary preferred 2011-2012 ACLS as follows:

- Lingcod (separate ACLs north and south of 42° N. latitude); ACLs equal to ABCs;
- Chilipepper (coast wide): 2011 (2,130 mt); 2012 (1,924 mt) ACLs equal to the ABCs;
- Splitnose south of 40°10’ N. latitude equal to ABCs (2011 equal to 1,461 mt; 2012 equal to 1,538 mt). Splitnose will continue to be managed in the northern slope rockfish complex with the northern proportion of the ABC used as the contribution of splitnose to the northern minor slope rockfish complex; and
- Continue to manage greenstriped rockfish in the northern and southern minor shelf rockfish complexes.

Moved by: Phil Anderson Seconded by: Dale Myer
Motion 60 carried. Ms. Vojkovich voted no.

Motion 61: Adopt as a preliminary preferred alternative, a 2011-2012 ACL of 2,283 mt for the northern minor rockfish complex; a 2011-2012 ACL of 1,190 mt for the southern minor rockfish complex; and remove the Oregon stock of cabezon from the Other Fish complex to allow management of the stock with stock-specific specifications as follows: a 2011 ACL of 50 mt and a 2012 ACL of 48 mt.

Moved by Gway Kirchner Seconded by: Rod Moore
Motion 61 passed unanimously.

Motion 62: Adopt a preliminary preferred 2011-2012 ACL of 4,884 mt for the Other Flatfish complex.

Moved by: Rod Moore Seconded by: Phil Anderson
Motion 62 passed. Ms. Vojkovich voted no.

Motion 63: Adopt a preliminary preferred 2011-2012 ACL of 4,884 mt for the Other Flatfish complex.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich Seconded by: David Crabbe
Motion 63 Passed. Mr. Anderson and Mr. Meyer voted no.

Motion 64: Adopt the 40-10 harvest control rule (option 1) with the expectation that stocks under 40 percent of unfished biomass may have ACLs lower than specified using the harvest control rule.

Moved by: Marija Vojkovich Seconded by: David Crabbe
Motion 64 failed on a roll call vote. Ms. Lowman, Mr. Ortmann, Mr. Myer, Mr. Sones, Mr. Mallet, Mr. Anderson, and Mr. Lockhart voted no (7 no votes, 5 yes votes, and Mr. Brizendine was absent from this vote).

Motion 65: Adopt preliminary sablefish ACLs for 2011-2012 using the option 2 40-10 harvest control rule and the 68:32 north:south apportionment. The 2011 and 2012 northern ACLs are 4,961 mt and 4,689 mt, respectively and the 2011 and 2012 southern ACLs are 2,335 mt and 2,207 mt, respectively.

Moved by: Phil Anderson Seconded by: Jerry Mallet
Amdmt #1 Apply a 50 percent reduction to the southern sablefish ACLs in 2011 and 2012 (i.e., Alternative 3).
Amendment 1 to Motion 65 carried unanimously.
Motion 65 as amended carried unanimously.

Motion 66:  Set the following 2011 and 2012 ACLs: 155 mt for the northern minor nearshore rockfish complex; 968 mt for the northern minor shelf rockfish complex; 1,160 mt for the northern minor slope rockfish complex; 650 mt for the southern minor slope rockfish complex; 714 mt for the southern minor shelf rockfish complex; and 626 mt for the southern minor slope rockfish complex.

Moved by: Gway Kirchner  Seconded by: Rod Moore
Motion 66 passed unanimously.

Motion 67:  Adopt the petrale sole set-aside of 104.6 metric tons to be used for the 2011-2012 specifications.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Dale Myer
Motion 67 passed unanimously.

Motion 68:  In developing a petrale sole rebuilding plan use a preliminary $T_{\text{TARGET}}$ of 2015 with a phase in of Alternative 3 (25-5 rule) in a manner that the 2011 ACL would be equal to the ABC (976 mt); for 2012, transition to full implementation of the 25-5 rule under Alternative 3 which would set an ACL of 1,160 mt.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Dale Myer
Motion 68 passed unanimously.

Motion 69:  Affect the sectors proportionate to the allocation percentages that are provided in Amendment 21.

Moved by: Rod Moore  Seconded by: Rod Moore
Motion 69 passed unanimously.

Motion 70:  Regarding ACLs for petrale, as a second alternative for analysis, the ACLs associated with Alternative 2 be analyzed; understanding that the previous motion, with the phase in of Alternative 3, is the Council’s preferred alternative.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Rod Moore
Motion 70 passed unanimously.

Motion 71:  Use the 2009/2010 specifications EIS alternatives as our preliminary preferred alternative for yelloweye, bocaccio, and cowcod. [does not include canary]

Moved by Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Dale Myer
Motion 71 passed unanimously.
Motion 72: For canary, adopt a preliminary distribution of the ACL between sectors consistent with our April 2010 scorecard.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Gway Kirchner
Motion 72 passed unanimously.

Motion 73: Adopt a preliminary allocation for minor shelf rockfish north and south based on the average mortality percentages by sector from 2005-2008 as seen in Table 11.

Moved by: Rod Moore  Seconded by: Gway Kirchner
Motion 73 passed unanimously.

Motion 74: For longnose skate, use the 95 percent trawl/5 percent non-trawl as the allocation between the sectors.

Moved by: Phil Anderson  Seconded by: Rod Moore
Motion 74 passed unanimously.

Motion 75: Adopt a preliminary preferred allocation of longnose skate to the whiting fishery of one percent of the trawl allocation.

Moved by: Rod Moore  Seconded by: Dale Myer
Amndmt #1: Amend Motion 75 to provide a second alternative of 95 percent non-whiting and 5 percent whiting.

Moved by: Mr. Anderson  Seconded by: Dorothy Lowman
Amendment #1 to Motion 75 passed unanimously.
Motion 75 as amended passed unanimously.