

**APPENDIX D
TO AMENDMENT 16-3
TO THE PACIFIC COAST GROUND FISH FISHERY
MANAGEMENT PLAN**

**PROPOSED GROUND FISH FISHERY
MANAGEMENT PLAN AMENDMENT 16-3
LANGUAGE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF CONTENTS	D-2
4.5.4 Summary of Rebuilding Plan Contents	D-1
4.5.4.5 Bocaccio Rockfish	D-1
Status of the Bocaccio Stock and Fisheries Affected by Stock Rebuilding Measures at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption (April 2004)	D-1
Methods Used to Calculate Stock Rebuilding Parameters	D-2
Rebuilding Parameter Values at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption	D-2
Bocaccio Rockfish Rebuilding Strategy	D-2
4.5.4.6 Cowcod	D-3
Status of the Cowcod and Fisheries Affected by Stock Rebuilding Measures at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption (April 2004)	D-3
Methods Used to Calculate Stock Rebuilding Parameters	D-4
Rebuilding Parameter Values at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption	D-4
Cowcod Rebuilding Strategy	D-4
4.5.4.7 Widow Rockfish	D-5
Status of the Widow Rockfish Stock and Fisheries Affected by Stock Rebuilding Measures at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption (April 2004)	D-5
Methods Used to Calculate Stock Rebuilding Parameters	D-6
Rebuilding Parameter Values at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption	D-6
Widow Rockfish Rebuilding Strategy	D-6
4.5.4.8 Yelloweye Rockfish	D-7
Status of the Yelloweye Rockfish Stock and Fisheries Affected by Stock Rebuilding Measures at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption (April 2004) ...	D-7
Methods Used to Calculate Stock Rebuilding Parameters	D-8
Rebuilding Parameter Values at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption	D-8
Yelloweye Rockfish Rebuilding Strategy	D-8
REFERENCES	D-11

4.5.4 Summary of Rebuilding Plan Contents

.....

4.5.4.5 *Bocaccio Rockfish*

Status of the Bocaccio Stock and Fisheries Affected by Stock Rebuilding Measures at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption (April 2004)

Assessment scientists and managers have treated West Coast bocaccio as independent stocks north and south of Cape Mendocino. The southern stock, which has been declared overfished, occurs south of Cape Mendocino and the northern stock north of 48° N latitude in northern Washington (off Cape Flattery). The overfished southern bocaccio rockfish stock occurs in Central and Southern California waters, on the continental shelf and in nearshore areas, often in rocky habitat. They are caught in both commercial and recreational fisheries in approximately equal amounts. Commercial catches mainly occur in limited entry trawl fisheries.

Bocaccio have long been an important component of California rockfish fisheries. Catches increased to high levels in the 1970s and early 1980s as relatively strong year-classes recruited to the stock. The Council began to recommend increasingly restrictive regulations after an assessment of the southern stock in 1990 (Bence and Hightower 1990) indicated that fishing rates were too high. The southern stock has been assessed six times (Bence and Hightower 1990; Bence and Rogers 1992; MacCall 2002; MacCall 2003b; MacCall, *et al.* 1999; Ralston, *et al.* 1996) and has suffered poor recruitment during the warm water conditions that have prevailed off Southern California since the late 1980s. The 1996 assessment (Ralston, *et al.* 1996) indicated the stock was in severe decline. NMFS formally declared the stock overfished in March 1999 after the groundfish FMP was amended to incorporate the tenets of the Sustainable Fisheries Act. MacCall *et al.* (1999) confirmed the overfished status of bocaccio and estimated spawning output of the southern stock to be 2.1% of its unfished biomass and 5.1% of the maximum sustainable yield (MSY) level. The northern stock of bocaccio has not been assessed.

While previous assessments only used data from Central and Northern California, an assessment in 2002 (MacCall and He 2002) also included data for southern California. While relative abundance increased slightly from the last assessment (4.8% of unfished biomass), potential productivity appears lower than previously thought, making for a more pessimistic outlook. The Council assumed a medium recruitment scenario for the 1999 year class, which was not assessed (MacCall, *et al.* 1999). The 2002 assessment revealed the 1999 year class experienced relatively lower recruitment. Therefore, although the 1999 year class contributed a substantial quantity of fish to the population, it did not contribute as much to rebuilding as was previously thought.

The 2003 bocaccio assessment differs greatly from the 2002 assessment. It is driven by the strength of the incoming 1999 year class that had not recruited into the indices used for the 2002 assessment and by a revised lower estimate of natural mortality (MacCall 2003b). In addition to the 2001 Triennial Survey data, the 2003 assessment used larval abundance data from recent CalCOFI surveys as well as length and catch per unit effort (CPUE) data from recreational fisheries. In calculating the recreational CPUE information, a new method was used that identifies relevant fishing trips by species composition and adjusts the catch history for regulatory changes that effect the level of discard and avoidance. The results of these calculations suggest that recreational CPUE has increased dramatically in recent years and is at a record high level in Central California north of Pt. Conception. The STAR Panel recommended the use of two assessment models as a means of bracketing uncertainty from the very different signals between the Triennial Survey and the recreational CPUE data. Following the Stock Assessment Review (STAR) Panel meeting, MacCall presented

a third “hybrid” model that incorporated the data from all of the indices. The Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) recommended, and the Council approved, the use of this third modeling approach. This resulted in modest improvement in estimated stock size, but significantly affected the estimated productivity of the stock. These results had substantial effects on the rebuilding outlook for bocaccio which, under the 2002 assessment, was not expected to rebuild within T_{MAX} even with no fishing related mortality. Total mortality in 2003 fisheries was restricted to less than 20 mt as a means of conserving the stock while minimizing adverse socioeconomic impacts to communities. The current rebuilding analysis (MacCall 2003a), using the “hybrid” model, suggests the stock could rebuild to B_{MSY} within 25 years while sustaining an optimum yield (OY) of approximately 300 mt in 2004.

The Council adopted a rebuilding plan for bocaccio rockfish at its April 2004 meeting, as described by the parameter values listed in Table 4-1. These values are based on a rebuilding analysis conducted by MacCall (2003b).

Fisheries in central and southern California are affected by the bocaccio rebuilding plan because the overfished population occurs in these waters. Recreational and limited entry trawl fisheries in this region have accounted for the bulk of landings in recent years.

Methods Used to Calculate Stock Rebuilding Parameters

The methods used by MacCall in his rebuilding analysis (MacCall 2003a) do not differ substantially from the approach described in Section 4.5.2.

Rebuilding Parameter Values at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption

Table 4-1 lists the numerical values for B_0 , B_{MSY} , T_{MIN} , T_{MAX} , P_{MAX} , T_{TARGET} and F . The values of B_0 , B_{MSY} , T_{MIN} , and T_{MAX} are derived from the rebuilding analysis used in formulating the rebuilding plan (MacCall 2003a). Using the STATc base model from the most recent stock assessment (MacCall 2003b), the Council chose a value of 70% for P_{MAX} , based on a harvest control rule of $F = 0.0498$. This results in a target year of 2023.

Bocaccio Rockfish Rebuilding Strategy

As shown in Table 4-1, at the inception of the rebuilding plan the harvest control rule for bocaccio rockfish was a fishing mortality rate of 0.0498. Based on the 2003 rebuilding analysis, this harvest rate is likely to rebuild the stock by the target year of 2023. This value is likely to change over time as stock size and structure changes. Any updated value will be published in federal groundfish regulations. The fishing mortality rate is applied to the exploitable biomass estimate to determine the OY for a given fishing period.

Management measures are implemented through the biennial harvest specification and management process described in Chapter 5. The types of management measures that may be implemented through this process are described in Chapter 6. In 2004, at the time of rebuilding plan adoption, measures intended to limit bycatch of overfished species included prohibiting retention of certain overfished species during some parts of the year, reducing landing limits (cumulative trip limits) on co-occurring species, establishing extensive time/area closures, and restricting the use of trawl nets equipped with large footropes. (By using large footropes with heavy roller gear, bottom trawlers can access rocky habitat on the continental shelf. This is the preferred habitat for some overfished species.)

Beginning in 2002 time/area closures, referred to as Groundfish Conservation Areas (GCAs), came into use as a way of decreasing bycatch of overfished species. GCAs enclose depth ranges where bycatch of

overfished species is most likely to occur, based on information retrieved from log books and the at-sea observer program. The boundaries vary by season and fishery sector, and may be modified in response to new information about the geographic and seasonal distribution of bycatch.

As noted, a large proportion of bocaccio catch occurs in recreational fisheries in Central and Southern California. Recreational depth closures, restricting fishing to shallow waters, bag limits, and seasonal closures have been used to reduce recreational bocaccio catches.

4.5.4.6 Cowcod

Status of the Cowcod and Fisheries Affected by Stock Rebuilding Measures at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption (April 2004)

Relatively little is known about cowcod, a species of large rockfish that ranges from Ranger Bank and Guadalupe Island in central Baja California to Usal, Mendocino County, California (Miller and Lea 1972), and may infrequently occur as far north as Newport, Oregon. Cowcod have been assessed only once (Butler, *et al.* 1999). Adult cowcod are primarily found over high relief rocky areas (Allen 1982). They are generally solitary, but occasionally aggregate (Love, *et al.* 1990).

While cowcod are not a major component of the groundfish fishery, they are highly desired by both recreational and commercial fishers because of their bright color and large size. In recent years small amounts have been caught by limited entry trawl vessels and recreational anglers in Southern California. The cowcod stock south of Cape Mendocino has experienced a long-term decline. The cowcod stock in the Conception area was assessed in 1998 (Butler, *et al.* 1999). Abundance indices decreased approximately tenfold between the 1960s and the 1990s, based on commercial passenger fishing vessel (CPFV) logs (Butler, *et al.* 1999). Recreational and commercial catch also declined substantially from peaks in the 1970s and 1980s, respectively.

B_0 was estimated to be 3,370 mt, and 1998 spawning biomass was estimated at 7% of B_0 , well below the 25% overfishing threshold. As a result, NMFS declared cowcod in the Conception and Monterey management areas overfished in January 2000. Large areas off Southern California (the Cowcod Conservation Areas [CCAs]) have been closed to fishing for cowcod. The stock's low productivity and declined spawning biomass also necessitates an extended rebuilding period, estimated at 62 years with no fishing-related mortality (T_{MIN}), to achieve a 1,350 mt B_{MSY} for the Conception management area.

There is relatively little information about the cowcod stock, and there are major uncertainties in the one assessment that has been conducted. The assessment authors needed to make estimates of early landings based on more recent data and reported total landings of rockfish. Age and size composition of catches are poorly sampled, population structure is unknown, and the assessment was restricted to Southern California waters.

A cowcod rebuilding review was completed in 2003, which validated the assumption that non-retention regulations and area closures have been effective in constraining cowcod fishing mortality (Butler, *et al.* 2003). These results, although encouraging, are based on cowcod fishery-related removals from CPFV observations and angler reported discards. Non-retention regulations and limited observation data have increased the need for fishery independent population indices.

The Council adopted a rebuilding plan for cowcod at its April 2004 meeting, as described by the parameter values listed in Table 4-1. These values are based on a rebuilding analysis conducted by Butler and Barnes (2000).

Methods Used to Calculate Stock Rebuilding Parameters

The Cowcod rebuilding analysis (Butler and Barnes 2000) was completed before the SSC default rebuilding analysis methodology (Punt 2002), described in Section 4.5.2, had been developed. Instead, it uses a surplus production model using a log-normal distribution fitted to recruitment during 1951-1998. At the time of rebuilding plan adoption (2004) a new cowcod stock assessment and rebuilding analysis had not been completed. In April 2004 the SSC recommended that future cowcod stock assessments use a model whose output can be used in the default rebuilding analysis methodology.

Rebuilding Parameter Values at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption

Table 4-1 lists the numerical values for B_0 , B_{MSY} , T_{MIN} , T_{MAX} , P_{MAX} , T_{TARGET} and F . The values of B_0 , B_{MSY} , T_{MIN} , and T_{MAX} are derived from the rebuilding analysis (Butler and Barnes 2000) used in formulating the rebuilding plan. The Council chose a value of 60% for P_{MAX} , based on a harvest control rule of $F = 0.009$. This results in a target year of 2090.

Cowcod Rebuilding Strategy

As shown in Table 4-1, at the inception of the rebuilding plan the harvest control rule for cowcod was a fishing mortality rate of 0.009. Based on the 2000 cowcod rebuilding analysis (Butler and Barnes 2000), this harvest rate is likely to rebuild the stock by the target year of 2090. This value is likely to change over time as stock size and structure changes. Any updated value will be published in federal groundfish regulations. The fishing mortality rate is applied to the exploitable biomass estimate to determine the OY for a given fishing period.

Management measures are implemented through the biennial harvest specification and management process described in Chapter 5. The types of management measures that may be implemented through this process are described in Chapter 6. In 2004, at the time of rebuilding plan adoption, measures intended to limit bycatch of overfished species included prohibiting retention of certain overfished species during some parts of the year, reducing landing limits (cumulative trip limits) on co-occurring species, establishing extensive time/area closures, and restricting the use of trawl nets equipped with large footropes. (By using large footropes with heavy roller gear, bottom trawlers can access rocky habitat on the continental shelf. This is the preferred habitat for some overfished species.)

Beginning in 2002 time/area closures, referred to as GCAs, came into use as a way of decreasing bycatch of overfished species. GCAs enclose depth ranges where bycatch of overfished species is most likely to occur, based on information retrieved from log books and the at-sea observer program. The boundaries vary by season and fishery sector and may be modified in response to new information about the geographic and seasonal distribution of bycatch.

Because cowcod is a fairly sedentary species, establishment of a marine protected area, considered one of the GCAs, is the key strategy for limiting cowcod fishing mortality. The CCA in the Southern California Bight encompasses two areas of greatest cowcod density, as estimated in 2000, based on historical cowcod catch and catch rates in commercial and recreational fisheries. To aid in enforcement, the CCA is bounded by straight lines enclosing simple polygons. Butler, *et al.* (2003) concluded that the CCAs have been effective in reducing bycatch to levels projected to allow stock rebuilding. Estimated fishery removals have been at levels sufficient to rebuild the stock, since the CCAs were implemented, except in 2001 when 5.6 mt was caught in the Conception management area. Most of this catch occurred in the spot prawn trawl fishery, which was subsequently prohibited.

Given the particular life history characteristics of cowcod, the Council will continue to use species-specific area closures to protect cowcod. As new information becomes available on cowcod behavior and fisheries interactions with cowcod, the boundaries or related regulations concerning the current CCAs may change, and additional CCAs may be established by regulation.

4.5.4.7 Widow Rockfish

Status of the Widow Rockfish Stock and Fisheries Affected by Stock Rebuilding Measures at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption (April 2004)

Widow rockfish are an important commercial species from British Columbia to central California, particularly since 1979, when an Oregon trawl fisherman demonstrated the ability to make large catches at night using midwater trawl gear. Since that time, many more participants entered the fishery and landings of widow rockfish increased rapidly (Love, *et al.* 2002). Widow rockfish are a minor component of recreational groundfish fisheries. Historically, there have been target fisheries for widow rockfish. Since declared overfished, most widow rockfish catches have occurred in the midwater fishery for Pacific whiting. Tribal midwater trawl fisheries account for most of the remainder of recent catches.

Williams, *et al.* (2000) assessed the widow rockfish in 2000. The spawning output level (8,223 mt), based on that assessment and a revised rebuilding analysis (Punt and MacCall 2002) adopted by the Council in June 2001, was at 23.6% of the unfished level (33,490 mt) in 1999. This result was computed using the average recruitment from 1968 to 1979 multiplied by the spawning output-per-recruit at $F = 0$. The analysis concluded the rebuilding period in the absence of fishing is 22 years, and with a mean generation time of 16 years, the maximum allowable time to rebuild (T_{MAX}) is 38 years. Widow rockfish were declared overfished in 2001 based on these analyses.

The most recent assessment (He, *et al.* 2003b) concluded that the widow rockfish stock size is 22.4% of the unfished biomass, but indicates stock productivity is considerably lower than previously thought. Data sparseness was a significant problem in this widow rockfish assessment (Conser, *et al.* 2003; He, *et al.* 2003b). Limited logbook data prior to 1990 is available from bottom trawl fisheries, a questionable data source for a midwater species. The NMFS laboratory at Santa Cruz conducts a midwater trawl survey from which a juvenile index is derived. This index has been highly variable in its ability to predict recruitment, in part, due to the survey's limited geographical area relative to the overall distribution of widow rockfish. The widow rockfish rebuilding analysis considered a wide range of model formulations that investigated different hypothesis on natural mortality, stock-recruitment variability, and the use of a power coefficient to reduce variability of the Santa Cruz midwater juvenile survey. The SSC recommended model formulations that pre-specify the recruitment for 2003-2005, do not use a stock-recruitment relationship (recruits per spawner ratios were used instead to project future recruitment), and vary the power coefficient between two and four in the Santa Cruz midwater juvenile survey. The SSC did not recommend a power coefficient higher than four because the relationship between the Santa Cruz midwater survey recruitment index and other recruitment indices changed dramatically with higher powers. The previous rebuilding analysis (Punt and MacCall 2002) had used a power coefficient of 10 that dampened the estimate of recruitment variability and suggested much higher stock productivity.

Many of the rebuilding parameters for widow rockfish did not change dramatically with the new rebuilding analysis. The rebuilding period in the absence of fishing increased to 25 years and, with a mean generation time of 16 years; the maximum allowable time to rebuild (T_{MAX}) is 41 years. However, the harvest rate associated with different rebuilding strategies dropped significantly in response to the new understanding of decreased stock productivity. Thus, the interim rebuilding OY for 2003 using the 2000 rebuilding analysis

was 832 mt, while in 2004, using the 2003 rebuilding analysis (He, *et al.* 2003a), the OY was 284 mt (using the base model, Model 8, which uses a power coefficient of three).

The Council adopted a rebuilding plan for widow rockfish at its April 2004 meeting, as described by the parameter values listed in Table 4-1. These values are based on a rebuilding analysis conducted by He, *et al.* (He, *et al.* 2003a).

Because widow rockfish are commonly distributed in the mesopelagic (midwater) zone they are most commonly caught in with midwater trawl gear, which sweeps this zone (in contrast to bottom trawl gear used to target most groundfish species). Historically, widow rockfish were a major target species. Landings peaked at 12,473 mt in 1989 and as recently as 2000 stood at 3,866 mt (PFMC 2002). Target fisheries were eliminated after widow rockfish were declared overfished in 2001. Currently, the Pacific whiting fishery accounts for about three-quarters of widow rockfish catches; a small directed fishery for yellowtail rockfish, prosecuted by Washington treaty Indian Tribes, and the limited entry fixed gear sector account for almost all of the remaining incidental catches. Most catches occur in the U.S.-Vancouver, Columbia, and Eureka management areas.

Methods Used to Calculate Stock Rebuilding Parameters

The methods used in the rebuilding analysis He, *et al.* (He, *et al.* 2003a) used to develop the rebuilding plan do not differ substantially from the approach described in Section 4.5.2.

Rebuilding Parameter Values at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption

Table 4-1 lists the numerical values for B_0 , B_{MSY} , T_{MIN} , T_{MAX} , P_{MAX} , T_{TARGET} , and F . The values of B_0 , B_{MSY} , T_{MIN} , and T_{MAX} are derived from the rebuilding analysis used in formulating the rebuilding plan (He, *et al.* 2003a). Using Model 8, the base model from the 2003 stock assessment (He, *et al.* 2003b), the Council chose a value of 60% for P_{MAX} , based on a harvest control rule of $F = 0.0093$. This results in a target year of 2038.

Widow Rockfish Rebuilding Strategy

As shown in Table 4-1, at the inception of the rebuilding plan the harvest control rule for canary rockfish was a fishing mortality rate of 0.0093. Based on the 2003 widow rockfish rebuilding analysis (He, *et al.* 2003a), this harvest rate is likely to rebuild the stock by the target year of 2038. This value is likely to change over time as stock size and structure changes. Any updated value will be published in federal groundfish regulations. The fishing mortality rate is applied to the exploitable biomass estimate to determine the OY for a given fishing period.

Management measures are implemented through the biennial harvest specification and management process described in Chapter 5. The types of management measures that may be implemented through this process are described in Chapter 6. In 2004, at the time of rebuilding plan adoption, measures intended to limit bycatch of overfished species included prohibiting retention of certain overfished species during some parts of the year, reducing landing limits (cumulative trip limits) on co-occurring species, establishing extensive time/area closures, and restricting the use of trawl nets equipped with large footropes. Because widow rockfish are mainly caught in the water column, bottom trawl gear restrictions have little effect on widow rockfish catch rates.

Beginning in 2002 time/area closures, referred to as GCAs, came into use as a way of decreasing bycatch of overfished species. GCAs enclose depth ranges where bycatch of overfished species is most likely to occur,

based on information retrieved from log books and the at-sea observer program. The boundaries vary by season and fishery sector and may be modified in response to new information about the geographic and seasonal distribution of bycatch.

Because widow rockfish occur in midwater and aggregate at night, elimination of target fishery opportunities is a relatively easy way of reducing widow rockfish bycatch. The Council has taken a policy approach of establish management measures to reduce incidental catch in the Pacific whiting fishery sufficient to constrain total mortality below harvest levels (OYs) needed to rebuild the stock. At the time of rebuilding plan adoption, catch in other fisheries is sufficiently small so that rebuilding targets can be met without applying any special measures, beyond those needed to discourage targeting, to reduce widow rockfish fishing mortality in these fishery sectors.

Widow rockfish catches in recreational fisheries are relatively modest. Catches in this sector are managed mainly through bag limits, size limits, and fishing seasons established for each West Coast state. No recreational bag and size limits have been established for widow rockfish. However, general bag limits for rockfish may have some constraining effect on widow recreational catches.

4.5.4.8 *Yelloweye Rockfish*

Status of the Yelloweye Rockfish Stock and Fisheries Affected by Stock Rebuilding Measures at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption (April 2004)

Yelloweye rockfish are common from Central California northward to the Gulf of Alaska. They are bottom-dwelling, generally solitary, rocky reef fish, found either on or just over reefs (Eschmeyer, *et al.* 1983; Love 1991; Miller and Lea 1972; O'Connell and Funk 1986). Boulder areas in deep water (>180 m) are the most densely populated habitat type, and juveniles prefer shallow-zone broken-rock habitat (O'Connell and Carlile 1993). They also reportedly occur around steep cliffs and offshore pinnacles (Rosenthal, *et al.* 1982). The presence of refuge spaces is an important factor affecting their occurrence (O'Connell and Carlile 1993). Yelloweye rockfish are potentially caught in a range of both commercial and recreational fisheries. Because of their preference for rocky habitat, they are more vulnerable to hook and line gear.

The first ever yelloweye rockfish stock assessment was conducted in 2001 (Wallace 2002). This assessment incorporated two area assessments: one from Northern California using CPUE indices constructed from Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistical Survey (MRFSS) sample data and California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) data collected on board commercial passenger fishing vessels, and the other from Oregon using Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) sampling data. The assessment concluded current yelloweye rockfish stock biomass is about 7% of unexploited biomass in Northern California and 13% of unexploited biomass in Oregon. The assessment revealed a thirty-year declining biomass trend in both areas with the last above average recruitment occurring in the late 1980s. The assessment's conclusion that yelloweye rockfish biomass was well below the 25% of unexploited biomass threshold for overfished stocks led to this stock being separated from the rockfish complexes in which it was previously listed. Until 2002, when yelloweye rockfish were declared overfished, they were listed in the "remaining rockfish" complex on the shelf in the Vancouver, Columbia, and Eureka management areas and the "other rockfish" complex on the shelf in the Monterey and Conception areas. As with the other overfished stocks, yelloweye rockfish harvest is now tracked separately.

In June 2002 the SSC recommended that managers should conduct a new assessment incorporating Washington catch and age data. This recommendation was based on evidence that the biomass distribution of yelloweye rockfish on the West Coast was centered in waters off Washington and that useable data from Washington were available. Based on that testimony, the Council recommended completing a new

assessment in the summer of 2002, before a final decision was made on 2003 management measures. Methot *et al.* (2002b) did the assessment, which was reviewed by a STAR Panel in August 2002. The assessment result was much more optimistic than the one prepared by Wallace (2002), largely due to the incorporation of Washington fishery data. While the overfished status of the stock was confirmed (24% of unfished biomass), Methot *et al.* (2002b) provided evidence of higher stock productivity than originally assumed. The assessment also treated the stock as a coastwide assemblage. This assessment was reviewed and approved by the SSC and the Council at the September 2002 Council meeting. Methot and Piner (2002) prepared a rebuilding analysis based on this assessment.

The Council adopted a rebuilding plan for yelloweye rockfish at its April 2004 meeting, as described by the parameter values listed in Table 4-1. These values are based on a rebuilding analysis conducted by Methot and Piner (2002a).

Because yelloweye rockfish prefer rocky reef habitat on the continental shelf, they are most vulnerable to recreational and commercial fixed gear fisheries. In the past, the groundfish trawl sector has accounted for a large proportion of the catch: from 1990 to 1997 trawlers took an average of 46% of the catch coastwide (although most catches occur in Washington and Oregon waters). (This discussion is based on data in the table on page 3 of Methot, *et al.* 2003.) Trip limit reductions after 1997 and the imposition of restrictions on large footrope trawl gear in 2000 has substantially diminished the amount of yelloweye rockfish caught by the trawl sector. (Large footrope gear made it possible for trawlers to access the rocky habitat where yelloweye live.) Trawl vessels accounted for only 14% of the catch on average from 1998 to 2001. Commercial fixed gear catches have also taken a significant share of the catch, 38% in the years 1990-1997. However, the implementation of the nontrawl RCA, which encloses much yelloweye habitat, has resulted in their share falling also. Open access directed groundfish fisheries and the Pacific halibut longline fleet also catch small amounts of yelloweye rockfish. Recreational catches have become more significant with the reduction in commercial catches. Comparing the 1990-1997 and 1998-2001 periods, their share of the total coastwide catch almost doubled to 30%, although actual average catches declined slightly. Most recreational catches occur in Washington State waters.

Methods Used to Calculate Stock Rebuilding Parameters

The methods used in the rebuilding analysis (Methot and Piner 2002a) used to develop the rebuilding plan do not differ substantially from the approach described in Section 4.5.2.

Rebuilding Parameter Values at the Time of Rebuilding Plan Adoption

Table 4-1 lists the numerical values for B_0 , B_{MSY} , T_{MIN} , T_{MAX} , P_{MAX} , T_{TARGET} , and F . The values of B_0 , B_{MSY} , T_{MIN} , and T_{MAX} are derived from the rebuilding analysis used in formulating the rebuilding plan (Methot and Piner 2002a). The Council chose a value of 80% for P_{MAX} , based on a harvest control rule of $F = 0.0153$. This results in a target year of 2058.

Yelloweye Rockfish Rebuilding Strategy

As shown in Table 4-1, at the inception of the rebuilding plan the harvest control rule for canary rockfish was a fishing mortality rate of 0.0153. Based on the 2002 rebuilding analysis (Methot and Piner 2002), this harvest rate is likely to rebuild the stock by the target year of 2058. This value is likely to change over time as stock size and structure changes. Any updated value will be published in federal groundfish regulations. The fishing mortality rate is applied to the exploitable biomass estimate to determine the OY for a given fishing period.

Management measures are implemented through the biennial harvest specification and management process described in Chapter 5. The types of management measures that may be implemented through this process are described in Chapter 6. In 2004, at the time of rebuilding plan adoption, measures intended to limit bycatch of overfished species included prohibiting retention of certain overfished species during some parts of the year, reducing landing limits (cumulative trip limits) on co-occurring species, establishing extensive time/area closures, and restricting the use of trawl nets equipped with large footropes. (By using large footropes with heavy roller gear, bottom trawlers can access rocky habitat on the continental shelf. This is the preferred habitat for some overfished species.)

Beginning in 2002 time/area closures, referred to as GCAs, came into use as a way of decreasing bycatch of overfished species. GCAs enclose depth ranges where bycatch of overfished species is most likely to occur, based on information retrieved from log books and the at-sea observer program. The boundaries vary by season and fishery sector, and may be modified in response to new information about the geographic and seasonal distribution of bycatch.

In addition to the more general measures described above, which are intended to reduce bycatch of all overfished species, the Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area (YRCA), a C-shaped closed area off the Washington coast, near Cape Flattery, prevents recreational and fixed gear fishers from targeting this species in an area where they are concentrated. Recreational bag and size limits are also used to manage total yelloweye rockfish fishing mortality.

Given the particular life history characteristics of yelloweye rockfish, the Council will continue to use a species-specific area closure or closures to protect yelloweye rockfish. As new information becomes available on yelloweye rockfish behavior and fisheries interactions with yelloweye rockfish, the boundaries or related regulations concerning the current YRCA may change, and additional YRCAs may be established by regulation.

TABLE 4-1. Specified rebuilding plan parameters at the time of plan adoption. (Page 1 of 1)

Species	Year Stock Declared Overfished	Year Rebuilding Plan Adopted	B_0	B_{MSY}	T_{MIN}	T_{MAX}	P_{MAX}	T_{TARGET}	Harvest Control Rule
Darkblotched Rockfish	2000	2003	29,044 mt	11,618 mt	2014	2047	80%	2030	F = 0.027
Pacific Ocean Perch	1999	2003	60,212 units of spawning output	24,084 units of spawning output	2012	2042	70%	2027	F = 0.0082
Canary Rockfish	2000	2003	31,550 mt	12,620 mt	2057	2076	60%	2074	F = 0.022
Lingcod	1999	2003	28,882 mt N; 20,971 mt S	9,153 mt N; 8,389 mt S	2007	2009	60%	2009	F = 0.0531 N; F = 0.061 S
Bocaccio*	1999	2004	13,387 B eggs in 2003	5,355 B eggs	2018	2032	70%	2023	F = 0.0498
Cowcod	2000	2004	3,367 mt	1,350 mt	2062	2099	60%	2090	F = 0.009
Widow Rockfish**	2001	2004	43,580 M eggs	17,432 M eggs	2026	2042	60%	2038	F = 0.0093
Yelloweye Rockfish	2002	2004	3,875 mt	1,550 mt	2027	2071	80%	2058	F = 0.0153

*Based on the STATc base model in MacCall (2003b).

**Based on the Model 8 base model in He, *et al.* (He, *et al.* 2003b).

REFERENCES

- Allen, M. J. 1982. Functional structure of soft-bottom fish communities of the southern California shelf. Ph.D Dissertation. University of California, San Diego, California.
- Bence, J. R. and J. E. Hightower. 1990. Status of bocaccio in the Conception/Monterey/Eureka INPFC areas in 1990. *in* Appendix to Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 1990 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 1991 (SAFE Report). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland.
- Bence, J. R. and J. B. Rogers. 1992. Status of bocaccio in the Conception/Monterey/Eureka INPFC areas in 1992. *in* Appendix to Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 1992 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 1993 (SAFE Report). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- Butler, J. and T. Barnes. 2000. Cowcod rebuilding. Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR, Unpublished report.
- Butler, J. L., T. Barnes, P. Crone, and R. Conser. 2003. Cowcod rebuilding review. *in* Volume 1: Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 2003 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2004 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- Butler, J. L., L. D. Jacobson, J. T. Barnes, H. G. Moser, and R. Collins. 1999. Stock assessment of cowcod. *in* Appendix to Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 1998 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 1999 (SAFE Report).
- Conser, R., J. J. Maguire, R. Methot, P. Spencer, R. Moore, and M. Saelens. 2003. Widow rockfish STAR Panel meeting report. *in* Volume 1: Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 2003 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2004 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- Eschmeyer, W. N., E. S. Herald, and H. Hammon. 1983. A Field Guide to Pacific Coast Fishes of North America. Houghton Mifflin, Boston.
- He, X., A. Punt, A. D. MacCall, and S. V. Ralston. 2003a. Rebuilding analysis for widow rockfish in 2003. *in* Volume 1: Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 2003 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2004 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- He, X., S. V. Ralston, A. D. MacCall, D. E. Pearson, and E. J. Dick. 2003b. Status of the widow rockfish resource in 2003. *in* Volume 1: Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 2003 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2004 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- Love, M. S. 1991. Probably more than you want to know about the fishes of the Pacific coast. Really Big Press, Santa Barbara, California.
- Love, M. S., P. Morris, M. McCrae, and R. Collins. 1990. Life history aspects of 19 rockfish species (Scorpaenidae: *Sebastes*) from the southern California bight, NOAA, NMFS Tech. Rep. 87.

- Love, M. S., M. Yoklavich, and L. Thorsteinson. 2002. The rockfishes of the northeast Pacific. University of California Press, Berkeley, California.
- MacCall, A. D. 2002. Status of bocaccio off California in 2002. *in* Volume 1 Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 2002 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2003 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- MacCall, A. D. 2003a. Bocaccio rebuilding analysis for 2003. *in* Volume 1: Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 2003 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2004 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- MacCall, A. D. 2003b. Status of bocaccio off California in 2003. *in* Volume 1: Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 2003 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2004 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation), Portland, OR.
- MacCall, A. D. and X. He. 2002. Bocaccio rebuilding analysis for 2002. *in* Volume 1: Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 2002 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2003 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- MacCall, A. D., S. Ralston, D. Pearson, and E. Williams. 1999. Status of bocaccio off California in 1999 and outlook for the next millennium. *in* Appendix to Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 1999 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2000 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- Methot, R. and K. Piner. 2002a. Rebuilding analysis for canary rockfish update to incorporate results of coastwide assessment in 2002. *in* In Volume 1 Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 2002 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2003 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- Methot, R. and K. Piner. 2002b. Rebuilding analysis for yelloweye rockfish: update to incorporate results of coastwide assessment in 2002. *in* Volume 1: Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 2003 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2004 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- Methot, R., F. Wallace, and K. Piner. 2003. Status of yelloweye rockfish off the U.S. West Coast in 2002. *in* Volume 1: Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 2003 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2004 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- Miller, D. J. and R. N. Lea. 1972. Guide to the Coastal Marine Fishes of California. California Department of Fish and Game, CDFG Fish Bulletin 157.
- O'Connell, V. M. and D. W. Carlile. 1993. Habitat-specific density of adult yelloweye rockfish *Sebastes ruberrimus* in the eastern Gulf of Alaska. Fish. Bull. 91:304-309.
- O'Connell, V. M. and F. C. Funk. 1986. Age and growth of yelloweye rockfish (*Sebastes ruberrimus*) landed in southeastern Alaska. Pages 171-185 *in* Proc. Int. Rockfish Symposium, volume 87-2. Alaska Sea Grant College Program, Anchorage, Alaska.

- PFMC. 2002. Status of the Pacific coast groundfish fishery through 2001 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2002. Stock Assessment and fishery evaluation. Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- Punt, A. E. 2002. SSC default rebuilding analysis: Technical specifications and user manual. Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- Punt, A. E. and A. D. MacCall. 2002. Revised rebuilding analysis for widow rockfish for 2002. Unpublished report to the Pacific Fishery management Council, Portland, OR.
- Ralston, S., J. N. Ianelli, D. E. Pearson, M. E. Wilkins, R. A. Miller, and D. Thomas. 1996. Status of bocaccio in the Conception/Monterey/Eureka INPFC areas in 1996 and recommendations for management in 1997. *in* Appendix Vol. 1: Status of the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery through 1996 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 1997 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- Rosenthal, R. J., L. Haldorson, L. J. Field, V. Moran-O'Connell, M. G. LaRiviere, J. Underwood, and coauthors. 1982. Inshore and shallow offshore bottomfish resources in the southeastern Gulf of Alaska (1981-1982). Alaska Dept. Fish and Game, Juneau, Alaska.
- Wallace, F. R. 2002. Status of the yelloweye rockfish resource in 2001 for northern California and Oregon waters. *in* Appendix to the Status of the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Through 2001 and Acceptable Biological Catches for 2002 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.
- Williams, E. H., A. D. MacCall, S. Ralston, and D. E. Pearson. 2000. Status of the widow rockfish resource in Y2K. *in* Appendix to Status of the Pacific coast groundfish fishery through 2000 and recommended acceptable biological catches for 2001 (Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation). Pacific Fishery Management Council, Portland, OR.