

**Topics in D.7.a, Attachment 1**

- 1 A-2.1 & Co-op Time Periods IFQ and Co-op qualification '98'-03 for shorside processors, '97'-03 for everyone else  
IFQ allocation '97'-03 for MS processors, '94'-03 for everyone else.  
Co-op catch history allocation: '97'-03 for everyone.
- 2 A-2.1.3 Overfished Species If the TIQC or GAC recommendations are accepted, should a fall back be maintained in case there is a problem with the logbook approach. If so, consider maintaining the feet average approach adopted by the Council in June as the fall back.
- 3 " " " Direction from TIQC on rare-overfished species. What is expected from the TIQC direction on auctions to continue to explore approaches other than auctions.
- 4 B-1.4.3. Mothership Withdrawal TIQC recommendation does not mention that linkage stays in place when the mothership returns. Mothership representatives on the TIQC have agreed that such links should stay in place unless the CV goes into the non-co-op fishery, but this has not been discussed with the TIQC.

**Not in the D.7.a Attachment 1**

**IFQs**

- 5 Does the relative pounds issue provide rationale to drop the option of allocation of overfished species based on catch history. Is there any interest in allocating overfished species based on catch history? Also, is there any interest in allocation of QS for bycatch species in whiting fishery on a history basis.
- 6 A-2.1.1.d Attr Processor History NOAA GC: Change "a non-agency adjudication process" to "an agency appeal process"
- 7 A-2.1.3b and c QS allocation of bycatch species for CPs and MSs, (the way currently stated bycatch would be allocated based on history. Consider a pro rata option, like for vessels.
- 8 A-2.2.1 Vessel Overage Extent of fishing prohibition (maximum extent feasible has been working assumption. Does Council want to change?
- 9 A-2.2.2.b Carry-over Should consideration be given to carry-over for pounds that are not in a vessel account (currently carry-over applies only for vessels)?
- 10 A-2.2.3.a Eligible to Own Consider restricting item (ii) such that it applies to an entity that owns a mothership that participated in the Pacific whiting fishery the fishery during the allocation period.
- 11 A-2.2.3.e Accum Lim Consider proposed rewording of the individual and collective rule.
- 12 A-2.3.2 Data Collection Note there is no mention of mandatory collection of social data (e.g. crew residence location). (presumably there would still be voluntary data collection of social data)
- 13 A-2.3.4 Program duration and modification Review every 4 years. (MSA: First review must be within 5 years, periodic review at least every 7 years)? (consider even number to match/offset with biennial specs cycle.)
- 14 A-2.4. Processor Measures Option 3: Can't auction QP to generate funds to provide financial consideration (can direct QP to benefit processors)
- 15 **Co-ops** Consider adding option for whiting rollover if not used after a certain date? How would it be distributed?
- 16 How will overage be distributed/handled if the non-co-op fishery goes over? Buffer?
- 17 What happens to the CV if the MS it has delivered to does not qualify? Go to the non-co-op fishery or join co-op of its choosing?
- 18 B-1.3.3 Co-op agreements Consider adding sections e. and f. as proposed in D.7.b, Attachment 3.
- 19 A-3 Consider extending IFQ adaptive management provision to co-ops.