Opening Remarks

Ms. Marija Vojkovich gave a brief overview of the meeting process and objectives of the amendment.

Mr. LB Boydstun summarized the alternatives and analyses of impacts based on the Executive Summary of the Amendment 15 environmental assessment (EA).

Synopsis of Testimony

Of the 8 people testifying:
- 3 commented primarily on the commercial troll fishery.
- None commented on the ocean or river recreational fishery or the tribal fishery.
- 4 commented on the relative risks of the alternatives, the range of alternatives analyzed, or the adequacy of the EA.
- 4 commented on Klamath River water management or freshwater habitat issues.

Of those expressing a preference:
- 1 supported not selecting preferred alternative at this time.
- 3 Supported the 13% Cap Alternative.
- 2 supported the Klamath Fishery Management Council sliding scale alternative.
- 3 Suggested the 10% or 13% Cap Alternatives were too risky.

Other Comments
- Address juvenile life stage limitations in Klamath River; do not expect fishery restrictions to provide recovery.
- Develop GSI techniques to manage KRFC more precisely.
- Develop an Environmental Impact Statement because of potentially significant effects of increasing risk to depressed stock.
• Develop spring Chinook conservation objective and include in impact assessment.
• Need to assess impact on wild component of natural spawners.
• Council should interact with local restoration programs to broaden stakeholder support.
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