

# Agenda Item C.1

## Electronic Monitoring Program Informational Briefing

# Council Action

- No Council Action
- Informational Briefing Only
  - C1b Attachment 1 PSMFC Preliminary 2013 Report
  - C1b Attachment 2 PSMFC 2014 Supplemental Study Design

# Overview

- Informational Briefing
  - Overview of Council Timeline for EM
  - Overview of Agenda Item C7 (Council Action Monday)
  - Quick Summary of EFPs received

# Overview

- Presentation by Dave Colpo (PSMFC)
- Council Questions and Discussion

# Overview of Timeline for Current EM Considerations

<u>Dates</u>	<u>Process Considerations</u>
April 2013- Nov 2013	Initial Scoping and Preliminary Development of Regulatory Alternatives
April 2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● EM Regulatory Program Development</li> <li>● Consider EFPs for Preliminary Approval</li> </ul>
June 2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Consider full analysis of regulatory alternatives.</li> <li>● Select preliminary preferred regulatory alternatives.</li> <li>● EFP Final Council Approval, Forward to NMFS</li> </ul>
Sept 2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Select final preferred regulatory alternatives.</li> </ul>
Sept 2014 through 2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Secretarial approval process and implementation, including               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ regulation drafting and paperwork reduction act submissions,</li> <li>○ securing contracts for video review,</li> <li>○ commercial installation and testing, and</li> <li>○ observer program adjustments.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Jan 1, 2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Final Rule is Effective with Implementation of EM Program</li> </ul>

# Overview of Timeline

## Regulatory EM vs. EFP

Agenda Item C7a, Supplemental Attachment 11

Timeline for Regulatory EM and EFPs are compared in Table 2

- There are apparent workload issues; both the Regulatory process and EFPs are competing on the same timeline
- Any final regulatory EM decisions made in Sept are scheduled to be implemented in Jan 2016
- Most EFPs are proposed to start Jan 2015

# Overview of Agenda Item C7

- GEMTAC Report
- Expect a report from the WCGOP
- Other management entity reports
- Advisory body statements
- Public Comment Council Action

# Council Action Under C7, on Monday, April 7

- **Provide guidance on further development of EM program.**
  - Confirm or add to the range of alternatives in Attachment 3.
  - Alter or add options to the action alternatives in Attachment 3.
  - Consider options for responsible party payments for biological observers.
  - Guidance on specific analysis of the alternatives and options.
- **Recommendations for further consideration of EFPs.**
- **Provide guidance on schedule and process calendar.**
- **Provide other guidance as necessary.**



# Summary of EFPs

EFP Applicant (Name, Attachment)	Purpose	Gear	Number of Vessels
Earl and Ronald Silva, Attachment 6	To fish without an observer	Bottom-trawl	1
Fisherman's Marketing Assoc., Attachment 7	To "...evaluate components of an overall monitoring program..."	Trawl	"several dozen," up to 120
California Risk Pool Attachment 8	Assist in EM program development	Fixed gear	3
		Bottom Trawl	3
At-sea and Shoreside West Coast Whiting Fishery, Attachment 9	Test if "...utilizing cameras in lieu of human observers proves both cost effective and logistically effective..."	Midwater Trawl	12 to 20, up to 37
Eder, Corbin, Parker, Blue, Supp Attachment 12	"...to accurately track and correctly identify an individual's fish catch, including discards,..."	Fixed gear (pot or longline )	4, up to 20 in the second year

# Summary of EFPs

EFP Applicant (Name, Attachment)	Proposed Discard Species
Earl Silva and Ronald Silva, Attachment 6	Max retention, use discard with chute, no species identified for discard
Fisherman’s Marketing Assoc., Attachment 7	Max retention (incl. prohibited species), Allow minor amounts operational discard, large marine organisms, debris
California Risk Pool, Attachment 8	Fixed gear - Max retention
	Trawl - “optimized retention” allow discard species that can be clearly identified
At-sea and Shoreside West Coast Whiting Fishery, Attachment 9	Max retention; Allow discard of ESA, prohibited species, halibut, trash, mud, coral, crabs, etc, and for safety reasons
Eder, Corbin, Parker, and Blue, Supp Attachment 12	“full retention of IQ species”; May discard “unmarketable IFQ”, Halibut, Non-IFQ, large organisms

Questions?