

# GMT Supplemental Report

Category	Leipzig	Cal. Risk Pool (Fixed Gear)	Cal. Risk Pool (Bottom Trawl)	Mann & Paine	Eder et. al.
Maximized retention or optimized?	Max	Max	Both	Max	Max
Observer coverage during EM <sup>a</sup>	2 trips	20%	20%	No <sup>b</sup>	< 30%
Risk of exceeding ACLs	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Individual accountability	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Use chute/choke point for discards	only halibut, can be prescribed	Yes	Yes	yes, designated spot on deck	yes, choke point
Broad application (depth)	Shoreward and seaward of RCA	shoreward & seaward of RCA	shoreward & seaward of RCA	depends on concentration of whiting	seaward of the RCA
Broad application (latitude)	OR/WA	likely S of 40-10 only	likely S of 40-10 only	depends on concentration of whiting	Coastwide
Multiple strategies?	bottom trawl	longline and pot	bottom trawl, possibility of Scottish seine	shoreside and catcher vessel/mothership mid-water trawl	longline and pot
Possible to compare observer to electronic monitoring?	Yes (2 trips)	Yes	Yes	No, done in previous PFMC study	Yes
Possible to compare electronic monitoring and discard log?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Possible for direct halibut viability?	Yes, 2 trips in beginning	Yes	Yes	Yes, 100% mortality	Yes
Incentives for compliance, amongst the EFP participants?	if individual hinders use of cameras, permit gets revoked	collective contract (joint liability)	collective contract (joint liability)	individual violators will be removed from EFP	individual violators will be removed from EFP